

{discord}: An R Package for Discordant-Kinship Regressions

Jonathan D. Trattner¹ and S. Mason Garrison²

¹ Department of Neuroscience, Wake Forest School of Medicine ² Department of Psychology, Wake Forest University

DOI:

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Submitted:

Published:

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC-BY](#)).

Summary

As a field, (human) behavior – or behavioral – genetics explores individual differences in psychological traits and characteristics that arise from genetic and environmental factors (Burt, Plaisance, & Hambrick, 2019). Although considered the “gold standard” for inferring causation (Rubin, 2008), conducting randomized experiments to tease apart individual traits from differences in genes and environment are not always possible. For both ethical and practical considerations, behavior geneticists often use quasi-experimental designs which control for potential confounds using a variety of statistical approaches (S. Mason Garrison & Joseph Lee Rodgers, 2017).

Here, we present software that optimizes quasi-experimental designs using kinship modeling. It facilitates discordant-kinship regressions by comparing kin, such as siblings, in a manner that accounts for gene-and-environmental confounds when examining causal links in the realm of ‘nature vs. nurture.’

Statement of Need

Kin-comparison designs distinguish “within-family variance” from “between-family variance” (Gary Chamberlain & Zvi Griliches, 1975). The former is a measure of how family members differ from one another; the latter reflects sources that make family members similar to one another but distinct from other families (S. Mason Garrison & Joseph Lee Rodgers, 2017). By partitioning these sources of variance, behavioral geneticists may greatly reduce confounds when testing causal hypotheses (Lahey & D’Onofrio, 2010). Our R package, {discord}, has highly customizable, efficient code for generating genetically-informed simulations and provides user-friendly functions to help researchers use sibling-based quasi-experimental designs.

{discord} integrates seamlessly with the NlsyLinks R package, which provides kinship links for the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth – a cross-generational, nationally representative survey of over 30,000 participants for up to 35 years (Beasley et al., 2016). It has been used in multiple studies (cite, Mason, cite!).

Mathematics

To facilitate kinship comparisons, {discord} implements a modified reciprocal standard dyad model (Kenny, Kashy, & Cook, 2006) known as the discordant-kinship model. Consider the simplified case where a behavioral outcome, Y , is predicted by one variable, X .

The discordant-kinship model relates the difference in the outcome, $Y_{i\Delta}$, for the i th kinship pair, where \bar{Y}_i is the mean level of the outcome, \bar{X}_i is the mean level of the predictor, and $X_{i\Delta}$ is the between-kin difference in the predictor.

$$Y_{i\Delta} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \bar{Y}_i + \beta_2 \bar{X}_i + \beta_3 X_{i\Delta} + \epsilon_i$$

This model partitions variance in line with the above discussion to support causal inference. Specifically, the within-family variance is described by $Y_{i\Delta}$ and $X_{i\Delta}$; between-family variance is captured by \bar{Y} and \bar{X} (S. Mason Garrison & Joseph Lee Rodgers, 2017).

A non-significant association between $Y_{i\Delta}$ and $X_{i\Delta}$ suggests that the variables are not causally related and may have arisen from genetic covariance or shared-environmental factors. In contrast, a significant association may provide support for a causal relationship between variables depending on the relatedness of each kin pair. That is, the discordant-kinship model is applicable for any set of kin: monozygotic twins who share 100% of their DNA; full-siblings who share 50%; half-siblings who share 25%; cousins who share 12.5%; etc. Thus, a significant relationship found with monozygotic twins would provide stronger support for a causal claim than the same relationship between cousins.

Following (S. Mason Garrison & Joseph Lee Rodgers, 2017), we recommend interpreting significant associations as *not disproving a causal relationship*. Although this design controls for much (sibling) if not all (monozygotic twins) background heterogeneity, it is possible that a significant relationship between a phenotype and plausible covariates is possible due to non-shared environmental influences.

The next section illustrates two examples of discordant-kinship regressions with the `{discord}` package.

Vaccine willingness and socioeconomic status

Introduction

The following analysis is a pared-down version of previous work presented at the Behavior Genetics Association 50th Annual Meeting (Jonathan Trattner, Kennon Later, & S. Mason Garrison, 2020). The original project was inspired by reports detailing health disparities amongst ethnic minorities during the COVID-19 pandemic (Hooper, Nápoles, & Pérez-Stable, 2020). These were often attributed to differences in socioeconomic status (SES), pre-existing health conditions, and COVID-19 symptom severity (Ssentongo, Ssentongo, Heilbrunn, Ba, & Chinchilli, 2020; Yang, Gui, & Xiong, 2020). In line with the field of behavior genetics, any intervention to address these disparities must explicitly account for known gene-and-environmental confounds (Garrison & Rodgers, 2019; Williams et al., 2020).

In the original work, we aimed to identify the relationship between SES and vaccination willingness using a quasi-experimental design. Data came from the 1979 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLSY79), a nationally representative household probability sample sponsored by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and Department of Defense. Participants were surveyed annually from 1979-1994 at which point surveys occurred biennially. The data set is publicly available at <https://www.nlsinfo.org/> and include responses from a biennial flu vaccine survey administered between 2006-2016. Our work originally examined whether SES at age 40 is a significant predictor for vaccination rates using the discordant-kinship model.

As described in (Garrison & Rodgers, 2019), SES was quantified using methodology from (Myrianthopoulos & French, 1968). Individuals were given a mean quantile score based

on their net family income, years of education, and occupation prestige. Missing data was imputed from nonmissing components, and higher scores correspond to higher SES.

The data for this analysis was downloaded with the [NLS Investigator](#) and can be found [here](#). The SES at age 40 data can be found [here](#). For clarity, and to emphasize the functionality of `{discord}`, the data has been pre-processed using [this script](#). This discordant-kinship analysis is possible thanks to recent work that estimated relatedness for approximately 95% of the NLSY79 kin pairs (Rodgers et al., 2016). These kinship links are included in the `{NlsyLinks}` R package (Beasley et al., 2016) and are easily utilized with the `{discord}` package.

Data Cleaning

For this example, we will load the following packages.

```
# For easy data manipulation
library(dplyr)
# For kinship linkages
library(NlsyLinks)
# For discordant-kinship regression
library(discord)
# To clean data frame names
library(janitor)
```

After some pre-processing, we have a data frame containing subject identifiers, demographic information such as race and sex, and behavioral measurements like flu vaccination rates and SES at age 40. A random selection of this looks like:

CASEID	RACE	SEX	FLU_total	S00_H40
66	0	1	1	82.29587
249	0	0	2	70.38245
392	0	1	5	46.40793
153	0	0	4	29.21463
469	1	1	2	64.73034
230	0	1	1	59.89383

Using the kinship relationships included in the `{NlsyLinks}` package, we can create a data frame that lends itself to behavior-genetic analysis. For each kin pair, the function `CreatePairLinksSingleEntered()` takes a data set like the one above, a specification of the NLSY database and the kin's relatedness, and the variables of interest. It returns a data frame where every row is a kin-pair and each column is a variable of interest with a suffix indicating to which individual the value corresponds.

For this example, we will examine the relationship between flu vaccinations received between 2006-2016 and SES at age 40 between full siblings. As such, we specify the following variables from the pre-processed data frame previewed above.

```
# Get kinship links for individuals with the following variables:
link_vars <- c("FLU_total", "FLU_2008", "FLU_2010",
               "FLU_2012", "FLU_2014", "FLU_2016",
               "S00_H40", "RACE", "SEX")
```

We now link the subjects by the specified variables using `CreatePairLinksSingleEntered()`.

```
# Specify NLSY database and kin relatedness
link_pairs <- Links79PairExpanded %>%
  filter(RelationshipPath == "Gen1Housemates" & RFull == 0.5)

df_link <- CreatePairLinksSingleEntered(outcomeDataset = flu_ses_data,
  linksPairDataset = link_pairs,
  outcomeNames = link_vars)
```

We have saved this data frame as `df_link`. A random subset of this is:

ExtendedID	SubjectTag_S1	SubjectTag_S2	FLU_total_S1	FLU_total_S2	S00_H40_S1	S00_H40_S2
145	14500	14600	0	0	22.62898	39.42792
925	92500	92600	0	3	70.05964	84.92103
338	33800	34000	1	4	58.23253	33.19903
300	30100	30400	3	1	40.87702	54.49603
1280	128000	128100	1	5	24.66743	68.38706
713	71300	71400	0	0	20.57432	53.11870

Notice that, with the exception of the first column indicating the specific pair, each column name has the suffix “_S1” and “_S2.” As mentioned above, these indicate to which sibling the column values correspond.

This data is almost ready for analysis, but we want to ensure that the data are representative of actual trends. The `FLU_total` column is simply a sum of the biennial survey responses. So for a given sibling-pair, one or both individuals may not have responded to the survey indicating their vaccination status. If that’s the case, we want to exclude those siblings to reduce non-response bias. We can do this by examining the biennial responses and removing any rows that have NA.

```
# Take the linked data, group by the sibling pairs and
# count the number of responses for flu each year. If there is an NA,
# then data is missing for one of the years, and we omit it.
consistent_kin <- df_link %>%
  group_by(SubjectTag_S1, SubjectTag_S2) %>%
  count(FLU_2008_S1, FLU_2010_S1,
    FLU_2012_S1, FLU_2014_S1,
    FLU_2016_S1, FLU_2008_S2,
    FLU_2010_S2, FLU_2012_S2,
    FLU_2014_S2, FLU_2016_S2) %>%
  na.omit()

# Create the flu_modeling_data object with only consistent responders.
# Clean the column names with the {janitor} package.
flu_modeling_data <- semi_join(df_link,
  consistent_kin,
  by = c("SubjectTag_S1",
    "SubjectTag_S2")) %>%
  clean_names()
```

To be extra safe in our analysis, we specify that the sibling-pairs should be from unique households (i.e. remove households with more than one sibling-pair).

```
flu_modeling_data <- flu_modeling_data %>%
  group_by(extended_id) %>%
  slice_sample() %>%
  ungroup()
```

The data we will use for modeling now contains meta-information for each kin pair, including sex and race of each individual, flu vaccination status for the biennial survey between 2006-2016, and a total flu vaccination count for that period. The total vaccination count ranges from 0 - 5, where 0 indicates that the individual did not get a vaccine in any year between 2006-2016 and 5 indicates that an individual got at least 5 vaccines between 2006-2016. Though our data set has individual years, we are only interested in the total. A subset of the data to use in this regression looks like:

extended_id	subject_tag_s1	subject_tag_s2	flu_total_s1	flu_total_s2	race_s1	race_s2	sex_s1	sex_s2	s00_h40_s1	s00_h40_s2
17	1700	1800	0	0	0	0	1	1	49.26537	74.92440
29	2900	3000	2	0	0	0	0	0	56.80481	32.05423
37	3700	3800	1	5	0	0	0	0	58.55547	50.45408
40	4000	4100	2	0	0	0	1	1	78.19220	73.41860
58	5800	5900	5	0	0	0	0	1	80.56835	49.68414
61	6100	6200	3	4	0	0	0	0	74.43720	50.56920
67	6700	6800	4	4	0	0	1	0	89.67767	82.68649
74	7500	7600	0	0	0	0	0	1	88.15524	61.54234
83	8300	8400	0	3	1	1	1	1	46.41507	64.12765
85	8500	8600	0	0	1	1	1	0	40.12748	45.06552

Modeling and Interpretation

To perform the regression using the `{discord}` package, we supply the data frame and specify the outcome and predictors. It also requires a kinship pair id, `extended_id` in our case, as well as pair identifiers – the column name suffixes that identify to which kin a column’s values correspond (“_s1” and “_s2” in our case).¹ Optional, though recommended, are columns containing sex and race information to control for as additional covariates. In our case, these columns are prefixed “race” and “sex.” Per the [pre-processing script](#), these columns contain dummy variables where the default race is non-Black, non-Hispanic and the default sex is female.

By entering this information into the `discord_regression()` function, we can run the model as such:

```
# Setting a seed for reproducibility
set.seed(18)
flu_model_output <- discord_regression(
  data = flu_modeling_data,
  outcome = "flu_total",
  predictors = "s00_h40",
  id = "extended_id",
  sex = "sex",
  race = "race",
  pair_identifiers = c("_s1", "_s2")
)
```

The default output of `discord_regression()` is a tidy data frame containing the model metrics – courtesy of the `{broom}` package (Robinson, Hayes, & Couch, 2021). In this example, our results are as follows:

Looking at this output, the intercept can be thought of as the average difference in outcomes between siblings, ignoring all other variables. That is, it looks like the average difference for two sisters of a non-minority ethnic background (the default sex and race values) is approximately 1.4. The term `flu_total_mean` is essentially an extra component of the intercept that captures some non-linear trends and allows the difference score to change as a function of the average predictors. Here, this is the mean socioeconomic

¹Note these were previously “_S1” and “_S2,” however, we used the `clean_names()` function which coerced the column names to lowercase.

Term	Estimate	Standard Error	T Statistic	P Value
(Intercept)	1.434	0.193	7.424	p<0.001
flu_total_mean	0.201	0.034	5.995	p<0.001
s00_h40_diff	0.006	0.002	3.109	p=0.002
s00_h40_mean	0.002	0.003	0.697	p=0.486
sex_1	-0.073	0.098	-0.745	p=0.456
race_1	-0.073	0.103	-0.708	p=0.479
sex_2	0.030	0.098	0.303	p=0.762

status for the siblings, `s00_h40_mean`. we also accounted for sex and race, neither of which have a statistically significant effect on the differences in flu vaccine shots between siblings (different families) or within a sibling pair (same family).

The most important metric from the output, though, is the difference score, `s00_h40_diff`. Here, it is statistically significant. An interpretation of this might be, “the difference in socioeconomic status between siblings at age 40 is positively associated with the difference in the number of flu vaccinations received between 2006-2016.” This means that a sibling with 10% higher SES is expected to have 0.0635072 more flu shots.

The goal of performing a discordant-kinship regression is to see whether there is a significant difference in some behavioral measure while controlling for as much gene-and-environmental variance as possible. In this section, we walked-through an analysis showing a statistically significant difference in the number of flu shots a sibling received and their socioeconomic status. From this, we *could not* claim the relationship is causal. However, we cannot eliminate causality because there are statistically significant within- and between-family differences in our predictors and outcomes.

Conclusion

In its current implementation, the `{discord}` package encourages best practices for performing discordant-kinship regressions. For example, the main function has the default expectation that sex and race indicators will be supplied. These measures are both important covariates when testing for causality between familial background and psychological characteristics.

This, and other design choices, are crucial to facilitating transparent and reproducible results. Software ever-evolves, however, and to further support reproducible research we plan to provide improved documentation and allow for easier inspection of the underlying model implementation and results.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge contributions from Cermet Ream, Joe Rodgers, and support from Lucy D’Agostino McGowan on this project.

References

Beasley, W., Rodgers, J., Bard, D., Hunter, M., Garrison, S. M., & Meredith, and K. (2016). *NlsyLinks: Utilities and kinship information for research with the NLSY*.

- Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=NlsyLinks>
- Burt, S. A., Plaisance, K. S., & Hambrick, D. Z. (2019). Understanding “What Could Be”: A Call for ‘Experimental Behavioral Genetics’. *Behavior Genetics*, 49(2), 235–243. doi:[10.1007/s10519-018-9918-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10519-018-9918-y)
- Garrison, S. M., & Rodgers, J. L. (2019). Decomposing the causes of the socioeconomic status-health gradient with biometrical modeling. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 116(6), 1030–1047. doi:[10.1037/pspp0000226](https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000226)
- Gary Chamberlain, & Zvi Griliches. (1975). Unobservables with a Variance-Components Structure: Ability, Schooling, and the Economic Success of Brothers. *International Economic Review*, 16(2), 422–449.
- Hooper, M. W., Nápoles, A. M., & Pérez-Stable, E. J. (2020). COVID-19 and Racial/Ethnic Disparities. *JAMA*. doi:[10.1001/jama.2020.8598](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.8598)
- Jonathan Trattner, Kennon Later, & S. Mason Garrison. (2020). Vaccine willingness and socioeconomic status: a biometrically controlled design. *Behavior Genetics*, Behavior Genetics Association 50th Annual Meeting Abstracts, 50(6), 483–483. doi:[10.1007/s10519-020-10018-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10519-020-10018-8)
- Kenny, D. A., Kashy, D. A., & Cook, W. L. (2006). *Dyadic data analysis*. Dyadic data analysis. New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
- Lahey, B. B., & D’Onofrio, B. M. (2010). All in the Family: Comparing Siblings to Test Causal Hypotheses Regarding Environmental Influences on Behavior. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 19(5), 319–323. doi:[10.1177/0963721410383977](https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721410383977)
- Myrianthopoulos, N. C., & French, K. S. (1968). An application of the U.S. Bureau of the Census socioeconomic index to a large, diversified patient population. *Social Science and Medicine*, 2(3), 283–299. doi:[10.1016/0037-7856\(68\)90004-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-7856(68)90004-8)
- Robinson, D., Hayes, A., & Couch, S. (2021). *Broom: Convert statistical objects into tidy tibbles*. Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=broom>
- Rodgers, J. L., Beasley, W. H., Bard, D. E., Meredith, K. M., Hunter, M. D., Johnson, A. B., Buster, M., et al. (2016). The NLSY kinship links: Using the NLSY79 and NLSY-children data to conduct genetically-informed and family-oriented research. *Behavior genetics*, 46(4), 538–551. doi:[10.1007/s10519-016-9785-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10519-016-9785-3)
- Rubin, D. B. (2008). For objective causal inference, design trumps analysis. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 2(3), 808–840. doi:[10.1214/08-AOAS187](https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AOAS187)
- S. Mason Garrison, & Joseph Lee Rodgers. (2017, September 28). *Using genetically-informed designs to test causal claims without experiments: Discordant-sibling designs and applications for differential psychology*.
- Ssentongo, P., Ssentongo, A. E., Heilbrunn, E. S., Ba, D. M., & Chinchilli, V. M. (2020). The association of cardiovascular disease and other pre-existing comorbidities with COVID-19 mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *medRxiv*, 2020.05.10.20097253. doi:[10.1101/2020.05.10.20097253](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.10.20097253)
- Williams, F. M., Freydin, M., Mangino, M., Couvreur, S., Visconti, A., Bowyer, R. C., Roy, C. I. L., et al. (2020). Self-reported symptoms of covid-19 including symptoms most predictive of SARS-CoV-2 infection, are heritable. *medRxiv*, 2020.04.22.20072124. doi:[10.1101/2020.04.22.20072124](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.22.20072124)
- Yang, R., Gui, X., & Xiong, Y. (2020). Comparison of Clinical Characteristics of Patients with Asymptomatic vs Symptomatic Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(5), e2010182–e2010182. doi:[10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.10182](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.10182)