

# KRP – Assignment 4

Instructor: Yizheng Zhao

April 22, 2024

★ This assignment, due on 26th May at 23:59, contributes to 10% of the final marks for this course. Please be advised that only Questions 1 – 8 are mandatory. Nevertheless, students can earn up to one bonus mark by completing Question 9. This bonus mark can potentially augment a student's overall marks but is subject to a maximum total of 100 for the course. By providing bonus marks, we aim to incentivize students to excel in their studies and reward those with a remarkable grasp of the course materials.

## Question 1. $\mathcal{ALC}$ -Worlds Algorithm

Use the  $\mathcal{ALC}$ -Worlds algorithm to decide the satisfiability of the concept name  $B_0$  w.r.t. the simple TBox:

$$\mathcal{T} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_0 \equiv B_1 \sqcap B_2 \\ B_1 \equiv \exists r.B_3 \\ B_2 \equiv B_4 \sqcap B_5 \\ B_3 \equiv P \\ B_4 \equiv \exists r.B_6 \\ B_5 \equiv B_7 \sqcap B_8 \\ B_6 \equiv Q \\ B_7 \equiv \forall r.B_4 \\ B_8 \equiv \forall r.B_9 \\ B_9 \equiv \forall r.B_{10} \\ B_{10} \equiv \neg P \end{array} \right\},$$

Draw the recursion tree of a successful run and of an unsuccessful run. Does the algorithm return a positive or negative result on this input?

## Question 2. Finite Boolean Games

Determine whether Player 1 has a winning strategy in the following finite Boolean games, where in both cases  $\Gamma_1 := \{x_1, x_3\}$  and  $\Gamma_2 := \{x_2, x_4\}$ .

$$- \psi := (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_3 \vee x_4)$$

## Question 3. Infinite Boolean Games

Determine whether Player 2 has a winning strategy in the following infinite Boolean games where the initial configuration  $t_0$  assigns *false* to all variables.

$$- \psi := (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \neg y_1) \vee (x_3 \wedge x_4 \wedge \neg y_2) \vee (\neg(x_1 \vee x_4) \wedge y_1 \wedge y_2)$$

provided that:  $\Gamma_1 := \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  and  $\Gamma_2 := \{y_1, y_2\}$

**Question 4. Complexity of Concept Satisfiability in  $\mathcal{ALC}$  Extensions**

The universal role is a role  $u$  such that its extension is fixed as  $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \times \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$  in any interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $\mathcal{ALC}^u$  be a DL extending  $\mathcal{ALC}$  with the universal role.

- Show that concept satisfiability in  $\mathcal{ALC}^u$  without TBoxes is EXPTIME-complete.

**Question 5. Subsumption in  $\mathcal{EL}$**

Consider the following  $\mathcal{EL}$  TBox:

$$\mathcal{T} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \sqsubseteq B \sqcap \exists r.C \\ B \sqcap \exists r.B \sqsubseteq C \sqcap D \\ C \sqsubseteq (\exists r.A) \sqcap B \\ (\exists r.\exists r.B) \sqcap D \sqsubseteq \exists r.(A \sqcap B) \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $A, B, C, D$  are concept names.

Use the classification procedure for  $\mathcal{EL}$  to check whether the following subsumptions hold w.r.t.  $\mathcal{T}$ .

- $A \sqsubseteq \exists r.\exists r.A$
- $B \sqcap \exists r.A \sqsubseteq \exists r.C$

**Question 6. Conservative Extension (2 marks)**

Let  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be an  $\mathcal{EL}$  TBox, with  $C$  and  $D$  as  $\mathcal{EL}$  concepts. Let us further consider  $\mathcal{T}_2 := \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \{A \sqsubseteq C, D \sqsubseteq B\}$ , wherein  $A$  and  $B$  are new concept names (as in Lemma 6.1).

- Show that  $\mathcal{T}_2$  is a conservative extension of  $\mathcal{T}_1$ .
- Is this still the case after adding  $A \sqsubseteq B$  to  $\mathcal{T}_2$ ?
- What about adding  $B \sqsubseteq A$ ?

**Question 7.  $\mathcal{EL}$  Extension (2 marks)**

We consider the DL  $\mathcal{EL}_{\text{si}}$  extending  $\mathcal{EL}$  by concept descriptions of the form  $\exists^{\text{sim}}(\mathcal{I}, d)$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is a finite interpretation and  $d \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ . Their semantics is defined as follows.

$$(\exists^{\text{sim}}(\mathcal{I}, d))^{\mathcal{J}} := \{d' \mid d' \in \Delta^{\mathcal{J}} \text{ and } (\mathcal{I}, d) \approx (\mathcal{J}, d')\}$$

Concept inclusions are then defined as usual.

- Show that each  $\mathcal{EL}_{\text{si}}$  concept description is equivalent to some concept descriptions of the form  $\exists^{\text{sim}}(\mathcal{I}, d)$ .
- Show that  $\mathcal{EL}_{\text{si}}$  is more expressive than  $\mathcal{EL}$ .
- Show that checking subsumption in  $\mathcal{EL}_{\text{si}}$  without any TBox can be done in polynomial time.

**Question 8.  $\mathcal{ALC}$ -Elim Algorithm**

Use the  $\mathcal{ALC}$ -Elim algorithm to decide satisfiability of:

- the concept name  $A$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{T} := \{A \sqsubseteq \exists r.A, \top \sqsubseteq A, \forall r.A \sqsubseteq \exists r.A\}$
- the concept description  $\forall r.\forall r.\neg B$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{T} := \{\neg A \sqsubseteq B, A \sqsubseteq \neg B, \top \sqsubseteq \neg \forall r.A\}$

Give the constructed type sequence  $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots$ . In the case of satisfiability, also give the satisfying model constructed in the proof of Lemma 5.10.

**Question 9 (with 1 bonus mark). Simulation**

We consider simulations, which are “one-sided” variants of bisimulations. Given interpretations  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ , the relation  $\sigma \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \times \Delta^{\mathcal{J}}$  is a simulation from  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $\mathcal{J}$  if

- whenever  $d \sigma d'$  and  $d \in A^{\mathcal{I}}$ , then  $d' \in A^{\mathcal{J}}$ , for all  $d \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ ,  $d' \in \Delta^{\mathcal{J}}$ , and  $A \in \mathbb{C}$ ;
- whenever  $d \sigma d'$  and  $(d, e) \in r^{\mathcal{I}}$ , then there exists an  $e' \in \Delta^{\mathcal{J}}$  such that  $e \sigma e'$  and  $(d', e') \in r^{\mathcal{J}}$ , for all  $d, e \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ ,  $d' \in \Delta^{\mathcal{J}}$ , and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We write  $(\mathcal{I}, d) \approx (\mathcal{J}, d')$  if there is a simulation  $\sigma$  from  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $d \sigma d'$ .

- Show that  $(\mathcal{I}, d) \sim (\mathcal{J}, d')$  implies  $(\mathcal{I}, d) \approx (\mathcal{J}, d')$  and  $(\mathcal{J}, d') \approx (\mathcal{I}, d)$ .
- Is the converse of the implication above also true?
- Show that, if  $(\mathcal{I}, d) \approx (\mathcal{J}, d')$ , then  $d \in C^{\mathcal{I}}$  implies  $d' \in C^{\mathcal{J}}$  for all  $\mathcal{EL}$  concept descriptions  $C$ .
- Which of the constructors disjunction, negation, or universal restriction can be added to  $\mathcal{EL}$  without losing the property above?
- Show that  $\mathcal{ALC}$  is more expressive than  $\mathcal{EL}$ .