Author response to reviews of

Manuscript LING.2023.0249

Opening open science to all: Demystifying reproducibility and transparency practices in linguistic research

Joseph V. Casillas, Gabriela Constantin-Dureci, Iván Rascón Andreu, Jiawei Shao, Stephanie A. Rodríguez, Adrija Gadamsetty, Alexandria Minetti, Krishita Laungani, John Thatcher, Rhode-Taina Gardere, Katherine Taveras, Isabelle Chang, Nicole Rodríguez, Meritxell Feliu Ribas & Kyle Parrish submitted to *Linguistics: An Interdisciplinary Journal of the Language Sciences*

[RC] Reviewer comment

Manuscript text

Dear Dr. Volker Gast,

Thank you for taking the time to consider our manuscript *Opening open science to all: Demystifying reproducibility and transparency practices in linguistic research* () for publication in *Linguistics: An Interdisciplinary Journal of the Language Sciences*. Our understanding is that we needed to consider the reviewers' comments and revise accordingly before the manuscript could be reevaluated for publication. We noted X specific areas that were consistently highlighted by the reviewers as needing improvement: x, y, and z. We have considered thoroughly the detailed feedback provided by all three reviewers and resubmit what we believe to be a much improved version of the manuscript. In this letter we address the reviewers' concerns point-by-point. Where feasible, we quote all revised text in this document, otherwise, we refer the reader to the relevant sections of the revised manuscript. We thank you and the three anonymous reviewers for all comments and suggestions and again enthusiastically submit the revised manuscript for consideration in *Linguistics: An Interdisciplinary Journal of the Language Sciences*.

Sincerely, Joseph Casillas (corresponding author)

1. Reviewer #1

2. Reviewer #1

[RC 2.1.] This is a reviewer comment

This is our response

Assignment: First Author

Work in progress

[RC 2.2.] This is a reviewer comment

Still a comment

This is our response.

This is a section quoted from the revised manuscript to illustrate the change.

Assignment: Second Author

Almost there

[RC 2.3.] This is a reviewer comment

This is our response. Also see RC 2.2

Assignment: First Author

Done

3. Reviewer #2

4. Reviewer 2

[RC 4.1.] This is a reviewer comment

This is our response

5. Reviewer #3

6. Reviewer 2

[RC 6.1.] This is a reviewer comment

This is our response

References