

Multivariate data analysis Assignment 4

Aakash R -ME14B149

April 15, 2017

1 Answers

1.1 Question 1

1.1.1 Part A

The **IPCA** has been applied and it is seen that irrespective of the no of constraints (the initial guess) we choose there is always a remarkable difference between the last 11 eigenvalues of the covariance matrix (for large number of constraints). The eigenvalues for different number of constraints are represented in **table 1** .An external iteration was run over the IPCA to find that for the number of constraints = 11 , all the last 11 eigenvalues of the scaled Data matrix tend to 1 a expected. When inspected by the IPCA for number of constraints = 11,12 ,they seem to be extremely similar in terms of the eigenvalues causing some confusion between the number of constraints (as both tend to 1 in the last 11 values),but if you plot the SCREE plot we can clearly assert that the number of constraints = 11(**since if the no of constraints were 12 ,the last 12 eigenvalues should tend to 1 which is not the case here**) ,**Hence we can easily assert the number of independent variables = 28 -11 =17**.We can algorithmically also quantify number of constraints ,by starting from 'N'(in our case 28) ,checking if the last 'N' eigenvalues tend to 1 (apply some threshold),if not decrease it by 1 ,run the check again,loop until the IPCA constraint is satisfied.Please check <https://github.com/RAAKASH/CH5440> for the code for finding number of constraints using IPCA.

1.1.2 Part B

Now using the constraint matrix obtained by the IPCA , we get the regression matrix to be equal to the matrix displayed below. The maximum absolute difference between the actual , regressed coefficients are 0.0063.

$$Y_{dep} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.99884 & -0.9999 & -0.00305 & 1.001014 & -0.00031 & 0.998728 & 1.002387 & 0.995592 & 1.001228 & -1.00005 & 0.000711 & -0.00049 & -1.00089 & 0.998501 & 0.999952 & -0.99825 & 0.001261 \\ -0.00021 & 1.000035 & -0.00028 & 0.000162 & -1.00013 & 0.000307 & 0.001561 & 0.000627 & -0.00063 & -0.00198 & -0.00114 & 0.000659 & 5.46E-05 & 0.00086 & -0.00078 & -0.00038 & 0.000479 \\ 0.001284 & 0.000452 & -0.0038 & 1.001314 & -0.99936 & 0.999532 & 1.00051 & 0.994806 & 1.000816 & -0.99879 & -0.00048 & -0.00174 & -1.00206 & 0.999894 & 0.998618 & -0.99648 & 0.00021 \\ -0.99994 & -1.00289 & 0.999912 & 1.000977 & 0.001204 & 1.000193 & 1.002712 & 0.993742 & 1.000614 & -0.99938 & 0.000111 & -0.00197 & -1.00268 & 0.999341 & 0.998906 & -0.99489 & 0.000447 \\ -0.00083 & -0.00041 & -0.00338 & -0.00011 & 0.002042 & 1.00152 & -0.00032 & -0.00452 & 1.001663 & -0.99767 & -0.99876 & -0.99901 & -0.00098 & -0.0029 & 0.000162 & 0.002137 & -0.00186 \\ 0.000577 & 0.001917 & -0.00055 & 0.000121 & 0.000197 & 6.83E-05 & -0.00137 & -0.00105 & -0.00021 & 0.000136 & 1.000213 & -0.00161 & -0.00037 & 0.000368 & 1.000505 & -7.15E-06 & 0.999252 \\ 0.999203 & 0.001272 & -1.00094 & -1.00155 & 0.001212 & 0.001307 & -1.00322 & -0.99867 & 2.39E-05 & 0.002882 & 0.000435 & -0.99898 & 1.001102 & -0.9987 & 0.001614 & 0.998232 & 0.99624 \\ -0.00015 & 0.000345 & 1.000523 & 1.00095 & -0.00012 & -0.00015 & 0.001561 & 8.57E-05 & -0.0002 & -0.00198 & -0.00237 & -0.00145 & -0.00078 & -0.00082 & -0.00078 & 0.001351 & 0.001617 \\ 0.000748 & 0.001329 & -0.00114 & -0.00038 & 0.00054 & -0.00074 & -0.00051 & -0.00217 & 0.999706 & -0.99959 & -0.00135 & -0.00185 & -1.00133 & 1.000224 & 0.999512 & -0.99902 & 0.000552 \\ 0.000562 & 0.002082 & -0.0009 & -0.0006 & 0.00022 & 0.000334 & -0.00052 & 0.001406 & -0.00044 & -0.99938 & -0.00031 & -0.00132 & -3.15E-05 & 0.000531 & 0.998543 & -0.99991 & -0.00115 \\ -5.76E-05 & -0.00227 & 1.002314 & 1.001292 & -0.00075 & -0.0002 & 1.001396 & -0.00172 & 0.000809 & -0.00123 & -0.00075 & 0.000554 & -1.00074 & -0.00324 & -2.75E-06 & 0.002275 & 0.001519 \end{bmatrix} Y_{ind}$$

[illegible]

1.2.1 Part A

Maximum Difference between regressed , actual values are 0.0082.

Constrain model																											
-0.00016	0.000683	-0.01465	-0.01501	-0.00014	-0.00412	-0.00276	0.000123	-0.00466	0.046863	0.00224	0.004314	0.003254	-0.00036	-0.0446	0.042589	-0.00201	0.000305	-0.00015	3.90E-05	0.000343	0.003451	0.001257	0.000785	0.012264	0.000477	0.042265	0.002863
-0.00174	0.00056	0.051412	0.050894	-0.00061	0.000409	0.009775	0.001861	-0.00173	0.013391	-0.0036	0.001408	-0.00764	-0.0004	-0.01476	0.012141	-0.00253	0.000609	-0.00049	-9.12E-05	-0.00055	-0.00035	0.000837	0.001778	-0.04113	0.002109	0.011814	-0.00479
-0.00022	-0.00017	-0.0021	-0.00196	0.000313	0.017677	0.00458	0.000353	0.016613	-0.01804	-0.03881	-0.01722	-0.00348	-0.00704	-0.02082	-0.00078	-0.00165	8.29E-05	0.000474	-0.00018	0.000272	-0.01784	0.021047	0.000568	0.000523	0.001089	-0.0015	-0.00018
-0.01514	-0.01167	-0.00212	0.007044	-0.0022	0.014258	0.024289	0.018965	0.013482	0.000633	0.008915	-0.00488	-0.02353	0.018348	0.008302	-0.00425	0.003982	-0.00519	0.001784	-0.00399	-0.00464	-0.00044	-0.0093	0.005312	0.017233	0.00079	0.014044	-0.00516
-0.00643	0.003559	0.001048	0.000548	-0.00262	-0.0161	0.008845	0.00625	-0.01784	0.002068	-0.00086	0.022219	-0.0071	0.004514	-0.00714	-0.01603	-0.02305	0.00015	-0.00286	0.000291	0.000577	0.015067	0.015396	0.007165	0.008278	0.001706	-0.01156	-0.00268
-0.01013	-0.014	-0.00326	0.00264	0.005182	0.006227	-0.01563	0.012132	0.010101	-0.00294	-0.00203	0.005083	0.011792	0.016008	0.000863	-0.00088	-0.00791	-0.00387	0.007154	-0.002	-0.00303	0.002643	0.004669	0.003238	-0.01828	-0.00384	0.007183	0.027777
-0.00245	0.024977	-0.00067	-0.00198	-0.02238	0.002177	-0.00639	0.001971	0.00789	-0.00298	-0.00125	-0.000237	0.000695	0.00765	0.001705	-0.00271	-0.001	0.000875	-0.0229	0.000506	0.00119	-0.00475	-0.00351	0.004514	-0.00442	-0.00572	0.004959	0.008369
-0.00849	0.00046	-0.00032	0.002243	-0.00491	0.009063	0.004365	0.008106	-0.01017	0.001595	0.000123	-0.00092	0.014859	-0.01109	-0.00153	0.002528	0.000962	-0.00292	-0.0053	0.000376	-0.00191	-0.00458	-0.00465	0.003644	0.002114	0.019208	-0.00857	0.003746
-0.00394	0.004847	0.007528	-0.00583	0.010503	-0.00241	-0.00206	-0.00034	-0.00083	-5.84E-05	-0.00152	0.002085	0.000416	0.001239	-0.00117	-0.00212	0.000362	0.009143	0.006226	0.004274	0.001932	-0.01292	-0.01139	0.015015	0.003821	-0.0016	-0.00089	0.00169
-0.00715	-0.00408	0.008563	-0.00425	0.001317	-8.90E-05	-0.00101	-0.00151	0.001211	-0.00067	-0.00025	-0.00141	-0.00032	-0.00017	0.000385	0.00078	0.00117	0.004111	-0.00734	0.008648	-0.01551	0.002856	0.003095	-0.00426	0.003214	-0.00132	0.000571	-0.00049
-0.00732	-0.00155	-0.0015	0.000676	0.005821	-0.00217	-0.00075	-0.00331	0.000349	0.000252	-0.00076	-0.00116	-0.00172	-0.00083	-0.00103	0.001436	0.000436	-0.01286	-0.00482	0.010662	0.006486	-0.00213	-0.00137	0.000949	-0.00145	-0.00252	0.000639	-0.00257

$$Y_{dep} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Regression Matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} -0.99939 & -1.00007 & -0.0004 & 0.998772 & 0.000664 & 0.998482 & 1.001835 & 0.992793 & 1.001676 & -0.99856 & 0.001902 & -0.00014 & -1.00075 & 1.000052 & 0.999441 & -0.99833 & -0.00056 \\ -0.00028 & 0.999722 & -0.00031 & 0.000766 & -0.99988 & 0.000169 & 0.001679 & 0.001819 & -0.00061 & -0.00158 & -0.00023 & 0.000838 & -0.00017 & -7.55E-05 & 9.32E-05 & -0.0007 & 8.38E-05 \\ 0.001035 & 0.000521 & -0.0024 & 0.999916 & -0.99853 & 0.998823 & 0.999915 & 0.992037 & 1.001918 & -0.99651 & 0.001196 & -0.00062 & -1.00184 & 0.999043 & 0.99911 & -0.99698 & -0.00128 \\ -1.00004 & -1.0008 & 1.000299 & 0.998474 & 0.001649 & 1.000126 & 1.000503 & 0.991812 & 1.001506 & -0.99883 & 4.47E-05 & -0.00166 & -1.00238 & 0.999843 & 0.997246 & -0.99517 & -0.00054 \\ -0.0002 & -8.69E-05 & -0.00366 & -0.00023 & 0.002009 & 1.000608 & -0.00031 & -0.00455 & 1.002451 & -0.99817 & -0.99782 & -0.99871 & -0.0006 & -0.0032 & 0.000439 & 0.001988 & -0.00261 \\ 9.14E-05 & 0.001598 & -0.00072 & -0.0003 & 0.000682 & 0.000241 & -0.00111 & -0.00252 & 0.000451 & 0.000558 & 0.998963 & -0.00108 & -0.00056 & -0.00032 & 1.000727 & 0.000682 & 0.999152 \\ 0.999605 & 0.001457 & -1.00031 & -1.00082 & 0.001203 & -0.00012 & -1.00125 & -0.99895 & 0.000837 & 0.001936 & 0.000442 & -0.99877 & 1.001224 & -1.00027 & 0.001587 & 0.998053 & 0.997047 \\ -0.00022 & 0.001556 & 1.000674 & 1.000961 & -0.00018 & 0.000215 & 0.001165 & 0.001231 & 0.000185 & -0.00303 & -0.00392 & -0.00115 & -0.00068 & -0.00197 & -0.00118 & 0.000614 & 0.001347 \\ 0.001103 & 0.001378 & -0.00106 & -0.00073 & 0.000345 & -0.00163 & -0.0003 & -0.0033 & 1.001024 & -0.99893 & -0.00133 & -0.00174 & -1.001 & 0.999364 & 0.999449 & -0.99833 & 0.001014 \\ 0.000587 & 0.002202 & -0.00073 & -0.00078 & 0.000648 & -0.00047 & -0.00052 & -0.00024 & 0.000652 & -0.99892 & 5.75E-05 & -0.00159 & 1.46E-05 & 0.000534 & 0.998353 & -0.99923 & -0.00111 \\ -0.00025 & -0.00179 & 1.002253 & 1.000583 & -0.00128 & 0.000788 & 1.00068 & -0.00153 & 0.000184 & -0.00066 & -0.0024 & 0.000446 & -1.00073 & -0.00233 & -0.00037 & 0.00171 & 0.001888 \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix} Y_{ind}$$

1.2.2 Part B

This was using the mean imputed data matrix.

Maximum Difference between regressed , actual values are 0.6853.

Table 3: Constraint Equations Coefficients after mean imputation

Constrain model																											
-0.00226	-0.00569	0.004077	-0.00079	-0.00264	-0.00666	0.002536	-0.003	-0.01019	0.033326	0.00225	0.005117	-0.00218	0.002431	-0.04195	0.050043	0.003676	0.000137	-0.00017	0.000583	0.000131	0.005694	-7.74E-05	0.001777	0.001609	-0.00021	0.03915	0.00257
-0.00388	-0.0022	0.002079	0.003273	0.002802	-0.01777	0.007497	0.00708	-0.02172	0.00652	0.011779	0.017925	-0.00416	0.008482	0.018248	-0.014	0.022119	-0.00124	0.008497	-0.00145	-0.00071	0.021482	-0.01294	0.002149	-0.00233	-0.00086	-0.0067	0.003156
-0.00719	-0.02176	0.004293	0.007151	0.012129	0.015433	0.011159	0.010279	0.024167	-0.00455	0.001609	-0.00231	-0.01664	0.010044	0.005349	0.000954	0.001867	-0.00245	0.01497	-0.00672	-0.00186	-0.00464	-0.00536	0.000654	0.002055	-0.00468	0.01451	0.001024
-0.004	-0.00762	-0.00403	-0.00119	0.000922	0.006679	-0.02393	0.004198	0.005966	-0.00232	0.001009	-0.00091	0.024603	0.003611	0.002807	0.000533	0.002955	-0.00237	0.002922	-0.00118	-0.00138	-0.00152	-0.00244	-0.00016	-0.02215	0.000664	0.004127	0.02847
-0.01236	0.009831	0.005112	0.010981	-0.01796	0.008081	0.008697	0.012692	0.013241	-0.0042	0.00163	0.003631	-0.01081	0.012084	0.007453	-0.00971	0.007823	-0.00838	-0.01663	0.003202	-0.00339	-0.00049	-0.00554	0.002702	-0.00377	-0.00452	0.009283	0.003187
0.007778	0.002532	-0.00965	-0.01197	-0.00084	0.007142	-0.00035	-0.00525	0.002956	-0.00098	0.004702	-0.0155	0.007068	-0.00702	0.010994	0.01502	0.031081	0.001077	-0.00016	0.001992	-0.00029	-0.00945	-0.0226	-0.00704	0.008475	0.00204	0.00453	-0.00682
0.002129	0.011268	-0.03205	-0.03295	-0.00853	-0.00162	-0.0072	0.002353	0.006008	-0.0008	-0.00043	0.005321	0.000892	0.006436	0.003336	-0.0062	-0.00047	0.010339	-0.00303	-0.00424	-0.00468	0.000159	-0.00129	0.003551	0.027041	-0.00739	0.004512	0.009514
0.006856	0.008317	0.04836	0.032682	-0.00281	-0.00383	-0.00041	-0.00468	0.002338	-0.00133	-7.23E-06	-0.00175	-0.00315	-0.00043	0.000283	8.82E-05	0.003373	0.010371	-0.00068	-0.00019	-0.00028	-0.00369	-0.00452	0.001548	-0.03633	-0.00656	0.002152	-0.00336
3.65E-05	-0.00303	-0.00718	-0.01738	0.014138	-0.00935	-0.01466	-0.00936	0.004524	-0.00179	-0.0005	-0.00069	2.75E-05	0.002337	0.001037	-0.00154	-0.00171	-0.00106	0.002448	0.010691	0.000554	7.20E-05	0.001075	-0.00116	0.00284	-0.01421	0.001723	0.002729
0.013182	0.009591	-0.01067	-0.00121	-0.007	-0.00522	-0.00762	-0.00555	0.001797	-0.00046	0.000193	-0.00114	6.86E-05	0.001116	0.001957	0.001796	0.002081	-0.00357	-0.00061	-0.00544	0.015264	-3.53E-06	-0.0003	-0.00169	-0.0065	-0.00897	0.001742	0.000882
0.008701	-0.00634	-0.00443	0.00095	-0.00445	-0.0012	-0.00282	-0.00378	0.002943	-0.00149	-0.0004	-0.00359	-4.83E-05	-0.00201	0.000855	0.001982	0.003352	-0.00203	-0.00156	-0.00027	-0.00719	0.0131	0.013197	-0.01742	-0.00467	-0.00417	0.001717	-0.00215

$$Y_{dep} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Regression Matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} -1.00497 & -0.86954 & 0.007147 & 0.867889 & 0.114558 & 0.958148 & 0.89913 & 0.992762 & 1.19586 & -0.75971 & 0.069387 & 0.070651 & -0.99208 & 0.691056 & 0.947804 & -1.13614 & -0.04614 \\ 0.129452 & 1.171346 & -0.20158 & 0.050746 & -1.25865 & -0.02742 & 0.019248 & 0.089336 & -0.10885 & -0.01353 & -0.05078 & 0.052825 & 0.111255 & 0.031475 & 0.013527 & -0.16515 & 0.199941 \\ 0.013996 & 0.116117 & -0.01954 & 0.962669 & -0.97828 & 0.947396 & 1.016858 & 0.990092 & 1.155975 & -0.74167 & 0.019085 & 0.142477 & -0.9435 & 0.595376 & 1.00636 & -1.15841 & 0.013396 \\ -0.97324 & -0.68583 & 0.980275 & 0.837033 & 0.079603 & 0.913138 & 0.924544 & 0.946236 & 1.216948 & -0.78543 & 0.013947 & 0.110203 & -0.93652 & 0.60438 & 0.916094 & -1.26844 & -0.03803 \\ -0.03707 & -0.20944 & 0.004214 & -0.01718 & 0.204443 & 0.989102 & -0.18585 & 0.001977 & 1.23856 & -0.71361 & -0.31468 & -0.76154 & 0.066304 & -0.14262 & 0.160876 & -0.087 & -0.44039 \\ 0.023658 & 0.240299 & -0.04372 & -0.04863 & -0.1942 & 0.009767 & 0.163726 & 0.164153 & -0.11721 & -0.05625 & 0.348268 & -0.04878 & 0.066559 & 0.112043 & 0.830088 & -0.11336 & 1.2856 \\ 0.990629 & -0.09264 & -1.1138 & -0.95793 & -0.03147 & 0.050943 & -0.96433 & -0.78682 & -0.06677 & -0.02394 & -0.00938 & -0.91854 & 1.089622 & -0.71208 & 0.035266 & 0.980412 & 0.844527 \\ 0.017308 & -0.01987 & 1.110991 & 0.967786 & -0.02644 & -0.00591 & 0.027234 & -0.00181 & -0.01003 & -0.01895 & 0.008623 & -0.01376 & 0.001396 & -0.00834 & -0.0252 & -0.0061 & 0.0081 \\ 0.001886 & 0.159258 & -0.01931 & -0.08031 & 0.0327 & 0.011412 & -0.01047 & 0.152453 & 1.139813 & -0.75579 & -0.00888 & 0.129512 & -0.92002 & 0.644483 & 0.969623 & -1.2205 & -0.05821 \\ 0.023757 & 0.190264 & -0.17547 & -0.05588 & 0.046218 & 0.004955 & -0.08388 & 0.091973 & 0.06216 & -0.73458 & -0.01169 & 0.021924 & 0.079473 & -0.01558 & 1.036089 & -1.29156 & -0.06395 \\ 0.012565 & 0.008712 & 1.090206 & 0.941589 & 0.035864 & -0.02013 & 1.032342 & 0.004225 & 0.020437 & 0.017117 & -0.00147 & -0.02329 & -1.01614 & -0.03997 & -0.04762 & -0.0036 & -0.02122 \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix} Y_{ind}$$

4

the regression matrix,actual matrix : 0.0075.

$$Y_{ind}$$

Table 4: Eigen Values using IPCA

the regression matrix,actual matrix : 0.0075.

[illegible]

The regression matrix is as below for number of constraints = 11:

[illegible]

1.2.5 Part B using IPCA

It is to be carefully noted that the check to see if the data point was useful before mean imputing wasn't performed hence the chances that we could find the number of constraints using IPCA method are dull. So going by the algorithm that was mentioned in the first question to find the number of constraints, number of constraints 13,12,11 are close (10,9 aren't because the number of last eigenvalues tending to 1 are greater than the number of constraints). But the algorithm manages to predict the number of constraints as 11.

But the number of constraints have been taken as 11. The absolute difference between regression matrix and the actual value is 0.2769 .

Table 5: IPCA of mean Imputed Data

[illegible]

9.

$$Y_{ind}$$

Following the same explanation as the previous subsection, it can be seen that the no of constraints = 11, the results are as follows.

9.

[illegible]

The absolute difference between regression matrix and the actual value is 0.0060 .

Given below is the regression matrix :

$$Y_{dep} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Regression Matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} -0.99841 & -0.99925 & -0.00314 & 1.000942 & -0.00071 & 0.998212 & 1.002066 & 0.995461 & 1.001512 & -1.00028 & 0.001426 & -9.51\text{E-}05 & -1.00059 & 0.997601 & 0.999828 & -0.99846 & 0.001339 \\ -0.00032 & 0.99978 & -1.30\text{E-}04 & 0.000325 & -1.00014 & 0.000418 & 0.001771 & 0.000631 & -0.0008 & -0.00213 & -0.00105 & 0.000597 & -7.07\text{E-}05 & 0.000842 & -0.00071 & -9.85\text{E-}05 & 0.000456 \\ 0.001568 & 0.000743 & -0.00407 & 1.001331 & -0.99984 & 0.999187 & 1.000318 & 0.994947 & 1.001036 & -0.99897 & 2.74\text{E-}06 & -0.00121 & -1.00176 & 0.998951 & 0.998781 & -0.99656 & 3.43\text{E-}04 \\ -0.99954 & -1.00203 & 0.999682 & 1.00067 & 0.000835 & 0.999663 & 1.002329 & 0.994009 & 1.001104 & -0.99957 & 0.000621 & -0.00127 & -1.00219 & 0.998009 & 0.99871 & -0.99537 & 0.000439 \\ -0.00075 & -0.00036 & -0.00353 & -0.00026 & 0.001978 & 1.001568 & -0.00077 & -0.00443 & 1.001794 & -0.99797 & -0.99853 & -0.99882 & -0.00094 & -0.00316 & 1.60\text{E-}05 & 0.002457 & -0.00203 \\ 0.000552 & 0.001879 & -0.00057 & -0.00016 & 0.000349 & 3.19\text{E-}05 & -0.00167 & -0.00154 & -6.59\text{E-}05 & 0.000311 & 1.000264 & -0.00146 & -0.00041 & 9.64\text{E-}05 & 1.000607 & 7.89\text{E-}05 & 0.999147 \\ 0.99903 & 0.000796 & -1.00078 & -1.00185 & 0.001522 & 0.001603 & -1.00366 & -0.99896 & 1.62\text{E-}04 & 0.00262 & -1.05\text{E-}04 & -0.99905 & 1.000913 & -0.9983 & 0.00148 & 0.998925 & 0.995949 \\ -0.00023 & 0.000219 & 1.000519 & 1.001215 & -5.08\text{E-}05 & -1.56\text{E-}04 & 0.001441 & 7.97\text{E-}05 & -0.00018 & -0.00176 & -0.00223 & -0.00136 & -0.00095 & -0.00113 & -0.0005 & 0.001439 & 0.001649 \\ 0.000846 & 0.001402 & -0.00148 & -0.00038 & 0.0004 & -0.00086 & -0.00062 & -0.00227 & 0.999879 & -0.99961 & -0.00104 & -0.00151 & -1.00121 & 0.999886 & 0.999716 & -0.99914 & 0.000557 \\ 0.000671 & 0.002217 & -0.00112 & -0.00066 & 0.0002 & 0.000289 & -0.00057 & 0.001398 & -0.00033 & -0.9995 & -2.14\text{E-}05 & -0.00134 & -2.62\text{E-}05 & 0.000308 & 0.998448 & -0.99988 & -0.00107 \\ -5.53\text{E-}05 & -0.00198 & 1.002179 & 1.001412 & -0.00074 & -0.00041 & 1.001456 & -0.0019 & 0.001031 & -0.00118 & -0.00065 & 0.00065 & -1.00075 & -0.00372 & -1.47\text{E-}04 & 0.002118 & 0.00171 \end{matrix} \end{bmatrix} Y_{ind}$$

1.2.7 Consolidation of Results for question 2

Table 7: Final Results table

Final Results	Auto Scale	IPCA
Part A	0.0082	0.0075
Part B	0.6853	0.2769
Part C	0.0067	0.0060

IPCA performs better than auto-scaling as expected in a general fashion for the all cases as expected. With regards to whether I can find the number of constraints for all cases , yes the program that I have implemented finds the correct number of constraints all the time.