Assignment 1

Quinn Perfetto, 104026025 60-454 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

January 27, 2017

Question 1 (i). We want to prove that when Algorithm Summation terminates its execution,

$$y = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j$$

Proof. We shall apply induction to prove that after the mth iteration of the for loop the following invariant holds true:

$$y = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{n-j} x^{n-i-j}$$

(Induction basis) First, we note that y is initialized to 0 in Line 1. When m = 1 and i = n in Line 3,

$$y = a_n + x * y$$

$$= a_n + x * 0$$

$$= a_n$$

$$= a_n x^0$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{0} a_{n-j} x^{n-i-j}$$

(Induction Hypothesis) Suppose for iteration m-1 < n, $y = \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} a_{n-j} x^{n-i-j-1}$ (Induction Step) When Line 3 is executed for the mth time,

$$y = a_{n-i} + x \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} a_{n-j} x^{n-i-j-1}$$

$$= a_{n-i} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} a_{n-j} x^{n-i-j}$$

$$= a_{n-i} x^0 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} a_{n-j} x^{n-i-j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{n-j} x^{n-i-j}$$
(Induction Hypothesis)
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{n-j} x^{n-i-j}$$

Therefore we can conclude that the invariant $y = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{n-j} x^{n-i-j}$ holds $\forall m > 0$.

On the n+1th iteration (where i=0) we then have,

$$y = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{n-j} x^{n-j}$$

$$= a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x^1 + a_0 x^0$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j$$
(By reversing the order of the terms)

Question 1 (ii).

Key Operation: Multiplication of real numbers.

Input size: n (The size of the input array)

The Summation algorithm must perform the key operation on each of the n elements of the input array.

- 1. Worst-case Time Complexity: T(n) = n
- 2. Average-case Time Complexity: $T_{ave}(n) = n$

Question 3. I assert that the claim is false, and will provide a counter example such that $f \in O(g) \land h(f) \notin O(h(g))$.

Proof. Let

$$f(n) = n^{2},$$

$$g(n) = n^{3},$$

$$h(n) = \frac{1}{n}$$

Lemma I. $f \in O(g)$, using Theorem 0.2

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^2}{n^3}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}$$

$$= 0 (\geq 0)$$
 (Theorem 0.2)

Given the defintion of f and h we can see that $h(f(n)) = \frac{1}{n^2}$.

Given the defintion of g and h we can see that $h(g(n)) = \frac{1}{n^3}$.

All that remains is to prove that $\frac{1}{n^2} \notin O(\frac{1}{n^3})$. Using Theorem 0.2,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{n^2}}{\frac{1}{n^3}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^3}{n^2}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} n$$

$$= \infty (\notin \mathbb{R}^+)$$

Hence combining Lemma I with the above proof we have created a counter example such that,

$$f \in O(g) \wedge h(f) \not\in O(h(g))$$

Question 4. I assert that the following function ordering respects the relationship g1 = o(g2), g2 = o(g3), ..., g7 = o(g8).

$$10^{100}$$
, weirdsum, $lg(n)$, $10^{lglg(n)}$, $2^{\sqrt{2lg(n)}}$, 4^{lgn} , n^{lgn} , 2^n

A series of proofs follow to confirm this ordering,

Proof.
$$n^{lgn} = o(2^n)$$

Given that $n^k = o(2^n), \forall k > 0$ was proven on Page 48 of Chapter 0, and $lgn > 0, \forall n > 1$ we have $n^{lgn} = o(2^n)$.

Proof. $4^{lgn} = o(n^{lgn})$

It can been seen that $4^{lgn} = n^{lg(4)} = n^2$. Using Theorem 0.2,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^2}{n^{lgn}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{n^2}{n^2}}{\frac{n^{\log n}}{n^2}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^{logn-2}}$$

$$=0$$

Therefore $4^{lgn} = o(n^{lgn})$.

Proof. $2^{\sqrt{2lgn}} \in o(4^{lgn})$

It can be seen that $4^{lgn} = (2^2)^{lgn} = 2^{2lgn}$. Let if we let x represent 2lgn and apply Theorem 0.2 we have,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2^{\sqrt{x}}}{2^x}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{2^{\sqrt{x}}}{2^{\sqrt{x}}}}{\frac{2^{x}}{2^{\sqrt{x}}}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{x - \sqrt{x}}}$$

$$= 0$$

Therefore $2^{\sqrt{2lgn}} = o(4^{lgn})$.

Question 5 (a). $T(n) = 9T(\frac{n}{3}) + n^2 lg(n) + 2n$

Proof. Using the general formula for recurrences we note that,

$$a = 9, b = 3, f(n) = n^2 lg(n) + 2n$$

Lemma I. $f(n) \in \theta(n^2 lg(n))$, using Theorem 0.2

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n^2lg(n)+2n}{n^2lg(n)}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{n^2 lg(n) + 2n}{n^2}}{\frac{n^2 lg(n)}{n^2}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{lg(n) + \frac{2}{n}}{lg(n)}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{lg(n)}{lg(n)}$$

$$=1(>0)$$

By Lemma I we have $f(n) \in \theta(n^2 lg(n)) = \theta(n^{log_b a} log^k n)$ where $k = 1 (\geq 0)$. Therefore using Case 2 of the general recurrence forumla we have,

$$T(n) \in \theta(n^2 l g^2 n)$$

Question 5 (b). $T(n) = 3T(\frac{n}{3}) + \sqrt{n}$

Proof. Using the general formula for recurrences we note that,

$$a = 3, b = 3, f(n) = \sqrt{n}$$

Lemma 1. $f(n) \in O(n^{log_32})$

$$\frac{1}{2} \le log_3 2$$

$$\sqrt{n} \le n^{log_3 2} \qquad (n \ge 0)$$

Therefore for c = 1, and $n_0 = 0$ we have,

$$\sqrt{n} <= c n^{\log_3 2}, \forall n_0 > 0$$

Hence $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_3 2})$.

By Lemma I we have $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a - \epsilon})$ where $\epsilon = 1 > 0$. Therefore using Case 1 of the general recurrence forumla we have,

$$T(n) \in \theta(n^{log_33}) = \theta(n)$$

Question 5 (c). $T(n) = 8T(\frac{n}{4}) + n^2 l g^2 n$

Proof. Using the general formula for recurrences we note that,

$$a = 8, b = 4, f(n) = n^2 l g^2 n$$

Lemma I. $f(n) \in \Omega(n^{log_49})$

$$log_49 \le 2$$

$$n^{log_49} \le n^2$$

$$\le n^2 lg^2 n \qquad (n \ge 2)$$

Therefore for c = 1 and $n_0 = 2$ we have,

$$n^2 l g^2 n \ge c n^{log_4 9}$$

Hence $f(n) \in \Omega(n^{\log_4 9})$.

By Lemma I we have $f(n) \in \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$ where $\epsilon = 1$. Moreover, for sufficiently large n,

$$af(\frac{n}{b}1$$