

Lecture 13: Structured Prediction Detection and Segmentation

Administrative

- A2 grades are out.
- A4 posted
- Quiz 3 due friday


Transfer learning

“You need a lot of data if you want to
train/use CNNs”



“You need a lot of data if you want to
train/use CNNs”

BUSTED

Transfer Learning with CNNs




Transfer Learning with CNNs



AlexNet:
 $64 \times 3 \times 11 \times 11$

(More on this in Lecture 13)

Transfer Learning with CNNs



Test image

L2 Nearest neighbors in feature space




(More on this in Lecture 13)

Transfer Learning with CNNs

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014
Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014


1. Train on Imagenet




Transfer Learning with CNNs

Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014
Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

1. Train on Imagenet




2. Small Dataset (C classes)




Transfer Learning with CNNs


1. Train on Imagenet



2. Small Dataset (C classes)




Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014
Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014




Donahue et al., "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition". ICML 2014

Transfer Learning with CNNs


1. Train on Imagenet




2. Small Dataset (C classes)




3. Bigger dataset






	very similar dataset	very different dataset
very little data	?	?
quite a lot of data	?	?



	very similar dataset	very different dataset
very little data	Use Linear Classifier on top layer	?
quite a lot of data	Finetune a few layers	?



More specific

More generic

	very similar dataset	very different dataset
very little data	Use Linear Classifier on top layer	You're in trouble... Try linear classifier from different stages
quite a lot of data	Finetune a few layers	Finetune a larger number of layers

Transfer learning with CNNs is pervasive... (it's the norm, not an exception)

Object Detection
(Fast R-CNN)





Image Captioning: CNN + RNN




Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015. Reproduced with permission.

Karpathy and Fei-Fei, "Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions", CVPR 2015
Figure copyright IEEE, 2015. Reproduced for educational purposes.


Transfer learning with CNNs is pervasive... (it's the norm, not an exception)

Object Detection
(Fast R-CNN)



CNN pretrained
on ImageNet

Image Captioning: CNN + RNN




Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015. Reproduced with permission.

Karpathy and Fei-Fei, "Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions", CVPR 2015
Figure copyright IEEE, 2015. Reproduced for educational purposes.


Transfer learning with CNNs is pervasive... (it's the norm, not an exception)

Object Detection
(Fast R-CNN)



CNN pretrained
on ImageNet

Image Captioning: CNN + RNN




Word embedding layer
pretrained with word2vec

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015. Reproduced with permission.

Karpathy and Fei-Fei, "Deep Visual-Semantic Alignments for Generating Image Descriptions", CVPR 2015
Figure copyright IEEE, 2015. Reproduced for educational purposes.

Transfer learning with CNNs is pervasive... (it's the norm, not an exception)




Zhou et al., "Unified Vision-Language Pre-Training for Image Captioning and VQA" CVPR 2020
Figure copyright Luwei Zhou, 2020. Reproduced with permission.

1. Train CNN on **ImageNet**
2. Fine-Tune (1) for object detection on **Visual Genome**
1. Train **BERT** language model on lots of text
2. Combine(2) and (3), train for joint image / language modeling
3. Fine-tune (4) for image captioning, visual question answering, etc.

Krishna et al., "Visual genome: Connecting language and vision using crowdsourced dense image annotations" IJCV 2017
Devlin et al. "BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding" ArXiv 2018

Transfer learning is pervasive...

But recent results show it might not always be necessary!



Training from scratch can work just as well as training from a pretrained ImageNet model for object detection

But it takes 2-3x as long to train.

They also find that collecting more data is better than finetuning on a related task

He et al, "Rethinking ImageNet Pre-training", ICCV 2019
Figure copyright Kaiming He, 2019. Reproduced with permission.

Takeaway for your projects and beyond:

Transfer learning be like



Source: AI & Deep Learning Memes For Back-propagated Poets

Takeaway for your projects and beyond:

Have some dataset of interest but it has < ~1M images?

1. Find a very large dataset that has similar data, train a big neural network there
2. Transfer learn to your dataset

Deep learning frameworks provide a “Model Zoo” of pretrained models so you don’t need to train your own

TensorFlow: <https://github.com/tensorflow/models>

PyTorch: <https://github.com/pytorch/vision>

Image Classification: A core task in Computer Vision



(assume given a set of possible labels)
{dog, cat, truck, plane, ...}



cat

This image by [Nikita](#) is
licensed under [CC-BY 2.0](#)

Structured prediction tasks in vision


Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

Instance Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

This image is CC0 public domain

Semantic Segmentation


Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object
Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance
Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT


Multiple Object

Semantic Segmentation: The Problem



**GRASS, CAT,
TREE, SKY, ...**

Paired training data: for each training image,
each pixel is labeled with a semantic category.



At test time, classify each pixel of a new image.

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window

Full image



Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window




?

Impossible to classify without context


Q: how do we include context?

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window



Q: how do we model this?


Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window



Farabet et al, "Learning Hierarchical Features for Scene Labeling," TPAMI 2013

Pinheiro and Collobert, "Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling", ICML 2014

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window




Problem: Very inefficient! Not reusing shared features between overlapping patches

Farabet et al, "Learning Hierarchical Features for Scene Labeling," TPAMI 2013
Pinheiro and Collobert, "Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling", ICML 2014

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Convolution

Full image




An intuitive idea: encode the entire image with conv net, and do semantic segmentation on top.

Problem: classification architectures often reduce feature spatial sizes to go deeper, but semantic segmentation requires the output size to be the same as input size.


Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design a network with only convolutional layers without downsampling operators to make predictions for pixels all at once!



Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design a network with only convolutional layers without downsampling operators to make predictions for pixels all at once!




Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with
downsampling and **upsampling** inside the network!



Input:
 $3 \times H \times W$



Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation", CVPR 2015
Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015


Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Downsampling:
Pooling, strided
convolution



Input:
 $3 \times H \times W$

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with
downsampling and **upsampling** inside the network!



Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation", CVPR 2015
Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015

In-Network upsampling: “Unpooling”

Nearest Neighbor

1	2
3	4



1	1	2	2
1	1	2	2
3	3	4	4
3	3	4	4

Input: 2 x 2

Output: 4 x 4

“Bed of Nails”

1	2
3	4



1	0	2	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	4	0
0	0	0	0

Input: 2 x 2

Output: 4 x 4

In-Network upsampling: “Max Unpooling”

Max Pooling

Remember which element was max!

1	2	6	3
3	5	2	1
1	2	2	1
7	3	4	8

Input: 4 x 4

5	6
7	8

Output: 2 x 2

Max Unpooling

Use positions from pooling layer


1	2
3	4

Input: 2 x 2

0	0	2	0
0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	0	4


Output: 4 x 4

Corresponding pairs of
downsampling and
upsampling layers




Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3×3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1




Input: 4×4



Output: 4×4


Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3×3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1




Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3×3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1




Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3×3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1




Input: 4×4



Output: 2×2


Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3×3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1




Learnable Upsampling

Recall: Normal 3×3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 4×4

Dot product
between filter
and input



Output: 2×2


Filter moves 2 pixels in
the input for every one
pixel in the output

Stride gives ratio between
movement in input and
output


We can interpret strided
convolution as “learnable
downsampling”.

Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution

3×3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1




Input: 2×2



Output: 4×4


Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1




Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1




Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution



Learnable Upsampling: Transposed Convolution


Q: Why is it called transpose convolution?

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 2 x 2

Input gives weight for filter




Output: 4 x 4

Filter moves 2 pixels in the output for every one pixel in the input

Stride gives ratio between movement in output and input

Sum where output overlaps

Learnable Upsampling: 1D Example



Convolution as Matrix Multiplication (1D Example)

We can express convolution in terms of a matrix multiplication

$$\vec{x} * \vec{a} = X\vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ay + bz \\ bx + cy + dz \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D conv, kernel size=3, stride=2, padding=1

Convolution as Matrix Multiplication (1D Example)

We can express convolution in terms of a matrix multiplication

$$\vec{x} * \vec{a} = X\vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ay + bz \\ bx + cy + dz \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D conv, kernel size=3, stride=2, padding=1

Convolution transpose multiplies by the transpose of the same matrix:

$$\vec{x} *^T \vec{a} = X^T \vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \\ z & x \\ 0 & y \\ 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax \\ ay \\ az + bx \\ by \\ bz \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D transpose conv, kernel size=3, stride=2, padding=0

Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional


Downsampling:
Pooling, strided convolution



Input:
 $3 \times H \times W$

Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation", CVPR 2015
Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with **downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!



Upsampling:
Unpooling or strided transpose convolution




Predictions:
 $H \times W$

Today: UNet with residual connections






Input:
 $3 \times H \times W$



Predictions:
 $H \times W$

Newell et al. Stacked Hourglass Networks for Human Pose Estimation. ECCV 2016

Semantic Segmentation: Summary





Semantic Segmentation

Label each pixel in the image with a category label

Don't differentiate instances, only care about pixels



[This image is CC0 public domain](#)



Object Detection

Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object
Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

Instance
Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

Object Detection


Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object
Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT




Multiple Object

Instance
Segmentation




DOG, DOG, CAT


Object Detection: Single Object (Classification + Localization)



Object Detection: Single Object (Classification + Localization)



This image is CC0 public domain



Treat localization as a
regression problem!

Vector:
4096
Fully
Connected:
4096 to 4

Class Scores
Cat: 0.9
Dog: 0.05
Car: 0.01
...




**Box
Coordinates** → L2 Loss
(x, y, w, h)

Correct label:
Cat




Softmax
Loss

Correct box:
(x', y', w', h')

Object Detection: Single Object (Classification + Localization)



Object Detection: Multiple Objects



CAT: (x, y, w, h)

DOG: (x, y, w, h)

DOG: (x, y, w, h)

CAT: (x, y, w, h)


DUCK: (x, y, w, h)

DUCK: (x, y, w, h)

...


Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Each image needs a different number of outputs!



CAT: (x, y, w, h)


4 numbers



DOG: (x, y, w, h)

12 numbers

CAT: (x, y, w, h)



DUCK: (x, y, w, h)


Many numbers!

DUCK: (x, y, w, h)

...

Object Detection: Multiple Objects


Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? NO
Cat? NO
Background? YES

Object Detection: Multiple Objects


Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? YES
Cat? NO
Background? NO

Object Detection: Multiple Objects


Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? YES
Cat? NO
Background? NO

Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background





Dog? NO
Cat? YES
Background? NO

Q: What's the problem with this approach?

Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background




Dog? NO
Cat? YES
Background? NO

Problem: Need to apply CNN to huge number of locations, scales, and aspect ratios, very computationally expensive!

Region Proposals: Selective Search

- Find “blobby” image regions that are likely to contain objects
- Relatively fast to run; e.g. Selective Search gives 2000 region proposals in a few seconds on CPU




Alexe et al, "Measuring the objectness of image windows", TPAMI 2012

Uijlings et al, "Selective Search for Object Recognition", IJCV 2013

Cheng et al, "BING: Binarized normed gradients for objectness estimation at 300fps", CVPR 2014

Zitnick and Dollar, "Edge boxes: Locating object proposals from edges", ECCV 2014

R-CNN



Input image

Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN




Input image

Regions of Interest
(RoI) from a proposal
method (~2k)


Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN




Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN



Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.


R-CNN



Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN


Predict “corrections” to the RoI: 4 numbers: (dx, dy, dw, dh)



Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

R-CNN

Predict “corrections” to the RoI: 4 numbers: (dx, dy, dw, dh)




Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.

Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

“Slow” R-CNN

Predict “corrections” to the RoI: 4 numbers: (dx, dy, dw, dh)




Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

Fast R-CNN



Input image


“Slow” R-CNN



Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.


Fast R-CNN

“Backbone” network:
AlexNet, VGG,
ResNet, etc





Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

“Slow” R-CNN



Fast R-CNN




Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

Fast R-CNN


Regions of Interest (RoIs)
from a proposal
method

“Backbone”
network:
AlexNet, VGG,
ResNet, etc




Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.


“Slow” R-CNN



Fast R-CNN





“Slow” R-CNN




Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

Fast R-CNN



Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)





Image features: $C \times H \times W$
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.


Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.


Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool




Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)

Project proposal
onto features




“Snap” to
grid cells

Q: how do we resize the $512 \times 20 \times 15$ region to, e.g., a $512 \times 2 \times 2$ tensor?


Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)

Project proposal
onto features




“Snap” to
grid cells

Divide into 2×2
grid of (roughly)
equal subregions

Q: how do we resize the $512 \times 20 \times 15$ region to, e.g., a $512 \times 2 \times 2$ tensor?

Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)

Project proposal
onto features





Image features: $C \times H \times W$
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

“Snap” to
grid cells

Divide into 2×2
grid of (roughly)
equal subregions

Max-pool within
each subregion




Region features
(here $512 \times 2 \times 2$;
In practice e.g. $512 \times 7 \times 7$)

Region features always the
same size even if input
regions have different sizes!

Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)

Project proposal
onto features





Image features: $C \times H \times W$
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

"Snap" to
grid cells

Divide into 2×2
grid of (roughly)
equal subregions

Max-pool within
each subregion




Region features
(here $512 \times 2 \times 2$;
In practice e.g. $512 \times 7 \times 7$)

Region features always the
same size even if input
regions have different sizes!

Problem: Region features slightly misaligned


Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Cropping Features: RoI Align



He et al, "Mask R-CNN", ICCV 2017

Cropping Features: RoI Align



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)

Project proposal
onto features



No “snapping”!





Image features: $C \times H \times W$
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

Sample at regular points
in each subregion using
bilinear interpolation

Cropping Features: RoI Align



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)

Project proposal
onto features



No “snapping”!






Image features: $C \times H \times W$
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

Sample at regular points
in each subregion using
bilinear interpolation



Feature f_{xy} for point (x, y)
is a linear combination of
features at its four
neighboring grid cells:

Cropping Features: RoI Align



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)

Project proposal
onto features



No “snapping”!





Image features: $C \times H \times W$
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

Sample at regular points
in each subregion using
bilinear interpolation




Feature f_{xy} for point (x, y)
is a linear combination of
features at its four
neighboring grid cells:

$$f_{xy} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 f_{i,j} \max(0, 1 - |x - x_i|) \max(0, 1 - |y - y_j|)$$

He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

Cropping Features: RoI Align



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)

Project proposal
onto features



No “snapping”!





Image features: $C \times H \times W$
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

Sample at regular points
in each subregion using
bilinear interpolation


Max-pool within
each subregion




Region features
(here $512 \times 2 \times 2$;
In practice e.g $512 \times 7 \times 7$)

R-CNN vs Fast R-CNN

Training time (Hours)



Test time (seconds)




Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

He et al, "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition", ECCV 2014


Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015

R-CNN vs Fast R-CNN

Training time (Hours)



Test time (seconds)



Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

He et al, "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition", ECCV 2014


Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015

Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!

Insert **Region Proposal Network (RPN)** to predict proposals from features

Otherwise same as Fast R-CNN:
Crop features for each proposal,
classify each one



Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015
Figure copyright 2015, Ross Girshick; reproduced with permission

Region Proposal Network



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)





Image features
(e.g. $512 \times 20 \times 15$)

Region Proposal Network



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)




Imagine an **anchor box** of fixed size at each point in the feature map

Region Proposal Network




Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)



Region Proposal Network



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)




Region Proposal Network

In practice use K different anchor boxes of different size / scale at each point



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)




Region Proposal Network

In practice use K different anchor boxes of different size / scale at each point



Input Image
(e.g. $3 \times 640 \times 480$)




Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!

Jointly train with 4 losses:


1. RPN classify object / not object
2. RPN regress box coordinates
3. Final classification score (object classes)
4. Final box coordinates



Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015
Figure copyright 2015, Ross Girshick; reproduced with permission

Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!




Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!

Glossing over many details:

- Ignore overlapping proposals with **non-max suppression**
- How are anchors determined?
- How do we sample positive / negative samples for training the RPN?
- How to parameterize bounding box regression?



Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015
Figure copyright 2015, Ross Girshick; reproduced with permission

Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!


Faster R-CNN is a
Two-stage object detector

First stage: Run once per image

- Backbone network
- Region proposal network

Second stage: Run once per region

- Crop features: RoI pool / align
- Predict object class
- Prediction bbox offset



Faster R-CNN: Make CNN do proposals!

Faster R-CNN is a
Two-stage object detector


First stage: Run once per image

- Backbone network
- Region proposal network

Second stage: Run once per region

- Crop features: RoI pool / align
- Predict object class
- Prediction bbox offset


Do we really need
the second stage?



Single-Stage Object Detectors: YOLO / SSD / RetinaNet



Input image
 $3 \times H \times W$



Divide image into grid
 7×7

Image a set of **base boxes**
centered at each grid cell
Here $B = 3$

- Within each grid cell:
- Regress from each of the B base boxes to a final box with 5 numbers:
(dx , dy , dh , dw , confidence)
 - Predict scores for each of C classes (including background as a class)
 - Looks a lot like RPN, but category-specific!

Output:
 $7 \times 7 \times (5 * B + C)$

Redmon et al, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection", CVPR 2016
Liu et al, "SSD: Single-Shot MultiBox Detector", ECCV 2016
Lin et al, "Focal Loss for Dense Object Detection", ICCV 2017

Object Detection: Lots of variables ...

Backbone Network
VGG16
ResNet-101
Inception V2
Inception V3
Inception
ResNet
MobileNet

“Meta-Architecture”
Two-stage: Faster R-CNN
Single-stage: YOLO / SSD
Hybrid: R-FCN

Image Size
Region Proposals
...

Takeaways
Faster R-CNN is slower but more accurate
SSD is much faster but not as accurate
Bigger / Deeper backbones work better

Huang et al, “Speed/accuracy trade-offs for modern convolutional object detectors”, CVPR 2017

R-FCN: Dai et al, “R-FCN: Object Detection via Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks”, NIPS 2016
Inception-V2: Ioffe and Szegedy, “Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift”, ICML 2015
Inception V3: Szegedy et al, “Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision”, arXiv 2016
Inception ResNet: Szegedy et al, “Inception-V4, Inception-ResNet and the Impact of Residual Connections on Learning”, arXiv 2016
MobileNet: Howard et al, “Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications”, arXiv 2017

Object Detection: Lots of variables ...

Backbone
Network
VGG16
ResNet-101
Inception V2
Inception V3
Inception
ResNet
MobileNet

“Meta-Architecture”
Two-stage: Faster R-CNN
Single-stage: YOLO / SSD
Hybrid: R-FCN

Image Size
Region Proposals
...

Takeaways
Faster R-CNN is slower but more accurate
SSD is much faster but not as accurate
Bigger / Deeper backbones work better

Huang et al, “Speed/accuracy trade-offs for modern convolutional object detectors”, CVPR 2017

Zou et al, “Object Detection in 20 Years: A Survey”, arXiv 2019

R-FCN: Dai et al, “R-FCN: Object Detection via Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks”, NIPS 2016

Inception-V2: Ioffe and Szegedy, “Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift”, ICML 2015

Inception V3: Szegedy et al, “Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision”, arXiv 2016

Inception ResNet: Szegedy et al, “Inception-V4, Inception-ResNet and the Impact of Residual Connections on Learning”, arXiv 2016

MobileNet: Howard et al, “Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications”, arXiv 2017

Instance Segmentation

Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic
Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object
Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

Instance
Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

Object Detection: Faster R-CNN

Object Detection




DOG, DOG, CAT

Instance Segmentation




DOG, DOG, CAT



Instance Segmentation: Mask R-CNN




Add a small mask network that operates on each RoI and predicts a 28x28 binary mask




He et al., "Mask R-CNN", ICCV 2017

Mask R-CNN




He et al, "Mask R-CNN", arXiv 2017




Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets








Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets




Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets



Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets



Mask R-CNN: Very Good Results!



He et al, "Mask R-CNN", ICCV 2017

Mask R-CNN

Also does pose



He et al, "Mask R-CNN", ICCV 2017

Open Source Frameworks

Lots of good implementations on GitHub!

TensorFlow Detection API:

https://github.com/tensorflow/models/tree/master/research/object_detection

Faster RCNN, SSD, RFCN, Mask R-CNN, ...

Detectron2 (PyTorch)



<https://github.com/facebookresearch/detectron2>

Mask R-CNN, RetinaNet, Faster R-CNN, RPN, Fast R-CNN, R-FCN, ...

Finetune on your own dataset with pre-trained models



Beyond 2D Object Detection...

Object Detection + Captioning = Dense Captioning




Johnson, Karpathy, and Fei-Fei, "DenseCap: Fully Convolutional Localization Networks for Dense Captioning", CVPR 2016
Figure copyright IEEE, 2016. Reproduced for educational purposes.

Dense Video Captioning



Ranjay Krishna et al., "Dense-Captioning Events in Videos", ICCV 2017
Figure copyright IEEE, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Objects + Relationships = Scene Graphs



108,077 Images

5.4 Million Region Descriptions

1.7 Million Visual Question Answers

3.8 Million Object Instances

2.8 Million Attributes


2.3 Million Relationships

Everything Mapped to Wordnet Synsets




Ranjay Krishna, Yuke Zhu, Oliver Groth, Justin Johnson, Kenji Hata, Joshua Kravitz, Stephanie Chen et al. "Visual genome: Connecting language and vision using crowdsourced dense image annotations." International Journal of Computer Vision 123, no. 1 (2017): 32-73.

Scene Graph Prediction




Krishna, Lu, Bernstein, and Fei-Fei, "Scene Graph Generation by Iterative Message Passing", ECCV 2016
Figure copyright IEEE, 2018. Reproduced for educational purposes.

Grounded Situation Recognition



Catching			
Agent	Caught Item	Tool	Place
Bear	Fish	Mouth	River



Jumping				
Agent	Source	Destination	Obstacle	Place
Female Child	Sofa	Sofa	Ø	Living Room




Kneading		
Agent	Item	Place
Person	Dough	Kitchen

Capture semantic and physical relationships of objects

Tag each image with an action and ground each entity involved in that action

3D Object Detection



2D Object Detection:

2D bounding box

(x, y, w, h)

3D Object Detection:

3D oriented bounding box


$(x, y, z, w, h, l, r, p, y)$

Simplified bbox: no roll & pitch

Much harder problem than 2D object detection!

[This image](#) is CC0 public domain

3D Object Detection: Monocular Camera



2D candidate boxes

- Same idea as Faster RCNN, but proposals are in 3D
- 3D bounding box proposal, regress 3D box parameters + class score

Chen, Xiaozhi, Kaustav Kundu, Ziyu Zhang, Huimin Ma, Sanja Fidler, and Raquel Urtasun. "Monocular 3d object detection for autonomous driving." CVPR 2016.

Recap: Lots of computer vision tasks!


Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

Instance Segmentation




DOG, DOG, CAT

This image is CC0 public domain

Next time:
Self-Supervised

3D Object Detection: Simple Camera Model




A point on the image plane corresponds to a **ray** in the 3D space

A 2D bounding box on an image is a **frustum** in the 3D space

Localize an object in 3D:
The object can be anywhere in the **camera viewing frustum!**

Image source: https://www.pcmag.com/encyclopedia_images/_FRUSTUM.GIF

3D Shape Prediction: Mesh R-CNN



Gkioxari et al., Mesh RCNN, ICCV 2019