

Introduction to Language Theory and Compilation Exercises

Session 4: Grammars revisited

Reminders

A **grammar** is described by four components $\langle V, T, P, S \rangle$ where:

- V is the set of variables
- T is the set of terminals
- P is the set of production rules

$$P \subseteq (V \cup T)^* V (V \cup T)^* \times (V \cup T)^*$$

- $S \in V$ is the start symbol

Removal of unproductive symbols

```
Grammar RemoveUnproductive(Grammar  $G = \langle V, T, P, S \rangle$ ) begin  
   $V_0 \leftarrow \emptyset$  ;  
   $i \leftarrow 0$  ;  
  repeat  
     $i \leftarrow i + 1$  ;  
     $V_i \leftarrow \{A \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P \wedge \alpha \in (V_{i-1} \cup T)^*\} \cup V_{i-1}$  ;  
  until  $V_i = V_{i-1}$  ;  
   $V' \leftarrow V_i$  ;  
   $P' \leftarrow$  set of rules of  $P$  that do not contain variables in  $V \setminus V'$  ;  
  return ( $G' = \langle V', T, P', S \rangle$ ) ;
```

Removal of inaccessible symbols

```
Grammar RemoveInaccessible(Grammar  $G = \langle V, T, P, S \rangle$ ) begin  
   $V_0 \leftarrow \{S\}$  ;  $i \leftarrow 0$  ;  
  repeat  
     $i \leftarrow i + 1$  ;  
     $V_i \leftarrow \{X \mid \exists A \rightarrow \alpha X \beta \text{ in } P \wedge A \in V_{i-1}\} \cup V_{i-1}$  ;  
  until  $V_i = V_{i-1}$  ;  
   $V' \leftarrow V_i \cap V$  ;  $T' \leftarrow V_i \cap T$  ;  
   $P' \leftarrow$  set of rules of  $P$  that only contain variables from  $V_i$  ;  
  return ( $G' = \langle V', T', P', S \rangle$ ) ;
```

Removal of useless symbols

```

Grammar RemoveUseless(Grammar  $G = \langle V, T, P, S \rangle$ ) begin
  Grammar  $G_1 \leftarrow \text{RemoveUnproductive}(G)$  ;
  Grammar  $G_2 \leftarrow \text{RemoveInaccessible}(G_1)$  ;
  return( $G_2$ ) ;

```

Left factoring

```

LeftFactor(Grammar  $G = \langle V, T, P, S \rangle$ ) begin
  while  $G$  has at least two rules with the same left-hand side and a common prefix do
    Let  $R = \{A \rightarrow \alpha\beta, \dots, A \rightarrow \alpha\zeta\}$  be such a set of rules ;
    Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a new variable;
     $V = V \cup \mathcal{V}$  ;
     $P = P \setminus R$  ;
     $P = P \cup \{A \rightarrow \alpha\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \beta, \dots, \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \zeta\}$ ;

```

Removal of left recursion

```

RemoveLeftRecursion(Grammar  $G = \langle V, T, P, S \rangle$ ) begin
  while  $G$  contains a left recursive variable  $A$  do
    Let  $R = \{A \rightarrow A\alpha, A \rightarrow \beta, \dots, A \rightarrow \zeta\}$  be the set of rules that have  $A$  as left-hand side ;
    Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be two new variables ;
     $V = V \cup \{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}\}$  ;
     $P = P \setminus R$  ;
     $P = P \cup \{A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \beta, \dots, \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \zeta, \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \alpha\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \epsilon\}$  ;

```

Exercises

Ex. 1. Remove the useless symbols in the following grammars:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (G_1) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow a \mid A \\ A \rightarrow AB \\ B \rightarrow b \end{array} \right. \\
 (G_2) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow A \\ \quad B \\ A \rightarrow aB \\ \quad bS \\ \quad b \\ B \rightarrow AB \\ \quad Ba \\ C \rightarrow AS \\ \quad b \end{array} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

Ex. 2. Consider the following grammar:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} E \rightarrow E \text{ op } E \\ \quad \quad ID[E] \\ \quad \quad ID \\ op \rightarrow * \\ \quad \quad / \\ \quad \quad + \\ \quad \quad - \\ \quad \quad \Rightarrow \end{array} \right.$$

- Show that the above grammar is ambiguous.
- The priorities of the various operators are as follows: $\{\square, \Rightarrow\} > \{*, /\} > \{+, -\}$.
Modify the grammar in order for it to take operator precedence into account as well as left associativity.

Ex. 3. Left-factor the following production rules:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{stmt} \rangle &\rightarrow \text{if } \langle \text{expr} \rangle \text{ then } \langle \text{stmt-list} \rangle \text{ end if} \\ \langle \text{stmt} \rangle &\rightarrow \text{if } \langle \text{expr} \rangle \text{ then } \langle \text{stmt-list} \rangle \text{ else } \langle \text{stmt-list} \rangle \text{ end if} \end{aligned}$$

Ex. 4. Apply the left recursion removal algorithm to the following grammar:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} E \rightarrow E + T \\ \quad \quad T \\ T \rightarrow T * P \\ \quad \quad P \\ P \rightarrow ID \end{array} \right.$$

Ex. 5. (Exam-level question)

Definition. A CFG $\langle P, T, V, S \rangle$ is **LL(1)** iff for all pairs of derivations:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\xrightarrow{*} wA\gamma \rightarrow w\alpha_1\gamma \xrightarrow{*} wx_1 \\ S &\xrightarrow{*} wA\gamma \rightarrow w\alpha_2\gamma \xrightarrow{*} wx_2 \end{aligned}$$

with $w, x_1, x_2 \in T^*$, $A \in V$ and $\gamma \in (V \cup T)^*$, and $\text{First}(x_1) = \text{First}(x_2)$, where $\text{First}(x)$ designates the first letter of the word x , we have: $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.

Start by removing unproductive symbols and then inaccessible symbols on the following grammar:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow aE \mid bF \\ E \rightarrow bE \mid \varepsilon \\ F \rightarrow aF \mid aG \mid aHD \\ G \rightarrow Gc \mid d \\ H \rightarrow Ca \\ C \rightarrow Hb \\ D \rightarrow ab \end{array} \right.$$

Is the grammar obtained **LL(1)**? If necessary, apply left-recursion removal algorithm and left-factoring and check again.