Prediction Assignment Writeup

Libraries

```
library("caret")
library("rpart")
library("tree")
library("randomForest")
```

Load Data

Tidy the Data

```
Remove variables which have an excess number of NA values.
> nacounts <- colSums(is.na(train))
> table(nacounts)
nacounts
    0 19216 19217 19218 19220 19221 19225 19226 19227 19248 19293 19294
   60
          67
                  1
                         1
                                1
                                      4
                                              1
                                                     4
                                                            2
                                                                                 1
19296 19299 19300 19301 19622
    2
                  4
                         2
                                6
> training<- train[nacounts == 0]
> dim(training)
[1] 19622
             60
    extraneous<-c('X',
                        'user_name',
                                        'raw_timestamp_part_1',
                                                                   'raw_timestamp_part_2',
'cvtd timestamp', 'new window', 'num window')
> training_ext <- training[, -which(names(training) %in% extraneous)]
> dim(training_ext)
[1] 19622
             53
```

Explore and Preprocess Data

```
Check low variance variables.
> near_ZV= nearZeroVar(training_ext[sapply(training_ext, is.numeric)], saveMetrics = TRUE)
> train_nzv = training_ext[,near_ZV[, 'nzv']==0]
> dim(train nzv)
[1] 19622
             53
Remove variables with high correlation
> cor_matrix <- cor(na.omit(train_nzv[sapply(train_nzv, is.numeric)]))
> remove_corr<-findCorrelation(cor_matrix, cutoff = .90, verbose = TRUE)
Compare row 10 and column 1 with corr 0.992
  Means: 0.27 vs 0.168 so flagging column 10
Compare row 1 and column 9 with corr 0.925
  Means: 0.25 vs 0.164 so flagging column 1
Compare row 9 and column 4 with corr 0.928
  Means: 0.233 vs 0.161 so flagging column 9
Compare row 8 and column 2 with corr 0.966
  Means: 0.245 vs 0.157 so flagging column 8
Compare row 19 and column 18 with corr 0.918
  Means: 0.091 vs 0.158 so flagging column 18
Compare row 46 and column 31 with corr 0.914
  Means: 0.101 vs 0.161 so flagging column 31
Compare row 46 and column 33 with corr 0.933
  Means: 0.083 vs 0.164 so flagging column 33
All correlations <= 0.9
> training_corr<-train_nzv[,-remove_corr]
> dim(training corr)
[1] 19622
             46
```

Cross Validation

```
> training_ranForest = randomForest(classe~.,data=training,ntree=100, importance=TRUE) > training_ranForest
```

Call:

randomForest(formula = classe ~ ., data = training, ntree = 100, importance = TRUE)

Type of random forest: classification

Number of trees: 100

No. of variables tried at each split: 6

OOB estimate of error rate: 0.6%

Confusion matrix:

	Α	В	С	D	E class.error
A 3	901	4	0	0	1 0.001280082
В	14	2637	7	0	0 0.007900677
С	1	13	2379	3	0 0.007095159
D	0	0	31	2219	2 0.014653641
Ε	0	0	1	6	2518 0.002772277

- > tree_predict = predict(training_ranForest,testing,type="class")
- > pred_matrix = with(testing,table(tree_predict,classe))
- > sum(diag(pred_matrix))/sum(as.vector(pred_matrix))

[1] 0.9937128

conclusion

> predict <- predict(training_ranForest, test)

> predict

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B

Levels: A B C D E

Inserting this data in the Quiz, it provides a 100% scoring