

Chapter 3

Instruction-Level Parallelism and Its Exploitation

Introduction

- Pipelining become universal technique in 1985
 - Overlaps execution of instructions
 - Exploits “Instruction Level Parallelism”
- Beyond this, there are two main approaches:
 - Hardware-based dynamic approaches
 - Used in server and desktop processors
 - Not used as extensively in PMP processors
 - Compiler-based static approaches
 - Not as successful outside of scientific applications

Instruction-Level Parallelism

- When exploiting instruction-level parallelism, goal is to maximize CPI
 - Pipeline CPI =
 - Ideal pipeline CPI +
 - Structural stalls +
 - Data hazard stalls +
 - Control stalls
- Parallelism with basic block is limited
 - Typical size of basic block = 3-6 instructions
 - Must optimize across branches

Data Dependence

- Loop-Level Parallelism
 - Unroll loop statically or dynamically
 - Use SIMD (vector processors and GPUs)
- Challenges:
 - Data dependency
 - Instruction j is data dependent on instruction i if
 - Instruction i produces a result that may be used by instruction j
 - Instruction j is data dependent on instruction k and instruction k is data dependent on instruction i
- Dependent instructions cannot be executed simultaneously

Data Dependence

- Dependencies are a property of programs
- Pipeline organization determines if dependence is detected and if it causes a stall
- Data dependence conveys:
 - Possibility of a hazard
 - Order in which results must be calculated
 - Upper bound on exploitable instruction level parallelism
- Dependencies that flow through memory locations are difficult to detect

Name Dependence

- Two instructions use the same name but no flow of information
 - Not a true data dependence, *but is a problem when reordering instructions*
 - *Antidependence*: instruction j writes a register or memory location that instruction i reads
 - Initial ordering (i before j) must be preserved
 - *Output dependence*: instruction i and instruction j write the same register or memory location
 - Ordering must be preserved
- To resolve, use renaming techniques

Other Factors

- Data Hazards
 - Read after write (RAW)
 - Write after write (WAW)
 - Write after read (WAR)
- Control Dependence
 - Ordering of instruction i with respect to a branch instruction
 - Instruction control dependent on a branch cannot be moved before the branch so that its execution is no longer controlled by the branch
 - An instruction not control dependent on a branch cannot be moved after the branch so that its execution is controlled by the branch

Examples

- Example 1:

```
DADDU R1,R2,R3
BEQZ R4,L
DSUBU R1,R1,R6
```

L: ...

```
OR R7,R1,R8
```

- OR instruction dependent on DADDU and DSUBU

- Example 2:

```
DADDU R1,R2,R3
BEQZ R12,skip
DSUBU R4,R5,R6
DADDU R5,R4,R9
```

skip:

```
OR R7,R8,R9
```

- Assume R4 isn't used after skip
 - Possible to move DSUBU before the branch

Compiler Techniques for Exposing ILP

- Pipeline scheduling
 - Separate dependent instruction from the source instruction by the pipeline latency of the source instruction
- Example:
for (i=999; i>=0; i=i-1)
 x[i] = x[i] + s;

Instruction producing result	Instruction using result	Latency in clock cycles
FP ALU op	Another FP ALU op	3
FP ALU op	Store double	2
Load double	FP ALU op	1
Load double	Store double	0

Pipeline Stalls

```

Loop:  L.D      F0,0(R1)
        stall
        ADD.D   F4,F0,F2
        stall
        stall
        S.D     F4,0(R1)
        DADDUI  R1,R1,#-8
        stall (assume integer load latency is 1)
        BNE     R1,R2,Loop
  
```

Instruction producing result	Instruction using result	Latency in clock cycles
FP ALU op	Another FP ALU op	3
FP ALU op	Store double	2
Load double	FP ALU op	1
Load double	Store double	0

Pipeline Scheduling

Scheduled code:

```

Loop:  L.D      F0,0(R1)
        DADDUI R1,R1,#-8
        ADD.D F4,F0,F2
        stall
        stall
        S.D F4,8(R1)
        BNE R1,R2,Loop
  
```

Instruction producing result	Instruction using result	Latency in clock cycles
FP ALU op	Another FP ALU op	3
FP ALU op	Store double	2
Load double	FP ALU op	1
Load double	Store double	0

Loop Unrolling

- Loop unrolling
 - Unroll by a factor of 4 (assume # elements is divisible by 4)
 - Eliminate unnecessary instructions

```
Loop:  L.D F0,0(R1)
        ADD.D F4,F0,F2
        S.D F4,0(R1) ;drop DADDUI & BNE
        L.D F6,-8(R1)
        ADD.D F8,F6,F2
        S.D F8,-8(R1) ;drop DADDUI & BNE
        L.D F10,-16(R1)
        ADD.D F12,F10,F2
        S.D F12,-16(R1) ;drop DADDUI & BNE
        L.D F14,-24(R1)
        ADD.D F16,F14,F2
        S.D F16,-24(R1)
        DADDUI R1,R1,#-32
        BNE R1,R2,Loop
```

- note: number of live registers vs. original loop

Loop Unrolling/Pipeline Scheduling

- Pipeline schedule the unrolled loop:

```
Loop:  L.D F0,0(R1)
        L.D F6,-8(R1)
        L.D F10,-16(R1)
        L.D F14,-24(R1)
        ADD.D F4,F0,F2
        ADD.D F8,F6,F2
        ADD.D F12,F10,F2
        ADD.D F16,F14,F2
        S.D F4,0(R1)
        S.D F8,-8(R1)
        DADDUI R1,R1,#-32
        S.D F12,16(R1)
        S.D F16,8(R1)
        BNE R1,R2,Loop
```


Strip Mining

- Unknown number of loop iterations?
 - Number of iterations = n
 - Goal: make k copies of the loop body
 - Generate pair of loops:
 - First executes $n \bmod k$ times
 - Second executes n / k times
 - “Strip mining”

Branch Prediction

- Basic 2-bit predictor:
 - For each branch:
 - Predict taken or not taken
 - If the prediction is wrong two consecutive times, change prediction
- Correlating predictor:
 - Multiple 2-bit predictors for each branch
 - One for each possible combination of outcomes of preceding n branches
- Local predictor:
 - Multiple 2-bit predictors for each branch
 - One for each possible combination of outcomes for the last n occurrences of this branch
- Tournament predictor:
 - Combine correlating predictor with local predictor

Branch Prediction Performance



Branch predictor performance

Dynamic Scheduling

- Rearrange order of instructions to reduce stalls while maintaining data flow
- Advantages:
 - Compiler doesn't need to have knowledge of microarchitecture
 - Handles cases where dependencies are unknown at compile time
- Disadvantage:
 - Substantial increase in hardware complexity
 - Complicates exceptions

Dynamic Scheduling

- Dynamic scheduling implies:
 - Out-of-order execution
 - Out-of-order completion
- Creates the possibility for WAR and WAW hazards
- Tomasulo's Approach
 - Tracks when operands are available
 - Introduces register renaming in hardware
 - Minimizes WAW and WAR hazards

Register Renaming

- Example:

DIV.D F0,F2,F4

ADD.D F6,F0,F8

S.D F6,0(R1)

SUB.D F8,F10,F14

MUL.D F6,F10,F8

antidependence

antidependence

+ name dependence with F6

Register Renaming

- Example:

DIV.D F0,F2,F4

ADD.D S,F0,F8

S.D S,0(R1)

SUB.D T,F10,F14

MUL.D F6,F10,T

- Now only RAW hazards remain, which can be strictly ordered


Register Renaming

- Register renaming is provided by reservation stations (RS)
 - Contains:
 - The instruction
 - Buffered operand values (when available)
 - Reservation station number of instruction providing the operand values
 - RS fetches and buffers an operand as soon as it becomes available (not necessarily involving register file)
 - Pending instructions designate the RS to which they will send their output
 - Result values broadcast on a result bus, called the common data bus (CDB)
 - Only the last output updates the register file
 - As instructions are issued, the register specifiers are renamed with the reservation station
 - May be more reservation stations than registers

Tomasulo's Algorithm

- Load and store buffers
 - Contain data and addresses, act like reservation stations

- Top-level design:



Tomasulo's Algorithm

- Three Steps:
 - Issue
 - Get next instruction from FIFO queue
 - If available RS, issue the instruction to the RS with operand values if available
 - If operand values not available, stall the instruction
 - Execute
 - When operand becomes available, store it in any reservation stations waiting for it
 - When all operands are ready, issue the instruction
 - Loads and store maintained in program order through effective address
 - No instruction allowed to initiate execution until all branches that proceed it in program order have completed
 - Write result
 - Write result on CDB into reservation stations and store buffers
 - (Stores must wait until address and value are received)

Example

Instruction		Instruction status		
		Issue	Execute	Write Result
L.D	F6, 32(R2)	√	√	√
L.D	F2, 44(R3)	√	√	
MUL.D	F0, F2, F4	√		
SUB.D	F8, F2, F6	√		
DIV.D	F10, F0, F6	√		
ADD.D	F6, F8, F2	√		

Reservation stations							
Name	Busy	Op	Vj	Vk	Qj	Qk	A
Load1	No						
Load2	Yes	Load					44 + Regs[R3]
Add1	Yes	SUB		Mem[32 + Regs[R2]]	Load2		
Add2	Yes	ADD			Add1	Load2	
Add3	No						
Mult1	Yes	MUL		Regs[F4]	Load2		
Mult2	Yes	DIV		Mem[32 + Regs[R2]]	Mult1		

Register status									
Field	F0	F2	F4	F6	F8	F10	F12	...	F30
Qi	Mult1	Load2		Add2	Add1	Mult2			

Hardware-Based Speculation

- Execute instructions along predicted execution paths but only commit the results if prediction was correct
- Instruction commit: allowing an instruction to update the register file when instruction is no longer speculative
- Need an additional piece of hardware to prevent any irrevocable action until an instruction commits
 - I.e. updating state or taking an execution

Reorder Buffer

- Reorder buffer – holds the result of instruction between completion and commit
- Four fields:
 - Instruction type: branch/store/register
 - Destination field: register number
 - Value field: output value
 - Ready field: completed execution?
- Modify reservation stations:
 - Operand source is now reorder buffer instead of functional unit

Reorder Buffer

- Register values and memory values are not written until an instruction commits
- On misprediction:
 - Speculated entries in ROB are cleared
- Exceptions:
 - Not recognized until it is ready to commit

Multiple Issue and Static Scheduling

- To achieve $CPI < 1$, need to complete multiple instructions per clock
- Solutions:
 - Statically scheduled superscalar processors
 - VLIW (very long instruction word) processors
 - dynamically scheduled superscalar processors

Multiple Issue

Common name	Issue structure	Hazard detection	Scheduling	Distinguishing characteristic	Examples
Superscalar (static)	Dynamic	Hardware	Static	In-order execution	Mostly in the embedded space: MIPS and ARM, including the ARM Cortex A8
Superscalar (dynamic)	Dynamic	Hardware	Dynamic	Some out-of-order execution, but no speculation	None at the present
Superscalar (speculative)	Dynamic	Hardware	Dynamic with speculation	Out-of-order execution with speculation	Intel Core i3, i5, i7; AMD Phenom; IBM Power 7
VLIW/LIW	Static	Primarily software	Static	All hazards determined and indicated by compiler (often implicitly)	Most examples are in signal processing, such as the TI C6x
EPIC	Primarily static	Primarily software	Mostly static	All hazards determined and indicated explicitly by the compiler	Itanium

VLIW Processors

- Package multiple operations into one instruction
- Example VLIW processor:
 - One integer instruction (or branch)
 - Two independent floating-point operations
 - Two independent memory references
- Must be enough parallelism in code to fill the available slots


VLIW Processors

- Disadvantages:
 - Statically finding parallelism
 - Code size
 - No hazard detection hardware
 - Binary code compatibility

Dynamic Scheduling, Multiple Issue, and Speculation

- Modern microarchitectures:
 - Dynamic scheduling + multiple issue + speculation
- Two approaches:
 - Assign reservation stations and update pipeline control table in half clock cycles
 - Only supports 2 instructions/clock
 - Design logic to handle any possible dependencies between the instructions
 - Hybrid approaches
- Issue logic can become bottleneck

Overview of Design



Multiple Issue

- Limit the number of instructions of a given class that can be issued in a “bundle”
 - I.e. on FP, one integer, one load, one store
- Examine all the dependencies among the instructions in the bundle
- If dependencies exist in bundle, encode them in reservation stations
- Also need multiple completion/commit

Example

```
Loop: LD R2,0(R1)      ;R2=array element
      DADDIU R2,R2,#1   ;increment R2
      SD R2,0(R1)      ;store result
      DADDIU R1,R1,#8   ;increment pointer
      BNE R2,R3,LOOP    ;branch if not last element
```

Example (No Speculation)



Iteration number	Instructions	Issues at clock cycle number	Executes at clock cycle number	Memory access at clock cycle number	Write CDB at clock cycle number	Comment
1	LD R2,0(R1)	1	2	3	4	First issue
1	DADDIU R2,R2,#1	1	5		6	Wait for LW
1	SD R2,0(R1)	2	3	7		Wait for DADDIU
1	DADDIU R1,R1,#8	2	3		4	Execute directly
1	BNE R2,R3,LOOP	3	7			Wait for DADDIU
2	LD R2,0(R1)	4	8	9	10	Wait for BNE
2	DADDIU R2,R2,#1	4	11		12	Wait for LW
2	SD R2,0(R1)	5	9	13		Wait for DADDIU
2	DADDIU R1,R1,#8	5	8		9	Wait for BNE
2	BNE R2,R3,LOOP	6	13			Wait for DADDIU
3	LD R2,0(R1)	7	14	15	16	Wait for BNE
3	DADDIU R2,R2,#1	7	17		18	Wait for LW
3	SD R2,0(R1)	8	15	19		Wait for DADDIU
3	DADDIU R1,R1,#8	8	14		15	Wait for BNE
3	BNE R2,R3,LOOP	9	19			Wait for DADDIU

Example

Iteration number	Instructions		Issues at clock number	Executes at clock number	Read access at clock number	Write CDB at clock number	Commits at clock number	Comment
1	LD	R2,0(R1)	1	2	3	4	5	First issue
1	DADDIU	R2,R2,#1	1	5		6	7	Wait for LW
1	SD	R2,0(R1)	2	3			7	Wait for DADDIU
1	DADDIU	R1,R1,#8	2	3		4	8	Commit in order
1	BNE	R2,R3,LOOP	3	7			8	Wait for DADDIU
2	LD	R2,0(R1)	4	5	6	7	9	No execute delay
2	DADDIU	R2,R2,#1	4	8		9	10	Wait for LW
2	SD	R2,0(R1)	5	6			10	Wait for DADDIU
2	DADDIU	R1,R1,#8	5	6		7	11	Commit in order
2	BNE	R2,R3,LOOP	6	10			11	Wait for DADDIU
3	LD	R2,0(R1)	7	8	9	10	12	Earliest possible
3	DADDIU	R2,R2,#1	7	11		12	13	Wait for LW
3	SD	R2,0(R1)	8	9			13	Wait for DADDIU
3	DADDIU	R1,R1,#8	8	9		10	14	Executes earlier
3	BNE	R2,R3,LOOP	9	13			14	Wait for DADDIU

Branch-Target Buffer

- Need high instruction bandwidth!
 - Branch-Target buffers
 - Next PC prediction buffer, indexed by current PC



Branch Folding

- Optimization:
 - Larger branch-target buffer
 - Add target instruction into buffer to deal with longer decoding time required by larger buffer
 - “Branch folding”

Return Address Predictor

- Most unconditional branches come from function returns
- The same procedure can be called from multiple sites
 - Causes the buffer to potentially forget about the return address from previous calls
- Create return address buffer organized as a stack

Integrated Instruction Fetch Unit

- Design monolithic unit that performs:
 - Branch prediction
 - Instruction prefetch
 - Fetch ahead
 - Instruction memory access and buffering
 - Deal with crossing cache lines

Register Renaming

- Register renaming vs. reorder buffers
 - Instead of virtual registers from reservation stations and reorder buffer, create a single register pool
 - Contains visible registers and virtual registers
 - Use hardware-based map to rename registers during issue
 - WAW and WAR hazards are avoided
 - Speculation recovery occurs by copying during commit
 - Still need a ROB-like queue to update table in order
 - Simplifies commit:
 - Record that mapping between architectural register and physical register is no longer speculative
 - Free up physical register used to hold older value
 - In other words: SWAP physical registers on commit
 - Physical register de-allocation is more difficult

Integrated Issue and Renaming

- Combining instruction issue with register renaming:
 - Issue logic pre-reserves enough physical registers for the bundle (fixed number?)
 - Issue logic finds dependencies within bundle, maps registers as necessary
 - Issue logic finds dependencies between current bundle and already in-flight bundles, maps registers as necessary

How Much?

- How much to speculate
 - Mis-speculation degrades performance and power relative to no speculation
 - May cause additional misses (cache, TLB)
 - Prevent speculative code from causing higher costing misses (e.g. L2)
- Speculating through multiple branches
 - Complicates speculation recovery
 - No processor can resolve multiple branches per cycle

Energy Efficiency

- Speculation and energy efficiency
 - Note: speculation is only energy efficient when it significantly improves performance
- Value prediction
 - Uses:
 - Loads that load from a constant pool
 - Instruction that produces a value from a small set of values
 - Not been incorporated into modern processors
 - Similar idea--*address aliasing prediction*--is used on some processors