

# Sample Solutions, Assignment 1.

Q1: a) We only need to consider pre-images of intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  as they generate the  $\sigma$ -algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hence } X_n^{-1}([a, b]) &= \{s \in S : X_n(s) \in [a, b]\} \\ &= \{s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} : s \in [a, b]\} \cup \begin{cases} \{n+1, n+2, \dots\} & \text{if } 0 \in [a, b] \\ \emptyset & \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \sigma(X_n) = \sigma(P(A), \{n+1, n+2, \dots\})$$

$$= P(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}) \cup \{B \setminus A : A \in P(\{1, \dots, n\})\}.$$

b)  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma(X_n)$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

$A_k = \{2k\} \in \sigma(X_n)$  for all  $n \geq 2k$  and

so  $A_k \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma(X_n)$  for all  $k$ . However,

$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k = \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$  is not contained in any  $\sigma(X_n)$  and so  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma(X_n)$  is not closed under countable unions.

Alternatively, note that any element in  $\sigma(X_n)$  for any  $n$  is finite or co-finite (has finite complement). But then  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma(X_n)$  only has finite or co-finite elements and any union (such as the even numbers) which is neither finite or co-finite cannot be in  $\mathcal{U}_\sigma(X_n)$ .

2) Recall that  $F_X(t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $F_X(-t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  
 $F_X(t) - F_X(-t) \geq P(|X| > t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Fix  $a_n$  large enough such that

$$P(|X_n| > \frac{1}{n} a_n) \leq 2^{-n}. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > \frac{1}{n} a_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 1 < \infty.$$

Hence by the Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$E_n = \{|X_n| > \frac{1}{n} a_n\} = \left\{ \frac{|X_n|}{a_n} > \frac{1}{n} \right\}$  occurs finitely many times a.s.. We conclude  $\frac{X_n}{a_n} \rightarrow 0$  with probability 1.

Q3

Let  $Y_n = X_k - m$ , then  $E(Y_n) = 0$  and

$$E(e^{\theta Y_n}) = E(e^{\theta(X_n - m)}) = e^{-m\theta} E(e^{\theta X_n}) < \infty$$

by assumption. Then  $A_n = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k > n^t \right\}$

a) Using Markov's inequality, we get

$$P(A_n) = P\left(\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k > n^t\right) \quad \text{[or using Chebyshev's ineq.]}$$

$$= P\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k > n^{t-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

$$= P\left(e^{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k} > e^{n^{t-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$$

$$\leq e^{-n^{t-\frac{1}{2}}} E\left(e^{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k}\right)$$

$$= e^{-n^{t-\frac{1}{2}}} E\left(e^{Y_1/n} e^{Y_2/n} \dots e^{Y_n/n}\right)$$

$$= e^{-n^{t-\frac{1}{2}}} E\left(e^{Y_1/n}\right)^n \quad \text{by independence and same distribution.}$$

$$\text{Now } e^{Y_1/n} = 1 + \frac{Y_1}{n} + \frac{Y_1^2}{2n} + \frac{Y_1^3}{3!n^{3/2}} + \dots$$

and by Taylor's theorem,

$$e^{Y_1/n} = 1 + \frac{Y_1}{n} + \frac{Y_1^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{Y_1^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\text{Hence, } E(e^{Y_1/\sqrt{n}}) = 1 + \frac{E(Y_1)}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{E(Y_1^2)}{2n} + o\left(\frac{E(Y_1^2)}{n}\right)$$

$$\leq 1 + \frac{C}{n} \quad \text{for some } C > 0$$

since  $E(Y_1) = 0$ ,  $E(Y_1^2) = \text{Var}(X^2) < \infty$ , and  $o\left(\frac{E(Y_1^2)}{n}\right) \leq \frac{C'}{n}$  for some  $C' > 0$  as  $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\text{Hence, } E(e^{Y_1/\sqrt{n}})^n \leq \left(1 + \frac{C}{n}\right)^n \rightarrow e^C$$

$$\text{and } E(e^{Y_1/\sqrt{n}})^n \leq K \quad \text{for some } K > 0.$$

We get  $P(A_n) \leq e^{-n^{t-1/2}} \cdot K$  which is

summable,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$ , and so by the

Borel-Cantelli Lemma  $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$  as required.

b) Since  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent, we

can Kolmogorov's 0-1 law to the tail algebra  $\mathcal{T}$  generated by  $X_1, X_2, \dots$

Since  $Y_n$  is a random walk with mean 0,

it is positive for i.m.  $n$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n A_n &\subseteq \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^n Y_k > n^t \text{ for i.m. } n \geq 2 \right\} (= B_2) \\ &\subseteq \left\{ \sum_{k=3}^n Y_k > n^t \text{ for i.m. } n \geq 3 \right\} (= B_3) \end{aligned}$$

And so  $\limsup_n A_n \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  which is in the tail algebra  $\mathcal{T}$ . Hence  $\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) = 0$  or 1 for all  $t$ , including  $t < 1/2$ .

[There was no need to be this detailed, any further valid conclusion would have given credit]

Q4)

a) We verify the measure axioms:

$\mu_n(\emptyset) = 0$ : Since  $S_x$  is a measure we have

$$S_x(\emptyset) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ In particular,}$$

$$\mu_n(\emptyset) = \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} S_{1/k}(\emptyset) = \sum_{k=1}^n 0 = 0.$$

$\mu_n(A) \geq 0$ : Again, since  $S_x$  is a measure we have

$$S_x(A) \geq 0, \text{ so } \mu_n(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} S_{1/k}(A) \geq 0.$$

$\sigma$ -additivity: Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be disjoint Borel sets.

Since  $\mu_n(A_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} \mathbb{I}_{\{1/k \in A_i\}}$  is a finite sum of indicator functions, and the  $A_i$  are disjoint, there are only finitely many  $A_i$  for which  $\mu_n(A_i) > 0$ .

So  $\sigma$ -additivity follows from additivity:

For  $A_1, A_2$  disjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_n(A_1 \cup A_2) &= \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} \mathbb{I}_{\{\frac{1}{k} \in A_1 \cup A_2\}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} \mathbb{I}_{\{\frac{1}{k} \in A_1\}} + \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} \mathbb{I}_{\{\frac{1}{k} \in A_2\}} \\ &= \mu_n(A_1) + \mu_n(A_2).\end{aligned}$$

b) For fixed  $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\mu_n(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} \delta_{\frac{1}{k}}(A) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} 2^{-k} \delta_{\frac{1}{k}}(A) = \mu_{n+1}(A).$$

$$\text{Further, } \mu_n(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} \delta_{\frac{1}{k}}(A) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 1.$$

So  $\mu_n$  is non-decreasing and bounded. By MCT  $\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A)$  exists (and is finite).

c) The first two axioms are satisfied or in a) with an extra limit.

For  $\sigma$ -additivity notice that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)$$

$$(\dagger) \quad = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(A_i) \quad (\text{since } \mu_n \text{ is a measure})$$

Let  $\nu$  be the counting measure on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

$$\text{Then } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(A_i) = \int \mu_n(A_i) d\nu(i).$$

But by disjointness,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(A_i) = \mu_n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq \mu_n(\mathbb{R}) \leq 1 \quad \text{and so}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu}(|\mu_n(A_i)|) = \int |\mu_n(A_i)| d\nu(i) = \sum \mu_n(A_i) \leq 1.$$

Since also  $\mu_n(A_i) \leq \mu_{n+1}(A_i)$  we can use MCT

and get

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \mu_n(A_i) d\nu(i)$$

$$= \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A_i) d\nu(i)$$

$$= \int \mu(A_i) d\nu(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$

[Alternatively, one could use Fubini (\dagger)].

5) a)

$$E(X_1) = E(1) = 1.$$

$$E(X_2) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} \right) = \frac{319}{420}$$

$$E(X_3) = \frac{1}{6} (1 + 3^2 + 5^2) = \frac{35}{6}$$

$$b) \sigma(X_3) = \sigma(\underbrace{\{\{1\}, \{3\}, \{5\}, \{2, 4\}\}}_{\mathcal{H}})$$

$\mathcal{H}$  forms the smallest generating set of  $\sigma(X_3)$  and  $Y = E(X_2 | X_3)$  is constant on  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathcal{H}$ -measurability.

We get

$$P(\omega=1) Y(1) = \int_{\{1\}} Y dP = \int_{\{1\}} X_2 = P(\omega=1) X_2(1)$$

So  $Y(1) = X_2(1)$ , and similarly  $Y(3) = X_2(3)$ ,  $Y(5) = X_2(5)$ .

$$\text{Further } P(\omega \in \{2, 4\}) a = \int_{\{2, 4\}} Y dP = \int_{\{2, 4\}} X_2 dP = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

$$\text{and } Y(2) = Y(4) = a = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{3}{8}.$$

$$\text{That is } Y(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega=1 \\ 3/8 & \omega=2 \\ 1/3 & \omega=3 \\ 3/8 & \omega=4 \\ 1/5 & \omega=5 \end{cases}.$$



c)  $\sigma(X_2) = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  and

$$\mathbb{E}(X_3 | X_2) = X_3(\omega)$$

d)  $\sigma(X_1) = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  and so

$$\mathbb{E}(X_2 | X_1) = \mathbb{E}(X_2) = \frac{319}{720} \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

e)  $\sigma(X_1) = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ , that is no information is given by the outcome of  $X_1$ .

$\sigma(X_2) = \sigma(\{\{1\}, \{3\}, \{5\}, \{2, 4\}\})$ , that is the outcome of  $X_2$  determines whether  $\omega$  is even or odd, and if odd determines the outcome  $\omega$ .

$\sigma(X_3) = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ , which is complete information on the outcome.  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Q6)

a) For  $X_n$  to be a martingale we require

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n-1} &= \mathbb{E}(X_n \mid X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) = \mathbb{E}(X_{n-1} Y_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= X_{n-1} \mathbb{E}(Y_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = X_{n-1} \mathbb{E}(Y_n) = \frac{\alpha}{2} X_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\alpha = 2$ .

b) Consider  $\log X_n = \log Y_1 + \log Y_2 + \dots + \log Y_n$ .

By the law of large numbers,  $\frac{1}{n} \log X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \log Y_1$ .

$$\text{Now } \mathbb{E} \log Y_1 = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^a \log y \, dy = \log(a) - 1.$$

So, for  $a < e$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \log Y_1 < 0$  and

$\log X_n \rightarrow -\infty$ . For  $a > e$ ,  $\mathbb{E} \log Y_1 > 0$  and

$\log X_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } a > e \\ 0 & \text{if } a < e \end{cases}$$

and the threshold is  $a_0 = e$ .