

# Chapter 8 Disjoint Set / Class

---

**South China University of  
Technology**  
**College of Software Engineering**

**Huang Min**

# Disjoint Union / Find

---

# Equivalence Relations

---



- A relation  $R$  is defined on set  $S$  if for every pair of elements  $a, b \in S$ ,  $a R b$  is either true or false.
- An **equivalence relation** is a relation  $R$  that satisfies the 3 properties:
  - › **Reflexive**:  $a R a$  for all  $a \in S$
  - › **Symmetric**:  $a R b$  iff  $b R a$ ;  $a, b \in S$
  - › **Transitive**:  $a R b$  and  $b R c$  implies  $a R c$



# Equivalence Classes

---

- Given an equivalence relation  $R$ , decide whether a pair of elements  $a, b \in S$  is such that  $a R b$ .
- The **equivalence class** of an element  $a$  is the subset of  $S$  of all elements related to  $a$ .
- Equivalence classes are **disjoint sets**



# Dynamic Equivalence Problem

---

- Starting with each element in a singleton set, and an equivalence relation, build the equivalence classes
- Requires two operations:
  - › Find the equivalence class (set) of a given element
  - › Union of two sets
- It is a **dynamic** (on-line) problem because the sets change during the operations and Find must be able to cope!

# Disjoint Union - Find

---

- Maintain a set of pairwise disjoint sets.
  - ›  $\{3,5,7\}$  ,  $\{4,2,8\}$ ,  $\{9\}$ ,  $\{1,6\}$
- Each set has a unique name, one of its members
  - ›  $\{3,\underline{5},7\}$  ,  $\{4,2,\underline{8}\}$ ,  $\{\underline{9}\}$ ,  $\{\underline{1},6\}$

# Union

---

- Union(x,y) – take the union of two sets named x and y
  - › {3,5,7} , {4,2,8}, {9}, {1,6}
  - › Union(5,1)  
    {3,5,7,1,6}, {4,2,8}, {9},

# Find

---


- Find(x) – return the name of the set containing x.
  - › {3,5,7,1,6}, {4,2,8}, {9},
  - › Find(1) = 5
  - › Find(4) = 8
  - › Find(9) = ?



# An Application

---

- Build a random maze by erasing edges.



# An Application (ct'd)

---


- Pick Start and End

Start						
						End

# An Application (ct'd)

---

- Repeatedly pick random edges to delete.




# Desired Properties

---

- None of the boundary is deleted
- Every cell is reachable from every other cell.
- There are no cycles – no cell can reach itself by a path unless it retraces some part of the path.

# A Cycle (we don't want that)


---





# Good Solution : A Hidden Tree

---



# Number the Cells

---

We have disjoint sets  $S = \{ \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \dots, \{36\} \}$  each cell is unto itself.  
We have all possible edges  $E = \{ (1,2), (1,7), (2,8), (2,3), \dots \}$  60 edges total.

Start	1	2	3	4	5	6	End
	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	13	14	15	16	17	18	
	19	20	21	22	23	24	
	25	26	27	28	29	30	
	31	32	33	34	35	36	



# Basic Algorithm

---

- $S$  = set of sets of connected cells
- $E$  = set of edges
- Maze = set of maze edges initially empty

```
While there is more than one set in S
  pick a random edge (x,y) and remove from E
  u := Find(x); v := Find(y);
  if u  $\neq$  v then
    Union(u,v) //knock down the wall between the cells (cells in
               //the same set are connected)
  else
    add (x,y) to Maze //don't remove because there is already
                     // a path between x and y
All remaining members of E together with Maze form the maze
```

# Example Step

Pick (8,14)

Start	1	2	3	4	5	6
	7	8	9	10	11	12
	13	14	15	16	17	18
	19	20	21	22	23	24
	25	26	27	28	29	30
	31	32	33	34	35	36
	End					

S

{1,2,7,8,9,13,19}

{3}

{4}

{5}

{6}

{10}

{11,17}

{12}

{14,20,26,27}

{15,16,21}


.

.

{22,23,24,29,30,32

33,34,35,36}

# Example



# Example

Pick (19,20)

Start	1	2	3	4	5	6
	7	8	9	10	11	12
	13	14	15	16	17	18
	19	20	21	22	23	24
	25	26	27	28	29	30
	31	32	33	34	35	36
	End					

S

{1,2,7,8,9,13,19  
14,20,26,27}

{3}

{4}

{5}

{6}

{10}

{11,17}

{12}

{15,16,21}


.

.

{22,23,24,29,39,32  
33,34,35,36}


# Example at the End

S  
{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,... 36}



# Up-Tree for DU/F


---



# Find Operation

---


- Find(x) follow x to the root and return the root (which is the **name** of the class).



# Union Operation

---

- $\text{Union}(i,j)$  - assuming  $i$  and  $j$  are roots, point  $i$  to  $j$ .






# Simple Implementation

---

- Array of indices (Up[i] is parent of i)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
up	0	1	0	7	7	5	0

Up [x] = 0 means  
x is a root.



# Union

---


```
Union(up[] : integer array, x,y : integer) : {  
  //precondition: x and y are roots//  
  Up[x] := y  
}
```

Constant Time!

# Find

---

- Design Find operator
  - › Recursive version
  - › Iterative version



```
Find(up[] : integer array, x : integer) : integer
{
//precondition: x is in the range 1 to size//
???
```

```
if up[x] = 0 then return x
else
```

# Find Solutions

---

## Recursive


```
Find(up[] : integer array, x : integer) : integer {  
  //precondition: x is in the range 1 to size//  
  if up[x] = 0 then return x  
  else return Find(up, up[x]);  
}
```

## Iterative

```
Find(up[] : integer array, x : integer) : integer {  
  //precondition: x is in the range 1 to size//  
  while up[x] ≠ 0 do  
    x := up[x];  
  return x;  
}
```


# A Bad Case

---



# Weighted Union

- Weighted Union (weight = number of nodes)
  - › Always point the smaller tree to the root of the larger tree




# Example Again


---



Union(1,2)




Union(2,3)



⋮

Union(n-1,n)




Find(1) constant time

# Analysis of Weighted Union

- With weighted union an up-tree of height  $h$  has weight at least  $2^h$ .
- Proof by induction
  - › Basis:  $h = 0$ . The up-tree has one node,  $2^0 = 1$
  - › Inductive step: Assume true for all  $h' < h$ .

Minimum weight  
up-tree of height  $h$   
formed by  
weighted unions



$$W(T_1) \geq W(T_2) \geq 2^{h-1}$$

Weighted union      Induction hypothesis

$$W(T) \geq 2^{h-1} + 2^{h-1} = 2^h$$




# Analysis of Weighted Union

---

- Let  $T$  be an up-tree of weight  $n$  formed by weighted union. Let  $h$  be its height.
- $n \geq 2^h$
- $\log_2 n \geq h$
- Find( $x$ ) in tree  $T$  takes  $O(\log n)$  time.
- Can we do better?

# Elegant Array Implementation



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
up	0	1	0	7	7	5	0
weight	2		1				4
up2							

Can save the extra space by **storing the complement of weight** in the space reserved for the root


# Weighted Union

---

```
W-Union(i,j : index){  
  //i and j are roots//  
  wi := weight[i];  
  wj := weight[j];  
  if wi < wj then  
    up[i] := j;  
    weight[j] := wi + wj;  
  else  
    up[j] := i;  
    weight[i] := wi + wj;  
}
```


# Path Compression

- On a Find operation point all the nodes on the search path directly to the root.



# Self-Adjustment Works

---



# Path Compression Find

---

```
PC-Find(i : index) {  
    r := i;  
    while up[r] ≠ 0 do //find root//  
        r := up[r];  
    if i ≠ r then //compress path//  
        k := up[i];  
        while k ≠ r do  
            up[i] := r;  
            i := k;  
            k := up[k]  
    return(r)  
}
```