

Plan for F1-F3

F2: 1

- Fafra
- Some foundations
- Basic examples

- Two views; a random process as
- i) a collection $\bar{X} = \{\bar{X}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of random variables, fix t , $w \rightarrow \bar{X}_t(w)$
 - ii) a random function
 w fixed, $t \rightarrow \bar{X}_t(w)$

Def

Sample paths: $\bar{X}: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$

is jointly measurable map

from $(T \times \Omega, \mathcal{B}(T) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mu \otimes P)$ to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$

← c.f.

if $\{(t, w) : \bar{X}_t(w) \in B\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ Fubini

for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$

We may also consider this as a map

$$\bar{X} : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^T, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^T))$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^T)$ is smallest σ -algebra s.t.
all projections $\pi_t : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t$
are measurable

$\sigma \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^T) = \sigma(\text{cylinder sets})$

F2:2

↑
smallest σ -algebra
generated by

But suppose, for example, that we want the sample paths to be continuous

However, it turns out

cont functions $t \mapsto x_t \not\in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^T)$,

since $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^T)$ is generated by ~~sets~~
countable sets.

Now recall the distribution

measure, $\mu_t(B) = P(X_t \in B), t \in T$

$\bar{X}: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^T, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^T), \mu)$

Def The finite-dimensional distribution of \bar{X} is the family

of measures $\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$.

$$= P(\bar{X}_{t_1} \in B_1, \dots, \bar{X}_{t_n} \in B_n), n \geq 1$$

F2-3

It is convenient to identify
the elements in \mathbb{R}^T with
canonical sample paths $w = (w_t, t \in T)$
and ~~and~~ let $\hat{x}_t(w) = w_t$ be
a canonical representation.

Kolmogorov's extension theorem

(Daniell-Kolmogorov)
(Jonesean Tulcea)

Start, conversely, with a
family of f.d.d.'s, which is
consistent:

$$-\mu_{t_1, t_2}(B_1, B_2) = \mu_{t_2, t_1}(B_2, B_1) \quad \text{etc}$$

$$-\mu_{t_1, t_2}(B, R) = \mu_{t_2, t_1}(B) \quad \text{etc}$$

same for all
 $t_1, t_2, \dots, n \geq 1$
and all $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}$

F2:4

Then there exists a unique prob. measure P , ~~and~~ a canonical prob space $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^T), P) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and a random process $\{\tilde{X}_t, \mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in T}$, s.t. the f.d.d. of $\{\tilde{X}_t, 1 \leq t_n\} = (w_{t_1}, \dots, w_{t_n})$ is given by μ_{t_1, \dots, t_n} , all $t_i > t_n$ and $n \geq 1$.

Note. however, ~~it might be too strong~~ (Ω, \mathcal{F}) may not be suitable, practical,

Important example

$$\text{Let } p_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}}, \quad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{Then } \mu_t(B) = \int_B p_t(0, y) dy, \quad t > 0, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}$$

$$\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(B_1, \dots, B_n) = \int_{B_1} \int_{B_2} \dots \int_{B_n} p_{t_1}(0, x_1) p_{t_2}(x_1, x_2) \dots p_{t_n}(x_{n-1}, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

is a family of consistent f.d.d.'s.

By Kolmogorov extension there

F2:5

exists a stochastic process $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$
on a prob. space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , s.t.

1. $B_0 = 0$

2. $B_t - B_s \sim N(0, t-s), 0 \leq s \leq t$

3. The increments $B_{t_1} - B_{t_2}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_3}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}}$
are independent

Let's call this Pre-Brownian motion
, clear

Proof

2)

$$P(B_t - B_s \in A) = \iint p_s(t, x) p_{t-s}(x, y) dx dy$$
$$\{(x, y) : y - x \in A\}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_s(t, 0, x) \int_{\{y : y - x \in A\}} p_{t-s}(x, y) dy dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_s(t, 0, x) \int_A p_{t-s}(x, x+u) du dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_s(t, 0, u) \underbrace{\int_A p_{t-s}(u, u) du}_{=1}$$

$$= \int_A p_{t-s}(0, u) du = P(B_{t-s} \in A)$$

so $B_t - B_s \stackrel{d}{=} B_{t-s} \sim N(0, t-s)$

To obtain Brownian motion we
need the predicates in Einstein's ~~of~~
Gedanken experiment, 1905, namely

F2.6

1) $B_0 = 0$

2) $B_t - B_s \sim N(0, t-s)$, $0 \leq s < t$

Stationary Gaussian increments

3) Independent increments

4) Continuous paths

But " $P(\{B_t\}_{t \in T} \text{ continuous})$ "

is not defined, since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x^+} \text{cont.} \nexists \notin \mathcal{B}(R^T)$$

So, we take a different approach,

Kolmogorov-Chentsov Thm