



Hierarchical spatial modelling for applied population and community ecology

Jeffrey W. Doser, Marc Kéry,
Gesa von Hirschheydt

24-27 June 2024





Spatial multi-species N-mixture models

Jeffrey W. Doser
24-27 June 2024



Multi-species N-mixture models

- Many forms of transect surveys or point count surveys collect data on multiple species.
- Given the similarities in data collection protocols with occupancy models, we can extend the multi-species occupancy model to an N-mixture framework.

Biodivers Conserv (2012) 21:1365–1380
DOI 10.1007/s10531-012-0244-z

ORIGINAL PAPER

Biodiversity of man-made open habitats in an underused country: a class of multispecies abundance models for count data

Yuichi Yamaura • J. Andrew Royle • Naoaki Shimada •
Seigo Asanuma • Tamotsu Sato • Hisatomo Taki • Shun'ichi Makino

Journal of Applied Ecology



Journal of Applied Ecology 2011, **48**, 67–75

doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01922.x

Modelling community dynamics based on species-level abundance models from detection/nondetection data

Yuichi Yamaura^{1*†}, J. Andrew Royle², Kouji Kuboi^{3†}, Tsuneo Tada³, Susumu Ikeno⁴ and Shun'ichi Makino¹

Multi-species count data from multiple visits

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, l \text{ species}$$
 $j = 1, 2, \dots, J$ sites $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ visits

..., I species

..., J sites

..., K visits

Visit 1

Species	Site 1	Site 2	
A	2	1	
B	0	1	
C	3	1	
D	1	1	
E	0	2	
F	0	0	

Visit 2

Species	Site 1	Site 2
A	0	NA
B	1	NA
C	2	NA
D	1	NA
E	0	NA
F	1	NA

Visit 3

Species	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4
A	2	1	0	NA
B	1	0	2	NA
C	4	2	0	NA
D	3	0	0	NA
E	1	1	2	NA
F	0	1	0	NA

Three types of multi-species N-mixture models in spAbundance

1. Multi-species N-mixture models (`msNMix`)
 - Species are modelled hierarchically (as random effects)
 - No residual species correlations

Three types of multi-species N-mixture models in spAbundance

1. Multi-species N-mixture models ($msNMix$)
 - Species are modelled hierarchically (as random effects)
 - No residual species correlations
2. Latent factor multi-species N-mixture models ($lfMsNMix$)
 - Species are modelled hierarchically (as random effects)
 - Residual species correlations

Three types of multi-species N-mixture models in spAbundance

1. Multi-species N-mixture models ($msNMix$)
 - Species are modelled hierarchically (as random effects)
 - No residual species correlations
2. Latent factor multi-species N-mixture models ($lfMsNMix$)
 - Species are modelled hierarchically (as random effects)
 - Residual species correlations
3. Spatial factor multi-species N-mixture models ($sfMsNMix$)
 - Species are modelled hierarchically (as random effects)
 - Residual species correlations
 - Spatial autocorrelation

Why aren't there spatial multi-species models without species correlations in `spAbundance`?

Why aren't there spatial multi-species models without species correlations in `spAbundance`?

- Recall in `spOccupancy` there were two types of spatial multi-species occupancy models: `spMsPGOcc()` and `sfMsPGOcc()`.

Why aren't there spatial multi-species models without species correlations in `spAbundance`?

- Recall in `spOccupancy` there were two types of spatial multi-species occupancy models: `spMsPGOcc()` and `sfMsPGOcc()`.
- `spMsPGOcc()` fits a separate spatial random effect for each species.

Why aren't there spatial multi-species models without species correlations in `spAbundance`?

- Recall in `spOccupancy` there were two types of spatial multi-species occupancy models: `spMsPGLocc()` and `sfMsPGLocc()`.
- `spMsPGLocc()` fits a separate spatial random effect for each species.
- Because algorithms are less efficient for count data than binary data, such an approach becomes very slow for count data models.

Why aren't there spatial multi-species models without species correlations in `spAbundance`?

- Recall in `spOccupancy` there were two types of spatial multi-species occupancy models: `spMsPGOcc()` and `sfMsPGOcc()`.
- `spMsPGOcc()` fits a separate spatial random effect for each species.
- Because algorithms are less efficient for count data than binary data, such an approach becomes very slow for count data models.
- Further, simulations in Doser, Finley, Banerjee (2023) show the spatial factor occupancy model performs equally well as `spMsPGOcc()` even when there aren't residual species correlations.

Multi-species N-mixture models (msNMix)

$$N_{i,j} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_{i,j})$$

$$\log(\mu_{i,j}) = \beta_{1,i} + \beta_{2,i} \cdot X_{2,j} + \cdots + \beta_{r,i} \cdot X_{r,j}$$

$$\beta_{1,i} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\beta,1}, \tau_{\beta,1}^2)$$

$$y_{i,j,k} \sim \text{Binomial}(N_{i,j}, p_{i,j,k})$$

$$\text{logit}(p_{i,j,k}) = \alpha_{1,i} + \alpha_{2,i} \cdot V_{2,j,k} + \cdots + \alpha_{d,i} \cdot V_{d,j,k}$$

$$\alpha_{1,i} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\alpha,1}, \tau_{\alpha,1}^2)$$

- Species-level effects are modelled as random intercepts/slopes from a community-level distribution
- Often yields improved precision due to "borrowing strength", particularly for rare species

Latent factor multi-species N-mixture models (1fMsNMix)

$$N_{i,j} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_{i,j})$$

$$\log(\mu_{i,j}) = \beta_{1,i} + \beta_{2,i} \cdot X_{2,j} + \cdots + \beta_{r,i} \cdot X_{r,j} + w_{i,j}^*$$

$$\beta_{1,i} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\beta,1}, \tau_{\beta,1}^2)$$

$$w_{i,j}^* = \lambda_{i,1} \cdot w_{1,j} + \lambda_{i,2} \cdot w_{2,j} + \cdots + \lambda_{i,q} \cdot w_{q,j}$$

$$w_{q,j} \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$$

$$y_{i,j,k} \sim \text{Binomial}(N_{i,j}, p_{i,j,k})$$

$$\text{logit}(p_{i,j,k}) = \alpha_{1,i} + \alpha_{2,i} \cdot V_{2,j,k} + \cdots + \alpha_{d,i} \cdot V_{d,j,k}$$

$$\alpha_{1,i} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\alpha,1}, \tau_{\alpha,1}^2)$$

- Account for species correlations through a set of latent factors.
- Latent factors arise from a standard normal distribution.

Spatial factor multi-species N-mixture models (sfMsNMix)

$$N_{i,j} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_{i,j})$$

$$\log(\mu_{i,j}) = \beta_{1,i} + \beta_{2,i} \cdot X_{2,j} + \cdots + \beta_{r,i} \cdot X_{r,j} + w_{i,j}^*$$

$$\beta_{1,i} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\beta,1}, \tau_{\beta,1}^2)$$

$$w_{i,j}^* = \lambda_{i,1} \cdot w_{1,j} + \lambda_{i,2} \cdot w_{2,j} + \cdots + \lambda_{i,q} \cdot w_{q,j}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_q \sim \text{Multivariate Normal}(\mathbf{0}, \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(d, \phi_q))$$

$$y_{i,j,k} \sim \text{Binomial}(N_{i,j}, p_{i,j,k})$$

$$\text{logit}(p_{i,j,k}) = \alpha_{1,i} + \alpha_{2,i} \cdot V_{2,j,k} + \cdots + \alpha_{d,i} \cdot V_{d,j,k}$$

$$\alpha_{1,i} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\alpha,1}, \tau_{\alpha,1}^2)$$


- Account for species correlations through a set of spatial factors.
- Spatial factors modelled with NNGPs.

Fitting multi-species abundance N-mixture models

- All the same prior constraints and convergence guidelines from multi-species occupancy models and GLMMs apply here.
- Abundance-based joint species distribution model that accounts for imperfect detection.

Fitting overdispersed multi-species N-mixture models is tricky!

- As we discussed with single-species models, multi-species spatial N-mixture models can be very difficult to fit in practice.
- Models may need to run for hundreds of thousands of MCMC iterations, and they still may mix poorly.
- Can be very sensitive to the default initial values.
- What can we do to make this process a bit easier?
 - Fit model for a short period of time to extract initial values for a longer model run.
 - Run multiple chains of the model in parallel by running R script through the terminal (with reasonable starting values based on the previous model run)



Exercise: Estimating abundance of four foliage- gleaning birds

12b-hbef-main-sfMsNMix.R

