#### Notation

- $\Omega$ , espace of different scenarios.
- $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ , value of portfolio.
- $\mathcal{X} = \{X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} : \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} |X(\omega)| < \infty\}$ ., All the bounded portfolio.
- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , espace proba,  $\mathcal{X} = L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

### 1 Ch1 Monetary Risk Measure

**Definition 1.1** (measure of monetary risk).

```
1
2
3 1.
4
5 2.
6
7 3.
```

**Proposition 1.2** (Property of Lipschitz).

```
1 %
2 %
```

**Definition 1.3** (axiomatisation of measure of risk).

```
1 1. convex:
2
3
4 2. positively homogeneous:
5
6
7 3. consistent:
```

**Remark 1.4.** convex shows the benefits of risk diversity. if  $\rho$  is convex, then  $\forall X \in \mathcal{X}, u \in \mathbb{R} \to \rho(uX)$  is convex.

Question 1.5. Justify the following two measures of risk:

```
1. \rho(X) = \mathbb{E}[-X]
```

2. 
$$\alpha > 0, \rho(X) = \mathbb{E}[-X] + \alpha \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}$$

**Definition 1.6** (value at Risk (VaR)). A reasonable suggestion of  $\rho$ :

Question 1.7. Justify the property/axiom of VaR.

- 1. VaR is monotone
- 2. VaR is inv cash
- 3. VaR is positively homogeneous
- 4. VaR isn't convex

**Example 1.8.**  $\mathcal{L}$ : set of proba measures over  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , let  $\gamma : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{R}$ , s.t.  $\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{L}} \gamma(Q) = 0$ , define  $\rho(X) = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{E}_Q[-X] + \gamma(Q)$ .

Question 1.9. Justify the example is a convex measure of monetary risk.

**Definition 1.10** (acceptable set of financial positions).  $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  is the acceptable set of financial positions if:

```
1 1.
2 3 2.
```

### **Proposition 1.11.** ( $\rho$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\rho}$ )

- 1. if  $\rho$  is a measure of monetary risk, then,  $\mathcal{A}_{\rho} = \{X \in \mathcal{X}, \rho(X) \leq 0\}$  is the acceptable set of financial positions.
- 2. if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a.s.f.p. define  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} = \inf\{m \in \mathbb{R}, m + X \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , then  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the mesure of monetary risk.
  - 3.  $\rho = \rho_{\mathcal{A}_{\rho}}$ .
  - 4.  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 \iff \mathcal{A}_{\rho_1} = \mathcal{A}_{\rho_2}$
  - 5.  $\rho$  is convexe  $\iff \mathcal{A}_{\rho}$  is convex.
  - 6.  $\rho$  is positively homogeneous  $\iff \mathcal{A}_{\rho}$  is cone.

**Definition 1.12.** (Quantiles)

- 1.  $q_X^-(\lambda) =$
- 2.  $q_X^+(\lambda) =$

**Definition 1.13.** (AVaR)

```
1 % 2 %
```

Question 1.14. Justify the AVaR has another name CVaR.

**Proposition 1.15.** if X is integrable,  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  et q quantile of order  $\lambda$  of X. Then,

$$AVaR_{\lambda}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[(q - X)^{+}] - q$$

.

**Theorem 1.16.** let  $\lambda \in (0,1]$ , AVaR is a consistent monetary rik measure that admits the following representation:  $\text{AVaR}_{\lambda}(X) = \max_{\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[-X]$ .

## 2 Ch2 Convex Optimisation

### 2.1 Topology

**Definition 2.1** (Topology). Soit  $\mathcal{X}$  a set,  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  is a topology over  $\mathcal{X}$  if:

```
1 1.
2
3 2.
4
5 3.
```

#### Remark 2.2. (some definitions)

- Weak topology:
- Generated by a family:
- Haustoff espace:
- Basis of a topology:
- Local convex:

**Definition 2.3.** (some definitions related to functions)

- Compact:
- Neighbor:
- Converge:
- Continous application:

**Definition 2.4.** (Hahn-Banach Theorem)

#### **Definition 2.5.** (Lower Semicontinuity/s.c.i in french)

```
1 %
2 %
3 %
4 %
5 %
```

**Proposition 2.6.** •  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  s.c.i.  $x_n \to x$ , then,  $f(x) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} f(x_n)$ .

• if f is s.c.i. then,  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} f_{\theta}$  is s.c.i.

#### 2.2 Convex

#### Definition 2.7.

```
    1. Epigraph, Epi(f):
    2
    3. Effective domain, dom(f):
    4
    5. 3. Proper convex function
```

**Lemma 2.8.** if  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is s.c.i. then Epi(f) is closed.

**Theorem 2.9.**  $f: \mathcal{X} \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  is proper convex s.c.i. function, then

$$f(x) = \sup_{x' \in \mathcal{X}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.} f(\tilde{x}) \ge <\tilde{x}, x > -\alpha, \forall \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}} < x, x' > -\alpha$$

#### **Definition 2.10** (Transform of Fenchel Legendre).

**Theorem 2.11.** if  $f: \mathcal{X} \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  is proper convex s.c.i. function,  $f^{**} = f$ .

**Example 2.12.**  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}' = \mathbb{R}, \langle x, x' \rangle = xx', \text{ find } f^* \text{ for } f(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}, f(x) = ax.$ 

### 2.3 Espace dual $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'$

Example 2.13 (Espace  $L^q, L^p$ ).

```
1 %
2 %
3 %
4 %
5 %
```

**Theorem 2.14** (Riesz theorem for  $L^q, L^p$ ).

```
1 %
2 %
3 %
4 %
5 %
```

→ 可以定义内积 → 满足 Fenchel-Legnedre 变换的假设 (写出来)

```
1 %
2 %
3 %
4 %
```

Example 2.15 (Espace  $L^{\infty}, L^1$ ).

What's the problem?

Definition 2.16 (weak topology).

 $\rightarrow$  consequence?

### 2.4 Duality

To be continued studying...(video)

# 3 Representation of risk measures