Name: Sai Ram Gunturu ID: C00313478

#### K - Means Documentation & Log

Algorithm Used: K-Means
 Picture Used: <u>Tiger Picture</u>
 Framework: CRISP-DM
 Original Notebook: <u>Notebook</u>

### 1. Business Understanding

### • Objective:

Using K-Means clustering, for compressing a tiger image (from 48.77 KB initial file size), reducing its file size while maintaining good visual quality.

## • Reason for Choosing K-Means:

- K-Means clustering decreases color complexity by providing clusters of similar colors.
- This method can yield a **reduced range of color** so that it can be easier to compress.

# 2. Data Understanding

#### • Data Source:

- The input image is a **JPEG** file (**tiger.jpg**) with dimensions fit for demonstration (not overly large).
- JPEG is already a lossy format, therefore repeatedly saving as JPEG sometimes increase file size unless I am careful in manage parameters (quality, resolution, etc.).

#### • Initial Observations:

- The original file size is **48.77 KB**.
- The image has **continuous transitions of colours** and details making it hard for color quantization to compress them properly without seeing visual artifacts.

## 3. Data Preparation

#### 1. Read and Normalize Image

- I read the image using skimage.io.imread and converted pixel values from [0, 255] to [0, 1].
- This is done to make sure all operations that happens afterwards (distance calculations in K-Means) goes well.

#### 2. Reshaping

o I converted the 3D image array (height, width, 3) into a 2D array (height\*width, 3) to treat each pixel as a data point in the K-Means algorithm.

## 4. Modeling

#### 4.1 Initial K-Means Approach

#### • Original Random Initialization:

o Implemented **K-Means++** initialization in place of the default random centroid selection to enhance convergence and get better cluster centers.

## • Elbow Method (Sampling):

- Proposed a sampling approach for the elbow method (use of only a portion of the pixels)
  to find a good range of K values without running K-Means multiple times on the whole
  image.
- This generated less runtime with still a good estimation for the appropriate number of clusters.

### Choosing K:

• Based on the elbow plot, I selected **K=8** as a compromise to preserve color fidelity while allowing for potential compression.

### 4.2 An Enhanced Method for More Compression

Having confirmed K=8 using the elbow method, I **expanded** upon the compression method with the following:

## 1. Downsampling (75%)

- I resized the image to 75% of the original dimensions, thus reducing the total number of pixels.
- This basically resizes a larger resolution down to a smaller one **compressing file size** while not compromising too much detail, particularly if the initial resolution was high.

#### 2. K-Means Color Quantization

• I then applied K-Means (with K=8) on the downsampled data to limit the color palette even more.

#### 3. Gaussian Blur

 A gentle blur will smooth out the sharp edges created from K-Means, making the image more flexible to JPEG compression.

#### 4. JPEG Quality (60)

• Finally, I saved the image with a **decent JPEG quality** of 60. By this **visual clarity** and **file size can be** balanced.

#### 5. Evaluation

#### • Visual Inspection:

- 1. The final compressed image preserves the appearance and color balance of the tiger.
- 2. Some **banding** or minor artifacts may be visible upon close inspection, but overall fidelity is good.

## • File Size Comparison:

1. **Original**: 48.77 KB

- 2. Compressed: 19.38 KB
- 3. I achieved a **significant reduction** in size (more than 50% smaller) while maintaining **good** image clarity.

## • Analysis of Changes:

- 1. **Downsampling** reduced the resolution, with each pixel cluster represent a larger area.
- 2. The color space was simplified by using K=8 color clusters.
- 3. Gaussian Blur diminished sharp edges and helped JPEG compression.
- 4. **JPEG Quality** at 60 bypassed excessive artifacts while reducing the file size.

## 6. Overview of Changes & Practical Impact

#### 1. K-Means++ Initialization

- o From: Random centroid selection.
- To: K-Means++ for improved placement of initial cluster.
- o **Impact**: Faster convergence and usually reduced final distortion in the compressed image.

## 2. Elbow Method with Sampling

- o Added: A sampling strategy for fast identification of good range of K.
- Impact: Significant decrease in computation time without losing out much accuracy in finding the best K value.

## 3. Downsampling & Blur

- o From: Full-resolution K-Means.
- To: 75% resolution + a light Gaussian blur.
- Effect: Fewer pixel count but smoother transitions thus creating a more compressible image.

## 4. JPEG Quality

- Chosen: Quality=60.
- o **Impact**: Finally, achieved a **size of 19.38 KB** (Lowered from 48.77 KB) while retaining decent level of clarity.