Quarterly Report on the R&D Project: Governance Consensus Dashboard

By Nwobi Onyeka & Guillermo Lucero

Overview

Since the core contributors voted in favor of developing the proposal, much water has passed under the bridge, and the program has faced challenges and made many changes to the quarterly budget consensus process. This has resulted in the proposal having to incorporate these insights and feedback into its development process and to incorporate these elements in a judicious and orderly manner.

The proposal has since evolved from a consensus tool with a specific objective, a quarterly budget consensus process, to a comprehensive governance tool. The New Year holidays period has delayed us in some aspects and we will have to make an effort to be able to fulfill the commitments.

The methodology will be to work on a beta, deploy and keep adding attributes and functionalities to the tool so we gain feedback from community members as we start using it in the upcoming Q2 decision making process.

This report covers proposal progress, using insights from past governance talks and this quarter's experimental system. The new tiered setup sets budget caps for each guild and workgroup, replacing the long Consent Round. These details help refine the research report and plan an automated consensus dashboard. The new system aims to turn talks into real collaboration, solving issues from the old Consent Round. This report sets the stage for research, development, and an automated governance tool. Next steps focus on refining, collecting data, and ensuring smooth integration to improve governance for all.

Key Developments

- 1. Experimental Governance System Implementation
 - a. **Tier System:** Allocated budgets based on predefined tiers, offering a proportional distribution of resources.
 - b. **Budget Caps:** Ensured that each guild and workgroup operated within a defined financial framework, fostering better planning and alignment. Budget limit cap increase function.
- 2. Outcomes Compared to the Previous Consent Round System
 - a. Previous System Challenges:
 - Persistent discussions on budget concerns caused delays in decision-making and timelines.
 - Negativity among core contributors stemmed from concerns.

Program-wide budget cuts and AGIX depreciation hindered efficiency.

b. Experimental System Benefits:

- Streamlined processes: The consent round post-implementation was faster and more precise.
- Enhanced positivity and collaboration: Core contributors exhibited better morale due to predictable resource allocation.
- Timely deliverables: Reduced delays enabled workgroups to stay on track with their goals.
- Integrate R&D Opeartion System and @CC voting feature
- Include NEW IDEAS budget allocation process.

Next Steps for the Governance Consensus Dashboard

1. Automation of Processes

- a. Integrate automated decision-making tools to simplify the governance consensus process.
- b. Enable dynamic resource allocation updates based on real-time feedback from stakeholders.

2. Constructive Feedback Mechanisms

- a. Develop features to facilitate constructive feedback, steering clear of criticism that could hamper progress.
- b. Provide insights to guide improvement rather than highlighting shortcomings.

3. Integration with Existing Projects

- a. **Soul Bound Tokens Legacy System:** Reward contributors based on their historical contributions in governance with soul-bound tokens and NFTs.
- b. **WEB3 Contributors Dashboard:** Leverage contribution data to inform contributors on their Governance reputation score.

4. Development team and schedule

- a. The proposal is to put together a team to execute the blueprint. We have been evaluating the capabilities and skills within the guild members and we will evaluate the different options for the next steps.
- b. Call2 action, inviting members of the guild and community to participate in the second phase of the development. In this way we will try to reinforce teamwork and efficiency in the use of resources and results.