CALR Parsing

Conflict in SLR parsers

- Shift / reduce conflict arises
- Follow information alone is not sufficient to decide when to reduce.
- Hence, powerful parser is required

Conflicts in SLR parsers

- In SLR, if there is a production of the form A $\rightarrow \alpha$, then a reduce action takes place based on follow(A)
- There would be situations, where when state i appears on the TOS, the viable prefix $\beta\alpha$ on the stack is such that βA cannot be followed by terminal 'a' in a right sentential form
- Hence, the reduction A $\rightarrow \alpha$ would be invalid on input 'a'

CALR parsers motivation

- If it is possible to do more in the states that allow us to rule out some of the invalid reduction, introduce more states
- Introduce exactly which input symbols to follow a particular nonterminal

CALR parsers

- Construct LR(1) items
- Use these items to construct the CALR parsing table involving action and goto
- Use this table, along with input string and stack to parse the string

CALR motivation

- Extra symbol is incorporated in the items to include a terminal symbol as a second component
- A \rightarrow [α . β , a] where A \rightarrow $\alpha\beta$ is a production and 'a' is a terminal or the right end marker \$ LR(1) item



LR(1) item

- 1 refers to the length of the second component lookahead of the item
- Lookahead has no effect in $A \rightarrow [\alpha . \beta , a]$ where β is not ϵ , but $A \rightarrow [\alpha . , a]$ calls for a reduction $A \rightarrow \alpha$ if the next input symbol is 'a', 'a' will be subset of follow(A)

LR(1) item

• A \rightarrow [α . β , a] is a valid item for a viable prefix γ if there is a derivation S => δAw => $\delta \alpha \beta w$ where $\gamma = \delta \alpha$ and either 'a' is the first symbol of 'w' or 'w' is ϵ and 'a' is \$

LR(1) item algorithm

```
• Closure (I)
  {repeat for each item [A \rightarrow \alpha B\beta, a] in I,
        for each production B \rightarrow y in G'
        and each terminal b in First(\betaa) such that [B \rightarrow .\gamma , b] is not in I do
                 add [B \rightarrow .\gamma , b]
                 until no more items can be added to I
  end }
```

G
$$\Rightarrow$$
 G

S'>S

first (\$\beta\$)

A>\alpha.B\beta,\alpha

B>\cdot 1, \beta 2

, b] is not in I do

first (\alpha)

A>\alpha.B,\alpha

B>\cdot 1, \alpha

B>\cdot 1, \alpha

Goto(I, X)

```
Begin
Let J be the set of items [A \rightarrow \alpha X.\beta, a] such that [A \rightarrow \alpha.X\beta, a] is in I;
Return closure(J)
end
```

Items(G')

```
Begin C:= closure ( {S' → .S, $});
repeat for each set of items I in C and each grammar symbol X such that goto(I,X) is not empty and not in C
    add goto(I, X) to C
    until no more set of items can be added to C
end
```

Example

- \cdot S \rightarrow CC
- $2 \cdot C \rightarrow cC$
- $3 \cdot C \rightarrow d$

$$FIRST(C) = \{c,d\}$$

- Augmented
- $S' \rightarrow S$
- \cdot S \rightarrow CC
- $C \rightarrow cC$
- $\cdot C \rightarrow d$

LR(1) items

```
• I<sub>1</sub>: goto(I<sub>0</sub>, S)
   S' \rightarrow S., $
• I<sub>2</sub>: goto(I<sub>0</sub>, C)
  S \rightarrow \tilde{C}.\tilde{C}, \tilde{S}
                                   F1 ($)
   C \rightarrow .cC, $
                                               C > c. C, $
   C \rightarrow .d, $
```

I₃: goto(I₀, c), goto(I₃, c),
 C → c.C, c/d
 C → .cC, c/d
 C → .d, c/d
 I₄: goto(I₀, d) goto(I₃, d)

 $C \rightarrow d., c/d$

• I_5 : goto($I_{2,}$ C) S \rightarrow CC., \$ • I_6 : goto($I_{2,}$ c) goto($I_{6,}$ c) C \rightarrow c.C, \$ C \rightarrow .cC, \$ C \rightarrow .d, \$

- I₇ : goto(I₂,d) goto(I₆,d)
- \sim d., \$
- I₈ : goto(I₃,C)
 - $C \rightarrow cC., c/d$
- I₉ : goto(I₆,C)
 - $C \rightarrow cC., $$

Parsing Table

- Construct $C = \{I_0, I_1, I_2, ..., I_n\}$ the collection of LR(1) items for G'
- State I of the parser is from I_i
 - if $[A \rightarrow \alpha.a\beta, b]$ is in I_i and goto(I_{i_j} a) = I_j set action [i, a] = shift j, where a is a terminal
 - if [A \rightarrow α . , a] is in I_i and A \neq S', then set action[i, a] = reduce by A \rightarrow α // a conflict here implies the grammar is not CALR grammar
- If $goto(I_i, A) = I_i$ then goto(i, A) = j
- [S' \rightarrow .S, \$] implies an accept action
- All other entries are error

Parsing table - CALR

Stat	Action		goto		
е	С	d	\$	S	С
0	s3	S4		1	2
1					
2	s6	s7			5
3	s3	s4			8
4					
5					
6	s6	s7			9

Parsing table - CALR

Stat	Action		goto		
е	С	d	\$	S	С
0	s3	s4		1_	2,
1			accept		
2	s6	s7			5
3	s3	s4			8
4	r3	r3			
5			r1_		
6	s6	s7			9

State	Action			goto		
	С	d	\$	S	С	
7			r3			
8	r2	r2				
9			r2			

Parsing algorithm

- Set input to point to the first symbol of w\$
- Repeat
 - Let s be the state on the top of the stack
 - Let a be the symbol pointed to by ip
 - If action [s, a] = shift s' then
 - Push a then s' on top of the stack
 - Move input to the next input symbol

Parsing algorithm

- Else if action [s, a] = reduce A \rightarrow β then
 - Pop 2 * | β | symbols off the stack
- Let s' be the state now on the top of the stack
 - Push A then goto [s', A] on top of the stack
 - Output the production A \rightarrow β
- Else if action[s, a] = accept then return;
- Else error()

Parsing with CALR parser

Stack	Input	Action
0	ccdd\$	[0, c] – shift 3
0 c 3	cdd\$	[3, c] – shift 3
0 c 3 c 3	d d \$	[3, d] – shift 4
0 c 3 c 3 d 4	d \$	[4, d] – reduce 3, pop 2 symbols from stack, push C, goto(3, C) = 8
0 c 3 c 3 c 8	d \$	[8, d] – reduce 2, pop 4 symbols from the stack, push C, goto(3, C) = 8
0 c 3 C 8	d \$	[8, d] – reduce 2, pop 4 symbols from the stack, push C, goto(0, C) = 2 $\sim \sim$

DC2

Stack	Input	Action
0 C 2	d \$	[2, d] – shift 7
0 C 2 d 7	\$	[7, \$] – reduce 3, pop 2 symbols from the stack, goto(2, C) = 5 $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} d$
0 C 2 C 5	\$	[5, \$] – reduce 1, pop 4 symbols off the stack, goto(0, S) = 1 $3 \rightarrow CC$
0 S 1	\$	[1, \$] – accept – successful parsing

Example

•
$$S' \rightarrow S$$

$$\bullet S \rightarrow L = R$$

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot S \rightarrow R$$

$$L \rightarrow R$$

$$4 \cdot L \rightarrow id$$

$$\varsigma \bullet R \to L$$

Another Example

```
• /0
   [S' \rightarrow \bullet S, \quad \$] goto(I_0, S) = I_1
   [S \rightarrow \bullet L=R, \$] goto(I_0, L)=I_2
   [S \rightarrow \bullet R, \quad \$] goto(I_0,R)=I_3
   [L \rightarrow \bullet *R, =/\$] goto(I_0, *)=I_A
   [L \rightarrow \bullet id, =/\$] goto(I_0, id) = I_5
   [R \rightarrow \bullet L, \quad \$] goto(I_0, L) = I_2
• I_1: goto(I_0, S)
   [S' \rightarrow S \bullet, \S]
• I_2: goto(I_0,L)
   [S \rightarrow L \bullet = R, \$] goto(I_2, =) = I_6
   [R \rightarrow L \bullet, $]
```

•
$$I_4$$
: goto(I_0 ,*) goto(I_4 ,*)
[$L \to * \bullet R$, =/\$] goto(I_4 , R)= I_7
[$R \to \bullet L$, =/\$] goto(I_4 , L)= I_8
[$L \to \bullet *R$, =/\$] goto(I_4 ,*)= I_4
[$L \to \bullet *id$, =/\$] goto(I_4 , id)= I_5

•
$$I_5$$
: goto(I_0 ,id) goto(I_4 ,id)
[$L \rightarrow id \bullet$, =/\$]

•
$$I_6$$
: goto(I_2 ,=)

$$[S \rightarrow L = \bullet R, \quad \$] \text{ goto}(I_6, R) = I_9$$

$$[R \rightarrow \bullet L, \qquad $] goto(I_6, L) = I_{10}$$

$$[L \to \bullet^* R, \quad $] goto(I_6, *) = I_{11}$$

$$[L \rightarrow \bullet id, $] goto(I_6, id) = I_{12}$$

•
$$I_7$$
: goto(I_4 , R)

$$[L \rightarrow *R \bullet, =/\$]$$

$$[R \rightarrow L \bullet, =/\$]$$

•
$$I_9$$
: goto (I_6,R)

$$[S \rightarrow L=R^{\bullet}, \$]$$

•
$$I_{10}$$
: goto(I_6 , L) goto(I_{11} , L)

$$[L \to * \bullet R, \$] goto(I_{11}, R) = I_{13}$$

$$[R \to \bullet L, \quad \$] \text{ goto}(I_{11}, L) = I_{10}$$

$$[L \to \bullet *R, $] goto(I_{11}, *) = I_{11}$$

$$[L \to \bullet id, $] goto(I_{11}, id) = I_{12}$$

```
• I_{12}: goto(I_6,id) goto(I_{11},id)
[L \rightarrow id \bullet, $]
```

•
$$I_{13}$$
: goto(I_{11} , R)
[$L \to *R \bullet$, \$]

Parsing Table

State	Action				goto		
	id	*	=	\$	S	L	R
0	s5	s4			1	2	3
1				accept			
2			s6	r5			
3				r2			
4	s5	s4				8	7
5			r4	r4			
6	s12	s11				10	9

State	Action			Goto			
	id	*	=	\$	S	L	R
7			r3	r3			
8			r5	r5			
9				r1			
10				r5			
11	s12	s11				10	13
12				r4			
13				r3			

Summary

- CALR most powerful parser
- Have so many items and states
- No conflicts

Thank you