

## Homework #3

Instructor: Roshan Vengazhiyil, Brani Vidakovic

Name: Nick Korbit, gtID: 903263968

**Problem 1**

a). Let's start with MLE estimate. Using Poisson pmf  $\frac{\theta^x e^{-\theta}}{x!}$  we first calculate the likelihood  $f(x|\theta)$  based on our experiment data:

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^1 e^{-\theta}}{1!} \times \frac{\theta^2 e^{-\theta}}{2!} \times \frac{\theta^0 e^{-\theta}}{0!} \times \frac{\theta^1 e^{-\theta}}{1!} = e^{-4\theta} \left( \theta^1 \frac{\theta^2}{2} \theta^1 \right) = \frac{e^{-4\theta} \theta^4}{2}$$

In order to find MLE estimate we need to maximize likelihood  $f(x|\theta)$ :

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \frac{e^{-4\theta} \theta^4}{2}$$

We simplify as follows:

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} e^{-4\theta} \theta^4 = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \ln(e^{-4\theta} \theta^4) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} (4\ln\theta - 4\theta)$$

$$d/d\theta (4\ln\theta - 4\theta) = 4/\theta - 4; d/d\theta^2 (4\ln\theta - \theta) = -4/\theta^2$$

At  $\theta = 1$   $d/d\theta = 0$  and  $d/d\theta^2 < 0$ , so we conclude that  $\theta = 1$  is a maximum. So that

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = 1$$

We now turn to Bayes estimator  $\hat{\theta}_b$ :

$$\hat{\theta}_b \propto f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)$$

For our experiment that means

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_b &\propto \frac{e^{-4\theta} \theta^4}{2} \theta^{-1/2} \\ \hat{\theta}_b &\propto e^{-4\theta} \theta^{3.5} \end{aligned}$$

We can recognize Gamma distribution with parameters  $\alpha = 4.5$  and  $\beta = 4$ :

$$\hat{\theta}_b \propto \mathcal{Ga}(4.5, 4)$$

The mean of Gamma is  $\alpha/\beta$ , so that our Bayes estimator becomes:

$$\hat{\theta}_b = \frac{4.5}{4} = 1.125$$

b). We start with the lower bound  $L$ :

$$\int_{-\infty}^L \pi(\theta|x) d\theta = \alpha/2$$

We can substitute the integral with the Gamma cdf:

$$F_X(L) = \alpha/2$$

We solve for  $L$  numerically using the Brent solver implemented via `scipy root_scalar` function.

$$F_X(L) - 0.05/2 = 0; L \approx 0.3375$$

Let's turn to the upper bound  $U$ :

$$\int_{-\infty}^U \pi(\theta|x) d\theta = 1 - \alpha/2$$

Alternatively

$$F_X(U) = 1 - \alpha/2$$

Solving for  $U$  gives us:

$$F_X(U) - 1 + 0.05/2 = 0; U \approx 2.3778$$

Resulting in the credible set  $[0.3375, 2.3778]$  of length  $l = 2.0403$ .

c). In order to find HPD credible set we are essentially optimizing for the shortest credible set. Let's translate the problem into the "optimization language" and solve it with scipy *optimize* package:

We minimize the function  $f(L, U)$ :

$$f(L, U) = U - L$$

Subject to constraints:

$$U > L$$

$$F_X(U) - F_X(L) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

After providing an initial guess  $L = 0$  and  $U = 3$  and solving with scipy we obtain the HPD credible set  $[0.23782339, 2.17403328]$ . The length of the HPD set is  $l \approx 1.9362$ .

d). To find the MAP estimator we need to calculate the mode of the posterior. We calculated previously

$$\pi(\theta|x) \propto e^{-4\theta} \theta^{3.5}$$

The mode of  $\pi(\theta|x)$  is a point where the posterior peaks. To find the mode we therefore need to calculate  $\argmax_{\theta} \pi(\theta|x)$ , essentially solving

$$\argmax_{\theta} e^{-4\theta} \theta^{3.5}$$

Let's run the scipy minimize procedure:

$$\hat{\theta}_{MAP} \approx 0.874999997$$

Now we check  $\hat{\theta}_{MAP}$  with the analytical value – mode of the  $\mathcal{Ga}(4.5, 4)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mode} &= \frac{\alpha - 1}{\beta} \\ \text{mode} &= \frac{4.5 - 1}{4} = 3.5/4 = 0.875 \end{aligned}$$

We see that, indeed,

$$\hat{\theta}_{MAP} = 0.875$$

e). Let's start with  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= \int_{\Theta_0} \pi(\theta|x) d\theta = \mathbb{P}^{\theta|x}(H_0) \\ p_1 &= \int_{\Theta_1} \pi(\theta|x) d\theta = \mathbb{P}^{\theta|x}(H_1) \end{aligned}$$

We use posterior  $\mathcal{Ga}(4.5, 4)$  cdf to calculate  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ :

$$p_1 = F_X(1.0) \approx 0.46585$$

$$p_0 = 1 - p_1; p_0 \approx 0.53415$$

So, based on the posterior (and the fact that our Jeffreys' prior is non-informative) we would prefer hypothesis  $H_0$ .

Note. The script for solving Q1 is implemented in *hw3.py*, function *solve\_q1()* (included in the zip archive). To run the code just run *'python hw3.py'*.

**Problem 2**

a). Let's start with posterior probabilities  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ . We know that

$$p_0 = P(H_0|X) = \left[ 1 + \frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} \cdot \frac{m_1(x)}{f(x|0.5)} \right]^{-1}$$

$$f(x|p) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$$

$$m_1(x) = \int_{0.5}^1 f(x|p) 2dp$$

Substituting with our values we get

$$f(x|0.5) = \binom{16}{15} 0.5^{15} 0.5^1 = \binom{16}{15} 0.5^{16} \approx 0.00024414$$

$$m_1(x) = \int_{0.5}^1 \binom{16}{15} p^{15} (1-p)^1 2dp = 32 \int_{0.5}^1 p^{15} (1-p)^1 dp = 32 \int_{0.5}^1 (p^{15} - p^{16}) dp$$

$$m_1(x) = 32 \times \left. \frac{p^{16}}{16} - \frac{p^{17}}{17} \right|_{0.5}^1 = 32 \times \left( \left( \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{17} \right) - \left( \frac{0.5^{16}}{16} - \frac{0.5^{17}}{17} \right) \right) \approx 0.1176309$$

$$p_0 = \left[ 1 + \frac{0.05}{0.95} \cdot \frac{0.1176309}{0.00024414} \right]^{-1} \approx 0.037938$$

$$p_1 = 1 - p_0$$

$$p_1 \approx 1 - 0.037938 \approx 0.962062$$

We now calculate the Bayes factors:

$$B_{01} = \frac{f(x|0.5)}{m_1(x)}$$

$$B_{01} \approx \frac{0.00024414}{0.1176309} \approx 0.0020755$$

$$B_{10} = \frac{1}{B_{01}}$$

$$B_{10} = \frac{1}{0.0020755} \approx 481.816$$

b). Let's calibrate the BF for  $H_1$ :  $\log_{10} B_{10} \approx 2.68288$ . According to the Jeffreys' scale we have decisive evidence against  $H_0$  ( $\log_{10} B_{10}(x) > 2$ ).

Note. The script for solving Q2 is implemented in *hw3.py*, function *solve\_q2()* (included in the zip archive). To run the code just run *'python hw3.py'*.

## References

- [1] Engineering Biostatistics: An Introduction using MATLAB and WinBUGS. Brani Vidakovic - Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics.