Divisione fra Contee In questo notebook si analizza se possa essere sensato separare il dataset di lavoro a seconda di una delle tre contee di appartenza (feature regionidcounty). In [1]: # Libraries import pandas as pd import numpy as np import re import warnings import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import matplotlib.patches as mpatches fromsklearn.clusterimportKMeansfromsklearn.metricsimportaccuracy_scorefromsklearn.metricsimportplot_confusion_matrix from sklearn.cluster from sklearn.decomposition import PCA warnings.filterwarnings('ignore') Lettura dei dati In [2]: # local file paths dir name = 'preparazione' fp xtrain = dir name + "/X train.csv" fp ytrain = dir_name + "/y_train.csv" fp_xval = dir_name + "/X_val.csv"
fp_yval = dir_name + "/y_val.csv"
fp_xtest = dir_name + "/X_test.csv" fp_ytest = dir_name + "/y_test.csv" Lettura dei dati preprocessati: In [3]: # Reading dataframes X_train = pd.read_csv(fp_xtrain, low_memory=False) y_train = pd.read_csv(fp_ytrain, low_memory=False) X_val = pd.read_csv(fp_xval, low_memory=False) y_val = pd.read_csv(fp_yval, low_memory=False) X_test = pd.read_csv(fp_xtest, low_memory=False) y_test = pd.read_csv(fp_ytest, low_memory=False) In [4]: # Removes from the given dataframe the column with the given name def remove column(df, col names): df.drop(col names, axis=1, inplace=True) return df In [5]: for X in [X_train, X_val, X_test]: X = remove column(X, ['parcelid']) In [6]: # Prints all dataframes shape def dimensionality(y=False): print(f'X train { X train.shape}') print(f'X_test { X_test.shape}') print(f'y train { y train.shape}') print(f'y_val { y_val.shape}') print(f'y_test { y_test.shape}') In [7]: dimensionality(y=True) X train (99709, 72) X val (33572, 72) X test (33567, 72) y train (99709, 1) y val (33572, 1) y test (33567, 1) In [8]: print(*X train.columns, sep='\n') bathroomcnt bedroomcnt buildingqualitytypeid calculatedbathnbr calculatedfinishedsquarefeet finishedsquarefeet12 fireplacecnt latitude longitude lotsizesquarefeet rawcensustractandblock regionidcity regionidzip roomcnt unitcnt yearbuilt structuretaxvaluedollarcnt taxvaluedollarcnt landtaxvaluedollarcnt taxamount int transactiondate period mean price neighborhood mean price living area prop tax ratio tax prop buildingqualitytypeid na flag unitcnt na flag assessmentyear 2015.0 fips 6037.0 fips_6059.0 fips 6111.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 1.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 2.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 6.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 7.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 10.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 11.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 12.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 13.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 18.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 20.0 heatingorsystemtypeid 24.0 poolcnt 1.0 propertycountylandusecode 0100 propertycountylandusecode 0101 propertycountylandusecode 010C propertycountylandusecode 122 propertycountylandusecode 34 propertycountylandusecode rare propertylandusetypeid 31.0 propertylandusetypeid 246.0 propertylandusetypeid 247.0 propertylandusetypeid 248.0 propertylandusetypeid 260.0 propertylandusetypeid 261.0 propertylandusetypeid 263.0 propertylandusetypeid 264.0 propertylandusetypeid 265.0 propertylandusetypeid 266.0 propertylandusetypeid 267.0 propertylandusetypeid 269.0 propertylandusetypeid 275.0 propertyzoningdesc LAR1 propertyzoningdesc LAR3 propertyzoningdesc LARD1.5 propertyzoningdesc LARS propertyzoningdesc LBR1N propertyzoningdesc rare regionidcounty_1286.0 regionidcounty_2061.0 regionidcounty_3101.0 Colonne che discriminino la contea: In [9]: regionids = ['regionidcounty_1286.0', 'regionidcounty_2061.0', 'regionidcounty_3101.0'] region_names = np.array(['A', 'B', 'C']) region_ids = np.array(['1286', '2061', '3101']) Clustering Verifica se un algoritmo di **clustering** sia in grado di individuare le differenti contee. Per farlo lavoro esclusivamente sul dataset di train, costruendo un nuova dataset: • X', ossia il dataset X_train senza le tre colonne regioid_county • y', che sfrutta un label encoding con etichette 0, 1 e 2 a seconda della contea di appartenenza In [10]: # Returns a new dataframe X without region infos, which are returned in y vector in 0, 1, 2 encoding def get X y(X): $X_{\underline{}} = X.copy()$ $y_ = X_.loc[:, regionids]$ y_.loc[:,['region']] =\ y_.loc[:,regionids[0]] * 0 +\ y_.loc[:,regionids[1]] * 1 +\ y_.loc[:,regionids[2]] * 2 X_ = remove_column(X_, regionids) y_ = remove_column(y_, regionids) y_ = y_.values.ravel().astype(np.int64) return X_, y_ k-means Utilizzo di un algoritmo di clustering k-means. In [11]: def kmeans clustering(X): kmeans = KMeans(n clusters=3).fit(X) print(kmeans.labels) return kmeans, kmeans.labels In [12]: # Returns the accuracy score given actual values and predictions def accuracy(y_true, y_pred, verbose = False): acc = accuracy_score(y_true, y_pred) if verbose: print(y_pred [:35]) print(y_true [:35]) print('Accuracy: ', acc) return acc In [13]: X clu, y true = get X y(X train) In [14]: _, y_pred = kmeans_clustering(X_clu) [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0] In [15]: ', y_true[:35],) print('True print('Predicted', y_pred[:35],) Sembra che l'algoritmo abbia individuato un cluster molto grande rispetto agli altri. In [16]: _, y_pred = kmeans_clustering(X_clu) [1 1 1 ... 1 1 1] In [17]: for i in range(3): print(f'Occorrenze Regione{region names[i]} : {np.bincount(y true)[i]}') print() for i in range(3): print(f'Occorrenze Cluster{i} : {np.bincount(y pred)[i]}') Occorrenze RegioneA: 26819 Occorrenze RegioneB: 8119 Occorrenze RegioneC: 64771 Occorrenze Cluster0 : 7662 Occorrenze Cluster1: 91784 Occorrenze Cluster2: 263 Quasi tutte le instanze infatti sono nel cluster 1, le proporzioni tra cluster non sono quelle attese. L'algoritmo trova un cluster molto grande. Per indagarne meglio il fenomeno, è attuata una riduzione dimensionale usando la Principal Componenet Analysis per ottenere un'informazione bidimensionale capace fornire una visualizzazione sintetica. **Principal Componenet Analysis** In [18]: X_pca , $y_pca = get_X_y(X_train)$ In [19]: X train.shape (99709, 72)Out[19]: In [20]: X pca.shape (99709, 69) Out[20]: In [21]: y pca.shape (99709,) Out[21]: Riduco X a due dimensioni. In [22]: X pca 2 = PCA(n components=2).fit transform(X pca)In [23]: X_pca_2.shape (99709, 2) Out[23]: Sfrutto la bidimensionalità per ottenere una rappresentazione grafica. In [24]: def plot regions(X, y, title="", file name=''): plt.rcParams.update({'font.size': 20}) fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(10,6))colors = np.array([(1., .1, .1, .3),(.1, 1., .1, .3),# scatter is ismilar to plot, additionally a color per point is provided scatter = ax.scatter(X[:,0],X[:,1], c=colors[y], label=region_ids[y]) handles = []for c,l in zip(colors, region ids): handles.append(mpatches.Patch(color=c, label=1)) plt.legend(handles=handles) ax.set_xlabel("1st Component") ax.set ylabel("2nd Component") ax.set title(title, fontsize=20) ax.grid(linestyle=':', linewidth=.5) if file name != '': fig.savefig('images/' + file_name + '.jpg') In [25]: plot regions(X pca 2, y pca, title="PCA", file name='regioni') PCA 1e6 1286 2061 1.0 3101 2nd Component 0.5 0.0 -0.52 5 0 1 3 6 1e7 1st Component Le contee sembrano distribuirsi in regioni continue nello spazio. Indago con un dataset bisimensionale il comportamento di k-means sfruttando la rappresentazione grafica. In [26]: est, y pred = kmeans clustering(X pca 2) [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0] In [27]: ', y_true[:35],) print('True print('Predicted', y_pred[:35],) $[0\ 2\ 2\ 0\ 2\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 2\ 0\ 0\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 0\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 0\ 2\ 2\ 0\ 2\ 2\ 0\ 2\ 2\ 2]$ In [28]: plot_regions(X_pca_2, y_pred, title="K-Means", file_name='k_means') K-Means 1e6 1286 2061 1.0 3101 2nd Component 0.5 0.0 -0.52 5 1 3 6 0 1st Component 1e7 Il clustering k_means non individua in maniera precisa i tre cluster, poiché ha il limite di individuare specialmente cluster circolari, differenti da questo particolare caso. Un algoritmo di clustering come **DBSCAN** non risulterebbe vantaggioso: l'algoritmo individuerebbe alcuni outlier e non sarebbe in grado di separare le contee; questo perché DBSCAN si basa sulla distanza euclidea e le contee non sono ben distanziate nello spazio, DBSCAN non sarebbe in grado di separarli. Algoritmo di classificazione Impiego di un algoritmo di classificazione dividendo il dataset di Train a in Train e Test con rapporto 2:1 In [29]: half = int(len(X pca)*2/3)Studio sia il dataset completo che quello ridotto con la PCA In [30]: X class train = X pca [:half] X class train 2 = X pca 2[:half] y class train = y_pca [:half] X class test = X pca [half:] X class test 2 = X pca 2[half:] y class test = y pca [half:] In [31]: def classifier(X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test): rfc = RandomForestClassifier(n estimators=100, n_jobs=-1) rfc.fit(X train, y train) y_pred = rfc.predict(X test) acc = accuracy_score(y_pred, y_test) print(f'Accuracy: {acc}') plot_confusion_matrix(rfc, X_test, y_test) Usando il dataset con PCA: In [32]: classifier(X class train 2, y class train, X class test 2, y class test) Accuracy: 0.9306796642296236 20000 7917 0 994 0 15000 **True label** 0 2692 13 10000 5000 1285 12 2e + 04Predicted label Il classificatore ha una buona accuracy Usando il dataset originale: In [33]: # X con le feature originali classifier(X_class_train, y_class_train, X_class_test, y_class_test) Accuracy: 1.0 20000 8911 0 0 15000 **True label** 2705 0 0 10000 5000 0 0 21621 2 2 0 1 Predicted label La classificazione ha la massima precisione. Forse nella PCA sono stati inevitabilmente compressi dei dati, che però nelle feature originali portano in maniera silente informazione delle contea (ad esempio propertycountylandusecode, propertyzoningdesc o propertylandusetypeid potrebbero avere dei codici specifici della contea; oppure latitude e longitude potrebbero riuscire a separare geograficamente le tre contea). Provo la classificazione non considerando esplicitamente colonne legate alla contea. In [34]: def classifier_remove_col(X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test, rem_col): X tr = X train.copy() X te = X test.copy() X_tr = remove_column(X tr, rem col) X te = remove column(X te, rem col) classifier(X_tr, y_train, X_te, y_test) In [35]: prop lti = list(filter(re.compile("^propertylandusetypeid .*\$").match, list(X train.columns))) prop lti ['propertylandusetypeid_31.0', Out[35]: 'propertylandusetypeid_246.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_247.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_248.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_260.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_261.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_263.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_264.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_265.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_266.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_267.0', 'propertylandusetypeid 269.0', 'propertylandusetypeid 275.0'] In [36]: prop_clc = list(filter(re.compile("^propertycountylandusecode_.*\$").match, list(X_train.columns))) prop_clc ['propertycountylandusecode 0100', Out[36]: 'propertycountylandusecode 0101', 'propertycountylandusecode 010C' 'propertycountylandusecode_122', 'propertycountylandusecode_34', 'propertycountylandusecode rare'] In [37]: prop_zid = list(filter(re.compile("^propertyzoningdesc_.*\$").match, list(X_train.columns))) prop_zid Out[37]: ['propertyzoningdesc_LAR1', 'propertyzoningdesc LAR3', 'propertyzoningdesc LARD1.5', 'propertyzoningdesc LARS', 'propertyzoningdesc LBR1N', 'propertyzoningdesc_rare'] In [38]: regions = ['regionidcity', 'regionidzip', 'rawcensustractandblock', 'latitude', 'longitude'] ['regionidcity', Out[38]: 'regionidzip', 'rawcensustractandblock', 'latitude', 'longitude'] In [39]: fips = list(filter(re.compile("^fips .*\$").match, list(X train.columns))) ['fips_6037.0', 'fips_6059.0', 'fips_6111.0'] Out[39]: In [40]: region_about = prop_lti + prop_clc + prop_zid +regions + fips region_about ['propertylandusetypeid_31.0', Out[40]: 'propertylandusetypeid_246.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_247.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_248.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_260.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_261.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_263.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_264.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_265.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_266.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_267.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_269.0', 'propertylandusetypeid_275.0', 'propertycountylandusecode_0100', 'propertycountylandusecode 0101', 'propertycountylandusecode 010C', 'propertycountylandusecode_122', 'propertycountylandusecode 34', 'propertycountylandusecode_rare', 'propertyzoningdesc_LAR1', 'propertyzoningdesc LAR3', 'propertyzoningdesc_LARD1.5', 'propertyzoningdesc LARS', 'propertyzoningdesc_LBR1N', 'propertyzoningdesc_rare', 'regionidcity', 'regionidzip', 'rawcensustractandblock', 'latitude', 'longitude', 'fips_6037.0', 'fips_6059.0', 'fips_6111.0'] In [41]: $\verb|classifier_remove_col(X_class_train, y_class_train, X_class_test, y_class_test, region_about)| \\$ Accuracy: 0.9923278274212474 20000 8840 True label 15000 160 2538 10000 5000 21604 17 0 2 0 1 2 Predicted label La classificazione ha comunque una precisione molto alta. Questo significa che pur rimuovendo le colonne esplicitamente collegate alla contea di appartenenza è comunque possibile individuare un pattern nei dati specifico di una certa contea. **Split** Divisoine del Dataset per del suo regionidcounty. In [42]: # Returns the X, y dataframes splitted throught counties def split regionid(X, y): A = X.loc[:, regionids[0]] == 1B = X.loc[:, regionids[1]] == 1C = X.loc[:, regionids[2]] == 1return X[A], X[B], X[C], y[A], y[B], y[C] In [43]: X_trainA, X_trainB, X_trainC, y_trainA, y_trainB, y_trainC = split_regionid(X_train, y_train) X_valC, y_valA, y_valB, y_valC = split_regionid(X_val, y_val) X_valA, X_valB, X_{testA} , X_{testB} , X_{testC} , Y_{testA} , Y_{testB} , Y_{testC} = $Split_{\text{regionid}}(X_{\text{test}})$ Mantengo i dataframe in un array. In [44]: X_train = np.array([X_trainA, X_trainB, X_trainC], dtype=object) X_val = np.array([X_valA, X_valB, X_valC], dtype=object) X_test = np.array([X_testA, X_testB, X_testC], dtype=object) y_train = np.array([y_trainA, y_trainB, y_trainC], dtype=object) y_val = np.array([y_valA, y_valB, y_valC], dtype=object) y_test = np.array([y_testA, y_testB, y_testC], dtype=object) In [45]: for X in [X_train, X_val, X_test]: for x in X: x = remove_column(x, regionids) In [46]: def dimensionality(y=False): for i in range(3): print(f'X_train{region_names[i]}: {X_train[i].shape}') print(f'X_val{region_names[i]}: {X_val [i].shape}') print(f'X_test{region_names[i]}: {X_test [i].shape}') if y: print(f'y_train{region_names[i]}: {y_train[i].shape}') print(f'y_val{region_names[i]}: {y_val [i].shape}') print(f'y_test{region_names[i]}: {y_test [i].shape}') print() In [47]: dimensionality(y=True) X_trainA: (26819, 69) X valA: (9006, 69) X_testA: (9085, 69) y trainA: (26819, 1) y_valA: (9006, 1) y_testA: (9085, 1) X_trainB: (8119, 69) X_valB: (2658, 69) X_testB: (2606, 69) y_trainB: (8119, 1) y_valB: (2658, 1) y_testB: (2606, 1) X_trainC: (64771, 69) X valC: (21908, 69) X_testC: (21876, 69) y_trainC: (64771, 1) y_valC: (21908, 1) y_testC: (21876, 1) Le proprozioni fra contea sono circa 3:1:8. Salvataggio dei dati Salvataggio dei dati in una dataset apposito con già lo split per contea. In [48]: dir_name = 'contea' for i in range(3): X_train[i].to_csv(dir_name + f'/X_train{region_names[i]}.csv', index=False) X_val [i].to_csv(dir_name + f'/X_val{ region_names[i]}.csv', index=False) X_tal [i].to_csv(dir_name + f'/X_test{ region_names[i]}.csv', index=False)
X_test [i].to_csv(dir_name + f'/X_test{ region_names[i]}.csv', index=False)
y_train[i].to_csv(dir_name + f'/y_train{region_names[i]}.csv', index=False)
y_test [i].to_csv(dir_name + f'/y_test{ region_names[i]}.csv', index=False)
y_test [i].to_csv(dir_name + f'/y_test{ region_names[i]}.csv', index=False)