

GENERAL FORM OF KINETIC COLLISION INTEGRALS

In our model, we face three types of collision integrals, for each type of collision. The aggregative integrals, the restitutive integrals and the fragmentative integrals. We can solve the typical forms of each type of collision integrals in the most general form

$$\begin{aligned} I_a^{k,l,m,p,q} &= \int d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} u^k w^l e^{-Au^2 - Bw^2 + R\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}} \Theta(\lambda_a - u) \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p (\mathbf{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^q, \\ I_r^{k,l,m,p,q} &= \int d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} u^k w^l e^{-Au^2 - Bw^2 + R\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}} \Theta(u - \lambda_a) \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p (\mathbf{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^q \Theta\left[\lambda_f^2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2\right], \\ I_f^{k,l,m,p,q} &= \int d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{w} u^k w^l e^{-Au^2 - Bw^2 + R\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}} \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p (\mathbf{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^q \Theta\left[(\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2 - \lambda_f^2\right], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where k, l, m, p, q, s are integers, and $q = \{0, 1\}$. The difference in each type of integrals is in the domains of the vector \mathbf{u} . In the aggregative case, the values of u have to be less than a certain threshold λ_a , in the restitutive case, the values of u have to be larger than λ_a , but restricted by the parameter λ_f from above. Finally, in the fragmentative case, the values of u are restricted by the parameter λ_f from below.

Angular integrals

We start by first solving the inner integrals over $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$. By its physical meaning, we can call them angular integrals. Note, that q can be either 0 or 1, meaning that the corresponding term either do exist or is absent

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,q}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) &= \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p (\mathbf{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^q, \\ I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,q}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) &= \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p (\mathbf{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^q \Theta\left[\lambda_f^2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2\right], \\ I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},f}^{m,p,q}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) &= \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p (\mathbf{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^q \Theta\left[(\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2 - \lambda_f^2\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

If $q = 0$, then the angular integral is a function of only the vector \mathbf{u} , otherwise it is a function of both vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{w} .

Let us first solve the aggregative angular integrals

Aggregative angular integrals

We start with a simpler case when $q = 0$ and the angular integral is a function of only \mathbf{u}

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) = \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p. \quad (3)$$

To solve this integral, we fix the vector \mathbf{u} , and denote by θ the angle between \mathbf{u} and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$. In the spherical coordinates we have $d\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \sin\theta d\theta d\varphi$, and the integral can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) &= 2\pi u^{m+p} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin\theta \Theta(-\cos\theta) |\cos\theta|^m (\cos\theta)^p = \\ &= 2\pi u^{m+p} \int_{\pi/2}^\pi d\theta \sin\theta |\cos\theta|^m (\cos\theta)^p = \\ &= -2\pi u^{m+p} \int_{\pi/2}^\pi d(\cos\theta) |\cos\theta|^m (\cos\theta)^p, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where we have integrated out over φ to give us the 2π factor. Now, substituting $\cos\theta = z$, we write

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) = 2\pi u^{m+p} \int_{-1}^0 dz |z|^m z^p. \quad (5)$$

Since in the integration domain z is always negative, we now that $z^p < 0$ for odd values of p , and $z^p > 0$ for even values of p , hence we can write $z^p = (-1)^p |z|^p$, and

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) = 2\pi u^{m+p} \cdot (-1)^p \int_{-1}^0 dz |z|^{m+p} = -(-1)^p \cdot 2\pi u^{m+p} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} d(\cos \theta) |\cos \theta|^{m+p}. \quad (6)$$

We can see that for both odd and even values of $m+p$, the integral gives the same result, and finally we have

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) = (-1)^p \cdot \frac{2\pi u^{m+p}}{m+p+1}. \quad (7)$$

The case with $q = 1$ is trickier, since we have two arbitrary angles $\angle(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{u})$ and $\angle(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{w})$. However, we can write it as a dot product of \mathbf{w} and another vector \mathbf{F} as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) &= \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p (\mathbf{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \\ &= \mathbf{w} \cdot \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{F}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where the vector \mathbf{F} is constructed by vectors $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and \mathbf{u}

$$\mathbf{F} = \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p \hat{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (9)$$

Since it is being integrated over $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$, it cannot depend on $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$. This means that it can be oriented only along the vector \mathbf{u} , or $\mathbf{F} = f\mathbf{u}$. Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} u^2 f &= \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \\ &= \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^{p+1} = I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p+1,0}(\mathbf{u}), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

or

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = f \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{u^2} \cdot I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p+1,0}(\mathbf{u}), \quad (11)$$

which gives us the value of the integral

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = (-1)^{p+1} \cdot \frac{2\pi u^{m+p-1}}{m+p+2} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u}). \quad (12)$$

Now, we can combine both cases of $q = 0$ and $q = 1$, and write

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,q}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p (\mathbf{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^q = (-1)^{p+q} \cdot \frac{2\pi u^{m+p-q}}{m+p+q+1} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u})^q, \quad q = \{0, 1\}. \quad (13)$$

Restitutive angular integrals

These type of integrals have a domain restriction terms given by the parameter λ_f . We can start with a simpler case when $q = 0$, and write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) &= \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p \Theta[\lambda_f^2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2] = \\ &= (-1)^p \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^{m+p} \Theta[\lambda_f^2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Again, switching to spherical coordinates, and denoting the angle $\angle(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{u})$ by θ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) &= (-1)^p \cdot 2\pi u^{m+p} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin \theta \Theta(-\cos \theta) |\cos \theta|^{m+p} \Theta[\lambda_f^2 - (u \cos \theta)^2] = \\ &= (-1)^p \cdot 2\pi u^{m+p} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} d\theta \sin \theta |\cos \theta|^{m+p} \Theta\left[\frac{\lambda_f^2}{u^2} - \cos^2 \theta\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The domain restriction implies

$$\frac{\lambda_f}{u} \geq |\cos \theta|. \quad (16)$$

This constraint restricts two variable, both θ and u , although we do not perform integration over u at this moment. Since the variable u changes from 0 to ∞ , the restriction can be split into two cases, (i) when $u \leq \lambda_f$, (ii) when $u > \lambda_f$. In the first case, when $u \leq \lambda_f$, the restriction holds true for any values of $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi]$, e.g. no constraint in the angle θ . In the second case, when $u > \lambda_f$, the restriction holds true only within a certain range of values of θ , namely $\theta \in [\pi/2, \pi - \arccos(\lambda_f/u)]$. Now, we can rewrite the domain restriction term as

$$\Theta\left[\frac{\lambda_f^2}{u^2} - \cos^2 \theta\right] = \Theta(\lambda_f - u) + \Theta(u - \lambda_f)\Theta\left[\pi - \arccos\left(\frac{\lambda_f}{u}\right) - \theta\right]. \quad (17)$$

Using this form of the restriction allows us to solve the restitutive angular integrals

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) = & -(-1)^p \cdot 2\pi u^{m+p} \Theta(\lambda_f - u) \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} d(\cos \theta) |\cos \theta|^{m+p} - \\ & -(-1)^p \cdot 2\pi u^{m+p} \Theta(u - \lambda_f) \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi - \arccos(\lambda_f/u)} d(\cos \theta) |\cos \theta|^{m+p}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The first integral is already solved for the aggregative angular case, and in the second integral we substitute $z = \cos \theta$, and write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) = & \Theta(\lambda_f - u) \cdot I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) - (-1)^p \cdot 2\pi u^{m+p} \Theta(u - \lambda_f) \int_0^{-\lambda_f/u} dz |z|^{m+p} = \\ = & (-1)^p \cdot \Theta(\lambda_f - u) \cdot \frac{2\pi u^{m+p}}{m+p+1} + (-1)^p \cdot \Theta(u - \lambda_f) \cdot \frac{2\pi u^{m+p}}{m+p+1} \left(\frac{\lambda_f}{u}\right)^{m+p+1} = \\ = & (-1)^p \cdot \frac{2\pi u^{m+p}}{m+p+1} \left[\Theta(\lambda_f - u) + \Theta(u - \lambda_f) \left(\frac{\lambda_f}{u}\right)^{m+p+1} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

or

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) = I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},a}^{m,p,0}(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \left[\Theta(\lambda_f - u) + \Theta(u - \lambda_f) \left(\frac{\lambda_f}{u}\right)^{m+p+1} \right]. \quad (20)$$

For the case $q = 1$, we can perform the same procedure as before, and write

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p \Theta[\lambda_f^2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2] \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{F}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\mathbf{F} = \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^p \Theta[\lambda_f^2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2] \hat{\mathbf{n}}. \quad (22)$$

Again, we see that \mathbf{F} vector cannot depend on $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$, and depends only on the vector \mathbf{u} . This implies that $\mathbf{F} = f\mathbf{u}$, or

$$f = \frac{\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{u^2} = \frac{1}{u^2} \int d\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(-\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) |\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^m (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^{p+1} \Theta[\lambda_f^2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})^2] = u^{-2} \cdot I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p+1,0}(\mathbf{u}). \quad (23)$$

Since,

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u})f = \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{u^2} \cdot I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p+1,0}(\mathbf{u}), \quad (24)$$

or writing explicitly, we have

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,1}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = (-1)^{p+1} \cdot \frac{2\pi u^{m+p-1}}{m+p+2} \left[\Theta(\lambda_f - u) + \Theta(u - \lambda_f) \left(\frac{\lambda_f}{u}\right)^{m+p+2} \right] (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u}). \quad (25)$$

By combining both cases $q = 0$ and $q = 1$, we write the final solution of the restitutive angular integrals as

$$I_{\hat{\mathbf{n}},r}^{m,p,q}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = (-1)^{p+q} \cdot \frac{2\pi u^{m+p-q}}{m+p+q+1} \left[\Theta(\lambda_f - u) + \Theta(u - \lambda_f) \left(\frac{\lambda_f}{u}\right)^{m+p+q+1} \right] (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u})^q. \quad (26)$$

Fragmentative angular integrals

The last type of angular integrals is the fragmentative type, which is very similar to the restitutive angular case.