UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

FÖRELÄSNINGSATECKNINGAR

Finasiella Derivat

Rami Abou Zahra

1

Contents

| 1. Options | 2 |
|--|----|
| 2. Continuous time & Brownian Motion | 4 |
| 2.1. Simple Random Walk | 4 |
| 2.2. Stochastic integration | 6 |
| 2.3. Properties of the stochastic integral | 7 |
| 3. Martingales | 8 |
| 4. Itos formula | 9 |
| 4.1. Taylor Expansion | 9 |
| 4.2. Multi-dimensional Ito formula | 11 |
| 5. Correlated Brownian Motions | 12 |
| 6. Stochastic Differential Equations | 13 |
| 7. Geometric Brownian Motion | 13 |
| 8. Partial Differential Equtions | 14 |
| 9. Portfolio Dynamics | 17 |
| 10. Arbitrage Pricing | 18 |
| 10.1. Drift estimation | 23 |
| 11. Volatility | 23 |
| 11.1. Historic volatility | 23 |
| 11.2. Implied volatility | 23 |
| 12. Completeness and Hedging | 23 |
| 13. Volatility Mis-specification | 26 |
| 14. Asian Options | 26 |
| 14.1. Completeness vs Absence of Arbitrage | 28 |
| 15. Parity Relations | 29 |
| 15.1. Static Hedging | 29 |
| 15.2. The Greeks | 30 |
| 15.3. Delta and Gamma Hedging | 30 |
| 16. Multi-dimensional Models | 31 |
| 16.1. Reducing the state space | 32 |
| 17. Incomplete Markets | 35 |
| 18. Discrete Dividends | 37 |
| 19. Continuous Dividends | 38 |
| 20. Forward Contracts | 40 |

1. Options

Motivating Discussion:

Say a Swedish company has signed a contract to buy a machine from a US company for 100000USD to be paid at delivery 6 months from now. $T = \frac{1}{2}$ years.

Current exchange rate is 11SEK/USD. The buyer is suject to currency risk. There are 3 possible strategies to implement:

1. Buy 100000USD today and deposit in the bank.

The risk is eliminated but money is tied up for a long time and the company may not have access to this money

- 2. Buy a forward contract from a bank, i.e the bank delivers the sum you need at $T = \frac{1}{2} = t$, in return, the company payes some constant $K \cdot 100000USD$ at T = t, where K is chosen at t = 0 such that no transfer of money is needed at t = 0. Here, the bank takes all of the risk, but if the exchange rate drops below K then we would have preferred to do nothing.
- **3.** Buy a European call option on 100000USD, with strike price K and exercise date T. I.e, it gives the right but not the obligation to buy 100000USD at price $K \cdot 100000USD$ at time T = t. If exchange rate at T is > K, then we use the option. If its below at t = T thin we do not use the option (right, not obligation)

The last one is a good choice, but not free. This leads to the 2 main problems in the course:

- How much is a fair price for an option?
- If you are the seller of an option, how to protect (hedge) from risk of exchange rate not going up?

Motivating Example in discrete time

At t = 0, we can trade in a market with 2 assets:

• Bank account (risk-free/non-risky asset)

At t = 0 the value is 1 and at t = 1 the value is 1

• Stock (risky asset)

At t = 0, $S_0 = 100$ then it either grows $(S_1 = 120)$ or declines $(S_1 = 80)$ with probability p = 0.6 and p = 0.4 respectively

Definition 1.1 Call option

A call option is a contract that gives its holder the right but not the obligation to buy one share of a stock at time T with predetermined price K. Thus, at time t = 1, the option is worth $S_1 - K$ if $S_1 > K$ and 0 else

What is a fair price of the option? The sensible thing to pay would be $p(S_1 - K)$. Assuming K = 110 in the above example, then 0.6(120 - 110) = 6. But this is not the best price!

The idea is to replicate the option by finding a trading stategy using both the risk-free (B) and the risky asset (S) such that the value of the stock at t = 1 coincides with the value of the option.

Is that possible? Yes. Let x = amount in the bank at t = 0 and y be the number of shares of stock. We want to pick x, y such that regardles if stock goes up or down we have increase.

At t = 1

$$\begin{cases} x + S_1 y = S_1 - K \\ x + S_1 y = 0 \end{cases}$$

If K = 110 and $S_1 = \{120, 80\}$, then x = -20 and $y = \frac{1}{4}$ since

$$\begin{cases} x + 120y = 10 \\ x + 80y = 0 \end{cases}$$

At t = 0. Our strategy is therefore to borrow 20 from the bank and buy $\frac{1}{4}$ of a share. The cost is 25 - 20 = 5 which is less than 6.

At time t=1 our holdings are worth $\frac{1}{4}S_1-20=\begin{cases} 10 & \text{if } S_1=120\\ 0 & \text{if } S_1=80 \end{cases}$ which is exactly the same as the option.

Conclusion:

By the APT (Arbitrage pricing theory), the price of the call must be equal to the cost of setting up this portfolio.

Remark:

The probabilities do not influence the option value. They were never used in the calculation of the price.

Remark:

Let us change p into q such that $\mathbb{E}(S_1) = S_0 = 100$ in the example, which value of q satisfies this? It is symmetric in the example, so let $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$

Then
$$\mathbb{E}(\max\{S_1 - k, 0\}) = 10 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{5} = 5$$

In general, the option price is $\mathbb{E}^Q\left(\frac{B_0}{B_1}\max\{S_1-k,0\}\right)$ where Q is chosen such that $\mathbb{E}^Q\left(\frac{B_0S_1}{B_1}\right) = \frac{S_0}{B_0}$

Notation:

 $a^+ = \max\{a, 0\}$. In particular,

$$(s - K)^{+} = \begin{cases} s - K & \text{if } s \ge K \\ 0 & \text{if } s < K \end{cases}$$

Exercise:

- In the above example, find a replicating strategy for a put option (right but not obligated to sell one share) at price K = 110
- Find the value of the option at t = 0

Answer:

$$x = 90$$

$$y = \frac{-3}{4}$$
 option value of 15

2. Continous time & Brownian Motion

2.1. Simple Random Walk.

Let X_i be i.i.d.r.v with $\mathbb{P}(X_k = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X_k = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$

Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, then this is a stochastic process, still in discrete time. Do note that the expectation is 0 for the r.v. and that:

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(S_n) = \mathbb{E}(S_n^2) - \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}(S_n))^2}_{=0} = \sum_{k=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(X_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n 1 = n$$

Note that this was discrete time, how do we proceed to make this continuous? We do this by scaling to finer time. Frist, fix a time interval:

Stage 1

Let
$$X_0^1 = 0$$

At
$$t = 0$$
, toss a coin, $X_T^1 = \begin{cases} \sqrt{T} & \text{heads} \\ -\sqrt{T} & \text{tails} \end{cases}$

Here $\mathbb{E}(X_T^1) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Var}(X_T^1) = T = \text{elapsed time}$.

Stage 2

Add another time step. Let
$$X_0^2=0$$
, toss a coin, $X_{T/2}^2=\begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{T}{2}} & \text{heads} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{T}{2}} & \text{tails} \end{cases}$

Repeat at $t = \frac{T}{2}$, adding/subtracting $\sqrt{\frac{T}{2}}$

Stage n

Let $X_0^n = 0$, at each time $t_k = \frac{k}{n}T$, toss a coin.

Define $X_{t_{k+1}}^n = X_{t_k}^n + Y_k$ where $Y_k = \pm \sqrt{\frac{T}{2}}$ with prob. 1/2. Simulating our coin tosses.

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{t_k}^n) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} Y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}(Y_i) = 0$$

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{t_k}^n\right) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) \stackrel{\text{indep}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k = \frac{T}{n}k = t_k$$

Now the question becomes, what happens when $n \to \infty$? We obtain Brownian Motion, aka Weiner process.

Definition 2.2 Brownian Motion

Brownian Motion is a stochastic process W if:

- Independent increments, i.e $W_{t_4} W_{t_3}$ and $W_{t_2} W_{t_1}$ are independent (as long as they are not overlapping)
- $W_t W_s \sim N(0, t s)$
- $t \mapsto W_t$ is continuous

This is a nice definition and all, but does there even exists something which satsifies our definition?

 $t\mapsto W_t$ is of infinite variation and nowhere differentiable By infinite variation, it is meant

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k}\left|W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}}\right|=\infty$$

A regular differentiable function has bounded variation. The next goal is to define the stochastic integral $\int_0^t g_s dW_s$, where g_t is a stochastic process determined by the Brownian motion W

Definition 2.3 Measurable w.r.t σ -algebra

Let X_t be a stochastic process. An event A is \mathcal{F}_t^X measurable (denoted $A \in \mathcal{F}_t^X$) if it is possible to determine whether A has happened or not based on observations of $\{X_s: 0 \le s \le t\}$

Example:

$$A = \{\hat{X}_s \le 7 : \forall s \le 9\} \in \mathcal{F}_9^X$$

Definition 2.4

If a random variable Z can be determined by observations of $\{X_s: 0 \leq s \leq t\}$, then $Z \in \mathcal{F}_t^X$

Example:

$$Z = \int_0^5 X_s d_s \in \mathcal{F}_5^X$$

If you only know X_5 up to 4, then you cannot determine Z

Definition 2.5

A stochastic process Y_t with $Y_t \in \mathcal{F}_t^X \quad \forall t$ is adapted to the filtration \mathcal{F}_t^X

Example:

 $Y_t = \sup_{0 \le s \le t} W_s$ is adapted to \mathcal{F}_t^W

Definition 2.6

The process $g_t \in \mathcal{L}^2$ if

- g is adapted to \mathcal{F}_t^W $\int_0^t \mathbb{E}(g_s^2) ds < \infty$

Example:

Brownian motion
$$\in \mathcal{L}^2$$
, its adapted to \mathcal{F}^W_t and $\int_0^t \mathbb{E}(\overbrace{W_s^2}^{\sim N(0,\sqrt{s})}) ds = \int_0^t s ds = \frac{t^2}{2} < \infty$

2.2. Stochastic integration.

Assume $g \in \mathcal{L}^2$. If g is simple (i.e $g_s = g_{t_k}$ for $s \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$), then we define

$$\int_0^t g_s dW_s = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_{t_k} (W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k})$$

For egeneral $g \in \mathcal{L}^2$, we can approximate g using step functions which are simple such that

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{E}((g_s - g_s^n)^2) ds \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

Then, one defines the stochastic integral as

$$\int_0^t g_s dW_s = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_s^n dW_s$$

Remark

One can show that the limit indeed exists and does not depend on the sequence used for approximation.

Remark:

Forward increments are used! The integrand is fixed at t_k , and we look at forward movements of the Brownian motion.

Remark:

Steiltjes integration si not possible since paths are not of unbounded variation.

Proposition:

Assume $g \in \mathcal{L}^2$ and adapted to a filtration, then:

1.
$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t g_s dW_s\right) = 0$$

2.
$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\int_0^t g_s dW_s\right)^2\right) = 0 = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(g_s^2) dW_s$$
 (Ito isometry)

3.
$$X_t = \int_0^t g_s dW_s$$
, then X_t is \mathcal{F}^W -adapted

Bevis 2.1

Assume g is simple (if it was not, then approximate using step functions).

1

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t g_s dW_s\right) &= 0 = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{t_k}(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k})\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\underbrace{g_{t_k}}_{\text{indep.}}\underbrace{(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k})}_{\text{indep.}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(g_{t_k}) \mathbb{E}\underbrace{(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k})}_{\sim N(0,\sigma^2)} = 0 \end{split}$$

2. This is the variance of a stochastic integral:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}g_{t_{k}}(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}})\right)^{2}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}g_{t_{k}}^{2}(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{j}})\right)^{2} + 2\sum_{j< k}\underbrace{g_{t_{k}}g_{t_{j}}}_{\in\mathcal{F}_{t_{k}}}\underbrace{(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}})}_{\text{indep. of }\mathcal{F}_{t_{k}}}\underbrace{(W_{t_{j+1}}W_{t_{j}})}_{\in\mathcal{F}_{t_{k}}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{E}\left(g_{t_{k}}^{2}(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}})^{2}\right) + 2\sum_{j< k}\mathbb{E}\left(g_{t_{k}}g_{t_{j}}(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}})(W_{t_{j+1}}-W_{t_{j}})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{E}(g_{t_{k}}^{2})\mathbb{E}\left(\underbrace{(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}})^{2}}_{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}\right) + 2\sum_{j< k}\mathbb{E}(\cdots)\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(W_{t_{k+1}}-W_{t_{k}})}_{=0}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t}\mathbb{E}(g_{t_{k}}^{2})dW_{s}$$

2.3. Properties of the stochastic integral.

Examples:

 $\int_0^t 1dW_s = W_t - W_0 = W_t$, but that is $\int_0^t W_s dW_s$? W_s is not piecewise constant, but we may approximate it by letting $g_t^n = W_{t_k}$ for $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$. What happens here is essentially discretisation but for finer and finer time.

This yields the approximation

$$\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left((g_{s}^{n} - W_{s})^{2}\right) ds = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left((W_{s} - W_{t_{k}})^{2}\right)}_{s - t_{k}} \leftarrow \text{ variance of increment of BM}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (s - t_{k}) ds = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} (t_{k+1} - t_{k})^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2} \Delta t$$

$$\Delta t = \frac{t}{n} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\Delta t)^{2} \frac{t}{\Delta t} = \frac{\Delta t}{2} t \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} W_{t_{k}}(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_{k}}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(W_{t_{k+1}}^{2} - W_{t_{k}}^{2}(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_{k}})^{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} W_{t_{n}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_{k}})^{2}}_{I}$$

We claim $I_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$:

$$\mathbb{E}(I_n) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k})^2\right)}_{\text{2nd moment}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (t_{k+1} - t_k) = t_n = t$$

Need to check $\mathbb{E}((I_n - t)^2) = 0$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left((\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k})^2 - \overbrace{(t_{k+1} - t_k)}^{\Delta t})\right)^2$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left((W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k})^2 - \Delta t\right)^2\right) + \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbb{E}\left(((W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k})^2 - \Delta t)((W_{t_{j+1}} - W_{t_j}) - \Delta t)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbb{E}\left((W_{t_{k+1}} W_{t_k})^4\right) - (\Delta t)^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2(\Delta t)^2 \sim \Delta t \to 0$$

hus, $I_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$, so

$$\int_{0}^{t} W_{s} dW_{s} = \frac{1}{2} W_{t}^{2} - \frac{t}{2}$$

Remark:

Lets prove if $X \sim N(0, \sigma)$, then $\mathbb{E}(X^4) = 3\sigma^2$

$$\mathbb{E}(X^4) = \int z^4 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{\frac{-z^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \stackrel{\text{parts}}{\Rightarrow} - \left[z^3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-z^2/2\sigma^2\right\}\right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int 3z^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-z^2/2\pi\sigma^3\right\} dz$$
$$= 3\sigma^2 \cdot \underbrace{\int z^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-z^2/2\sigma^2\right\}}_{\sigma^2} = 3\sigma^4$$

3. Martingales

Let \mathcal{F}_t be a filtration, "information generated by B; up to a time t".

If Y is a random variable, then $\mathbb{E}(Y \mid \mathcal{F}_t)$ is the conditional expectation given all information up to time t

Example:

$$\mathbb{E}(W_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = W_t$$

Definition 3.7 Martingale

A process X is a martingale if X is \mathcal{F}_t -adapted. X_t integrable, i.e

- $\mathbb{E}(|X_t|) < \infty \quad \forall t$
- $\mathbb{E}(X_s \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = X_t \text{ for } s > t$

Example:

 W_t is a martingale, $W_t^2 - t$ is a martingale since

$$Y_t := W_t^2 - t \qquad \mathbb{E}(Y_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = \mathbb{E}(W_t^2 - t \mid \mathcal{F}_s)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}((W_t - W_s)^2 + 2W_s W_t - W_s^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s) - t$$

$$= t - s + 2\mathbb{E}(W_s W_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s) - \mathbb{E}(W_s^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s) - t = 2W_s \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(W_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s)}_{W_s} W_s^2 - s$$

$$= W_s^2 - s = Y_s$$

 $Y_t = \int_0^t g_u dW_u$ is a martingale since:

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^s g_u dW_u \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(\int_s^t g_u dW_u \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right) = \int_0^s g_u dW_u = Y_s$$

However, W_t^3 is not a martingale:

$$\mathbb{E}(W_t^3 \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = \mathbb{E}(W_s^3 + (W_t - W_s)^3 - 3W_tW_s^2 + 3W_t^2W_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s)$$

$$= W_s^3 + 0 - 3W_s^2 \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(W_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s)}_{W_s} + 3W_s \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(W_t^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s)}_{t - s + W_s^2}$$

$$= W_s^3 + 3(t - s)W_s \neq W_s^3$$

Remark: A martingale is a "fair game"

4. Itos formula

Assume

$$X_t = a + \int_0^t \mu_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s$$

for some adapted process μ_t and σ_t . Short-hand notation $\begin{cases} dX_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t \\ X_0 = a \end{cases}$

Let f(t,x) be a $C^{1,2}$ -function and define $Z_t = f(t,X_t)$, what does dZ_t look like?

Recall:

$$\int_{0}^{t} W_{s} dW_{s} = \frac{W_{t}^{2}}{2} - \frac{t}{2}$$

so $W_t^2 = t + 2 \int_0^t W_s dW_s$, thus

$$d(W_t^2) = dt + 2W_t dW_t$$

Fix n and let $t_k = \frac{k}{n}t$ Let $\Delta W_{t_k} = W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k}$ and consider

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\Delta W_{t_k} \right)^2$$

We have

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left((\Delta W_{t_k})^2 \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t}{n} = t$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}\right)\overset{\operatorname{indep.}}{=}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\operatorname{Var}\left(\left(\Delta W_{t_{k}}\right)^{2}\right)=n\operatorname{Var}\left(\left(\Delta W_{t_{0}}\right)^{2}\right)=n\cdot2\frac{t^{2}}{n^{2}}\rightarrow0\quad\text{ as }n\rightarrow\infty$$

Thus $S_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$ (in \mathcal{L}^2). This motivates to write

$$\int_0^t (dW_s^2) = t$$
$$\Leftrightarrow dW_t^2 = dt$$

4.1. Taylor Expansion.

$$dZ_{t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dX_{t} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}(dX_{t})^{2} + \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial t^{2}}(dt)^{2} + \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial t \partial x}dtdX_{t} + \text{ higher order terms}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu_{t}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{t}^{2}\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}\right)dt + \sigma_{t}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dW + \text{ higher order terms}$$

Sats 4.2: Itos formula

If $dX_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t$ and $Z_t = f(t, X_t)$, then

$$dZ_{t} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu_{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}\right) dt + \sigma_{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dW_{t}$$

Here $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, X_t)$ and similarly for other derivatives of f

Alternative formulation:

$$dZ_{t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dX_{t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}(dX_{t})^{2}$$

Where $(dX_t)^2$ is calculated using

•
$$(dt)^2 = 0$$

- $dtdW_t = 0$ $(dW_t)^2 = dt$

Example:

Compute $\int_0^t W_s dW_s$. Let $Z_t = W_t^2$, then by Itos formula

$$dZ_t = 2W_t dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2(dW_t)^2$$
$$= dt + 2W_t dW_t$$

Thus
$$W_t^2 = Z_t = t + 2 \int_0^t W_s dW_s$$
, so $\int_0^t W_s dW_s = \frac{W_t^2}{2} - \frac{t}{2}$

Example:

Compute $\mathbb{E}(W_t^4)$

Let $Z_t = W_t^4$, then by Itos formula

$$dZ_t = 4W_t^3 dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 12W_t^2 (dW_t)^2$$
$$= 6W_t^2 dt + 4W_t^3 dW_t$$

Thus

$$W_t^4 = Z_t = 6 \int_0^t W_s^2 ds + 4 \int_0^t W_s^3 dW_s$$

Taking expectation yields

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(W_t^4) &= 6 \int_0^t \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(W_s^2)}_s ds + 4 \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t W_s^3 dW_s\right)}_{=0} \\ &= 6 \int_0^t s ds = 3t^2 \end{split}$$

Alternatively, without using Itos formula

$$\mathbb{E}(W_t^4) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^4 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-x^2/(2t)} dx \stackrel{\text{parts.}}{=} \left[x^3 \frac{t}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-x^2/(2t)} \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 3x^2 \frac{t}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-x^2/(2t)} dx$$
$$= 3t \text{Var}(W_t) = 3t^2$$

Example:

Compute $\mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha W_t})$

Let $Z_t = e^{\alpha W_t}$. Itos formula yields

$$dZ_t = \alpha e^{\alpha W_t} dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 e^{\alpha W_t} (dW_t)^2$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^2}{2} e^{\alpha W_t} dt + \alpha e^{\alpha W_t} dW_t$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^2}{2} Z_t dt + \alpha Z_t dW_t$$

Integration yields

$$Z_t = 1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \int_0^t Z_s ds + \alpha \int_0^t Z_s dW_s$$

So

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_t) = 1 + \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \int_0^t Z_s ds\right) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left(\alpha \int_0^t Z_s dW_s\right)}_{=0}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(Z_s) ds$$

Let $m(t) = \mathbb{E}(Z_t)$, then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2}m(t)\\ m(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Which has the solution $m(t) = e^{-t/2}$

4.2. Multi-dimensional Ito formula. Assume $dX_t^i = \mu_t^i dt + \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_t^{ij} dW_t^j$ where W^i are d independent Brownian motions. On a matrix form:

$$\underbrace{dX_t}_{n\times 1} = \underbrace{\mu_t}_{n\times 1} dt + \underbrace{\sigma_t}_{n\times d} \underbrace{dW_t}_{d\times 1}$$

Let $Z_t = f(t, X_t)$ where $f: [0, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{1,2}$

Sats 4.3: Itos multi-dimensional formula

$$dZ_t = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}dX_t^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}dX_t^i dX_t^j$$

Where

- $dW_t^i dW_t^j = 0$ if $i \neq j$
- $(dW_t^i) = dt$ $(dt)^2 = dtdW_t = 0$

Alternatively

$$dZ_t = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_t^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n C_t^{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right) dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \sigma_t^i dW_t$$

Where $C = \sigma \sigma^*$ and σ^i is the *i*:th row of σ Indeend,

$$\begin{split} dX_t^i dX_t^j &= \left(\sum_{j \geq 1}^d \sigma^{ik} dW^k\right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^d \sigma^{jl} dWl\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \sigma^{ik} \sigma^{jl}\right) dt \\ &= (\sigma \sigma^*)^{ij} dt \end{split}$$

If
$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t \\ dY_t = \gamma Y_t dt + \delta Y_t dV_t \end{cases}$$
 and $Z_t = X_t Y_t$; find dZ_t

Itos formula yields

$$dZ_t = Y_t dX_t + X_t dY_t + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2dX_t dY_t$$
$$= (\alpha + \gamma) Z_t dt + Z_t (\sigma dW_t + \delta dV_t)$$

Setting $\overline{W}_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \delta^2}} (\sigma W_t + \delta V_t)$, then \overline{W} is a Brownian Motion and

$$dZ_{t} = (\alpha + \gamma) Z_{t} dt + \sqrt{\sigma^{2} + \delta^{2}} Z_{t} d\overline{W}_{t}$$

5. Correlated Brownian Motions

Let
$$\overline{W}=\begin{bmatrix}\overline{W}^1\\\vdots\\\overline{W}^d\end{bmatrix}$$
 where $\overline{W}^1,\cdots,\overline{W}^d$ are independent

Consider $W = \delta \overline{W}$ where

$$\delta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{11} & \cdots & \delta_{1d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta_{d1} & \cdots & \delta_{dd} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_d \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{Row vectors with } ||\delta_i|| = 1}$$

Here $||\delta_i|| = \sqrt{\delta_{i1}^2 + \dots + \delta_{id}^2}$. So W^i is a Brownian motion.

Moreover,

$$dW_t^i dW_t^j = \left(\sum_{k=1}^d \delta_{ik} d\overline{W}_t^k\right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^d \delta_{jl} d\overline{W}_t^l\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^d \delta_{ik} \delta dt = (\delta \delta^*)_{ij} dt$$

Definition 5.8 Correlated Wiener Process

 W_t as constructed above is a d-dimensional correlated Wiener process with correlation matrix $\rho =$

Sats 5.4: Itos formula, correlated version

If W_t is a correlated Wiener process as above, and

$$\underbrace{dX_t}_{n\times 1} = \underbrace{\mu_t}_{n\times 1} dt + \underbrace{\sigma_t}_{n\times d} \underbrace{dW_t}_{d\times 1}$$

satisfies

$$dZ_t = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dX_t^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dX_t^i dX_t^j$$

where

Given
$$\overline{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{W}^1 \\ \overline{W}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (where $\overline{W}^1, \overline{W}^2$ are independent), construct $W = \begin{bmatrix} W^1 \\ W^2 \end{bmatrix}$ with correlation matrix $\rho = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_0 \\ \rho_0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Note that
$$\delta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \rho_0 & \sqrt{1-\rho_0^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 satisfies $\rho \rho^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_0 \\ \rho_0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \rho$
Thus $W = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{W}^1 \\ \rho_0 \overline{W}^1 + \sqrt{1-\rho_0^2} \overline{W}^2 \end{bmatrix}$ is a correlated Wiener process with correlated matrix δ

What other choices for δ are possible?

6. Stochastic Differential Equations

Let

ullet a d-dimensiona Brownian motion W

• $\mu:[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$

• $\sigma: [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$

• $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

be given. A stochastic differential equation is an equation at the form

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \mu(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t \\ X_0 = x_0 \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Or, equivalently,

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \mu(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s$$

Sats 6.5

Assume

$$||\mu(t,x) - \mu(t,y)|| + ||\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)|| \le K ||x-y||$$

and $||\mu(t,x)|| + ||\sigma(t,x)|| \le K ||x||$ for some K

Then there exists a unique solution X_t to the SDE (1). Moreover,

- 1. X is \mathcal{F}^W -adapted
- **2**. X_t has continuous trajectories
- $\mathbf{3}$. X is a Markov process

7. Geometric Brownian Motion

Consider

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t & \alpha, \sigma \text{ constans} \\ X_0 = x \end{cases}$$

Anmärkning:

If $\sigma = 0$, then $dX_t = \alpha X_t dt$ so $X_t = x_0 e^{\alpha t}$ Let $Z_t = \ln(X_t)$. Then

$$dZ_t \stackrel{\text{Ito}}{=} \frac{1}{X_t} dX_t - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{X_t^2} (dX_t) A^2 = \left(\alpha - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) dt + \sigma W_t$$

so
$$Z_t = \ln(x_0) + \left(\alpha - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma W_t$$
 and $X_t = e^{Z_t} = x_0 e^{\left(\alpha - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma W_t}$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t) = x_0 + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \alpha X_s ds\right] + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \sigma X_s dW_s\right]}_{=0}$$

So if
$$m(t) = \mathbb{E}(X_t)$$
, we find
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dm}{dt} = \alpha m(t) \\ m(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Thus $m(t) = x_0 e^{\alpha t}$

Results:

The solution of
$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t \\ X_0 = x_0 \end{cases}$$
 is $X_t = x_0 \exp\left\{\left(\alpha - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma W_t\right\}$
Moreover, $\mathbb{E}(X_t) = x_0 e^{\alpha t}$

Example:

Consider the SDE $\begin{cases} dX_t = -X_t dt + dW_t \\ X_0 = x \end{cases}$ (this is a mean-reverting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process)

The trick here is to let $Y_t = e^t X_t$. Then

$$dY_t = e^t X_t dt + e^t dX_t = e^t dW_t$$
$$\Rightarrow Y_t = x + \int_0^t e^s dW_s$$

Thus $X_t = e^{-t}Y_t = xe^{-t} + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^s dW_s$ Moreover $\mathbb{E}(X_t) = xe^{-t}$

Definition 7.9 Diffusion process

The solution X of an SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \mu(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW \\ X_0 = x_0 \end{cases}$$

is called a diffusion process.

 μ is called the drift and σ is the diffusion coefficient

8. Partial Differential Equtions

Consider the following terminal value problem:

Given function σ, μ, ϕ , find a function F(t, x) such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t,x) + \frac{\sigma^2(t,x)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} F(t,x) + \mu(t,x) \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t,x) = 0\\ F(T,x) = \phi(x) \end{cases}$$
 (2)

If F(t,x) satisfies (2), define X_s by $\begin{cases} dX_s = \mu(s,X_s)ds + \sigma(s,X_s)dW_s \\ X_t = x \end{cases}$ and let $Z_s = F(s,X_s)$. Then

$$dZ_s \stackrel{\text{Ito}}{=} \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} ds + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} (dX_s)^2$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial s} + \mu \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}\right)}_{=0} ds + \sigma \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} dW_s$$

$$= \sigma \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} dW_s$$

Integrate:

$$Z_T = Z_t + \int_t^T \sigma(s, X_s) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) dW_s$$

Take expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_T) = Z_t = F(t, x) = \mathbb{E}(F(T, X_T)) \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbb{E}(\phi(X_t))$$

We write $F(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x}(\phi(X_T))$ (to indicate that $X_t = x$)

We have thus proved the following:

Sats 8.6: Feynman-Kac

If F(t,x) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2(t,x)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F(t,x)}{\partial x^2} + \mu(t,x) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = 0 & (t < T) \\ F(t,x) = \phi(x) \end{cases}$$

then
$$F(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x}(\phi(X_T))$$
 where
$$\begin{cases} dX_s = \mu(s,X_s)ds + \sigma(s,X_s)dW_s \\ X_t = x \end{cases}$$

Example:

Solve the PDE
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} = 0\\ F(T, x) = x^2 \end{cases}$$

Solution:

Let
$$X_s$$
 be the solution of
$$\begin{cases} dX_s = \sigma dW_s \\ X_t = x \end{cases}$$
 i.e $X_s = x + \sigma(W_s - W_t)$

By Feynman-Kac:

$$F(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x}(X_T^2) = \mathbb{E}((x + \sigma(W_T - W_t))^2)$$

= $x^2 + 2x\sigma\mathbb{E}(W_t - W_t) + \sigma^2\mathbb{E}((W_T - W_t)^2)$
= $x^2 + \sigma^2(T - t)$

$$F(t,x) = x^2 + \sigma^2(T-t)$$

Sats 8.7: Feynman-Kac in higher dimensions + discounting

Assume that $F:[0,T]\times \mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} C_{i,j}(t,x) \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}(t,x) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}} - rF(t,x) = 0 \\ F(T,x) = \phi(x) \end{cases}$$

Where $C(t,x) = \sigma(t,x)\sigma^*(t,x)$ for some matrix σ $(n \times d)$

Then $F(t,x) = e^{-r(T-t)}\mathbb{E}_{t,x}(\phi(X_T))$ where

$$\begin{cases} dX_s = \mu(s, X_s)ds + \sigma(s, X_s)dW_s \\ X_t = x \end{cases}$$

Let
$$Z_s = e^{-r(s-t)}F(s, X_s)$$
. Then
$$dZ_s \stackrel{\text{Ito}}{=} e^{-r(s-t)}\underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^n C_{ij}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i\partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} - rF\right)}_{=0}ds + e^{-r(s-t)}\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}\sigma_i dW_s$$
So

$$Z_T = \underbrace{Z_t}_{F(t,x)} + \int_t^T \cdots dW_s = e^{-r(T-t)} \phi(X_T)$$

Thus
$$F(t,x) = e^{-r(T-t)}\mathbb{E}(\phi(X_T))$$

Example:

Solve the PDE
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\delta^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^2} - rF = 0\\ F(T, x, y) = xy \end{cases}$$

Solution: Here
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 so $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix}$ satisfies $C = \sigma \sigma^*$
$$d \begin{bmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dW_t^1 \\ dW_t^2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} X_t = x + \sigma(W_T^1 - W_t^1) \\ Y_T = y + \delta(W_T^2 - W_t^2) \end{cases}$$

Feynman-Kac gives

$$\begin{split} F(t,x,y) &= \mathbb{E}_{t,x,y} \left(e^{-r(T-t)} X_T Y_T \right) = e^{-r(T_t)} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(x + \sigma(W_T^1 - W_t^1) \right) \left(y + \delta(W_T^2 - W_t^2) \right) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{indep}}{=} e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E} \left(x + \sigma(W_T^1 - W_t^1) \right) \mathbb{E} \left(y + \delta(W_T^2 - W_t^2) \right) = e^{-r(T-t)} xy \end{split}$$

par Answer is therefore $F(t,x,y)=e^{-r(T-t)}xy$

Definition 8.10 Infitesimal Operator

The differential operator

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} C_{ij} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$$

is called the $infitesimal\ operator$ of X

Itos formula:

If
$$Z_t = f(t, X_t)$$
, then $dZ_t = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathcal{A}f\right) dt + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \sigma_i dW_t$

9. Portfolio Dynamics

Let the time axis be discrete

Definition 9.11

- N = the number of different assets
- S_n^i = the price of one unit of asset i at time n
- h_n^i = the number of units of asset *i* bought at time *n*
- $h_n^n = (h_n^1, h_n^2, \dots, h_n^N)$ is a portfolio
- V_n = the value of a portfolio h_n at time $n = \sum_{i=1}^N h_n^i s_n^i = h_n \cdot S_n$

The interpretation:

- At time n- we have an old portfolio h_{n-1} from the previous period
- At time n, S_n becomes observable
- At time n, after observing S_n , we chose h_n

Definition 9.12 Budget equation

$$h_n \cdot S_{n+1} = h_{n+1} \cdot S_{n+1}$$

Notation: If $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of real numbers, let $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$. The budget equation becomes $S_{n+1} \cdot \Delta h_n = 0$

Recall
$$Y_n = h_n \cdot S_n$$

Since $\Delta V_n = h_{n+1} \cdot S_{n+1} - h_n \cdot S_n = h_{n+1} \cdot S_{n+1} - h_n \cdot S_{n+1} + h_n \cdot S_{n+1} - h_n \cdot S_n$
= $S_{n+1} \cdot \Delta h_n + h_n \cdot \Delta S_n$
we have $\Delta V_n = h_n \cdot \Delta S_n$ if the budget equation is fulfilled.

Below we use this relation to define what is meant by a self-financing portfolio in continuous time.

Definition 9.13

Let $\{S_t \mid t \geq 0\}$ be an N-dimensional process

- A portfolio h is an \mathcal{F}^s -adapted N-dimensional process
- h is Markovian if $h_t = h(t, S_t)$ for some function h
- The value process V^h of h is

$$V_t^h = \sum_{i=1}^N h_t^i S_t^i = h_t \cdot S_t$$

• A portfolio h is self-financing if

$$dV_t^h = h_t \cdot dS_t$$

ullet For a given portfolio h, the corresponding relative portfolio w is

$$w_t^i = \frac{h_t^i S_t^i}{V_t^h} \qquad i = 1, \cdots, N$$

Note that
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_t^i = 1$$
.

Also, h is self-financing if and only if $dV_t^h = V_t^h \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial w_t^i}{S_t^i} dS_t^i$

10. Arbitrage Pricing

In this chapter, N = 2 (two assets):

$$dB_t = rB_t dt$$

This is a risk-free asset, think bank account and r is a constant interest rate, and

$$dS_t = \mu(t, S_t)S_tdt + \sigma(t, S_t)S_tdW_t$$

is a risky asset, think stock price

Remarks:

- 1. $B_t = B_0 e^{rt}$
- 2. μ (local mean rate of return) and σ (volatility) are functions of t and current stock price
- **3**. In the Black-Scholes model, μ and σ are constants

The aim is to find a "fair" value of options written on S Options are also called financial derivatives

Definition 10.14 European Call Option

A European call option with strike price K and maturity date T on the underlying asset S is a contract such that the holder (owner) at time T has the right, but not the obligation to buy one share of S at price K from the option writer (seller)

Remarks:

- A European put option gives the right (but not the obligation) to sell one share of S at time T at price K
- \bullet An American call/put gives the right to buy/sell at any time before T

Definition 10.15

A contingent claim with maturity T (or a T-claim) is a random variable $X \in \mathcal{F}_T^S$ A contingent claim is simple is $X = \phi(S_T)$ for some contract function (or payoff function) ϕ

Example:

For a European call option, $\phi(x) = (x - K)^+ = \max\{x - K, 0\}$

Indeed, if $S_T \ge K$, then buy at price K and make profit $S_T - K$. If $S_T < K$, do not exercise the option. For a European put option $\phi(x) = (K - x)^+$

We will determine the price $\pi(t, X)$ of a T-claim X at time t by requiring the market to be arbitrage-free.

Definition 10.16

A self-financing portfolio h is an arbitrage if $\begin{cases} V_0^h=0\\ \mathbb{P}(V_T^h\geq 0)=1\\ \mathbb{P}(V_T^h>0)>0 \end{cases}$

The market is arbitrage-free if no arbitrage exists.

Example:

$$\begin{cases} dS_t^1 = dt + dW_t \\ dS_t^2 = dW_t \\ dB_t = 0 \end{cases}$$
 is not arbitrage free
$$\begin{cases} dS_t^1 = dt + dW_t^1 \\ dS_t^2 = dW_t^2 \\ dB_t = 0 \end{cases}$$
 is arbitrage free (first two lines indep)

Assumption: The price process $\Pi_t(X)$ is such that $(B_t, S_t, \Pi_t(X))$ is arbitrage-free.

We also assume that all assets (including the option) can be sold/bought with no market frictions (no transaction consts, no liquidity constraints)

Idea: Create a self-financing portfolio of options and the sock such that its value process is locally risk-free (has no dW-term). The drift of the value must then coincide with the interest rate (otherwise arbitrage). This will give a condition on the price of the option.

Assume $X = \phi(S_T)$ (simple T-claim) and that $\Pi_t(X) = F(t, S_t)$ for some function F.

New Notation:
$$F_t = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$$
, $F_s = \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}$, $F_{ss} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2}$

Then

$$dF(t, S_t) \stackrel{\text{Ito}}{=} F_t dt + F_s dS_t + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} (dS_t)^2$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(F_t + \frac{\sigma^2 S_t^2}{2} F_{ss} + \mu S_t F_s\right)}_{=\mu^F} F(t, S_t) dt + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma S_t F_s}{F}}_{=\sigma^F} F dW_t$$

$$= \mu^F F dt + \sigma^F F dW_t$$

Let (w^S, w^F) be a self financing relative portfolio of stocks and options $(w^S + w^F = 1)$, and let V be its value process. Then

$$dV_t = V_t \left(\frac{w^S}{S_t} dS_t + \frac{w^F}{F} dF_t \right)$$
$$= \left(\mu w^S + \mu^F w^F \right) V_t dt + (\sigma w^S + \sigma^F w^F) V_t dW_t$$

Let (w^S, w^F) be defined by

Then
$$dV_t = \frac{\mu \sigma^F - \mu^F \sigma}{\sigma^F - \sigma} V_t dt$$

By a no-arbitrage argument, we must have $r = \frac{\mu \sigma^F - \mu^F \sigma}{\sigma^F - \sigma}$

Here
$$\underbrace{r\sigma^F - r\sigma}_{= \frac{r\sigma S_t F_s}{F} - r\sigma} = \underbrace{\mu\sigma^F - \mu^F \sigma}_{= \frac{\mu\sigma S_t F_s}{F} - \frac{\sigma(F_t + \mu S_t F_s +) + \frac{-2S_t^2}{2}F_{ss}}{F}}_{= \frac{\mu\sigma S_t F_s}{F} - \frac{\sigma(F_t + \mu S_t F_s +) + \frac{-2S_t^2}{2}F_{ss}}{F}}_{= -F_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}S_t^2 F_{ss}}$$
$$= -F_t + \frac{\sigma^2 S_t^2}{2}F_{ss} + rS_t F_r - rF = 0$$

Since S_t can take any value, F must satisfy the PDE

$$F_t(t,s) + \frac{\sigma^2(t,s)}{2}s^2F_{ss} + rsF_s(t,s) - rF(t,s) = 0$$

Also, $\Pi_T(X) = F(T, S_T) = \phi(S_T)$, so we also have $F(T, S) = \phi(S_T)$

Sats 10.8: Black-Sholes equation

In the market $\begin{cases} dB_t = rB_t dt \\ dS_t = \mu(t, S_t)S_t dt + \sigma(t, S_t)S_t dW_t \end{cases}$, the only arbitrage-free price of a *T*-claim $X = \phi(S_T)$ is $F(t, S_t)$ where F(t, s) solves

$$\begin{cases} F_t(t,s) + \frac{\sigma^2(t,s)}{2} s^2 F_{ss}(t,s) + r s F_s(t,s) - r F(t,s) = 0 \\ F(T,s) = \phi(s) \end{cases}$$

The solution to the BS-equation is by Feynman-Kac

$$F(t,s) = \mathbb{E}_{t,s} \left(\exp \left\{ -r(T-t)\phi(S_T) \right\} \right)$$

where

$$dS_u = rS_u du + \sigma(u, S_u) S_u dW_u$$

$$S_t = s$$
(3)

we refer to

$$\begin{cases} dS_u = \mu(u, S_u) S_u du + \sigma(u, S_u) S_u dW_u \\ S_t = s \end{cases}$$
(4)

as the P-dynamics of S (the specification of S under the "physical measure" P). (3) is referred to as the Q-dynamics of S (Q is the $pricing\ measure$, or the $martingale\ measure$)

Sats 10.9

The arbitrage-free price of a simple T-claim $X = \phi(S_T)$ is $F(t, S_t)$ where

$$F(t,s) = \mathbb{E}_{t,s}^{Q} \left(\exp \left\{ -r(T-t)\phi(S_T) \right\} \right)$$

and the Q-dynamics of S are as in (3)

Example:

In the standard BS-model (i.e constant σ), what is the arbitrage-free price of the T-claim $X = S_T^2$? By risk-neutral valuation, $F(t,s) = \exp\{-r(T-t)\}\mathbb{E}_{t,s}^Q(S_T^2)$ Let $Y_u = S_u^2$, then

$$dY_u = 2S_u dS_u + (dS_u)^2 \overset{dS_u = rS_u du + \sigma S_u dW_u}{=} (2r + \sigma^2) Y_u du + 2\sigma Y_u dW_u$$

Y is a gBm and thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{t,s}^{Q}(S_T^2) = \mathbb{E}^{Q}(Y_T) = s^2 \exp\{(2r + \sigma^2)(T - t)\}$$

Which is the price of X at time t

Example:

What is the price of $X = S_t$? By risk-neutral valuation

$$F(t,s) = \exp\{-r(T-t)\} \mathbb{E}_{t,s}^{Q}(S_T) = s$$

So the price at time t is S_t

Remark:

In time-homogenous models (such as the BS-model), the relevant quantity is time T-t left to maturity.

Example: Binary option

In the standard BS-model, find the value of $X = \phi(S_T)$ where $\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq K \\ 0 & \text{if } x < K \end{cases}$

$$F(0,s) = \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \mathbb{E}_{0,s}^{Q} \left(I_{\{S_T \ge K\}}\right) = \exp\left\{-rT\right\} Q(S_T \ge K)$$

$$= \exp\left\{-rT\right\} Q(\sup\left\{\left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T + \sigma W_T\right\} \ge K)$$

$$= \exp\left\{-rT\right\} Q\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}W_T \ge \frac{\ln\left(\frac{K}{S}\right) - \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left\{-rT\right\} Q\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}W_t \le \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S}{K}\right) + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left\{-rT\right\} N\left(\frac{\ln\left(\frac{S}{K}\right) + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right)$$

Where $N(x) \sim N(0,1)$, and the last line is the price at time t

Example:

What is the price of a European call option $X = (S_T - K)^+$? In the standard BS-model

$$F(0,s) = \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \mathbb{E}_{0,s}^{Q}\left(\left(S_{t} - K\right)^{+}\right) = \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \mathbb{E}^{Q}\left(\left(\sup\left\{\left(r - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)T + \sigma W_{T}\right\} - K\right)^{+}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \int_{a}^{\infty} \left(\sup\left\{\left(r - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)T + \sigma\sqrt{T}x\right\} - K\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}\right\} dx \qquad a = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{K}{S}\right) - \left(r - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$$

$$s \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{\frac{-\left(x - \sigma\sqrt{T}\right)^{2}}{2}\right\} dx - K \exp\left\{-rT\right\} N(-a)$$

$$= s \int_{a - \sigma\sqrt{T}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}\right\} dx - K \exp\left\{-rT\right\} N(-a)$$

$$= s N(\sigma\sqrt{T} - a) - K \exp\left\{-rT\right\} N(-a)$$

Here we used the fact that the normal-distribution has symmetric tails

Sats 10.10: Black-Scholes formula

In teh standard BS-model, the price of a European call option is $F(t, S_t)$, where

$$F(t,s) = sN(d_1) - K\exp\{-r(T-t)\}N(d_2)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} d_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S}{K}\right) + (r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}} \\ d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T - t} \end{cases}$$

Consider $F(0,s) = sN(d_1) - K\exp\{-rT\}N(d_2)$ as above, then we have

$$F(0,s) = \mathbb{E}_{0,s}^{Q} \left(\exp \left\{ -rT \right\} (S_T - K)^+ \right) \le \mathbb{E}_{0,s}^{Q} \left(\exp \left\{ -rT \right\} (S_T) \right) = s$$

and

$$F(0,s) = \mathbb{E}_{0,s}^{Q} \left(\exp\left\{ -rT \right\} (S_{T} - K)^{+} \right) \ge \mathbb{E}_{0,s}^{Q} \left(\exp\left\{ -rT \right\} (S_{T} - K) \right) = s - K \exp\left\{ -rT \right\}$$

We shall see below that $F(0,s) = F(0,s;\sigma)$ is increasing in σ

Remark:

What about the put option?

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,s}^{Q}\left(\exp\left\{-rT\right\}\left(K-S_{T}\right)^{+}\right) = \text{ similar to above}$$

Alternatively, $(K-s)^+ = K - s + (s-K)^+$. We have priced $(s-K)^+$, and s, so $p(0,s) = K \exp\{-rT\} - s + c(0,s)$ where p is the put price and c is the call price. This relation is called the *put-call parity* Thus,

$$p(0,s) = K\exp\{-rT\} - s + sN(d_1) - K\exp\{-rT\} N(d_2)$$

$$= K\exp\{-rT\} \underbrace{(1 - N(d_2))}_{=N(-d_2)} - s \underbrace{(1 - N(d_1))}_{=N(-d_1)}$$

Sats 10.11

Let F(t,s) be the pricing function f a simple T-claim $X = \phi(S_T)$ in the standard BS-model. If ϕ is convex, then:

- **1**. F(t,s) is convex in s
- **2**. F(t,s) is increasing in σ

Bevis 10.1

$$F(0,s) = \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi\left(\sup\left\{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma\sqrt{T}x\right\}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\} dx$$
1.
$$F_{ss} = \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi''\left(\sup\left\{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma\sqrt{T}x\right\}\right) \exp\left\{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma\sqrt{T}x\right\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\} dx \ge 0$$
2.
$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi'\left(\sup\left\{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma\sqrt{T}x\right\}\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{\sigma^2T}{2} + \sigma\sqrt{T}x\right\} \sqrt{T}(x - \sigma\sqrt{T}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\} dx$$

$$= s\sqrt{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi'\left(\exp\left\{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma\sqrt{T}x\right\}\right) (x - \sigma\sqrt{T}) \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - \sigma\sqrt{T})^2}{2}\right\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx$$

$$\stackrel{\text{parts.}}{=} s\sqrt{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi''(s \exp\left\{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma\sqrt{T}x\right\}) \sigma\sqrt{T} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - \sigma\sqrt{T})^2}{2}\right\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx \ge 0$$

10.1. Drift estimation.

Assume $X_t = \mu_t + \sigma W_t$ and we want a confidence interval for μ . An estimate for μ is $\widehat{\mu} = \frac{X_t}{t} \in N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$ and a confidence interval is

$$\left(\widehat{\mu} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot 1.96, \widehat{\mu} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot 1.96\right)$$

If one wants a certain precision $\Delta \mu$ so that $\mathbb{P}(\mu \in (\widehat{\mu} - \Delta \mu, \widehat{\mu} + \Delta \mu)) = 0.95$, one needs

$$\frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} = \Delta\mu \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t = \frac{4\sigma^2}{(\Delta\mu)^2}$$

Plug in reasonable values $\begin{cases} \sigma = 0.3 \\ \Delta \mu = 0.06 \end{cases} \Rightarrow t = 100 \text{ years!}$

Remark:

When pricing options, the drift of the stock needs not be estimated (since under the pricing measure Q, the drift is r)

11. Volatility

In the BS-formula, s, r, t are observable, T, K are specified in the contract and σ is not directly observable. All are needed.

There are 2 approaches, one using historic volatility and one using implied volatility.

11.1. Historic volatility.

If $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t$, then sample S at n+1 time points and let

$$\xi_i = \ln\left(\frac{S_{ti}}{S_{t_{i-1}}}\right) = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)\Delta t + \sigma(W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}}) \sim N\left((\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})\Delta t, \sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}\right)$$

An esimate of σ^2 is then $S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i - \overline{\xi})^2}{(n-1)\Delta t}$ where $\overline{\xi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$

11.2. Implied volatility.

Let p be the price in the market of a certain call option (maturity T, with strike price K). Find σ such that $p = BS(s, t, T, r, \sigma, K)$ where BS denotes the Black-Scholes formula This σ is called *implied volatility*

Remark:

Recall that the BS-formula is increasing in σ

If gBm is the correct model (i.e option prices are calculated using the BS-formula), then the same implied volatility would be obtained for different K and T

12. Completeness and Hedging

Definition 12.17

A T-claim X can be replicated if there exists a self-financing portfolio h with $\mathbb{P}(V_T^h = X) = 1$. If every T-claim can be replicated then the market is complete

Sats 12.12

Assume that a T-claim X can be replicated using h. Then the only possible arbitrage-free price of X is $\Pi_t(X) = V_t^h$

Bevis 12.1

If for example $\Pi_t(X) < V_t^h$ for some t; sell the portfolio and buy the claim \Rightarrow arbitrage

We now specialize to the model

$$\begin{cases}
dB_t = rB_t dt \\
dS_t = \mu(t, S_t) S_t dt + \sigma(t, S_t) S_t dW_t
\end{cases}$$
(5)

with $\sigma(t,s) > 0$

Sats 12.13

The model (5) is complete

We will prove a simpler result, namely that all $simple\ T$ -claims can be replicated.

Recall that the value $\Pi_t(X)$ of a simple T-claim $X = \phi(S_T)$ is $F(t, S_t)$ where F(t, s) is the pricing function. Thus

$$d\Pi_t = F_t dt + F_s dS_t + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} (dS_t)^2$$
$$= \left(F_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S_t^2 F_{ss} \right) dt + F_s dS_t$$

Moreover, a portfolio $h = (h^B, h^S)$ is self-financing if $dV_t^h = h_t^B dB_t + h_t^s dS_t$. Choose $h_t^S = F_s(t, S_t)$

Sats 12.14

Let $X = \phi(S_T)$ and define F(t, s) by

$$\begin{cases} F_t + \frac{\sigma^2 S^2}{2} F_{ss} + rsF_s - rF = 0 \\ F(T, s)\phi(s) \end{cases}$$

Define $h = (h^B, h^S)$ by

$$\begin{cases} h_t^B = \frac{F(t,S_t) - S_t F_s(t,S_t)}{B_t} \\ h_t^S = F_s(t,S_t) \end{cases}$$

Then h replicates X and $\Pi_t(X) = V_t^h = F(t, S_t)$

Bevis 12.2

$$V_t^h = h_t^B B_t + h_t^S S_t = F(t, S_t), \text{ so } d$$

$$dV_t^h = F_t dt + F_s dS_t + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} (dS_t)^2$$

$$= \left(F_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S_t^2 F_{ss}\right) dt + F_s dS_t$$

$$\stackrel{\text{BS PDE}}{=} r(F - S_t F_s) dt + F_s dS_t = h_t^B dB_t + h_t^S dS_t$$

Thus h is self-financing. Since $V_T^h=F(T,S_t)=\phi(S_T)=X,$ h replicates X. By no-arbitrage $\Pi_t(X)=V_t^h=F(t,S_t)$

Example:

If
$$X = S_T$$
, then $F(t,s) = s$, so $h_t^S = F_s = 1$

Example:

For a call option (in the standard BS-model), $F(0,s) = sN(d_1) - K\exp\{-rT\}N(d_2)$, thus

$$F_S(0,s) = N(d_1) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\operatorname{sexp} \left\{ -\frac{d_1^2}{2} \right\} - K \operatorname{exp} \left\{ -rT \right\} \operatorname{exp} \left\{ -\frac{d_2^2}{2} \right\} \right) \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial s}$$

Moreoever.

$$\sup\left\{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}\right\} - K \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{d_2^2}{2}\right\} = \exp\left\{-\frac{d^2}{2}\right\} \left(s - K \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}\right\} \exp\left\{\sigma\sqrt{T}d_1\right\}\right) = 0$$
 so $F_s(0,s) = N(d_1)$

Remark:

The derivative $\Delta = F_s$ is called the *delta*.

In a replicating portfolio one should hold Δ shares of S at each time.

If the pricing function is convex in S, then in order to replicate it then Δ goes up then buy more stock. Conversely, sell off if the opposite.

Example:

For a call option in the standard BS-model

$$F(0,s) = sN(d_1) - K\exp\{-rT\} N(d_2)$$

Where
$$\begin{cases} d_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{s}{K}\right) + (r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \\ d_2 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{s}{K}\right) + (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\Delta = F_s(0,s) = N(d_1) + s\varphi(d_1) \frac{1}{s\sigma\sqrt{T}} - K\exp\left\{-rT\right\} \varphi(d_2) \frac{1}{s\sigma\sqrt{T}}$$
$$= N(d_1) + \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \left(\varphi(d_1) - \frac{K}{s}\exp\left\{-rT\right\} \varphi(d_2)\right)$$

Where

$$N(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \varphi(z)dz$$
$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{z^{2}}{2}\right\}$$

The claim is that we are left with 0 on the second term, we check:

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\varphi(d_1) - \frac{K}{s} \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \varphi(d_2)}{= \exp\left\{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}\right\} - \frac{K}{s} \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{\left(d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T}\right)^2}{2}\right\}}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}\right\} \left(1 - \frac{K}{s} \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}\right\} \exp\left\{d_1 \sigma\sqrt{T}\right\}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left\{-\frac{d_1^2}{2}\right\} \left(1 - \frac{K}{s} \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}\right\} \exp\left\{\ln\left(\frac{s}{K}\right) + (r + \sigma^2/2)T\right\}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow N(d_1) + \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \left(\varphi(d_1) - \frac{K}{s} \exp\left\{-rT\right\} \varphi(d_2)\right) = N(d_1)$$

The Δ is simply the first derivative of the pricing function.

13. Volatility Mis-specification

Assume that a trader believes in

$$dS_t = \mu(t, S_t)S_t dt + \sigma(t, S_t)S_t dW_t$$

whereas the stock actually follows

$$d\stackrel{\sim}{S}_t = \stackrel{\sim}{\mu} (t, \stackrel{\sim}{S}_t) \stackrel{\sim}{S}_t dt + \stackrel{\sim}{\sigma} (t, \stackrel{\sim}{S}_t) d\stackrel{\sim}{W}_t$$

What happens if the trader tries to replicate a simple T-claim $x = \phi(\overset{\sim}{S_T})$?

The trader solves $\begin{cases} F_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} s^2 F_{ss} + r s F_s - r F = 0 \\ F(T,s) = \phi(s) \end{cases}$ and constructs a portfolio $h = (h^B,h^S)$ with initial

value $V_0^h = F(0,s)$ containing $F_s(t,\widetilde{\S}_t)$ shares of \widetilde{S} at each time (and $V_t^h - \widetilde{S}_t$ $F_s(t,S_t)$) in the bank account

The tracking error $Y_t = V_t^h - F(t, \widetilde{S}_t)$ satisfies $Y_0 = 0$ and

$$dY_t = r(V_t^h - \overset{\sim}{S_t} F_s)dt + F_s d\tilde{S} - \left(F_t dt + F_s d\overset{\sim}{S_t} + \frac{1}{2}\overset{\sim}{\sigma}^2 \overset{\sim}{S_t}^2 F_{ss} dt\right)$$

$$= rV_t^h dt - \underbrace{\left(F_t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \tilde{S}^2 F_{ss} + r\overset{\sim}{S_t} F_s\right)}_{rF} dt + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma^2 - \overset{\sim}{\sigma}^2}{2} \overset{\sim}{S_t}^2 F_{ss} dt}_{rF}$$

$$= rY_t dt + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma^2 - \overset{\sim}{\sigma}^2}{2} \overset{\sim}{S_t}^2 F_{ss} dt}_{rF}$$

Thus, if $\sigma^2 \ge \widetilde{\sigma}^2$ and $F_{\sigma} \ge 0$, then $Y(T) = V(T) - \phi(\widetilde{S_T}) \ge 0$

A trader who overestimates volatility and who uses a model with a convex price will superreplicate the claim!

14. ASIAN OPTIONS

Asian options are option on the average of S.

An Asian call option pays $\chi = \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T S_t dt - K\right)^+$ at T.

Note, it is not a simple T-claim!

Sats 14.15

Let $\chi = \phi(S_T, Z_T)$, where $Z_t = \int_0^t g(u, S_u) du$ for some function g. Let F(t, s, z) solve

$$\begin{cases} F_t + \frac{\sigma^2 s^2}{2} F_{ss} + rsF_s + g(t, s)F_z - rF = 0 \\ F(T, s, z) = \phi(s, Z) \end{cases}$$

and let
$$\begin{cases} h_t^B = \frac{F(t,S_t,Z_t) - S_t F_s(t,S_t,Z_t)}{B_t} \\ h_t^S = F_s(t,S_t,Z_t) \end{cases}$$

$$\Pi_t(\chi) = V_t^h = F(t, S_t, Z_t)$$

Moreover, $F(t, s, Z) = \exp\{-r(T - t)\}\mathbb{E}_{t, s, z}^{Q} \left[\phi(S_T, Z_T)\right]$ where the Q-dynamics are

$$\begin{cases} dS_u = rS_u du + \sigma(u, S_u) S_u dW_u^Q \\ S_t = s \\ dZ_u = g(u, S_u) du \\ Z_t = z \end{cases}$$

Bevis 14.1

$$V_t^h = h_t^B B_t + h_t^S S_t = F(t, S_t, Z_t)$$

In particular, $V_T^h = F(T, S_T, Z_T) = \phi(S_T, Z_T) = \chi$

$$dV_t^h \stackrel{\text{Ito}}{=} F_t dt + F_s dS_t + \underbrace{F_z dZ_t}_{gdt} + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} (dS_t)^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} F_{zz} (dZ)^2}_{=0} + F_{sz} \underbrace{dS dZ}_{=0}$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(F_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S_t^2 F_{ss} + g(t, S_t) F_z\right)}_{=r(F - S_t F_s) \text{ by BS PDE}} dt + F_s dS_t$$

$$= r(F - S_t F_s) dt + F_s dS_s - h^B dP_s + h^S dS_s$$

So h is self-financing and replicates χ

Therefore, by no arbitrage, $\Pi_t(\chi) = V_t^h = F(t, S_t, Z_t)$

Finally, the stochastic representation follows from Feynman-Kac

Example:
$$\chi = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} S_u du \text{ paid at } T_2$$
What is the value of the T_2 -claim

What is the value of the T_2 -claim χ at time 0?

$$\mathbb{E}_{t,s}^{Q} \left[\exp\left\{ -r(T_2 - t) \right\} \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} S_u du \right] = \frac{\exp\left\{ -r(T_2 - t) \right\}}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{t,s} \left[S_u \right]}_{\text{sexp} \left\{ r(u - t) \right\}} du$$

$$= \frac{\exp\left\{ -r(T_2 - t) \right\}}{T_2 - T_1} \frac{s}{r} \left(\exp\left\{ r(T_2 - t) \right\} - \exp\left\{ r(T_1 - t) \right\} \right)$$

$$= \frac{s}{r(T_2 - T_1)} \left(1 - \exp\left\{ -r(T_2 - T_1) \right\} \right)$$

Which yields the answer, i.e the price is $\frac{S_t}{r(T_2-T_1)} (1-\exp\{-r(T_2-T_1)\})$

All T-claims χ are priced as $\mathbb{E}^Q[\exp\{-rT\}\chi]$ (not only simple T-claims and Asian options)

Remark:

What is the value of χ in the previous exercise at $t \in [T_1, T_2]$?

$$\chi = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} S_u du = \underbrace{\frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{t} S_u du}_{\text{known at } t} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{t}^{T_2} S_u du}_{y}$$

Price of y:

$$\mathbb{E}_{t,s}^{Q} \left[\exp\left\{-r(T_2 - t)\right\} \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{t}^{T_2} S_u du \right]$$

$$= \frac{\exp\left\{-r(T_2 - t)\right\}}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{t}^{T_2} \sup\left\{r(u - t)\right\} du$$

$$= \frac{s}{r(T_2 - T_1)} \left(1 - \exp\left\{-r(T_2 - t)\right\}\right)$$

The answer is $\frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \left(\exp\left\{ -r(T_2 - t) \right\} \int_{T_1}^t S_u du + \frac{S_t}{r} \left(1 - \exp\left\{ -r(T_2 - t) \right\} \right) \right)$

14.1. Completeness vs Absence of Arbitrage.

- 1. The BS-model $\begin{cases} dB_t = rB_t dt \\ dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t \end{cases}$ is arbitrage-free and complete
- 2. The model

$$dB_t = rB_t dt$$

$$dS_t^1 = \mu_1 S_t^1 dt + \sigma_1 S_t^1 dW_t$$

$$dS_t^2 = \mu_2 S_t^1 dt + \sigma_2 S_t^2 dW_t$$

is complete, but (typically) not arbitrage free since one may construct a portfolio in S^1, S^2 with do dW term and with local mean rate of return $\neq r$

3. The model

$$dB_t = rB_t dt$$

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma_1 S_t dW_t^1 + \sigma_2 S_t dW_t^2$$

is arbitrage-free but not complete since $\chi=W^1_{\mathcal{T}}$ cannot be replicated

Sats 14.16: Meta-theorem

Let M = the number of traded assets excluding B and R = the number random sources (BMs, Poisson processes) etc. Then:

- Absence of arbitrage $\Leftrightarrow M \leq R$
- Completeness $\Leftrightarrow M \geq R$
- Absence of arbitrage and completeness $\Leftrightarrow M = R$

15. Parity Relations

To replicate a T-claim in the BS-model, we need continuous rebalancing of our portfolio. In reality, this is expensive (due to transaction costs). There are two approaches to this:

- 1. Static hedging
- 2. Delta and gamma hedging

15.1. Static Hedging.

A put option can be replicated with a static portfolio of stocks, bonds and call options

Remark: A bond (or a zero-coupan T-bond) pays its owner a pre-determined fixed amount K at time T

If the interest rate is constant, the price of a T-bond is $K\exp\{-r(T-t)\}$ where K is called the face value of the bond.

Lemma 15.1: Put-call parity

If p(t,s) is the price at t of a put option (strike price K, maturity date T) and similarly c(t,s) is the price of a call option, then

$$p(t,s) = K \exp\{-r(T-t)\} - s + ec(t,s)$$

Moreover, the put can be replicated by a static portfolio consisting of a call, a short position in the stock, and a zero-coupon bond with face value K

Example:

What is the pricing formula for a put option in the standard BS-model? *Alternative 1:*

$$p(t,s) = \mathbb{E}_{t,s}^{Q} \left[\exp\left\{-r(T-t)(K-S_{T})^{+}\right\} \right]$$

$$= \exp\left\{-r(T-t)\right\} \int_{-\infty}^{a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-x^{2}/2\right\} \left(K - \exp\left\{\left(r - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)(T-t) + \sigma\sqrt{T-t}x\right\}\right) dx$$

$$= \cdots$$

Alternative 2: Put-call parity yields

$$p(t,s) = K \exp\left\{-r(T-t)\right\} - s + c(t,s) = K \exp\left\{-r(T-t)\right\} - s + sN(d_1) - K \exp\left\{-r(T-t)\right\} N(d_2)$$
$$= KN(-d_2) - sN(d_1)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{s}{K}\right) + \left(r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}} \\ d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T - t} \end{cases}$$

Example:

$$\chi = \begin{cases} K & \text{if } S_T \le A \\ K + A - S_T & \text{if } A < S_T \le K + a \\ 0 & \text{if } K + A < S_T \end{cases}$$

Determine a static portfolio of stocks, bonds, and call options that replicates χ

Here, χ can be graphed as the constant function K minus the linear function starting at A plus the linear function starting at K + A, so the portfolio consisting of:

- \bullet One zer-coupon bond with face value K
- One short position in a call with strike A
- One long position in a call with strike K + A

can be used to replicate χ

15.2. The Greeks.

Let F(t,s) be the pricing function of a simple T-claim in the standard BS-model.

Definition 15.18

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \quad \Gamma = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2} \quad \rho = \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} \quad \theta = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \quad \nu = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \sigma}$$

15.3. Delta and Gamma Hedging.

The seller of an option would often try to replicate it to reduce risk. In discrete time, teh seller does as follows:

- 1. At t=0: Sell the option, buy $F_s(0,S_0)$ shares of S, deposit $F(0,S_0)-F_s(0,S_0)$ in the bank
- **2.** At $t = \Delta t$: Adjust stock holdings to $F_s(\Delta t, S_{\Delta T})$ shares (in a self-financing way, i.e adjust bank holdings accordingly)
- **3**. At $t = k\Delta t$: Repeat until T

The Δ of the whole portfolio (option, stocks, bank account) is close to 0. If $\Gamma = \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial s}$ is small, then chaning in Δ is small and then rebalancing can be made less frequently!

Let G be the pricing function of another leaim χ_G on the same stock S. Modify the strategy as follows:

- Buy x_G units of χ_G (where $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2} = x_G \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial s^2}$)
 Buy x_s shares of S (where $\frac{\partial F}{\partial s} = x_s + x_G \frac{\partial G}{\partial s}$)
 Deposit $F(0, S_0) x_G G(0, S_0) x_s S_0$ in the bank account.

This portfolio is Δ -neutral and Γ -neutral. Rebalancing can be made less frequently!

Definition 16.19 Multi Dimensional Model

A model
$$\begin{cases} dB_t = rB_t dt \\ dS_t^i = \mu_i S_t^i dt + S_t i \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} dW_t^j \end{cases}$$
 where r, μ_i, σ_{ij} are constants and
$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \cdots & \sigma_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{n1} & \cdots & \sigma_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a non-singular matrix is a multi-dimensional model

Remark:

In the meta-theorem, R = M = n, so we expect the market to be arbitrage-free and complete.

The question becomes, what is the arbitrage-free price of a simple T-claim $\chi = \phi(S_T)$?

The idea is that we could construct a portfolio of $S^1, S^2, \dots, S^n, \Pi(\chi)$ which is locally risk-free (no dW-terms). Then, to avoid arbitrage, the drift of the portfolio must be r. This will yield a PDE for the price.

Instead, we will take the following route. We guess that the price is $\Pi_t(\chi) = F(t, S_t^1, \dots, S_t^n)$ where $F(t, S_1, \dots, S_n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} F_t + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n S_i S_j C_{ij} F_{s,S_j} + s \sum S_i F_{S_i} - rF = 0 \\ F(T, S_1, \dots, S_n) = \phi(S_1, \dots, S_n) \end{cases}$$
 (6)

where $C = \sigma \sigma^*$

To show that the guess is correct, we give a replication argument.

Sats 16.17

To avoid arbitrage, the price of $\chi = \phi(S_T)$ has to be $F(t, S_t)$ where F(t, s) is given by (6) above. Moreover, χ is replicated by $h = (h^B, h^1, \dots, h^n)$ where

$$\begin{cases} h_t^B = \frac{F(t, S_t) - \sum_{i=1}^n S_t^i F_{S_i}(t, S_t)}{B_t} \\ h_t^i = F_{S_i}(t, S_t) & (i = 1, \dots, n) \end{cases}$$

Bevis 16.1

$$V_t^h = h_t^B B_t + \sum_{i=1}^n h_t^i S_t^i = F(t, S_t)$$

So $V_T^h = F(T, S_T) = \phi(S_T) = \chi$ which is the correct terminal value.

$$dV_{t}^{h} \stackrel{\text{Ito}}{=} F_{t}dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{S_{i}}dS_{t}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} F_{S_{i},S_{j}}(dS_{t}^{i})(dS_{t}^{j})$$

$$= \left(F_{t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} S_{t}^{i}S_{t}^{j}C_{ij}F_{S_{i},S_{j}}\right)dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{S_{i}}dS_{t}^{i}$$

$$\stackrel{(6)}{=} \left(rF - r \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{t}^{j}F_{S_{i}}\right)dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{S_{i}}dS_{t}^{i}$$

$$= h_{t}^{B}dB_{t} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{t}^{i}dS_{t}^{i}$$

Thus h is self-financing and it replicates χ .

Any price different from $V_t^h = F(t, S_t)$ would lead to an arbitrage

Sats 16.18: Risk Neutral Valuation

The prcing function has the representation

$$F(t,s) = \mathbb{E}_{t,s}^{Q} \left[\exp \left\{ -r(T-t) \right\} \phi(S_T) \right]$$

Where the Q-dynamics of S are $\begin{cases} dS_u^i = rS_u^i du + S_u^i \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} dW_u^j \\ S_t^i = S_i \end{cases}$

16.1. Reducing the state space.

Let n=2, and assume that $\phi(kS_1,kS_2)=k\phi(S_1,S_2)$ for k>0.

Then
$$\phi(S_1, S_2) = S_2 \phi\left(\frac{S_1}{S_2}, 1\right)$$

Ansatz:

$$F(t, S_1, S_2) = S_2 G\left(t, \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right)$$

For some function G(t, z)

The terminal condition $F(T, S_1, S_2) = \phi(S_1, S_2)$ translates into $G(T, z) = \phi(z, 1)$ We now translate all derivatives in the BS-equation:

$$F_t + \frac{1}{2}S_1^2C_{11}F_{S_1S_1} + \frac{1}{2}S_2^2C_{22}F_{S_2S_2} + S_1S_2C_{12}F_{S_1S_2} + rS_1F_{S_1} + rS_2F_{S_2} - rF = 0$$

Into derivatives of G:

$$\begin{split} F_t &= S_2 G_t \qquad F_{S_1 S_1} = \frac{1}{S_2} G_{zz} \\ F_{S_1} &= G_z \qquad F_{S_1 S_2} = \frac{-S_1}{S_2^2} G_{zz} \\ F_{S_2} &= G - \frac{S_1}{S_2} G_z \qquad F_{S_2 S_2} = \frac{S_1^2}{S_3^2} G_{zz} \end{split}$$

We get:

$$S_2G_t + \frac{1}{2}\frac{S_1^2}{2}C_{11}G_{zz} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{S_1^2}{S_2}C_{22}G_{zz} - \frac{S_1^2}{S_2}C_{12}G_{zz} + rS_1G_z + rS_2G - rS_1G_z - rS_2G = 0$$

which simplifies to

$$G_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} (C_{11} + C_{22} - 2C_{12}) G_{zz} = 0$$

Since the argument of G and its derivatives is $\left(t, \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right)$, we have the following:

Lemma 16.1

Assume
$$\phi(kS_1, kS_2) = k\phi(S_1, S_2)$$
, then $F(t, S_1, S_2) = S_2G\left(t, \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right)$ where $G(t, z)$ solves
$$\begin{cases} G_t + \frac{1}{2}\left(C_{11} + C_{22} - 2C_{12}\right)z^2G_{zz} = 0\\ G(T, z) = \phi(z, 1) \end{cases}$$

Example:

$$\begin{cases} dS_t^1 = \mu_1 S_t^1 dt + \sigma_1 S_t^1 dW_t^1 \\ dS_t^2 = \mu_2 S_t^2 dt + \sigma_2 S_t^2 dW_t^2 \\ dB_t = rB_t dt \end{cases}$$

Let $\chi = (S_T^1 - S_T^2)^+$. This is an exchange option. It gives the right to exchange one share of S^2 for one share of S^1

We have $\phi(S_1, S_2) = (S_1 - S_2)^+$ so $\phi(kS_1, kS_2) = k\phi(S_1, S_2)$ By our recipe, $F(t, S_1, S_2) = S_2 G\left(t, \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right)$ where G(t, z) solves

$$\begin{cases} G_t + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 \right) z^2 G_{zz} = 0 \\ G(T, z) = (z - 1)^+ \end{cases}$$

Using the BS-formula, $G(t, z) = zN(d_1) - N(d_2)$ s

$$F(t, S_1, S_2) = S_2 G\left(t, \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right) = S_1 N(d_1) - S_2 N(d_2)$$

Where

$$\begin{cases} d_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S_1}{S_2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)(T - t)}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}\sqrt{T - t}} \\ d_2 = d_1 - \sqrt{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)(T - t)} \end{cases}$$

Example:

In the market
$$\begin{cases} dB_t = rB_t dt \\ dS_t^1 = \mu S_t^1 dt + \sigma_1 S_t^1 dW_t^1 \\ dS_t^2 = \mu_2 S_t^2 dt + \sigma_2 S_t^2 \left(\rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t^2\right) \end{cases}$$

Find the price at t = 0 of the T-claim $\chi = \frac{(S_T^2)}{S^2}$

To answer this, notet that $\phi(S_1, S_2) = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2}$, to $\phi(kS_1, kS_2) = k\phi(S_1, S_2)$

Thus,
$$F(t, S_1, S_2) = S_2 G\left(t, \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right)$$
 where

$$\begin{cases} G_t + \frac{1}{2}z^2 \left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2\right) G_{zz} = 0\\ G(T, z) = z^2 \end{cases}$$

par Let $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2}$, we have

$$G(0,z) = \mathbb{E}_{0,z} \left[Z_T^2 \right] \qquad dZ_t = \sigma Z dW_t$$

Let $Y_t = Z_t^2$, then

$$dY_t = 2Z_t dZ_t + (dZ_t)^2 = \sigma^2 Y_t dt + 2\sigma Y_t dW_t$$

so
$$G(0,z)=\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{T}^{2}\right]=z^{2}\mathrm{exp}\left\{ \sigma^{2}T\right\}$$

Answer:
$$F(0, S_1, S_2) = S_2 G\left(0, \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right) = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2} \exp\left\{\left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2\right)T\right\}$$

Example:

$$\begin{cases} dS_t^1 = \mu_1 S_t^1 dt + \sigma_1 S_t^1 dW_t^1 \\ dS_t^2 = \mu_2 S_t^2 dt + \sigma_2 S_t^2 dW_t^2 \\ dB_t = rB_t dt \end{cases}$$

Here $dW^1 dW^2 = \rho dt$. Let $\chi = (S_T^1 - S_T^2)^+$

By our recipe $F(t, S_1, S_2) = S_2 G\left(t, \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right)$ where G(t, z) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} G_t + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \right) z^2 G_{zz} = 0 \\ G(T, z) = (z - 1)^+ \end{cases}$$

Using the BS formula

$$G(t,z) = zN(d_1) - N(d_2)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d_1 = \frac{\left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2\right)}{\sigma^2} \\ d_1 = \frac{\ln(z) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}} \\ d_2 = \frac{\ln(z) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the pricing function F is

$$F(t, S_1, S_2) = S_2 G\left(t, \frac{S_1}{S_2}\right) = S_2 \left(\frac{S_1}{S_1} N(d_1) - N(d_2)\right)$$
$$= S_1 N(d_1) - S_2 N(d_2)$$

Where d_1, d_2 are now equal to

$$\begin{cases} d_1 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S_1}{S_2}\right) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \\ d_2 = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S_1}{S_2}\right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \end{cases}$$

Remark:

In general, the payoff function ϕ could be something like min $\{S_1(T), S_2(T)\}$, then according to the recipe we should plug in for the terminal condition min $\{z, 1\} = \phi(z, 1)$.

This is a linear function minus a call option, so it is solvable. For the linear function the one-dimensional BS PDE is easy to solve.

17. Incomplete Markets

Assumption: Two objects are given:

- A risk-free asset $dB_t = rB_t dt$
- A stochastic process X which is not assumed to be the price of a traded assets, with

$$dX_t = \mu(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t$$

Consider a T-claim $y = \phi(X_T)$, what is the price $\Pi_t(y)$ at t < T?

Example:

 X_t is the temperature in Brighton at time g

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 100 & \text{if } x \le 20\\ 0 & \text{if } x > 20 \end{cases}$$

The holder of the T-claim receives 100 if the temperature is below 20, 0 otherwise

Our expectations: In the meta-theorem, R = 1, M = 0 so the market is incomplete. The price of y is not uniquely determined. If the price of a benchmark derivative is given, however, then all other derivatives will have unique prices. Certain consistency relations between prices should hold!

Assume y and Z have price processes

$$\Pi_t(y) = F(t, X_t) \qquad \Pi_t(Z) = G(t, X_t)$$

$$d\pi_t(y) = \mu_F F dt + \sigma_F F dW_t \qquad \begin{cases} \mu_F = \frac{F_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} F_{xx} + \mu F_x}{F} \\ \sigma_F = \frac{\sigma F_x}{F} \\ d\Pi_t(Z) = \alpha_G G dt + \sigma_G G dW_t \end{cases}$$

Let $w = (w^F, W^G)$ be a self-financing relative portfolio in F and G

$$dV_t^w = V_t^w w^F \frac{dF}{F} + V_t^w w^G \frac{dG}{G}$$
$$= (\mu_F w^F + \mu_G w^G) V_t^w dt + (\sigma_F w^F + \sigma_G w^G) V_t^w dW_t$$

Chose w^F, w^G so that

$$\begin{aligned} w^F + w^G &= 1 \\ \sigma_F w^F + \sigma_G w^G &= 0 \end{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} w^F &= \frac{-\sigma_G}{\sigma_F - \sigma_G} \\ w^G &= \frac{\sigma_F - \sigma_G}{\sigma_F - \sigma_G} \end{cases}$$

Then
$$dV_t^w = \frac{\sigma_F \mu_G - \sigma_G \mu_F}{\sigma_F - \sigma_G} V_t^w dt$$

By the no-arbitrage assumption, we must have $\frac{\sigma_F \mu_G - \sigma_G \mu_F}{\sigma_F - \sigma_G} = r$

Thus

$$\sigma_F \mu_G - \sigma_G \mu_F = r \sigma_F - r \sigma_G$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\mu_F - r}{\sigma_F} = \frac{\mu_G - r}{\sigma_G}$$

Note that the LHS does not involve G and the RHS does not involve F

Lemma 17.1

Assume the market for derivatives is arbitrage-free. Then there exists a process λ such that $\lambda(t, X_t) = \frac{\mu_F(t, X_t) - r}{\sigma_F(t, X_t)}$ for any pricing function F

Terminology: λ_t is called the market price of risk

We have
$$\lambda = \frac{\mu_F - r}{\sigma_F} = \frac{F_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}F_{xx} + \mu F_x - rF}{\sigma F_x}$$

Lemma 17.2

The price of a T-claim $\phi(X_T)$ is $F(t, X_t)$ where F(t, x) solves

$$\begin{cases} F_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} F_{xx} + (\mu - \sigma \lambda) F_x - rF = 0 \\ F(T, x) = \phi(x) \end{cases}$$

Moreover,
$$F(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_{t,x}^{Q} \left[\exp \left\{ -r(T-t) \right\} \phi(X_T) \right]$$

where
$$\begin{cases} dX_s = \left(\mu(s,X_s) - \lambda(s,X_s) \sigma(s,X_S) \right) ds + \sigma(s,X_s) dW_s^Q \\ X_t = x \end{cases}$$
 under Q

Remark:

 $\lambda(t,x)$ is not specified within the model. If we take the price of one derivative as given with price process $\Pi_t = G(t,X_t)$, then $\lambda(t,x) = \frac{\mu_G(t,x) - r}{\sigma_G(t,x)}$ can be calculated. This λ can then be used to price other derivatives.

Special Case:

Assume that X is in fact a traded asset. The claim $\overline{Z} = X_T$ then has price $G(t, X_t) = X_t$, so

$$\lambda(t,x) = \frac{\mu_F - r}{\sigma_G} = \frac{G_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}G_{xx} + \mu G_x - rG}{\sigma G_x} \stackrel{G(t,x)=x}{=} \frac{\mu - rx}{\sigma}$$

The factor $\mu - \lambda \sigma$ is then $\mu - \lambda \sigma = rx$ Thus the usual BS-equation is recovered!

18. Discrete Dividends

Consider a stock S that pays dividends at times T_1, \dots, T_K where $0 < T_1 < T_2 \dots T_K < T$. In addition to S, there is also a bank account $dB_t = rB_tdt$ Between dividend dates, S follows the geometric Brownian motion

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t$$

At each $t = T_i$, a dividend $\delta(S_{T_i})$ is paid out.

Here $\delta: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function with $\delta(S) \leq S$ To avoid arbitrage, we must have $S_{T_i} = S_{T_i} - \delta(S_{T_i})$

Question: What is the price of a T-claim $\chi = \phi(S_T)$?

Answer: For $t \in [T_i, T_{i+1}]$ we have $\Pi_t(\chi) = F^i(t, S_t)$ where $F^i(t, s)$ is constructed as follows:

• Up to T_{K-1}

$$\begin{cases} F_t^{K-2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S^2 F_{ss}^{K-2} r S F_s^{K-2} - r F^{K-2} = 0 \\ F^{K-2}(T,S) = F^{K-1}(F,S-\delta(S)) \end{cases}$$

• Up to T_K

$$\begin{cases} F_t^{K-1} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S^2 F_{ss}^{K-1} + r S F_s^{k-1} = r F^{K-1} \\ F^{K_1}(T_K, S) = F^K(T_k, S - \delta(S)) \end{cases}$$

• Up to T

$$\begin{cases} F_T^K + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S^2 F_{ss}^K + r S F_s^K = r F^k \\ F^K(T,S) = \phi(S) \end{cases}$$

Lemma 18.1: Risk-neutral valuation

The arbitrage-free price of a simple T-claim $\chi = \phi(S_T)$ in the presence of discrete dividends is $F(t, S_t)$ where

$$F(t,s) = \exp\left\{-r(T-t)\right\} \mathbb{E}_{t,s}^{Q}\left[\phi(S_T)\right]$$

Here, the following is under Q:

$$\begin{cases} dS_u = rS_u du + \sigma S_u dW_u^q \\ S_t = s \\ S_{T_i} = S_{T_i} - \delta(S_{T_i}) \end{cases}$$

Important special case:

$$\delta(S) = \underbrace{\delta}_{\delta \in (0,1)} S$$

Then

$$\begin{split} S_T &= S_{T_K} \exp\left\{ \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - T_K) + \sigma(W_T^Q - W_{T_K}^Q) \right\} \\ &= (1 - \delta) S_{T_K^-} \exp\left\{ \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - T_K) + \sigma(W_T^Q - W_{T_K}^Q) \right\} \\ &= (1 - \delta) S_{T_{K-1}} \exp\left\{ \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - T_{K-1}) + \sigma(W_T^Q - W_{T_{K-1}}^Q) \right\} \\ &= (1 - \delta)^2 S_{T_{K_1^-}} \exp\left\{ \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - T_{K-1}) + \sigma(W_T^Q - W_{T_{K-1}}^Q) \right\} \\ &= \dots = (1 - \delta)^n S \exp\left\{ \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma(W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\} \end{split}$$

Where n is the number of dividends times in [t, T]

Therefore $F^{\delta}(t,s) = F^{0}(t,S(1-\delta)^{n})$, i.e pricing function in presence of dividends = pricing function with no dividends.

Example:

Assume $\delta(S) = \delta S$. What is the price of a call option $\chi = (S_T - K)^+$? Answer:

$$F^{\delta}(t,s) = F^{0}(t,S(1-\delta)^{n}) = (1-\delta)^{n}SN(d_{1})_{K}\exp\left\{r(T-t)\right\}N(d_{2})$$

$$\begin{cases} d_{1} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S(1-\delta)^{n}}{K}\right) + \left(r + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \\ d_{2} = d_{1} - \sigma\sqrt{T-t} \end{cases}$$

Example:

Find a replicating strategy for $\chi = S_T$ (assume n remaining dividends)

The value of χ is $F^{\delta}(0,S) = F^{0}(0,S(1-\delta)^{n}) = S(1-\delta)^{n}$

At t = 0, buy $(1 - \delta)^n$ shares of S

At $t = T_1$, receive $(1 - \delta)^n \delta S_{T_1^-}$ in dividends.

New stock price is $S_{T_1} = (1 - \delta)S_{T_1^-}$; so we can buy $\frac{(1 - \delta)^n \delta S_{T_1^-}}{(1 - \delta)S_{T_1^-}}$ new shares. Total holdings of

 $(1 - \delta)^n + \delta(1 - \delta)^{n-1} = (1 - \delta)^{n-1}$

Contine similarly at T_2, \dots, T_n . After T_k ; we have $(1 - \delta)^{n-k}$ shares, so at t = T we have $(1 - \delta)^{n-n} = 1$ shares of S

Thus χ is replicated!

19. Continuous Dividends

The market admits the same model as previously, i.e

$$\begin{cases} dB_t = rB_t dt \\ dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t \end{cases}$$

Dividend structure: $dD_t = \delta(S_t)S_tdt$ where δ is some continuous function

Interpretation:

During an interval $[t_1, t_2]$, the holder of one share of S receives the amount

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \delta(S_u) S_u du$$

To price a T-claim $\chi = \phi(S_T)$, we follow our usual approach.

Assume $\Pi_t(\chi) = F(t, S_t)$ and let (w^S, w^F) be a self-financing relative portfolio of S and F

$$dV_t^w \stackrel{\text{self-fin}}{=} V_t^w w^S \frac{dS_t + dD_t}{S_t} + V_t^w w^F \frac{dF_t}{F_t}$$
$$= V_t^w (w^S(\mu + \delta) + w^F \mu_F) dt + V_t^w (w^S \sigma + w^F \sigma_F) dW_t$$

Where

$$\begin{cases} \mu_F = \frac{F_t + \mu S F_s + \frac{\sigma^2 S^2}{2} F_{ss}}{F} \\ \sigma_F = \frac{\sigma S F_s}{F} \end{cases}$$

Choose (w^S, w^F) such that

Comparing with the bank account to avoid arbitrage, we must have

$$w^S(\mu + \delta) + w^F \mu_F = r$$

Thus

$$-\sigma_F(\mu+\delta) + \mu_F \sigma = r(\sigma - \sigma_F) - SF_s(\mu+\delta) + F_t + \mu SF_S + \frac{\sigma^2 S^2}{2} F_{ss}$$
$$= rF - rSF_s$$
$$F_t + \frac{\sigma^2 S_t^2}{2} F_{ss} + (r-\delta) S_t F_s - rF = 0$$

Since S_t can take any value, the PDE must hold at all points (t,s)

Lemma 19.1

The pricing function F(t,s) of $\chi = \phi(S_T)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} F_t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 F_{ss} + (r - \delta)SF_s - rF = 0 \\ F(T, S) = \phi(S) \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $F(t,s) = \mathbb{E}_{t,s}^{Q} \left[\exp \left\{ -r(T-t) \right\} \phi(S_T) \right]$ where

$$\begin{cases} dS_u = (r - \delta)S_u du + \sigma S_u dW_u^Q \\ S_t = s \end{cases}$$

under Q

Remark:

If $\delta(s) = \delta$ (i.e constant), then

$$S_T = s \exp\left\{ \left(r - \delta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma (W_T - W_t) \right\}$$
$$= s \exp\left\{ -\delta (T - t) \right\} \exp\left\{ \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) (T - t) + \sigma (W_T - W_t) \right\}$$

Thus $F^{\delta}(t,s) = F^{0}(t, \operatorname{sexp} \{-\delta(T-t)\})$

I.e the pricing function with continuous dividends is the same as the pricing function with no dividends

Example:

What is the price of $\chi = S_T$ if continuous dividends are paid (at a constant proportial to the rate δ)? $F^{\delta}(0,s) = F^{0}(0, \exp\{-\delta T\}) = \sup\{-\delta T\}$

Can we find a replicating strategy?

At t=0; buy $\exp\{-\delta T\}$ shares of S. Use all dividends to buy new shares. If f(t) shares are held at time t, then $\delta f(t)dt$ new shares can be bought during (t,t+dt)

Thus

$$\begin{cases} \frac{df(t)}{dt} = \delta f(t) \\ f(0) = \exp\{-\delta T\} \end{cases}$$

So $f(t) = \exp \{-\delta(T-t)\}$. In particular, f(T) = 1 so χ is replicated!

20. Forward Contracts

A forward contract is something where we get a delivery and payment at a later time. Very much like an option, but the payment is done at T. It is written on a T claim χ and contracted at some time t with delivery at time T is as follows

- At T, the holder receives χ (the T-claim) from the seller
- At T, the holder pays $f(t,T;\chi)$ to the seller
- The so-called forward price $f(t,T;\chi)$ is deterministic and is determined at the initial time t in such a way so that the forward contract value 0 at t

When you enter the agreement, the underlying market may fluctuate but you are still bounded by the contract. Therefore, at a later time point, the price could be non-zero. We want the price

$$\Pi_t(\chi - f(t, T; \chi)) = 0$$

$$= \Pi_t(\chi) - \Pi_t(f(t, T; \chi))$$

$$= \Pi_t(\chi)_{\text{exp}} \{-r(T - t)\} f(t, T; \chi)$$

So
$$f(t,T;\chi) = \exp\{r(T-t)\} \Pi_t(\chi)$$

Example:

If $\chi = S_T$ (non-dividend paying asset, i.e in the standard BS model), what is its forward price?

$$f(t,T;\chi) = \exp\{r(T-t)\} S_t$$

Due to market fluctuations, once you have entered the contract its value may increase. So what is the value of a forward contract at time s (t < s < T)?

We will receive $\chi - f(t, T; \chi)$ at the end of time, so the value is

$$\Pi_s(\chi) - \exp\left\{-r(T-s)\right\} f(t,T;\chi)$$