

1) Second preimage resistance $\xrightarrow{?}$ collision resistance

\Rightarrow : Let us imagine an adversary that can find a second preimage of $y = \text{hash}(x)$

↳ he can find $x' \neq x$ s.t. $\text{hash}(x') = y = \text{hash}(x)$

By definition of the second preimage, he is given x . Thus he can produce a collision since $x' \neq x$ and $\text{hash}(x) = \text{hash}(x')$ \square

(let's assume that a hash function is collision resistant. If an adversary could find a second preimage, he would be able to find a collision, which was assumed to be infeasible)

\hookrightarrow it is also infeasible to find a second preimage

\Rightarrow collision resistance \Rightarrow second preimage resistance

If a hash function is second preimage resistant, a collision could nevertheless be found by other means than a second preimage attack.

Intuitively, a collision attack does not require a specific image y .

So a collision attack in general does not help finding a preimage for the given image y .

\Rightarrow collision resistance $\not\Rightarrow$ second preimage resistant

2) XOF λ of length n bytes

1. Start with $n=1 \Rightarrow \lambda=8$ bits, after how many iteration do we have a collision

2. Increase n until this starts to take too much time, which law gives $t(n)$?

$$t(n) = 2^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = 2^{4n} \quad \text{Birthday Paradox}$$

3) $H = \text{hash}(m)$ flip first bit of $m = m'$ $H' = \text{hash}(m')$

\rightarrow No, there would be no similarities between H and H'

\rightarrow Hamming Distance $(H, H') = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ Birthday Paradox

4) $\lambda = 320$ bits of output $\quad +$ block 380 bits CV 980 bits

iterated function \rightarrow acts input in blocks of 192 bits, process sequentially and $CV_i = 224$ bits

\Rightarrow adversary can either find output or internal collision

⇒ adversary can either find output or internal collision

$$\hookrightarrow \sqrt{2^{320}} = 2^{160} \text{ attempts to find a collision (birthday paradox)}$$

$$\rightarrow \sqrt{2^{224}} = 2^{112} \text{ attempts}$$

$$+ \rightarrow 2^{160} \text{ or } 2^{240} \Rightarrow 2^{160} \text{ attempts}$$

15 output-block = $\text{AES}_{\text{key}}(\text{input-block})$

$$f_1(x, y) = \text{AES}_x(y) \oplus x \quad \text{collision for } f_1 \text{ and } f_2$$

$$f_2(x, y) = \text{AES}_x(x) \oplus y \quad \text{find } a_1, b_1 \text{ and } a_2, b_2 \text{ s.t. } f_1(a_1, b_1) = f_1(a_2, b_2) \\ \text{and } c_1, d_1 \quad c_2, d_2 \text{ s.t. } f_2(a_1, d_1) = f_2(c_2, d_2)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{for } f_1: x, y, x', y' \text{ s.t. } \text{AES}_x(y') \oplus x' = \text{AES}_x(y) \oplus x$$

$$\Rightarrow y' = \text{AES}_{x'}^{-1}(\text{AES}_x(y) \oplus x \oplus x') \text{ and } x, x', y \text{ random}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{for } f_2: x, y, x', y' \text{ s.t. } \text{AES}_x(x) \oplus y = \text{AES}_x(x') \oplus y' \\ x, x' \text{ random } \Rightarrow y = \text{AES}_{x'}(x') \quad y' = \text{AES}_x(x)$$

16 SHAES : compression function from AES-256 is the Davies-Meyer construction

1. Size of SHAES : as Davies-Meyer is a block cipher of fixed size $\xrightarrow{\text{leg. size}} 256$ bits

2. Chaining value : equal to the block size of AES-256 $\rightarrow 128$ bits

3. SHAES a valid option? 128 bits of CV $\rightarrow 2^{64}$ attempts is kinda weak

17 $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ mapped to the integer $X = \sum_i x_i 2^i = \text{integer}(x)$
 $x = \text{vector}(X)$

1. $f(x, y) = \text{vector}(\text{integer}(x) + \text{integer}(y))$ Modular addition $x+y$ is non-linear in \mathbb{Z}_2^m

$$\text{Let } m=2 \quad f(0,0)=0 \Rightarrow f(x \oplus x', y \oplus y') \neq f(x, y) \oplus f(x', y')$$

0...0 0...0 1...1 1...1 0...0 0...0 1...1 1...1 0...0 0...0 1...1 1...1

Let $m=2$ $f(0,0)=0 \Rightarrow f(x \oplus x', y \oplus y') \neq f(x,y) \oplus f(x',y')$

$f(x,y) = (1,0)$ and $(x',y') = (0,1) \Rightarrow f(1,1) = 2$ and $f(1,0) \oplus f(0,1) = 1 \oplus 1 = 0$

2. $F(x,y) = \text{integer}(\text{vector}(X) \oplus \text{vector}(Y))$ is non linear

$$f(x+x', y+y') \neq f(x,y) + f(x',y')$$

$$(X,Y) = (1,0) (X',Y') = (0,1) \Rightarrow f(1,1) = 0 \quad f(0,1) + f(1,0) = 1+1=2$$

[8] RO = random oracle \rightarrow outputs an infinite sequence of uniformly and IID distributed bits
for each input $x \in \{0,1\}^*$

RO(x,l) if truncate outputs to l first bits,

1. Preimage attack, given $y \in \{0,1\}^l \Rightarrow$ find $x?$ s.t. $RO(x,l) = y$
 $\rightarrow \text{Proba} = ?$ Assume $t \ll 2^l$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Proba} \approx \frac{t}{2^l} = \frac{\# \text{tries}}{\# \text{possibilities}}$$

2. Multi-target preimage attack: given m distinct values $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ with $y_i \in \{0,1\}^l$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Proba} \approx \frac{t \cdot m}{2^l} = \frac{\# \text{tries} \# y}{\# \text{possibilities}}$$

$b(x) = XOF$ $b(x,l) = \text{truncated to } l$ known attack $\rightarrow t/2^{c/2} \xrightarrow{\text{security}}$

3. ℓ and c to have 128 bits preimage resistance

$$\text{Proba} = \frac{t}{2^\ell} + \frac{t}{2^{c/2}} = t (2^{-\ell} + 2^{-c/2}) \approx t 2^{\max(-\ell, -c/2)} \approx t \cdot 2^{\min(\ell, c)}$$

$\Rightarrow 128 : t=2^{128} \quad \ell \geq 128 \quad c/2 \geq 128 \quad c \geq 256$

$$\text{Proba} = \frac{m \cdot t}{2^\ell} + \frac{t}{2^{c/2}} = t 2^{\max(-\ell + \log_2(m), -c/2)} = t 2^{-\min(\ell - \log_2(m), c/2)}$$

$$128 \text{ bit} \rightarrow t=2^{128} \quad \ell - 32 \geq 128 \quad c/2 \geq 128$$

$$128 \text{ bit} \rightarrow t = 2^{128} \quad l - 32 \geq 128 \quad c_2 \geq 128$$

$$l \geq 160 \quad c \geq 256$$

19 π = permutation $b = 100 \rightarrow \boxed{\pi} \rightarrow b\text{-bit string}$

$c = 0$ \mathcal{L} output $\rightarrow n = 256$ bits

1. How to obtain a collision

With $c=0 \rightarrow$ no security

\rightarrow resetting the state to its initial value $0^b \Rightarrow \text{tozero} = \pi^{-1}(0^b)$

\rightarrow After absorbing tozero, the state will be 0^b

\Rightarrow for all $\mathcal{L}(\text{tozero}) = \mathcal{L}(0)$ \square

2. Given two prefixes x and y of b bits each, have a collision $\mathcal{D}_1 = x|1\dots$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 = y|1\dots$

$\rightarrow \pi(x) \oplus x' = \pi(y) \oplus y' \Leftrightarrow x' \oplus y' = \pi(x) \oplus \pi(y)$ is suitable

(x', y') and $(\pi(x), \pi(y)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(x|x') = \mathcal{L}(y|y')$

3. Given an output g of n bits \rightarrow how to find a preimage?

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(x) = g \Rightarrow$ extend g (\circlearrowleft) \rightarrow 1000-256 bits and consider this as the last step of the last function $\mathcal{D}_1 = g|y'$

$\mathcal{D}_0 = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_1) \Rightarrow$ pad \mathcal{D}_0 by reusing the last bits 0 until a 1 is found and remove padding

\Rightarrow works only if $\mathcal{D}_0 \neq 0^b$, else try with another g'

4. Handles ASCII input text, most significant bit ALWAYS TO 0.

\hookrightarrow input string in s.t. 8th bit is 0.

How to find a collision, what is the complexity of our attack

$\Rightarrow \frac{b}{8} = 200$ bits restricted

\Rightarrow as a sponge with $C = 200$

\Rightarrow as a sponge with $c = 200$

\Rightarrow To get a collision, finding x and y s.t. after being absorbed, the value of the c elements is identical.

$\Rightarrow \Pi(x)$ and $\Pi(y)$ is thus the state

\Rightarrow Find x', y' s.t. cancel the \neq between $\Pi(x)$ and $\Pi(y)$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(x|x') = \mathcal{L}(y|y')$$

The time consuming is to find the c elem. $\Rightarrow 2^{\frac{200}{2}} = 2^{100}$ attempts

5. Given g of n bits \Rightarrow find a preimage

as 3. bct $g = \Pi'(g|g')$ must have a c part = 0 for it to satisfy the restriction

$$\Rightarrow 2^{200}.$$

III K is a k -bit secret-key

1. $\text{MAC}_K(\text{message}) = \text{hash}(\text{message}) \oplus K$ EO-CMA

Recoverable key $x = \text{MAC}_K(m) \oplus K(m)$

\rightarrow adversary query tag = $\text{MAC}_K(m)$ and recovers K as explained above

\rightarrow " tag' = $\text{MAC}_K(m')$ with $m \neq m'$

\rightarrow adversary wins a pair (tag', m') not queried before \square

2. $\text{MAC}_K(m) = \mathcal{L}(K_1 || m) \oplus K$ $K = K_1 || K_2$ $|K_1| = |K_2| = k/2$

\rightarrow security is $k/2 \Rightarrow 2^{k/2}$

\rightarrow get a known (m, tag) by querying

\hookrightarrow For each value possible of K_1^* for first $k/2$ bits of K

- compute $K^* = \text{hash}(K_1^* || m) \oplus \text{tag}$

- if first $k/2$ bits of K^* do not match K_1^* , this cannot be a correct guess

\hookrightarrow else : double deck by sending another (m, tag) pair.