

Tutorial on Finite Difference Methods

April 16, 2024

Exercise 1. We consider the Black-Scholes PDE forward in time and in log stock:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 u(t, x) - \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \partial_x u(t, x) + ru(t, x) = 0, \\ u(0, x) = \Psi(x) \quad (\text{initial condition}) \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in (0, T]$$

1. Explicit Euler scheme for a put option:

- (a) Give the expression of Ψ . The domain is truncated to $[x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$. Give the boundary condition $t \mapsto \underline{g}(t)$ at x_{\min} and $t \mapsto \bar{g}(t)$ at x_{\max} (cf. lecture slides)
- (b) Give the expression of the explicit Euler scheme, using centered finite difference to approximate the space derivatives.
- (c) Take $x_{\min} = \log(0.6)$, $x_{\max} = \log(1.65)$. Use a discretization with 100 intervals in space and 100 intervals in time (i.e. $N = 100$ and $M = 100$ in the lecture slides). Write an implementation of the explicit Euler method in order to calculate. Plot the solution. What do you observe?
- (d) Try the previous implementation with $M = 1000$. Comment.
- (e) Plot the final values U^M versus the price of the underlying asset (not the log-price), and compare them with the true values $(u(T, x_i))$, $i = 1, \dots, N - 1$, obtained by the Black-Scholes formula. Are the two solutions comparable? Compute the maximum error.

2. We repeat the previous questions but this time we use an implementation of the θ -method.

- (a) Test implicit Euler scheme ($\theta = 1$). Compare with the results of the previous part and explain the difference.
- (b) Test the Crank-Nicolson scheme ($\theta = 0.5$). What are the theoretical differences between the CN scheme and explicit/implicit Euler. Can you observe them numerically (e.g. set $N = 25$ and try different value of M).

Exercise 2. We consider the Black-Scholes PDE in the primitive variables, namely

$$\partial_t u + rx\partial_x u + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 \partial_{xx}^2 u = ru \text{ on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \quad (0.1)$$

and $u(T, x) = g(x)$.

1. Write down an implicit Euler scheme, using centered finite difference for the space derivatives.
2. Implement the (backward) scheme obtained from the previous question for the put option on $[0, S_{max}]$ where $S_{max} \gg K$.

Exercise 3. 1. Show that a tridiagonal matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1) \times (N-1)}$ can be decomposed as $B = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}$ with \mathcal{L} lower bi-diagonal and \mathcal{U} upper bi-diagonal.

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & c_1 & & \\ b_2 & a_2 & c_2 & \\ & b_3 & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}}_B = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \beta_2 & 1 & & \\ & \beta_3 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{L}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & c_1 & & \\ & \alpha_2 & c_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{U}}$$

2. Suggest an algorithm using the previous decomposition to solve system $Bx = f$.
3. Implement the previous algorithm for an implicit Euler scheme.

Exercise 4. The goal of this exercise is to approximate an American put option in the Black-Scholes model. From the lectures, we know that its pricing function satisfies the following PDE:

$$\min\{-\partial_t u - rx\partial_x u - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 \partial_{xx}^2 u + ru, u - g\} = 0 \text{ on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$$

$$u(T, \cdot) = g(\cdot)$$

with $x \mapsto g(x) = (K - x)_+$. We will consider approximation on the truncated domain $[0, T] \times [0, S_{max}]$ for $S_{max} \gg K$. There is M steps in time and N intervals in space. Namely, $\Delta t := \frac{T}{M}$, $h := \frac{S_{max}}{N}$, the time grid is $(t_m = m * \Delta t)_{0 \leq m \leq M}$ and space grid is $(S_n = n * h)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$.

1. We consider first the case of an European option.

- (a) Write down an explicit Euler scheme using forward difference for the first order spatial derivative. This is a backward scheme that should read: for $0 \leq m < M$,

$$U^m = (1 - \Delta t A) U^{m+1},$$

where $U^m \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ (tridiagonal matrix that you have to find). The terminal condition is $U_n^M = g(S_{n-1})$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$.

- (b) Assuming the solution u of the pricing PDE is smooth, study the order of convergence of the scheme.
(c) Show that if

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{h^2}{r + r S_{\max} h + \sigma^2 S_{\max}^2}$$

then the scheme is stable for the norm $|x|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} |x_n|$.

- (d) Implement the previous scheme for the parameter value:

$$r = 0.015, \quad \sigma = 0.21, \quad K = 1, \quad T = 1 \text{ and } S_{\max} = 2.$$

Set $N = 20$ and the parameter M in order to guarantee stability. Compute the maximum error made at time 0. Set $N = 200$ and M accordingly. Comment on the rate of convergence. Illustrate what happens for a bad choice of M .

2. We now study the approximation of the American put.

- (a) Write down an explicit Euler scheme for the American option (using the setting of the previous question).
(b) Give a sufficient condition for stability.
(c) Test numerically the scheme.
(d) Write down and implement a splitting method combined with an implicit Euler scheme (using again forward difference). Comment on the stability of the scheme (no proof required)
(e) For both scheme, explain what is the best expected order of convergence (no proof required)