Solution to Exam 20220412 Zwanzig

March 13, 2025

Task 1

There is typo in the task. The probability $Pr(x = 0 \mid \theta = 0)$ should be 0.1 instead of 0.01.

1. he posterior probability can be updated by $\pi(\theta \mid x) \propto f(x \mid \theta) \pi(\theta)$ as

$$\pi (\theta = 2 \mid x) \propto (0.6)^{n_1} (0.1)^{n_2} 0^{n_3} (0.3)^{n_4} \times 0.2,$$

$$\pi (\theta = 1 \mid x) \propto (0.1)^{n_1} (0.2)^{n_2} (0.1)^{n_3} (0.6)^{n_4} \times 0.6,$$

$$\pi (\theta = 0 \mid x) \propto 0^{n_1} (0.1)^{n_2} (0.8)^{n_3} (0.1)^{n_4} \times 0.2,$$

Let

$$m(x) = (0.6)^{n_1} (0.1)^{n_2} 0^{n_3} (0.3)^{n_4} \times 0.2 + (0.1)^{n_1} (0.2)^{n_2} (0.1)^{n_3} (0.6)^{n_4} \times 0.6 + 0^{n_1} (0.1)^{n_2} (0.8)^{n_3} (0.1)^{n_4} \times 0.2.$$

Then the posterior probability is,

$$\pi (\theta = 2 \mid x) = \frac{(0.6)^{n_1} (0.1)^{n_2} 0^{n_3} (0.3)^{n_4} \times 0.2}{m (x)},$$

$$\pi (\theta = 1 \mid x) = \frac{(0.1)^{n_1} (0.2)^{n_2} (0.1)^{n_3} (0.6)^{n_4} \times 0.6}{m (x)},$$

$$\pi (\theta = 0 \mid x) = \frac{0^{n_1} (0.1)^{n_2} (0.8)^{n_3} (0.1)^{n_4} \times 0.2}{m (x)}.$$

- 2. The MAP estimator is the estimator that maximizes the above posterior.
- 3. The likelihood is

$$L(\theta = 2) = \frac{(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4)!}{n_1! n_2! n_3! n_4!} (0.6)^{n_1} (0.1)^{n_2} 0^{n_3} (0.3)^{n_4}$$

$$L(\theta = 1) = \frac{(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4)!}{n_1! n_2! n_3! n_4!} (0.1)^{n_1} (0.2)^{n_2} (0.1)^{n_3} (0.6)^{n_4}$$

$$L(\theta = 0) = \frac{(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4)!}{n_1! n_2! n_3! n_4!} 0^{n_1} (0.1)^{n_2} (0.8)^{n_3} (0.1)^{n_4}.$$

The MLE maximizes the likelihood. The MAP adjusts the likelihood by the prior.

Task 2

There is a typo in the task. The probability $Pr(x = 1 \mid \theta = 0)$ should be 0.7, instead of 0.

1. The posterior probability can be updated by $\pi(\theta \mid x) \propto f(x \mid \theta) \pi(\theta)$. The likelihood is

$$f(n_{-}, n_{0}, n_{1} \mid \theta = 0) \propto (0.1)^{n_{-1}} (0.2)^{n_{0}} (0.7)^{n_{1}},$$

 $f(n_{-}, n_{0}, n_{1} \mid \theta = 1) \propto (0.8)^{n_{-1}} (0.2)^{n_{0}} 0^{n_{1}}.$

Then,

$$\pi (\theta = 0 \mid n_{-}, n_{0}, n_{1}) \propto (0.1)^{n_{-1}} (0.2)^{n_{0}} (0.7)^{n_{1}} \times (1 - p),$$

$$\pi (\theta = 1 \mid n_{-}, n_{0}, n_{1}) \propto (0.8)^{n_{-1}} (0.2)^{n_{0}} \times p.$$

The posterior is

$$\begin{split} \pi\left(\theta=0\mid n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right) &= \frac{\left(0.1\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}\left(0.7\right)^{n_{1}}\times\left(1-p\right)}{\left(0.1\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}\left(0.7\right)^{n_{1}}\times\left(1-p\right)+\left(0.8\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}\times p},\\ \pi\left(\theta=1\mid n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right) &= \frac{\left(0.8\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}\times p}{\left(0.1\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}\left(0.7\right)^{n_{1}}\times\left(1-p\right)+\left(0.8\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}\times p}. \end{split}$$

2. The posterior expected loss is

$$E[L(\theta,0) | n_{-}, n_{0}, n_{1}] = \pi(\theta = 1 | n_{-}, n_{0}, n_{1}),$$

$$E[L(\theta,1) | n_{-}, n_{0}, n_{1}] = \pi(\theta = 0 | n_{-}, n_{0}, n_{1}).$$

3. The Bayes estimator minimizes the posterior expected loss above. That is,

$$\begin{split} \delta_B &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi \left(\theta = 0 \mid n_-, n_0, n_1 \right) < \pi \left(\theta = 1 \mid n_-, n_0, n_1 \right), \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi \left(\theta = 0 \mid n_-, n_0, n_1 \right) > \pi \left(\theta = 1 \mid n_-, n_0, n_1 \right), \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p > \frac{\left(\frac{1}{8} \right)^{n-1} (0.7)^{n_1}}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{8} \right)^{n-1} (0.7)^{n_1}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p < \frac{\left(\frac{1}{8} \right)^{n-1} (0.7)^{n_1}}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{8} \right)^{n-1} (0.7)^{n_1}}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

4. The frequentist risk of the Bayes estimator is

$$\begin{split} & \quad \mathbb{E}\left[L\left(0,\delta\left(n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right)\right)\mid\theta=0\right] \\ & = \quad \Pr\left(\pi\left(\theta=0\mid n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right)<\pi\left(\theta=1\mid n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right)\mid\theta=0\right) \\ & = \quad \Pr\left(\left(0.1\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}\left(0.7\right)^{n_{1}}\times\left(1-p\right)<\left(0.8\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}\times p\mid\theta=0\right) \\ & = \quad \Pr\left(\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.7\right)^{n_{1}}\times\left(1-p\right)< p\mid\theta=0\right) \\ & = \quad \sum_{n_{-1},n_{0},n_{1}}\frac{\left(n_{-1}+n_{0}+n_{1}\right)!}{n_{-1}!n_{0}!n_{1}!}\left(0.1\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}\left(0.7\right)^{n_{1}}1\left\{\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.7\right)^{n_{1}}\times\left(1-p\right)< p\right\} \\ & \quad \mathbb{E}\left[L\left(1,\delta\left(n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right)\right)\mid\theta=1\right] \\ & = \quad \Pr\left(\pi\left(\theta=0\mid n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right)>\pi\left(\theta=1\mid n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right)\mid\theta=0\right) \\ & = \quad \Pr\left(\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.7\right)^{n_{1}}\times\left(1-p\right)> p\mid\theta=1\right) \\ & = \quad \sum_{n_{-1},n_{0},n_{1}}\frac{\left(n_{-1}+n_{0}+n_{1}\right)!}{n_{-1}!n_{0}!n_{1}!}\left(0.8\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.2\right)^{n_{0}}1\left\{\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{n_{-1}}\left(0.7\right)^{n_{1}}\times\left(1-p\right)> p\right\}, \end{split}$$

where 1 {} is the indicator function. The integrated risk is

$$\begin{split} &\mathbf{E}\left[L\left(\theta,\delta\left(X\right)\right)\right] &= \int \mathbf{E}\left[L\left(\theta,\delta\left(X\right)\right)\mid\theta\right]\pi\left(\theta\right)d\theta \\ &= &\mathbf{E}\left[L\left(0,\delta\left(n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right)\right)\mid\theta=0\right]\left(1-p\right) + \mathbf{E}\left[L\left(1,\delta\left(n_{-},n_{0},n_{1}\right)\right)\mid\theta=1\right]p, \end{split}$$

which is the Bayes risk when plugging in the frequentist risks.

5. The least favorable prior maximizes the integrated risk. I don't think there exists a closed form expression here.

Task 3 Skip the sufficient statistics in Q3(a) and Prop 3.3.13 in Q3(c)

The Poisson probability mass function is wrong.

1. Note that

$$f(x_1, ..., x_n \mid \theta) = \frac{\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \exp(-n\theta) = \exp(-n\theta) \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \theta\right\} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}.$$

Hence, it belongs to exponential family with $A\left(\theta\right)=\exp\left(-n\theta\right),$ $\eta\left(\theta\right)=\log\theta,$ $T\left(x\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i},$ and $h\left(x\right)=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}!}.$ The sufficient statistic is T and the natural parameter is $\eta.$

2. Note that

$$\frac{d \log f(x_1, ..., x_n \mid \theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n,$$

$$\frac{d^2 \log f(x_1, ..., x_n \mid \theta)}{d\theta^2} = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Hence, the Fisher information is $\mathcal{I}(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta}$.

3. The conjugate prior is determined by the form of the likelihood. Hence, we must have

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \theta^{a-1} \exp\{-b\theta\}.$$

- 4. The conjugate family is a Gamma density.
- 5. The posterior under the conjugate prior is

$$\pi\left(\theta\mid x_{1},...,x_{n}\right) \propto \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}+a-1}\exp\left\{-\left(n+b\right)\theta\right\},$$

which is a Gamma $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + a, n+b)$.

6. The Jeffreys prior is

$$\pi\left(\theta\right) \propto \sqrt{\mathcal{I}\left(\theta\right)} \propto \theta^{-1/2}.$$

7. The Jeffreys prior is an improper prior, but we can obtain it by letting $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and b = 0.

Task 4

1. The prior for θ is

$$\pi(\theta) = \int \pi(\theta \mid \mu) \pi(\mu) d\mu = \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi(\theta_i \mid \mu) \right] \pi(\mu) d\mu$$

$$= \int \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n \exp\left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i^2 - 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i + n\mu^2}{2} \right\} \right] \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\mu^2}{2} \right\} d\mu$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{n+1} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i^2}{2} \right\} \int \left[\exp\left\{ -\frac{(n+1)\mu^2 - 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i}{2} \right\} \right] d\mu$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{n+1} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i^2}{2} + \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i\right)^2}{2(n+1)} \right\} \sqrt{2\pi(n+1)^{-1}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i^2}{2} + \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i\right)^2}{2(n+1)} \right\}.$$

2. The posterior satisfies

$$\pi(\theta \mid x) \propto f(x \mid \theta) \pi(\theta) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} f(x_{ij} \mid \theta_{i}) \right] \pi(\theta)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{x_{ij}^{2} - 2x_{ij}\theta_{i} + \theta_{i}^{2}}{2} \right\} \right] \times \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}\right)^{2}}{2(n+1)} \right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{ -\frac{k \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}^{2} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{ij}\theta_{i}}{2} \right\} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}^{2}}{2} + \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}\right)^{2}}{2(n+1)} \right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{ -\frac{(k+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}^{2} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{ij}\theta_{i}}{2} + \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}\right)^{2}}{2(n+1)} \right\}.$$

3. The posterior distribution of $\bar{\theta}$ can be obtained by change of variables as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\theta} \\ \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n^{-1} & n^{-1} & \cdots & n^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. To test the hypothesis H_0 : $-0.2 \le \bar{\theta} \le 0.2$, we can compute the posterior probability $\Pr(-0.2 \le \bar{\theta} \le 0.2 \mid \mathbf{X})$. We reject H_0 if such posterior probability is below 0.5. I don't think you can derive the closed form expression.

Task 5 Skip Q5(d)

- 1. The MLE in Model 1 is $\hat{p} = X/1000$ and the MLE in Model 2 is also $\hat{\lambda} = X/1000$.
- 2. Model 1 is the beta-binomial model. The posterior is Beta (1 + x, 20 + n x). The Bayes estimator under the L_2 loss is $\frac{1+x}{21+n}$, where x = 15 and n = 1000.
- 3. Model 2 is the Poisson-gamma model. The posterior is Gamma (x + 20, n + 1). The Bayes estimator under the L_2 loss is $\frac{20+x}{1+n}$, where x = 15 and n = 1000.
- 4. Skip Q5(d)
- 5. For the beta-binomial model,

$$\int_{\Theta_{1}} f_{1}(x \mid \theta_{1}) \pi_{1}(\theta_{1}) d\theta_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} {n \choose x} p^{x} (1-p)^{n-x} \frac{1}{B(a_{0}, b_{0})} p^{a_{0}-1} (1-p)^{b_{0}-1} dp$$

$$= {n \choose x} \frac{B(a_{0} + x, b_{0} + n - x)}{B(a_{0}, b_{0})},$$

where $a_0 = 1$ and $b_0 = 20$. For the Gamma-poisson model,

$$\int_{\Theta_{2}} f_{2}(x \mid \theta_{2}) \pi_{2}(\theta_{2}) d\theta_{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{x}}{x!} \exp(-\lambda) \cdot \frac{b_{1}^{a_{1}}}{\Gamma(a_{1})} \lambda^{a_{1}-1} \exp(-b_{1}\lambda) d\lambda$$

$$= \frac{1}{x!} \frac{b_{1}^{a_{1}} \Gamma(a_{1}+x)}{(b_{1}+1)^{a_{1}+x} \Gamma(a_{1})},$$

where $a_1 = 20$ and $b_1 = 1$. The Bayes factor is

$$B_{12} = \frac{\int_{\Theta_{1}} f_{1}(x \mid \theta_{1}) \pi_{1}(\theta_{1}) d\theta_{1}}{\int_{\Theta_{2}} f_{2}(x \mid \theta_{2}) \pi_{2}(\theta_{2}) d\theta_{2}} = \frac{\binom{n}{x} \frac{B(a_{0} + x, b_{0} + n - x)}{B(a_{0}, b_{0})}}{\frac{1}{x!} \frac{b_{1}^{a_{1}} \Gamma(a_{1} + x)}{(b_{1} + 1)^{a_{1} + x} \Gamma(a_{1})}}.$$

6. Using the rule of thumb, we have positive evidence against Model 1.

Task 6 Skip Method 3 in Q6(b) and Q6(f)

1. The integral to be calculated is

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x \mid p) \pi(p) dp = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{B(1,20)} p^{1-1} (1-p)^{20-1} \times {1000 \choose 15} p^{15} (1-p)^{1000-15} dp$$

which is the marginal likelihood of Model 1.

- 2. Method 1 is importance sampling with uniform distribution as the importance distribution. Method 2 is independent Monte Carlo, sampling directly from the beta distribution. Method 3 is quadrature approximation. Method 4 is Metropolis algorithm.
- 3. In Method 2, we draw R independent samples from $\pi(p)$ and the independent Monte Carlo approximation is

$$\frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^{R} f\left(x \mid \theta^{(r)}\right).$$

4. In Method 4, For each iteration t, we Sample a candidate θ^* from a proposal distribution $T\left(\theta^{(t)},\theta\mid x\right)$, calculate the ratio $R\left(\theta^{(t)},\theta^*\right)=\frac{\pi(\theta^*\mid x)}{\pi\left(\theta^{(t)}\mid x\right)}$, draw $U\sim \mathrm{U}\left[0,1\right]$, and update $\theta^{(t+1)}$ by

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \begin{cases} \theta^*, & \text{if } U \leq R(\theta^{(t)}, \theta^*), \\ \theta^{(t)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We drop a burn-in period and obtain a Markov chain of R iterations. After obtaining a posterior sample from MCMC, we approximate the posterior mean by $R^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \theta^{(r)}$.

5. The results look different mainly because of uncertainty in sampling. I prefer Method 1 and 2 because of its simplicity in this case. We haven't studied comparison of importance sampling and independent Monte Carlo in this course.

Task 7

1. The least squares estimator minimizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)^2.$$

The minimizer is

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x}) (y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2},$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} = \bar{y}.$$

2. The prior is $(\alpha, \beta) \sim N(\mu_0, \Lambda_0^{-1})$ where

$$\mu_0 = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Lambda_0^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the result in the slides, the posterior is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \mid \text{data} \sim N \left(\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \left(\mu_0 + X^T y \right), \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \right),$$

where

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 3. The MAP estimator is $\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} (\mu_0 + X^T y)$.
- 4. Let $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$, $z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu_0 = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$, and $\mu_n = (Z^TZ + I)^{-1}(\mu_0 + Z^Ty)$. The marginal distribution of Y is given by

$$f(y) = \int \int f(y \mid \theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (y - Z\theta)^T (y - Z\theta)\right\} \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (\theta - \mu_0)^T (\theta - \mu_0)\right\} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (y^T y + \mu_0^T \mu_0)\right\} \int \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\theta^T (Z^T Z + I) \theta - 2 (\mu_0 + Z^T y)^T \theta\right]\right\} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (y^T y + \mu_0^T \mu_0 - \mu_n^T (Z^T Z + I) \mu_n)\right\} \int \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (\theta - \mu_n)^T (Z^T Z + I) (\theta - \mu_n)\right\} d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (y^T y + \mu_0^T \mu_0 - \mu_n^T (Z^T Z + I) \mu_n)\right\} 2\pi \sqrt{\det\left\{(Z^T Z + I)^{-1}\right\}}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\det\left\{(Z^T Z + I)^{-1}\right\}}}{(2\pi)^3} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} (y^T y + \mu_0^T \mu_0 - (\mu_0 + Z^T y)^T (Z^T Z + I)^{-1} (\mu_0 + Z^T y))\right\}.$$

Task 8 Ignore.