

LINEAR ALGEBRA III

Özgür Coban

LECTURE 1

Goal Essentially go beyond previous courses on Linear Algebra by discussing vector spaces (possibly of infinite dimension) over arbitrary fields. Going further with the spectral theorem by considering Jordan normal form: given any $n \times n$ matrix A with complex entries, we can find a basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ of \mathbb{C}^n such that

$$J = C^{-1}AC$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & J_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where C is the change of bases matrix, and each J_i is of the form

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

where λ_i are eigenvalues.

Basic Mathematical Structures

Let us recall a few basic structures. A **monoid** is a set with an associative binary composition and a unit. A **group** is a monoid in which every element has an inverse. A **ring** is a set R with two binary compositions addition $+$ and multiplication \cdot such that $(R, +)$ is a commutative group, (R, \cdot) is a monoid and multiplication is distributive over addition. A **field** is a commutative ring F such that $F \setminus \{0\}$ is a group. We may now define the structure of a vector space.

Definition 1 A set V is a **vector space over a field** \mathbb{F} if we have defined two maps

$$V \times V \xrightarrow{+} V \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{F} \times V \xrightarrow{\cdot} V$$

such that $(V, +)$ is a commutative group and the following holds for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$:

1. $0_{\mathbb{F}}\mathbf{v} = 0_V$
2. $1_{\mathbb{F}}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$
3. $(\alpha + \beta)\mathbf{v} = \alpha\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{v}$
4. $\alpha(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \alpha\mathbf{v} + \alpha\mathbf{w}$
5. $(\alpha\beta)\mathbf{v} = \alpha(\beta\mathbf{v})$

Note that when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, we often have an inner product. That is not the case for a general \mathbb{F} .

Example 2

- \mathbb{F} is a vector space over \mathbb{F}
- $\mathbb{F}^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}\}$
- $\mathbb{F}^\infty = \{(a_1, \dots) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}\}$
- $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{F}) = \{a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}\}$
- For any set S , $\mathbb{F}^S = \{f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\}$

Let $K_1 \subset K_2$ be an inclusion of fields, preserving $0, 1, +, \cdot$. In other words, K_1 is a subfield of K_2 , or that K_2 is a field extension of K_1 . Then, K_2 is a vector space over K_1 . In particular, \mathbb{C} is a vector space over \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{R} is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} .

From now on, let $(V, +, \cdot)$ be a vector space over a field \mathbb{F} .

Definition 3 A subset $U \subset V$ is a **vector subspace** if it contains $0 \in V$, is closed under $+$ and is closed under \cdot .

A **linear combination** is a vector of the form $\mathbf{v} = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\mathbf{v}_k$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbf{v}_i \in V$. Importantly, the sum is finite. Given a collection of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots \in V$, their **span** is the vector subspace

$$\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots) = \{\text{linear combinations of } \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots\} \subset V$$

A collection of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots \in V$ is called **linearly independent** if, for every linear combination $a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\mathbf{v}_k = 0$, we have $a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0$.

Definition 4 A subset $B \subset V$ is a **basis** of V if

1. $\text{Span}(B) = V$
2. The subset is linearly independent

The number of elements in a basis for V is called the **dimension** of V , denoted $\dim V$

LECTURE 2

Basics of Vector Spaces

We start this lecture with an important proposition.

Proposition 5 Every linearly independent subset $S \subset V$, can be extended to a basis B of V .

Proof.

If $\dim V = \infty$, need the Axiom of Choice. Consider the case when $\dim V = n < \infty$ and let $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$, with $m \leq n$. If $m = n$, then S is a basis and we are done. Otherwise, take any $\mathbf{v}_{m+1} \in V$ that is not in $\text{Span}(S)$. Clearly, $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{m+1}\}$ is a linearly independent set if

$$a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_{m+1}\mathbf{v}_{m+1} = 0$$

for which there are two possible cases. If $a_{m+1} \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{v}_{m+1} \in \text{Span}(S)$, which contradicts the assumption on \mathbf{v}_{m+1} . If $a_{m+1} = 0$, then

$$a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_m\mathbf{v}_m = 0 \implies \text{all } a_i = 0$$

because S is assumed to be linearly independent.

□

Theorem 6

- Every vector space V has a basis
- Every two bases of V have the same number of elements.

Suppose we have a collection $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of vector subspaces of V , can we use it to produce more vector subspaces of V ; consider the following proposition.

Proposition 7 The intersection of the subspaces is a subspace

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i = \{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid \mathbf{v} \in U_i \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

Frequently, we will consider finite intersection $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_m$. Do also note that the union is not necessarily a vector subspace. What is the smallest subspace of V containing some union $U_1 \cup U_2$?

Definition 8 Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of subspaces of V . The **sum** of these subspaces is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i \in I} U_i &= \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{u}_i \in V \mid \mathbf{u}_i \in U_i \wedge \text{finitely many } \mathbf{u}_i \neq 0 \right\} \subset V \\ &= \text{Span}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i\right)\end{aligned}$$

Again, we will mostly consider finite sums

$$U_1 + \cdots + U_m = \{\mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_m \in V \mid \mathbf{u}_i \in U_i\}$$

We tie things together with the following proposition.

Proposition 9 $\sum_{i \in I} U_i$ is a subspace of V .

The Internal Direct Sum

We now begin working towards direct sums, starting with internal direct sums.

Definition 10 Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of subspaces of V . If every element in $\sum_{i \in I} U_i$ can be written uniquely as

$$\sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{u}_i$$

with $\mathbf{u}_i \in U_i$ and finitely many $\mathbf{u}_i \neq 0$. Then, the sum is called an **internal direct sum** and denoted $\oplus_{i \in I} U_i$.

If the collection of subspaces is finite, we write $U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_m$. Furthermore, as it turns it, there is a simple equivalence one can check.

Proposition 11 $\sum_{i \in I} U_i = \oplus_{i \in I} U_i$ if and only if

$$0 = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{u}_i \implies \text{all } \mathbf{u}_i = 0$$

In other words, the sum is an internal direct sum if and only if 0 can be written uniquely as a sum. And in related fashion we have the following proposition.

Proposition 12 $U_1 + U_2$ is an internal direct sum if and only if $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$.

Internal direct sums enjoy another peculiar result.

Proposition 13 Let $U \subset V$ be a vector subspace. Then, there is another vector subspace $W \subset V$ such that $U \oplus W = V$.

Proof.

Let $B \subset U$ be a basis for U . Extend B to a basis \tilde{B} of V , such that $B \subset \tilde{B}$. Now, let $W = \text{Span}(\tilde{B} \setminus B)$. Claim: $U \oplus W = V$.

- $U + W$ is understood to be a direct sum when the fact that

$$U \cap W = \text{Span}(B) \cap \text{Span}(\tilde{B} \setminus B) = \{0\}$$

is considered with the previous result

- $U + W = V$ because, $U + W \subset V$ is a vector subspace containing the basis \tilde{B}

□

We end this section with a strong theorem.

Theorem 14 Given $U_1, U_2 \subset V$ subspaces, with $\dim V < \infty$

$$\dim U_1 + U_2 = \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 - \dim U_1 \cap U_2$$

LECTURE 3

Homomorphism of Vector Spaces

In this section we will be working with linear maps - equivalently, homomorphisms of vector spaces.

Definition 15 Given vector spaces V, W over a field \mathbb{F} , a map $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a **linear map** if

$$\varphi(a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + a_n \mathbf{v}_n) = a_1 \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1) + \cdots + a_n \varphi(\mathbf{v}_n)$$

for every $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbf{v}_i \in V$

Proposition 16 The set of linear maps

$$\mathcal{L}(V, W) = \{\varphi : V \rightarrow W \mid \varphi \text{ is linear}\}$$

is a vector space.

Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, there are the following subspaces:

- The **Kernel**: $\ker \varphi = \{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid \varphi(\mathbf{v}) = 0\} \subset V$
- The **Image**: $\operatorname{im} \varphi = \{\varphi(v) \in W \mid \mathbf{v} \in V\} \subset W$

Let us introduce some more terminology.

Definition 17 If $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is a bijection, we call it an **isomorphism**. Then, we say that V and W are **isomorphic**, denoted $V \cong W$.

We may now present an interesting theorem.

Theorem 18 If V and W are finite dimensional, then $V \cong W$ if and only if $\dim V = \dim W$.

And another theorem of importance.

Theorem 19 Given $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then

$$\dim V = \dim(\ker \varphi) + \dim(\operatorname{im} \varphi)$$

Proof.

Recall that there is a subspace $U \subset V$ such that $\ker \varphi \oplus U = V$. Claim: The restriction of φ to U , $\varphi_U : U \rightarrow \text{im } \varphi$, is an isomorphism. Then, the claim implies the theorem.

□

Matrices

Let $M(m \times n, \mathbb{F})$ denote the space of $m \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{F} . We immediately have a peculiar property followed by a strong theorem.

Proposition 20 $M(m \times n, \mathbb{F})$ is an \mathbb{F} -vector space of dimension $m \cdot n$.

Theorem 21 Let $\dim V = n$ and $\dim W = m$ and pick ordered bases $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$ for V and $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m)$ for W . The map

$$M : \mathcal{L}(V, W) \rightarrow M(m \times n, \mathbb{F})$$

such that

$$M(\varphi) = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1) & \dots & \varphi(\mathbf{v}_n) \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Note that $M(\varphi)$ depends on the choice of ordered bases. Under such isomorphisms, composition

$$\mathcal{L}(V, W) \times \mathcal{L}(U, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(U, W)$$

corresponds to matrix multiplication. More concretely, given

$$U \xrightarrow{\varphi} V \xrightarrow{\psi} W$$

and choices of ordered bases for U, V, W , we get that

$$M(\psi \circ \varphi) = M(\psi) \cdot M(\varphi)$$

In other words, the map $M : \mathcal{L}(V, V) \rightarrow M(n \times n, \mathbb{F})$ is a ring isomorphism.

The External Direct Sum

It is now time for us to introduce the external direct sum and then show that it is isomorphic to the internal direct sum - whenever they both exist.

Definition 22 Given a finite collection of vector spaces V_1, \dots, V_n . Its **external direct sum** is

$$V_1 \times \cdots \times V_n = \{(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) \mid \mathbf{v}_i \in V_i\}$$

with standard addition and scalar multiplication.

If we have a general collection of vector spaces $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$, then the external direct sum is

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i = \{f : I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i \mid f(i) \in V_i \text{ and only finitely many } f(i) \neq 0\}$$

This has the structure of a vector space, and when the collection of vector spaces is finite we get

$$(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) = (f(1), \dots, f(n))$$

Finally, we may bridge the gap between internal direct sums and external direct sums.

Theorem 23 Given a collection of subspaces $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} \subset V$, the internal and external direct sums of the U_i are isomorphic.

Proof.

For the sake of clarity, suppose we have finitely many subspaces. The linear map

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n &\rightarrow U_1 + \cdots + U_n \\ (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) &\mapsto \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism: surjective by the definition of summing subspaces and injective by assumption of the internal direct sum.

□

Now, since the internal and external direct sum are isomorphic, we will just say direct sum and write \oplus .

Quotient Spaces

Let $U \subset V$ be a subspace. Define a relation on V :

$$\mathbf{v} \sim \mathbf{w} \iff \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \in U$$

This relation can quite easily be shown to be an equivalence relation. Consider the set of equivalence classes, or cosets:

$$V/U = \{\mathbf{v} + U \mid \mathbf{v} \in V\}$$

Proposition 24 V/U is a vector space with

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{v}] + [\mathbf{w}] &= [\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}] \\ a \cdot [\mathbf{v}] &= [a \cdot \mathbf{v}] \end{aligned}$$

The proof is omitted, as it is tedious but easy. For the tireless; show that addition and multiplication are well-defined, and check the axioms of the vector space.

Proposition 25 The map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : V &\rightarrow V/U \\ \mathbf{v} &\mapsto [\mathbf{v}] \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined and linear.

Theorem 26 For every $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ there is a unique linear map

$$\psi : V/\ker \varphi \rightarrow W$$

such that $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi$. Furthermore, ψ is injective and defines an isomorphism

$$\psi : V/\ker \varphi \rightarrow \text{im } \varphi$$

Also, $V \cong \ker \varphi \oplus \text{im } \varphi \cong \ker \varphi \oplus V/\ker \varphi$, where \oplus is the external direct sum.

Proof.

Take $[\mathbf{v}] \in V/\ker \varphi$. We have that $\psi([\mathbf{v}]) = \psi(\pi(\mathbf{v})) = \varphi(\mathbf{v})$.

- ψ is well-defined:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{v}] = [\mathbf{w}] &\implies \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \in \ker \varphi \\ &\implies \psi([\mathbf{v}]) - \psi([\mathbf{w}]) \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{v}) - \varphi(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) \end{aligned}$$

- ψ is linear:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a[\mathbf{v}] + b[\mathbf{w}]) &= \psi([a\mathbf{v} + b\mathbf{w}]) \\ &= a\varphi(\mathbf{v}) + b\varphi(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= a\psi([\mathbf{v}]) + b\psi([\mathbf{w}]) \end{aligned}$$

- ψ is injective:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi([\mathbf{v}]) &= \varphi(\mathbf{v}) \\ &= 0 \\ &\implies \mathbf{v} \in \ker \varphi \\ &\implies [\mathbf{v}] = 0\end{aligned}$$

- ψ is surjective onto $\text{im } \varphi$: if $\mathbf{w} = \varphi(\mathbf{v}) \in \text{im } \varphi$, then $\mathbf{w} = \psi([\mathbf{v}]) \in \text{im } \psi$
- $V \cong \ker \varphi \oplus \text{im } \varphi$: follows from the claim in the proof of the Rank-Nullity theorem.

□

LECTURE 4

Tensor Product

Let V, W be vector spaces over some field \mathbb{F} . We are going to construct a new vector space $V \otimes W$, consisting of linear combinations of terms of the form $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}$. Furthermore, we will make it bilinear by design, that is

1. $(a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2) \otimes \mathbf{w} - a_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \mathbf{w}) - a_2(\mathbf{v}_2 \otimes \mathbf{w}) = 0$
2. $\mathbf{v} \otimes (a_1\mathbf{w}_1 + a_2\mathbf{w}_2) - a_1(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}_1) - a_2(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}_2) = 0$

Let us cook.

1. Given any set S , let $F(S)$ be a vector space for which the set S forms a basis. An element in $F(S)$ is a linear combination

$$a_1s_1 + \cdots + a_ns_n$$

Say that $F(S)$ is the **free vector space** on the set S . Note that we could have set $F(S) = \bigoplus_{i \in S} F$.

2. Take the vector space $F(V \times W)$ - step 1 with $S = V \times W$. The elements in $F(V \times W)$ are linear combinations

$$a_1(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w}_1) + \cdots + a_n(\mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{w}_n)$$

This is a very large space.

3. Inspired by the earlier idea, we make the following construction. Let $U \subset F(V \times W)$ be the subspace spanned by the set of all elements of the forms

$$(a) \ (a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}) - a_1(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w}) - a_2(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}) = 0$$

$$(b) \ (\mathbf{v}, a_1\mathbf{w}_1 + a_2\mathbf{w}_2) - a_1(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_1) - a_2(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_2) = 0$$

4. Define $V \otimes W = F(V \times W)/U$. Denote the equivalence classes $[(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})] \in V \otimes W$ by $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}$, we call this a **simple tensor**.

Proposition 27 $V \otimes W$ is bilinear.

Proof.

Due to step 3 and step 4 in its construction.

□

Example 28 Consider $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(1,0) \otimes (0,1) + (1,0) \otimes (1,1) &= (1,0) \otimes ((0,1) + (1,1)) \\ &= (1,0) \otimes (1,2)\end{aligned}$$

And in general, we observe that

$$a(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}) = (a\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{v} \otimes a\mathbf{w})$$

Theorem 29 Given a basis B for V and a basis \tilde{B} for W , the set

$$\{\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{v} \in B, \mathbf{w} \in \tilde{B}\}$$

is a basis for $V \otimes W$.

Corollary 30 $\dim(V \otimes W) = \dim V \cdot \dim W$

Observe that there is a bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned}\tau : V \times W &\rightarrow V \otimes W \\ (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) &\mapsto \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}\end{aligned}$$

Meaning

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}) &= (a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2) \otimes \mathbf{w} \\ &= a_1(\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \mathbf{w}) + a_2(\mathbf{v}_2 \otimes \mathbf{w}) \\ &= a_1\tau(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w}) + a_2\tau(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w})\end{aligned}$$

This map gives rise to a useful theorem for constructing linear maps via $V \otimes W$.

Theorem 31 Let $\varphi : V \times W \rightarrow U$ be a bilinear map. Then, there is a unique linear map $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{L}(V \otimes W, U)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tau = \varphi$.

This gives us a nice slogan for the tensor product, namely: \otimes converts bilinearity into linearity.

LECTURE 5

Dual Spaces

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} .

Definition 32 The **dual space** of V is the vector space

$$V' = \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F})$$

An element $\varphi \in V'$ is called **linear functional** on V .

Example 33

- Given a set S and a field \mathbb{F} , the set $F^S = \{\text{functions } f : S \rightarrow F\}$, is a vector space over \mathbb{F} . Given any $x_0 \in S$, there is a linear functional $\varphi_{x_0} \in (F^S)'$: $\varphi_{x_0}(f) = f(x_0)$.
- More concrete version of above: $\varphi_4 \in (\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}))'$ such that $\varphi_4(p) = p(4)$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$.
- Let $V = C([2, 5], \mathbb{R})$ be the vector space of continuous functions $f : [2, 5] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(f) = \int_2^5 f(x)dx$ is in V' .

In these examples, a linear functional is a function of functions.

Suppose that $\dim V = n < \infty$ and fix a basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$. Define $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in V'$ by $\varphi_i(a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n) = a_i$.

Proposition 34 The $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ form a basis of V' . In particular, $\dim V' = n$ and $V' \cong V$.

We call the $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ the **dual basis** of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$.

Proof.

Linear independence: if $a_1\varphi_1 + \dots + a_n\varphi_n = 0$, then

$$(a_1\varphi_1 + \dots + a_n\varphi_n)(\mathbf{v}_i) = a_i = 0$$

hence, the φ_i are linearly independent.

Spanning: Let $\varphi \in V'$. Claim: $\varphi = \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1)\varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi(\mathbf{v}_n)\varphi_n$. For every $\mathbf{v} = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n \in V$ we have that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{v}) = \varphi(a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n) = a_1\varphi(\mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + a_n\varphi(\mathbf{v}_n)$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi(\mathbf{v}_1)\varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi(\mathbf{v}_n)\varphi_n)(\mathbf{v}) &= \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1)\varphi_1(\mathbf{v}) + \dots + \varphi(\mathbf{v}_n)\varphi_n(\mathbf{v}) \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1)a_1 + \dots + \varphi(\mathbf{v}_n)a_n \end{aligned}$$

□

Importantly, we saw that if $\dim V = n < \infty$, then $V' \cong V$. Fixing a basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$, we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} f : V &\rightarrow V' \\ a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{v}_n &\mapsto a_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + a_n \varphi_n \end{aligned}$$

However, this isomorphism depends on the choice of basis and is thus non-canonical.

Double Dual

Let $V'' = (V')'$ and define $f \in \mathcal{L}(V, V'')$ as follows: Given $\mathbf{v} \in V$, let $f(\mathbf{v}) = f_{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{L}(V', \mathbb{F})$ such that for every $\varphi \in V'$, we have

$$f_{\mathbf{v}}(\varphi) = \varphi(\mathbf{v})$$

This f is then linear because φ is linear. As it turns out, this construction is rather neat.

Proposition 35 $f \in \mathcal{L}(V, V'')$ is injective.

Proof.

We will show that the kernel of f only contains 0. Take $\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $f(\mathbf{v}) = f_{\mathbf{v}} = 0$. For every $\varphi \in V'$, we have $f_{\mathbf{v}} = \varphi(\mathbf{v}) = 0$. Claim: If $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$, then there is some $\varphi \in V'$ such that $\varphi(\mathbf{v}) \neq 0$. Take $U \subset V$ such that $\text{Span } \mathbf{v} \oplus U = V$ and define $\varphi \in V'$ by $\varphi(a\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}) = a$, for all $a \in \mathbb{F}, \mathbf{u} \in U$.

□

Proposition 36 If $\dim V = n < \infty$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}(V, V'')$ is surjective.

Proof.

This essentially follows from the rank-nullity theorem.

□

Thus, we get the following result.

Theorem 37 If $\dim V < \infty$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}(V, V'')$ is an isomorphism.

This isomorphism is canonical, because f does not depend on a choice of basis for V .

LECTURE 6

Forms

Definition 38 Given vector spaces V, W over some field \mathbb{F} . A **bilinear form** on $V \times W$ is a bilinear map

$$B : V \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

that is

1. $B(a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}) = a_1 B(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w}) + a_2 B(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w})$
2. $B(\mathbf{v}, a_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{w}_2) = a_1 B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_1) + a_2 B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_2)$

Example 39

- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V : V \times V' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ where $(\mathbf{v}, \varphi) \mapsto \langle \mathbf{v}, \varphi \rangle_V = \varphi(\mathbf{v})$ is a bilinear form
- $B : \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ where $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ is a bilinear form. Note that when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, this becomes the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^n .

By $\mathcal{B}(V, W)$ we denote the set of linear forms on $V \times W$. Quite naturally, $\mathcal{B}(V, W)$ is a vector space over \mathbb{F} . Furthermore, by the universal property of \otimes we get that there exists a unique linear map

$$\tilde{B} \in \mathcal{L}(V \otimes W, \mathbb{F}) = (V \otimes W)'$$

This gives an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(V, W) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V \otimes W, \mathbb{F}) = (V \otimes W)' \\ B &\mapsto \tilde{B} \end{aligned}$$

If $\dim V = n < \infty$ and $\dim W = m < \infty$, then choices of ordered bases $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ of V and $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$ of W induce a matrix

$$A = (a_{ij}) \in M(n \times m, \mathbb{F}) \text{ such that } a_{ij} = B(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{w}_j)$$

Definition 40 $B \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ is **symmetric** if $B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$.

Example 41

- $B : \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_ny_n$ is bilinear and symmetric.
- $\tilde{B} : \mathbb{F}^{2n} \times \mathbb{F}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\tilde{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 + \cdots + x_{2n-1}y_{2n} - x_{2n}y_{2n-1}$ is a bilinear form. Furthermore, \tilde{B} is anti-symmetric/skew-symmetric/alternating.
- $B : C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \times C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $B(f, g) = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx$ is bilinear and symmetric.

Proposition 42 $B \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ is symmetric if and only if B is represented by a symmetric matrix A .

Definition 43 A **quadratic form** on V is a map $q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that

1. $q(a\mathbf{v}) = a^2q(\mathbf{v})$
2. $\tilde{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) := q(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) - q(\mathbf{v}) - q(\mathbf{w})$ is a bilinear form. Note that $\tilde{B} : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ and that \tilde{B} is symmetric.

If the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is not 2, we define $B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$.

Example 44 If $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, then $q(\mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ is a quadratic form. And we get that

$$\begin{aligned} B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{w}\|^2) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 45 If the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is not 2, then there is a canonical isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\{\text{quadratic form } q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{symmetric bilinear forms } B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\}$$

Proof.

Let the map from quadratic forms to symmetric bilinear forms be

$$q \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(q(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) - q(\mathbf{v}) - q(\mathbf{w})) =: B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$$

and the other direction to be

$$B \mapsto B(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) =: q(\mathbf{v})$$

□

Definition 46 If $\dim V = n < \infty$, then a bilinear form $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ can be **diagonalized** if there is a basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ of V such that $B(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

In this basis, B is represented by the diagonal matrix $(B(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j))$. And if B can be diagonalized, then B is symmetric.

Theorem 47 If the bilinear form $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is symmetric, then it can be diagonalized.

Assume now that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 48 A map $h : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a **sesquilinear form** if:

1. $h(a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}) = a_1 h(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w}) + a_2 h(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w})$
2. $h(\mathbf{v}, a_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{w}_2) = \bar{a}_1 h(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_1) + \bar{a}_2 h(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_2)$

Meaning, that such a map is a sesquilinear form if it is linear in the first entry and conjugate-linear in the second entry. For the curios; "sesqui" means "one and a half".

Definition 49 A sesquilinear form $h : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is **hermitian** if it is conjugate symmetric, that is $h(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) = \overline{h(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})}$.

Example 50 The map $h : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$h\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbf{e}_i, \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \mathbf{e}_j\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_i \cdot \bar{y}_j$$

is hermitian. This is the standard complex inner product in \mathbb{C}^n .

If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = n < \infty$ and $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is an ordered basis for V , then a sesquilinear form $h : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has an induced matrix $A = (h(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j))_{i,j=1}^n$. For which we have the following.

Proposition 51 A map $h : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is hermitian if and only if A is **self-adjoint**. Meaning that $A = \bar{A}^t$.

LECTURE 7

Inner Products

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 52 An **inner product** on a vector space V over \mathbb{F} is a map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

such that

1. $\langle a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w} \rangle = a_1 \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w} \rangle + a_2 \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w} \rangle$
2. $\langle \mathbf{v}, a_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle = \overline{a_1} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle + \overline{a_2} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle$
3. $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$
4. $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0$
5. $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$, then $\mathbf{v} = 0$

A vector space with a such map is called an **inner product space**.

Example 53 If $V = C([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ and $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous. Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x) \overline{g(x)} w(x) dx$ is an inner product.

Let us list some important properties of the inner product:

Properties 54

1. Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$|\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{v}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{w}\|$$

2. Law of cosines:

$$\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + 2\Re \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$$

Note, if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \|\mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{w}\| \cos \theta$

3. Triangle inequality:

$$\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v}\| + \|\mathbf{w}\|$$

4. Pythagoras Theorem: if the vectors \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} are orthogonal we get that

$$\|\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$$

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Proposition 55 If $\dim V < \infty$, then V has an orthonormal basis.

Proof.

Gram-Schmidt.

□

Definition 56 Let $U \subset V$ subspace.

- $U^\perp = \{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in U\}$ is the **orthogonal complement** of U .
- If $\dim U < \infty$ and $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ is an orthonormal basis of U .

$$P_U : V \rightarrow U$$

$$\mathbf{v} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle \mathbf{e}_i$$

is the **orthogonal projection** onto U . Call $\mathbf{v} - P_U(\mathbf{v})$ the **residual**.

Lemma 57 If $\dim U < \infty$, then $\mathbf{v} - P_U(\mathbf{v}) \in U^\perp$.

Proposition 58 $U + U^\perp = U \oplus U^\perp$

Proof.

We want to show that $U \cap U^\perp = \{0\}$. Let $\mathbf{v} \in U \cap U^\perp$. For which we get that

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0 \implies \mathbf{v} = 0$$

□

Proposition 59 If $\dim U < \infty$, then $V = U \oplus U^\perp$.

Note that the map

$$U^\perp \rightarrow V/U$$

$$\mathbf{v} \mapsto [\mathbf{v}]$$

is an isomorphism if $\dim U < \infty$. Furthermore, if $\dim V < \infty$, then the map $V \rightarrow V'$ defined by $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \varphi_{\mathbf{v}}$ where $\varphi_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{w}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 60 Let $U \subset V$ be a finite dimensional vector subspace. Then, for every $\mathbf{v} \in V$, $P_U(\mathbf{v}) \in U$ minimizes the distance from \mathbf{v} to vectors in U :

1. $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\| \geq \|\mathbf{v} - P_U(\mathbf{v})\|$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in U$
2. $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\| = \|\mathbf{v} - P_U(\mathbf{v})\|$ if and only if $\mathbf{u} = P_U(\mathbf{v})$.

Proof.

Given $\mathbf{u} \in U$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|^2 &= \|\mathbf{v} - P_U(\mathbf{v}) + P_U(\mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{u}\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{v} - P_U(\mathbf{v})\|^2 + \|P_U(\mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{u}\|^2\end{aligned}$$

□

LECTURE 8

Operators, Polynomials and Determinants

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} .

Definition 61 An **operator** on V is an element of $\mathcal{L}(V)$.

Observe that we can compose and take linear combinations of operators, given some $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ we have $T^n = T \circ \dots \circ T$. Which inspires us to input operators to polynomials, given $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, we have $p(T) = a_0 \cdot I + a_1 \cdot T + \dots + a_n \cdot T^n \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Recall, that if given a vector space V of finite dimension and a choice of ordered basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ of V . Then we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{v} = a_1\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, a_n\mathbf{v}_n \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Hence, we identify another isomorphism $f : \mathcal{L}(V) \cong \mathcal{M}(n \times n, \mathbb{F})$.

Definition 62 Given $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, define $\det T = \det f(T)$.

Eigenvalues and Generalized Eigenvalues

Definition 63 $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an **eigenvalue** of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ with **eigenvector** $\mathbf{v} \in V \setminus \{0\}$ if $T\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$.

We get the usual method of computing eigenvalues by observing that

$$T\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \iff (\lambda I - T)\mathbf{v} = 0 \iff \det(\lambda I - T) = 0$$

Definition 64 The **characteristic polynomial** of T is

$$p_T(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - T) \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{F})$$

and λ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if $p_T(\lambda) = 0$.

For now, let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\dim V = n < \infty$.

Theorem 65 Any $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ has an eigenvalue.

Definition 66 If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvalue of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, the λ -**eigenspace** of T is $E(\lambda, T) = \ker(\lambda I - T)$.

Definition 67 Given $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ of T , say that $\mathbf{v} \in V$ is a **generalized eigenvector** for λ if $(T - \lambda I)^k(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ for some $k \geq 1$. Then $G(\lambda, T) = \{\mathbf{v} \in V \mid (T - \lambda I)^k(\mathbf{v}) = 0\}$ is called the **generalized λ -eigenspace**.

Definition 68 If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvalue of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, its **geometric multiplicity** is $\dim E(\lambda, T)$ and its **algebraic multiplicity** is $\dim G(\lambda, T)$.

LECTURE 9

Lemma 69 If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct eigenvalues of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ are the corresponding generalized eigenvectors, then the $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ are linearly independent.

Proof.

For simplicity, assume first that $\mathbf{v}_i \in E(\lambda_i, T) \setminus \{0\}$ are eigenvectors. We want to show that if

$$\mathbf{v} = a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_m \mathbf{v}_m = 0 \implies a_i = 0$$

Using $T\mathbf{v}_i - \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i = 0$, we get

$$(T - \lambda_2 I)(T - \lambda_3 I) \dots (T - \lambda_m I)(\mathbf{v}) = a_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \dots (\lambda_1 - \lambda_m) \mathbf{v}_1 = 0$$

which implies that $a_1 = 0$ as every other factor is nonzero. The same argument implies that $a_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, as wanted.

The general case when $\mathbf{v}_i \in G(\lambda_i, T) \setminus \{0\}$ is dealt with in a similar manner. □

Corollary 70 If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct eigenvalues of T , then

$$G(\lambda_1, T) + \dots + G(\lambda_m, T) = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T)$$

Theorem 71 If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct eigenvalues of T , then

$$G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T) = V$$

Proof.

By induction on $n = \dim V$.

$n = 1$: In this case, V has a single eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\{0\} \neq E(\lambda, T) = G(\lambda, T) = V$.

$n > 1$: Assume that the theorem holds for any $T \in \mathcal{L}(W)$, with $\dim W < n$. Since $G(\lambda_1, T) = \ker(T - \lambda_1 I)^n$ and $\ker(T - \lambda_1 I)^n \cap \text{im}(T - \lambda_1 I) = \{0\}$, we have $G(\lambda_1, T) + U = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus U$ where $U = \text{im}(T - \lambda_1 I)$. The rank-nullity theorem implies that

$$\dim \ker(T - \lambda_1 I)^n + \dim \text{im}(T - \lambda_1 I)^n = n$$

which implies that $V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus U$.

Claim 1: $\mathbf{u} \in U \implies T(\mathbf{u}) \in U$. Hence, $T_U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$. Claim 2: The eigenvalues of T_U are $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ and $G(\lambda_k, T_U) = G(\lambda_k, T)$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n$.

□

We may now observe that if we pick a basis for every subspace $G(\lambda_i, T) \subset V$ and take the union of all these bases, then we get a basis of V . In that basis, T is represented by a block-diagonal matrix.

Definition 72 $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is **nilpotent** if $T^k = 0$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 73 Let $N \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be a nilpotent operator on a finite dimensional vector space. Then, there are vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ and integers $m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0$ such that

$$N^{m_1+1}(\mathbf{v}_1) = \dots = N^{m_k+1}(\mathbf{v}_k) = 0$$

and

$$N^{m_1}(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, N(\mathbf{v}_1), \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, N^{m_k}(\mathbf{v}_k), \dots, N(\mathbf{v}_k), \mathbf{v}_k$$

is a basis of V .

Theorem 74 If V is a finite dimensional complex vector space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, then there is a basis for V with respect to which T is given by a Jordan matrix, that is

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & J_k \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

This theorem implies that for every $A \in M(n \times n, \mathbb{C})$, there is a Jordan matrix J and a change of basis matrix C such that $J = C^{-1}AC$. The following result follows from the existence of Jordan forms:

Theorem 75 For every $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ we have that $p_T(T) = 0$.