XY quality assurance + potential energy shift

Paul Filip

XY quality assurance

- Python script for automatic testing
 - Unit tests for XY run information
 - Replaces plot_scripts code
 - One application (= easy to use)
 - Hard (TBD) selection criteria

```
~/xv-calibration -
 -(22:17:19 on main * *)-> ./run Check.py 12825
test1 board temperature ( main .XYTest)
Check temperature stability of the Diode and LED ... ok
test2 diode stability ( main .XYTest)
Check diode noise level and drift ... ok
test3 positions and isotropy ( main .XYTest)
Check positions, and isotropy of FD camera ... ok
test4 cal a stability ( main .XYTest)
Check stability of FD camera before/after XY run ... ok
test6 compare xy to std ( main .XYTest)
Compare XY constants to std. Calib. ... ok
Plot saved to check 12825.pdf
Ran 5 tests in 5.434s
  ~/xy-calibration -
                                                                                  filip debian:pts/1 -
  (22:18:18 on main • ★)-->
                                                                                          — (Di.Nov12)
```

GAP-2024-NNN

Quality assurance for XY Scanner calibration measurements

Paul Filip^a



^aIAP, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology MIT, Germany, ^bASCR, Czech Academy of Sciences SEFU, Czech Republic, ^cIASF, National Institute for Astrophysics → Italy, ^don site, Pierre Auger Observatory D, Argentina

November 2024


Abstract


The XY Scanner [0] offers a new method of calibrating the Fluorescence Detector (FD) cameras. It has been shown that the systematic uncertainty of pixel calibration constants can be minimized to almost half (from 9% to 4.4%) by using a smaller light source over the standard (Drum) calibration. We examine the data from past XY Scanner measurement runs, and propose test statistics as well as quality cuts based on which the usability of future XY Scanner shall be evaluated.

Keywords: Fluorescence, Detector, FD, XY, Scanner, Quality, Assurance, Calibration

Unit tests - defining limits

- Log distribution of all test statistics over all XY runs with:
 - OLO sphere, LED up, 6 cm stepsize, d=10 cm, full aperture






13/11/2024 paul.filip@kit.edu 3/11

Unit tests - defining limits


- Log distribution of all test statistics over all XY runs with:
 - OLO sphere, LED up, 6 cm stepsize, d=10 cm, full aperture




13/11/2024 paul.filip@kit.edu 4/11

Unit tests - defining limits


- Log distribution of all test statistics over all XY runs with:
 - OLO sphere, LED up, 6 cm stepsize, d=10 cm, full aperture






13/11/2024 paul.filip@kit.edu 5/11


Given roughly by Cal XY ratio (corr.) vs. Cal A ratio





- Average +25% change!
- HE/CO have large spread
- Mean driven by outliers


 Differs from Christophs results by few percent




















Backup