## UPPSALA UNIVERSITY

Department of Mathematics Silvelyn Zwanzig

Regression Analysis, 5hp, 1MS555 2023-04-01

Permitted aids: pocket calculator, one hand-written sheet of formulae (2 pages)

Time: 5 hours. For a pass (mark 3) the requirement is at least 18 points. For the mark 4, 25-31 points are necessary. For an excellent test (mark 5) the requirement is at least 32 points. Every problem is worth 5 points.

OBS: Please explain and interpret your approach carefully. Don't try to write more than really needed, but what you write must be clear and well argued.

1. Consider the following matrix  $A = B^T (BB^T)^{-1} B$  with

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Is A invertible?
- (b) Show that A is a projection matrix.
- (c) Which dimension has the related subspace?
- (d) Suppose  $X \sim N_6(0, I)$ . Which distribution has  $X^T A X$ ?
- 2. Consider a simple linear regression model

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i , i = 1, \dots, 3m$$

with  $x_i = -1$  for i = 1, ..., m and  $x_i = 0$  for i = m + 1, ..., 2m,  $x_i = 1$  for i = 2m + 1, ..., 3m. Let

$$\overline{y}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i, \ \overline{y}_{(2)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=m+1}^{2m} y_i, \ \overline{y}_{(3)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=2m+1}^{3m} y_i.$$

- (a) Derive a formula for the least squares estimator basing on  $\overline{y}_{(1)}, \overline{y}_{(2)}, \overline{y}_{(3)}$ .
- (b) Calculate the covariance matrix for the least squares estimator.

- (c) Compare the line, which connect the points  $(-1, \overline{y}_{(1)})$  and  $(1, \overline{y}_{(3)})$ , with the fitted line calculated by the least squares method.
- (d) Sign a picture with both lines.
- 3. Consider a linear regression model

$$y_i = \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 z_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4m$$

with  $x_i = -1$  for i = 1, ..., 2m and  $x_i = 1$  for i = 2m + 1, ..., 4m. Suppose  $z_i \in \{-2, -1, 1, 1\}$ .

- (a) Rewrite the model in matrix form. Determine the design matrix.
- (b) Give the formulary for the least squares estimator. Determine the covariance matrix of the least squares estimator.
- (c) Formulate the distribution assumptions and find values for  $z_i$  such that the least squares estimators of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are independent.
- 4. Consider the model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i, \ i = 1, \dots, 5$$

where  $x_1 = -2, x_2 = -1, x_3 = 0, x_4 = 1, x_5 = 2$  and  $\varepsilon_i$  are dependent r.v. with  $E\varepsilon_i = 0$  and

$$\Sigma = Cov(\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.5 \\ 0.2 & 1 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.4 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 1 & 0.2 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 1 & 0.2 \\ 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) How is the ordinary least squares estimator for  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1)^T$  defined? Give the formulary of the covariance matrix of the least squares estimator.
- (b) How is the generalized least squares estimator for  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1)^T$  defined? Give the formulary of the covariance matrix.
- (c) What means, that one estimator is better? Which estimator is the best? Why?

- 5. Let  $y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ . With y := [2.9, 5.2, 9.2, 9.1, 11.2, 14.3] and x = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5].
  - (a) Calculate the least squares estimator (LSE) for  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ .
  - (b) Calculate the LSE for  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , under  $H: \beta_2 + \beta_1 = 0$ .
  - (c) Suppose  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0,1)$ . Derive a test statistic for  $H_0: \beta_2 + \beta_1 = 0$ .
  - (d) Suppose  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. Derive a test statistic for  $H_0: \beta_2 + \beta_1 = 0$ .
  - (e) What are the results in c) and d)? Take  $\alpha=0.05$  (Hint: The quantile of the Chi -distribution with 1 d.f. for  $\alpha=0,05$  is 3.841. The quantile of the F -distribution with 1 d.f. and 4 d.f. for  $\alpha=0,05$  is 7.71.)
  - (f) Sign a picture with the observations and the fitted line in the "large" model and the fitted line under the hypotheses. Interpret the test results!
- 6. Consider an unbalanced two sample problem. The Sample 1 has sample size n, the Sample 2 has sample size 2n. The variance of Sample 1 is fourth of the variance of Sample 2:  $\sigma_1^2 = 4\sigma_2^2$ .
  - (a) Formulate the joint regression model. Introduce a dummy variable.
  - (b) Estimate the variance  $\sigma_1^2$  as function of only observations of Sample 1.
  - (c) Estimate the variance  $\sigma_1^2$  as function of all observations.
  - (d) Assume normal distribution for the errors. Derive the distributions for both variance estimates.
  - (e) Which estimate is better? Why?
- 7. Suppose you have a data set containing the daily number of persons in intensive care in the months: February, March, April and June, July in the years 2019 and 2020 in Sweden and Germany. Furthermore you have the number of inhabitants at these time points. In news paper it is stated, that Sweden has managed the Corona crisis better than Germany.

- (a) Formulate a regression model. Explain the difference between response and covariates. Which distribution assumptions are needed?
- (b) Formulate the test problem for testing the statement of the news paper.
- (c) Define the respective test statistics.
- (d) Give the main R commands.
- 8. Consider the following R code and R results

```
> names(UN11)
[1] "region" "group" "fertility" "ppgdp" "lifeExpF" "pctUrban"
> attach(UN11)
> M1<-lm(lifeExpF~ppgdp+log(fertility)+pctUrban)</pre>
> R1<-resid(M1)
> shapiro.test(R1)
Shapiro-Wilk normality test
data: R1
W = 0.9596, p-value = 1.879e-05
> qq.plot(R1)
> summary(M1)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-20.028 -2.764
                  0.265
                          3.209 13.352
Coefficients:
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                8.119e+01 1.838e+00 44.166 < 2e-16 ***
(Intercept)
ppgdp
                7.935e-05 2.655e-05
                                       2.988 0.00317 **
log(fertility) -1.520e+01 1.042e+00 -14.584
                                              < 2e-16 ***
                6.805e-02 2.187e-02
pctUrban
                                       3.111
                                              0.00215 **
Residual standard error: 5.385 on 195 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7213,
                                Adjusted R-squared:
F-statistic: 168.2 on 3 and 195 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
> M2<-lm(lifeExpF~log(fertility))</pre>
> R2<-resid(M2)
> shapiro.test(R2)
```

```
Shapiro-Wilk normality test
```

data: R2

W = 0.9678, p-value = 0.0001571

> qq.plot(R2)

> summary(M2)

Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -20.3941 -3.6426 0.1302 4.1029 14.7973

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) 89.3006 0.9427 94.73 <2e-16 \*\*\* log(fertility) -18.6437 0.9293 -20.06 <2e-16 \*\*\*

---

Residual standard error: 5.818 on 197 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.6714, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6697 F-statistic: 402.5 on 1 and 197 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

- (a) Give regression equation of model 1 and formulate the distribution assumptions.
- (b) Are the distribution assumptions fulfilled?
- (c) Which tests are carried out under model 1? Formulate the hypotheses, and the value of the respective test statistics. Can we relay on the results?
- (d) Compare both models. Which model do you would recommend?
- (e) How you would proceed for analysing this data set?




Figure 1: Problem 8