Formulating hypothesis

2

We want to test whether new production line leads to a decrease in the average battery lighting.

Null hypothesis Ho: The mean battery life is unchange Y = 500 $\sigma = 50$

Alternate hypothesis: The mean battery difetire has decreased 9 < 500

This is a one-sided test since we can only concerned about the reduction of lifetime

Test statistic based on sample mean \overline{X}_n $\sigma = 60$ n = 20

Since n is reasonably large Z~N

-- Z= X_ν - γ_ο

Critical value and rejection

X=0.05

Were need to find value of zx such that P(Z < Zz) = X

=0.5

From standard noonal tables the caitical value is

Zo.08 = -1.645

which means that 5% of onea under standard normal curve his to the left of -1.645

- Reject to if Z<-1.645

Xn = 480

4

Z = 480-4.

= 480-500 = 50/520

 $= \frac{-20 \times \sqrt{20}}{50}$

- -1.789

$$TT(y) = P(2<-1.645|Y)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{X_{n} - S_{00}}{S_{0}/J_{20}} < -1.645|Y\right)$$

$$= P\left(X_{n} < S_{00} - 1.645 \times S_{0}/Y\right)$$

$$= P\left(X_{n} < 481.61(Y)\right)$$

Standardizing
$$\Rightarrow \phi \left(\frac{481.61 - 7}{50/720} \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{481.61 - 470}{11.18} \right)$$

= 0.8808 = 85%

P value in the probability of a text z = -1.789 under the

P(2<-1.789) = \$(-1.789) \$ 0.0368

 $\alpha + \alpha = 0.05$ p = 0.0368 < 0.05 = 3 reject $\alpha = 0.05$ $p = 0.0368 > 0.01 <math>\Rightarrow$ don't reject

= 480 ±1.96,11.18

 $= 480 \pm 21.91$

- Confidence interval is [458.09, BOI.91]

Problem A

1 Leal

Criven that prior information is modelled by a Beta distribution

$$\theta \sim \beta(\alpha, \beta)$$
 $\beta(\alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow f(\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \gamma^{\alpha-1} (1-\alpha)^{\beta-1}$

.. Prior is given by

$$\frac{B(\alpha, \beta)}{\beta(\alpha, \beta)}$$

$$B(\alpha, b) = \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(b)$$

$$\Gamma(\alpha+b)$$

airen 2 = 2 \$ = 8

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(z) \Gamma(8)}{\Gamma(10)} = \frac{1! 7!}{9!}$$

$$= \frac{1! \times 7!}{9!}$$

$$= \frac{1! \times 7!}{9 \times 8 \times 7!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12}$$

$$=720(1-0)^{8-1}$$

Expectation of Θ For B(a, b) Expectation is given by $\frac{a}{a+b}$

: for 0 ~ b(2,8)

$$E[0] = \frac{2}{2+8}$$

2 Less

For a Bernoulli variable it o is the probability of success then probability of failure is (1-0) and

$$f(X=x_i|\Theta) = \Theta^{x_i}(1-\Theta)^{n-x_i}$$

Likelihood =
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i}$$

$$= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i}$$

n=10 and number of success = 3

$$\int_{0}^{3} (x=3|0) = 0^{3} (1-0)^{7}$$

Posterios distribution = Prior x Likelihood

where s is the number of success n is the number of trials

Posterios =
$$720^{1+8} (1-0)$$

 $\propto 0^{1+8} (1-0)$
 $7+(n-s)$
 $7+(n-s)$

N= 10 S=3

$$\Rightarrow \propto 0^{1+3} (1-0)^{1+7}$$
 $\propto 0^{4} (1-0)^{14}$

$$E[A\theta/X] = E[B(5,15)]$$

$$= \frac{5}{5+15}$$

$$= 0.25$$

Prior
$$\neq \frac{\Theta(1-\Theta)^{7}}{B(2,8)} = \int_{0}^{1} \Theta \cdot \frac{\Theta(1-\Theta)^{7}}{B(2,8)} d\Theta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \Theta^{2} \frac{(1-\Theta)^{7}}{B(2,8)} d\Theta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{B(3,8)}{B(2,8)}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(x+1, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{x}{x+b} \mathcal{B}(x, b)$$

$$B(2+1,8) = \frac{2}{2+8} B(2,8)$$
$$= 0.2 B(2,8)$$

$$P_{70b} = 0.2 \frac{B(2,8)}{B(2,8)}$$

$$P_{rior} = \frac{0^4 (1-0)^{14}}{8(5,15)}$$

$$B(5,15) = \frac{\Gamma(5) \Gamma(15)}{\Gamma(20)}$$

Posterior =
$$0^4 (1-0)^{14} 0^8 (1-0)^{n-5}$$

= $0^{4+5} (1-0)^{14+(n-5)}$

Posterier
$$\sim B(4+S+1, 14+(n-s)+1)$$

 $\sim B(5+S, 15+n-s)$

Predictive prob =
$$\int_{0}^{1} \Theta \cdot \frac{\Theta^{4}(1-\Theta)^{14}}{B(5,15)}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\Theta^{5}(1-\Theta)^{14}}{B(5,15)}$$

$$= B(6,15)$$

$$= \frac{B(5+1,15)}{B(5,15)}$$

$$= \frac{5}{20} \frac{B(5,15)}{B(5,15)}$$

$$= 0.25$$

Problem B

$$\forall i = 4(\pi i)^T \theta_* + \epsilon i$$
, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q(z_1)^T \\ q(x_2)^T \\ \vdots \\ q(x_n)^T \end{bmatrix} \theta_{\frac{1}{N}} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

For least equase problem

$$\hat{\Theta} = (\phi^{T}\phi)^{-1}\phi^{T}\psi$$

$$= (\phi^{T}\phi)^{-1}\phi^{T}(\phi \Theta_{*} + \varepsilon)$$

$$= (\phi^{T}\phi)^{-1}\phi^{T}(\phi \Theta_{*} + (\phi^{T}\phi)^{-1}\phi^{T}\varepsilon)$$

$$= (\phi^{T}\phi)^{-1}\phi^{T}\phi \Theta_{*} + (\phi^{T}\phi)^{-1}\phi^{T}\varepsilon$$

$$= (\phi^{T}\phi)^{-1}\phi^{T}\phi \Theta_{*} + (\phi^{T}\phi)^{-1}\phi^{T}\varepsilon$$

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = E[\theta_* + (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{\dagger}\phi^{\dagger}E]$$

$$= E[\theta_*] + E[(\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{\dagger}\phi^{\dagger}E]$$

$$= \theta_* + (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{\dagger}\phi^{\dagger} = E[E]$$

$$= \theta_* + (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{\dagger}\phi^{\dagger} \times 0$$

ô is an unbiased estimator

E[0*] = 0* since its a constant [[E]=0 because &~N(0,02) mean = 0

$$\hat{\Theta} = (\phi^{T}\phi)^{T}\phi^{T}\psi$$

$$= (\phi^{T}\phi)^{T}\phi^{T}(\phi\Theta_{*} + \varpi\varepsilon)$$

$$= \Theta_{*} + (\phi^{T}\phi)^{T}\phi^{T}\varepsilon$$

$$\hat{\Theta} - E[\hat{\Theta}] = (\Theta_* + (\phi^T \phi)^T \phi^T \varepsilon - \Theta_*)$$

$$\sqrt[7]{3} \sqrt[3]{\phi} (\phi \sqrt[3]{\phi}) = \sqrt[3]{\phi} (\phi \sqrt[3]{\phi}) =$$

$$(\phi^T\phi)\phi^T33\phi(\phi^T\phi)=$$

$$\left[(\phi^{\dagger} \phi) \phi^{\dagger} 3 3 \phi^{\dagger} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi) \right] = \left[(\hat{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi) \phi^{\dagger} 3 3 \phi^{\dagger} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi) \right] = (\hat{\phi}) (\phi)$$

$$[3] = (3) = [33] = 3$$

$$= 2 \left(\phi \left(\phi \right) \right) \left(\phi \left(\phi \right) \right)$$

$$= \Delta_{3}(\phi_{1}\phi_{1})$$

2 For compuling OLS

The team $(\phi^T\phi)^{-1}$ is critical for this calculation. Numerical instability causes when $(\phi^T\phi)^{-1}$ is ill conditioned.

The condition number $k(\phi^T\phi)$ measures how sensitive natural inversion is to small changes.

Low k value: well conditioned, stable inversions

High k value: ill conditioned, small errors in a amplify into

leage cross in ô

given n=50 d=45theoretically $\lambda_{max} \approx (Tn + ta)^2$ for $\phi^T \phi$ $\lambda_{min} = (Tn - ta)^2$

 $\lambda_{max} = 189.86 \quad \lambda_{min} = 0.13$ k = 189.860.13 ≈ 1460

K> 103 => ill conditioned

Inorder to tackle these problems of numerical instability we introduce regularization & methods

Eg: Ridge reguesion which adds a penalty to loss function bicing ô towards zero

Principal component Analysis which projects of to a k dimensional space thoseby reducing to dimensionality

Bias mansures how for the average estimate ETEJ is from the true O* High bics oversimplifies the model is underfit.

3

Visiance neares how much & thetaster casual its near high visiance occurs when the complex model oright to the noise.

Trade off in model complority

Low complexity model

- Low variance
- High bias: came errors, misclassifications, etc

High complexity model

- High variance: sensitive to noise data
- Low bias

optimal complexity

- Balanced bias and variance
- Minimizes excess risk

 $\Pi^2 = \phi (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^{2} \underbrace{\phi^{\dagger} \phi (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^{2}}_{\underline{I}} \phi^{\dagger}$

 $= \phi(\phi^{T}\phi)^{T} \mathcal{I} \phi^{T}$ $= \phi(\phi^{T}\phi)^{T} \phi^{T}$

= 11

 $\overline{\Pi}^{T} = \left[\phi(\phi^{T}\phi)^{T}\phi^{T}\right]^{T}$

 $= (\phi^{T})^{T} [(\phi^{T}\phi)^{T}]^{T} \phi^{T}$

= \$ [(\$^T\$)] \$ \$

 $= \phi (\phi^{T} \phi)^{T} \phi^{T}$

= 1

ý = þô

 $\hat{\phi} = (\phi^T \phi)^T \phi^T \psi \qquad \hat{\eta} = \phi (\phi^T \phi)^T \phi^T$

 $\therefore \hat{y} = \phi(\phi^{T}\phi)^{T}\phi^{T}y$

= Tly