A Framework for Coupled Multi-physics Simulations \*\*\*Jeff: some charming title?? emphasizing "it can cover various kinds of applications in different requirement, main components can be replaced by other similar softwares, etc."

Soon-Heum Ko<sup>1,2</sup>, Nayong Kim<sup>2</sup>, Shantenu Jha<sup>3,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Supercomputing Centre, Linöping University, Linöping, Sweden

<sup>2</sup>Center for Computation & Technology, Louisiana State University, USA

<sup>3</sup>Dept. of Elec. and Comp. Eng., Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

### **Abstract**

we design and develop a multi-physics framework for coupled simulations in which scientific components (codes) are logically separated. Designed framework provides the interface between scientific components, (compilation system for system architectures,) a runtime environment for scheduling of coupled tasks, and data management/code versioning support. The framework is built by developing the data exchange interface between coupled codes, (providing the wrapping script to users' Makefiles,) adopting a BigJob abstraction, and using the PetaShare service. We apply this framework into the hybrid computational fluid dynamics - particle dynamics application to demonstrate its capability.

### I. Introduction and Motivation

"Coupled scientific simulations" will refer to the scientific research procedure which involves the data exchange between different components (either in parallel or in tandem). In both cases, whether scientific tools are continuously exchanging the information during a single run or individual tools iteratively give the feedback to their counterparts, scheduling the overall procedure and providing the data stream under the distributed computing infrastructure is a headache to domain scientists. It motivates the development of a framework for coupled multicomponent applications, which provides the following capabilities: (1) the framework provides the data interface between users' tools, (2) embedded softwares should be adaptive to users' implementations in diverse formulations, and (3) they should be removable/replaceable by users' preferences.

Two types of formulations can be present on a coupled multi-component framework. One is to modularize all components and bind into a single executable, and the other is to make multiple standalone softwares and provide the interface between individual executable. The former is good for scheduling on most batch queue system and effectively using allocated resources, while some restrictions on data structure or standard grammar may apply on users' codes. Also, the binary can be heavier to contain unused or non-optimized functions for specific targets. The other gives much freedom in writing the individual component but can cause computational headache in scheduling components in the remote production system. We consider that binding into the single binary is recommendable if all software packages are tightly coupled together for a single task or they share most memory allocations. It is preferred to provide the interface and the scheduling function if those packages are sequentially loaded or they have different code structures.

A runtime environment for a coupled multi-physics application [1] has been developed previously. In this work, two coupled yet logically distributed scientific softwares have been effectively scheduled under the single batch queue allocation, by the use of a BigJob [2] with the load balancing capability incorporated. It demonstrated that a Pilot-job formulation can ease the scheduling of a coupled application whose components are hardly packaged into a single binary. However this runtime environment lacks the reusability because it only provides the scheduling functionality between already-coupled distributed application codes

In this work, we design and develop a coupled multicomponent framework. Along with the runtime environment between logically separated components, we also provide the standard interface between these components and take care of the data management/versioning. The structure of a coupled simulation framework is introduced in Sec. II. Specific softwares implemented in this framework are described in Sec. III. A coupled multi-physics application and an experiment-computation integrated research procedure are presented in Sec. IV. Recommendation for future work and conclusions are presented in Sec. V and Sec. VI.

# II. Design of a Coupled Simulation Framework

## A. Requirements

Multiple components in a coupled application is hard to be packaged into a single binary if (1) each component uses very different computational kernels or (2) a manual procedure is involved in one of components. A hybrid computational fluid dynamics (CFD) - molecular dynamics (MD) simulation is an example whose data structures are completely different so that it is fairly hard to incorporate into a single binary. Another situation is occasionally observed when there is an iterative feedback between a numerical simulation and the experimental measurement. Most probably, the physical experiment involves the human labor of changing specimen/mockup after the numerical investigation, which cannot be digitalized.

A coupled multi-component simulation framework should be capable of scheduling the overall procedure (workflow) and a coupled simulation between multiple tasks (runtime environment). The workflow shall run software components according to the pre-described schedule, which includes scientific softwares and middleware packages. A runtime environment takes the role of running coupled multiple softwares in parallel, in the form of a virtually single executable under the batch queue system.

The functionality to handle the data transfer/exchange provides more convenience for performing the coupled simulation and building coupled software packages. Automated data transferring eases the successive feedback between distributed tools, and also provides the basiclevel versioning service of source codes into the remote computing machines. The coupling interface can take care of the synchronous communication between concurrently running softwares.

### B. Design

- Library form (lighter), none-resource usage - Distribution, data sharing between local development system and production system + make system for compiling in different architectures - Co-scheduling and load balancing

# III. Implementation

- A. Interface between Coupled Tasks
- **B.** Compilation System
- C. Runtime Environment
- D. Data Management and Archiving
- IV. Numerical Experiments
- V. Further Achievements
- VI. Conclusions

# Acknowledgment

### References

- [1] S.-H. Ko, N. Kim, J. Kim, A. Thota, and S. Jha. Efficient runtime environment for coupled multi-physics simulations: Dynamic resource allocation and load-balancing. In The 10th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and
- Grid Computing (CCGrid 2010), 2010.
  [2] A. Luckow, S. Jha, J. Kim, A. Merzky, and B. Schnor. Adaptive distributed replica-exchange simulations. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Crossing Boundaries: Computational Science, E-Science and Global E-Infrastructure Proceedings of the UK e-Science All Hands *Meeting 2008*, 367:2595–2606, 2009. [3] Wikipedia.