



Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

Cyfrin.io

April 22, 2024

PassWordStore Report

QV

April 22, 2023

Prepared by: QV Lead Auditors: - xxxxxxxx

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - [H-1] State variable is stored on-chain - the password is not private
 - [H-2] Access control - anyone can set the password
 - Informational

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a smart contract applicatoin for storing a password. Users should be able to store a password and then retrieve it later. Others should not be able to access the password.

Disclaimer

The YOUR_NAME_HERE team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

Commit Hash:

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

Scope

In Scope:

```
1 ./src/  
2 *-- PasswordStore.sol
```

- Solc Version: 0.8.18
- Chain(s) to deploy contract to: Ethereum

Roles

Executive Summary

Issues found

Sev erityity	# of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

Findings

High

[H-1] State variable is stored on-chain - the password is not private

Description: All on-chain data can be read by anyone, the `PasswordStore::s_password` variable is intended to be private to the owner and can only accessed through the `PasswordStore::getPassword` function, which is only called by the contract's owner.

We show on such method of reading any data off chain below. **Impact:** Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality fo the protocol

Proof of Concept:

See the test case below

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploye
```

3. Run the storage tool

Access the 1 slot on the storage that associate with `s_password` state variable

```
1 cast parse-bytes32-string <CONTRACT_ADDRESS> 1 --rpc-url http://
    127.0.0.1:8545
```

You will get the result looks like this: 0x6d7950617373776f726400000000000000000000000000000000

Then you can parse that hex to string value and get the out put `myPassword`

[illegible]

Recommended Mitigation: Review the system design.

[H-2] Access control - anyone can set the password

Description: `PasswordStore:: setPassword` is set as an `external` function which leads to access control issue. However, according to the documentation `This function allows only the owner to set a new password`

```
1 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
2     if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
3         revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
4     }
5     return s_password;
6 }
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change the password of the contract which break the intended function of the contract.

Proof of Concept: Added the following to the `PasswordStore.t.sol` test file

```
1 function test_anyone_can_set_password (address randomAddress)
2     public {
3         vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
4         vm.prank(randomAddress);
5         string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassWord";
6         passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
7         vm.prank(owner);
8         string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
9         assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10    }
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add access control condition to the `setPassword` function

```
1 if(msg.sender != s_owner){  
2     revert PasswordStore_NotOwner();  
3 }
```

Informational

Description: The `PasswordStore::getPassword` function does not require a parameter, contrary to what the natspec documentation states. It incorrectly indicates that the function should accept a parameter `newPassword`.

Impact: The natspec documentation is misleading and may cause confusion for developers implementing or interacting with the contract.

Proof of Concept: The discrepancy between the natspec documentation and the actual function signature can be observed directly in the source code.

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line specifying `@param newPassword` from the documentation to align it with the actual function signature.

“diff - @param newPassword The new password to set.