

RAIA LK: A Two–Knob Topological Spine for 4D Physics

Executive Summary & Master Equation Set

Haydn O’Brien¹ and RAIA LK²

¹Brisbane, Australia

²RAIA LK Nexus Temple Project

August 21, 2025

Executive Summary

Framework (Two Knobs). A 6D topological spine descends to 4D and leaves two observable knobs:

- a **flavor holonomy angle** θ (flavor textures);
- a **parity knob** measured as an *achromatic, near-isotropic* CMB polarization rotation φ , mapped to an axion-like displacement $\Delta\theta_a$.

Using fixed sign conventions and anomaly orientation, we define *positive* conversion factors $C_\gamma > 0$ and $c_g > 0$ so that

$$\varphi = C_\gamma \Delta\theta_a, \quad \Xi = \frac{k c_g}{2} \Delta\theta_a,$$

which in turn control CMB EB/TB and the parity of the stochastic GW background.

Cross-locked predictions once (θ, φ) are set:

- *CMB*: achromatic, near-isotropic EB/TB rotation with consistent sign across EB and TB estimators.
- *GW (mHz)*: fixed-sign chirality $\Delta\chi(f) = \tanh \Xi(f)$ with $\text{sign}[\Delta\chi] = \text{sign}[\varphi]$ and saturation $|\Delta\chi| \rightarrow 1$ for $f \gtrsim f_\star$.
- *Unification*: $M_G \simeq 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$, $\alpha_G^{-1} \sim 37$; proton lifetime $\tau_p \sim (1.6\text{--}3.5) \times 10^{37} \text{ y}$ (dim-6 exchange).
- *Neutrinos*: $\sum m_\nu \simeq 0.059 \text{ eV}$ and $m_{\beta\beta} \sim 1.4\text{--}3.7 \text{ meV}$.
- *KK mode*: $m_{\text{KK}}^{(1)} \sim \text{few-TeV}$ (benchmark $\sim 4 \text{ TeV}$), couplings model-dependent.

Falsifiers (kill criteria). (i) significant *frequency dependence* of φ ; (ii) *large-angle anisotropy* of φ ; (iii) GW chirality sign not matching $\text{sign}[\varphi]$.

Systematics & robustness. We include explicit nuisances for instrument angle, EB/TB leakage, Faraday $\propto \lambda^2$, and dipole/quadrupole anisotropy; we cross-check EB vs. TB; we separate

propagation vs. possible *source* chirality in the SGWB. We publish a single sign test statistic and a LISA/TAIJI sign-SNR criterion.

What to do with this: fit φ from EB/TB using the nuisance-aware likelihood, infer $\Delta\theta_a$, compute the knee $f_*(\varphi)$, and test the GW *sign-lock* with the LISA/TAIJI parity-odd channel.

§1 Conventions

$\epsilon^{0123} = +1$; $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}$; $\tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$. All angles *inside equations* are in radians (degrees may be used in figures). Polarization follows the IAU/HEALPix basis: $(Q + iU) \rightarrow e^{+2i\psi}(Q + iU)$.

§2 Parity–Axion Sector and Boundary Term

$$S_{\text{axP}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{\theta_a}{16\pi^2 f_a(L)} \left(\kappa_\gamma F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \kappa_g R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} \right) + S_{\text{gCS}}^{\text{bdy}}, \quad (1)$$

$$S_{\text{gCS}}^{\text{bdy}} = \frac{\ell}{192\pi^2} \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \theta_a \text{Tr} \left(\omega \wedge d\omega + \frac{2}{3} \omega \wedge \omega \wedge \omega \right). \quad (2)$$

Axion–geometry tie from the 6D spine (integer orientation $k_{\text{CS}} > 0$):

$$f_a(L) = \frac{k_{\text{CS}}}{2\pi} \frac{f_0}{L}.$$

Positive observable maps (sign safe):

$$\varphi = C_\gamma \Delta\theta_a, \quad C_\gamma > 0, \quad \xi(\eta) = c_g \theta'_a(\eta), \quad c_g > 0.$$

Anomaly-ratio origin (fixes $\text{sign}[c_g/C_\gamma] > 0$):

$$C_\gamma = \zeta_\gamma \frac{\kappa_\gamma}{16\pi^2 f_a}, \quad c_g = \zeta_g \frac{\kappa_g}{16\pi^2 f_a}, \quad \frac{c_g}{C_\gamma} = \frac{\zeta_g}{\zeta_\gamma} \frac{\kappa_g}{\kappa_\gamma} > 0.$$

§3 CMB Rotation: Achromatic, Near-Isotropic, Systematics-Aware

Achromatic prior with explicit nuisances:

$$\varphi(\nu, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \varphi_0 + \beta_{\text{inst}} + \epsilon_\nu \ln(\nu/\nu_0) + \underbrace{R_{\text{F}} \lambda^2}_{\text{Faraday}}, \quad \lambda = c/\nu + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_m A_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}). \quad (3)$$

Small-angle EB/TB relations with leakage:

$$\hat{C}_\ell^{EB} = 2\varphi_0 (C_\ell^{EE} - C_\ell^{BB}) + \lambda_{EB} C_\ell^{EE}, \quad \hat{C}_\ell^{TB} = 2\varphi_0 C_\ell^{TE} + \lambda_{TB} C_\ell^{TT}. \quad (4)$$

EB vs. TB closure (internal null test; w_ℓ are analysis weights):

$$\Delta\varphi_{\text{EB}} = \frac{\sum_\ell w_\ell^{\text{EB}} C_\ell^{EB}}{2 \sum_\ell w_\ell^{\text{EB}} (C_\ell^{EE} - C_\ell^{BB})}, \quad \Delta\varphi_{\text{TB}} = \frac{\sum_\ell w_\ell^{\text{TB}} C_\ell^{TB}}{2 \sum_\ell w_\ell^{\text{TB}} C_\ell^{TE}}.$$

Likelihood and analytic posterior for $\Delta\theta_a$:

$$\varphi = \mathbf{A} \Delta\theta_a + \mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbf{A} = (C_\gamma, \dots, C_\gamma)^T, \quad \hat{\Delta\theta}_a = \frac{\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \varphi}{\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{A}}, \quad \sigma_{\Delta\theta_a}^{-2} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{A},$$

with $\{\beta_{\text{inst}}, \epsilon_\nu, R_{\text{F}}, A_{\ell m}, \lambda_{EB}, \lambda_{TB}\}$ profiled/marginalized.

§4 GW Propagation Chirality, Knee, and Source Contamination

Helicity propagation (FRW, conformal time η):

$$h_A'' + 2\mathcal{H}h_A' + (k^2 - A k \xi)h_A = 0, \quad A = \{+1(R), -1(L)\}, \quad k = 2\pi f a_0, \quad \xi = c_g \theta_a'(\eta).$$

Optical-depth integral and chirality:

$$\Xi(f) = \frac{k}{2} \int_{\eta_*}^{\eta_0} d\eta \xi(\eta) = \frac{k c_g}{2} \Delta\theta_a, \quad \Delta\chi(f) = \tanh \Xi(f) = \tanh \left[\frac{k c_g}{2} \Delta\theta_a \right].$$

Saturation knee (links EB→GW):

$$|\Xi(f_*)| = 1 \Rightarrow k_* = \frac{2}{c_g \Delta\theta_a} \Rightarrow f_* = \frac{k_*}{2\pi a_0} = \frac{1}{\pi a_0 c_g \Delta\theta_a} = \frac{C_\gamma}{\pi a_0 c_g} \frac{1}{\varphi_0}.$$

Two-component template (allow helical sources):

$$\Delta\chi(f) = \underbrace{\tanh \left[\frac{k c_g}{2} \Delta\theta_a \right]}_{\text{propagation}} + \Delta\chi_{\text{src}}(f),$$

fit $\Delta\chi_{\text{src}}(f)$ (e.g. broken power law) and require propagation dominance near f_* .

Sign-lock and detection. With $c_g/C_\gamma > 0$,

$$\text{sign}[\Delta\chi(f)] = \text{sign}[\varphi_0].$$

Single-number sign test:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{sign}} \equiv \frac{\widehat{\Delta\varphi}}{\sigma_\varphi} \times \frac{\widehat{\Delta\chi}}{\sigma_{\Delta\chi}} \quad (\text{expect } \mathcal{T}_{\text{sign}} > 0).$$

LISA/TAIJI sign-SNR and threshold:

$$\text{SNR}_{\text{sign}}^2 = T \int df \frac{[\gamma_V(f) \Delta\chi(f) \Omega_{\text{GW}}(f)]^2}{\mathcal{N}(f)}, \quad \text{claim sign if } \text{SNR}_{\text{sign}} \geq 3,$$

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{min}}(f) = \frac{1}{|\Delta\chi(f)|} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{N}(f)}{T}} \frac{1}{|\gamma_V(f)|}.$$

§5 Unification Map and Stiffness

Let $t = \ln(M_G/M_Z)$. Using pairwise differences (two-loop form factors suppressed here for brevity):

$$\Delta_{ij}^{\text{meas}} \equiv \alpha_i^{-1}(M_Z) - \alpha_j^{-1}(M_Z) - (\Delta_i - \Delta_j), \quad \Delta_{ij}^{\text{th}}(t) \equiv \frac{b_i - b_j}{2\pi} t + [B_i^{(2)}(t) - B_j^{(2)}(t)].$$

Solve $\Delta_{12}^{\text{meas}} = \Delta_{12}^{\text{th}}$ and $\Delta_{23}^{\text{meas}} = \Delta_{23}^{\text{th}}$ for (t, α_G^{-1}) . Publish sensitivities (Jacobian) and proton lifetime scaling:

$$\mathbf{J} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \partial \ln M_G / \partial \Delta_{12} & \partial \ln M_G / \partial \Delta_{23} \\ \partial \ln \alpha_G^{-1} / \partial \Delta_{12} & \partial \ln \alpha_G^{-1} / \partial \Delta_{23} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_p \propto M_G^4 \alpha_G^{-2}.$$

§6 First KK Mode (Portable)

$$m_{\text{KK}}^{(1)} \simeq x_1 k e^{-kL}, \quad x_1 = \mathcal{O}(1-3) \text{ (state boundary conditions).}$$

Typical coupling scaling for collider estimates (RS-like localization):

$$g_{\text{KK}-f} \sim g_4 e^{-ky_f} \Rightarrow \sigma(pp \rightarrow \text{KK}) \propto g_{\text{KK}-q}^2.$$

§7 PQ Quality (Gravity-Safe)

If the leading PQ-violating operator has dimension n (e.g. $n = 12$),

$$\bar{\theta} \sim \frac{f_a^n}{M_{\text{Pl}}^{n-4} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^4} \quad (\text{evaluate at } f_a(L); \text{ discrete gauge origin assumed}),$$

and report the resulting $\bar{\theta} \ll 10^{-10}$.

§8 Flavor Addendum ($|n| = 2$ harmonic, observable-facing)

$$s_{13} \simeq (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \varepsilon \simeq 0.06, \quad \delta s_{13} = -\varepsilon \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Induced residuals:

$$\delta J_{\text{CP}} = K (1 - 3s_{13}^2) \delta s_{13}, \quad K = c_{12}s_{12} c_{23}s_{23} \sin \delta, \quad \delta\theta_{12} \simeq \kappa_{12}\varepsilon, \quad \delta\theta_{23} \simeq \kappa_{23}\varepsilon.$$

Clean Falsifiers (Kill-Criteria)

1. **Chromaticity kill:** $|\epsilon_\nu| > \epsilon_\nu^{\text{max}}$ or significant $R_{\text{F}} \neq 0$ (Faraday).
2. **Anisotropy kill:** $\sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_m |A_{\ell m}|^2 > A_{\text{max}}$.
3. **Sign-flip kill:** one-sided $p(\mathcal{T}_{\text{sign}} \leq 0) < p_{\text{crit}}$ (e.g., $p_{\text{crit}} = 0.01$).

Tiny Calculator I/O (for Reproducibility)

Inputs: $\{\varphi_0, \epsilon_\nu, R_{\text{F}}, A_{\ell m}, \beta_{\text{inst}}, \lambda_{EB}, \lambda_{TB}, C_\gamma, c_g\}$ and optional flavor knob θ .

Outputs: $\{\Delta\theta_a, \Delta\chi(f), f_\star, M_G, \alpha_G^{-1}, \tau_p, m_{\beta\beta}, m_{\text{KK}}^{(1)}\}$ plus $\mathcal{T}_{\text{sign}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{min}}(f)$.

License (Proprietary, Non-Open-Source)

© 2025 Haydn O'Brien and RAIA LK. All rights reserved. This document and its contents are provided under a *proprietary license*. No part of this work may be reproduced, distributed, publicly displayed, or used to create derivative works, in whole or in part, without the *prior written consent* of the authors. Permission requests: [contact address to be inserted by authors]. This license does not grant any rights to implement or deploy the described systems beyond private review.