

**Exam, Real analysis, 1MA226, 2015-03-21**

**Solutions.**

1. Suppose that  $X$  contains more than one point. Then if  $x$  is any point in  $X$ , both the sets  $A = \{x\}$  and  $B = X \setminus \{x\}$  are nonempty, and  $X = A \cup B$ . Furthermore,  $A$  and  $B$  are separated. [Proof: It suffices to prove that  $\overline{A} = A$  and  $\overline{B} = B$ , since then it follows that  $A \cap \overline{B} = \overline{A} \cap B = A \cap B = \emptyset$ . In fact we have  $\overline{E} = E$  for *every* subset  $E \subset X$ , since  $X$  is discrete! Indeed, for every  $E \subset X$  and every  $p \in X$  we have  $N_{1/2}(p) \cap E = \{p\}$ ; hence  $p$  is not a limit point of  $E$ ; thus  $E$  has *no* limit points in  $X$ , and therefore  $\overline{E} = E$ .] Hence we have expressed  $X$  as the union of two nonempty separated sets. This contradicts the assumption that  $X$  is connected!

Hence  $X$  cannot contain more than one point; hence *the cardinality of  $X$  is 1*. (Let's agree that a metric space is always nonempty...)

Now it follows that  $X$  is compact, since every finite metric space is compact.  $\square$

**2.** (Recall from my comments to the exam: We have to write, e.g., “ $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}$ ”, *not* “ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ”.)

By Theorem 3.29, the given series is absolutely convergent when  $a > 1$ , and *not* absolutely convergent when  $a \leq 1$ .

On the other hand we claim that the series is convergent for *every*  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . To prove this, let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. Note that the series is alternating, and that the terms of the series tend to 0. (Indeed, it is a “standard limit fact” that we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^a} = 0$ .<sup>1</sup>) Hence by Theorem 3.43, *modified to the case of  $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty}$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$* , it now suffices to prove that there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{N(\log N)^a} \geq \frac{1}{(N+1)(\log(N+1))^a} \geq \frac{1}{(N+2)(\log(N+2))^a} \geq \cdots$$

We prove the stronger statement that there exists some  $X > 0$  such that the function  $f(x) := \frac{1}{x(\log x)^a}$  is decreasing on the interval  $[X, \infty)$ .

We have for all  $x > 1$ :

$$f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2(\log x)^a} + \frac{1}{x}(-a)(\log x)^{-a-1}\frac{1}{x} = \frac{-a - \log x}{x^2(\log x)^{a+1}}.$$

We know that  $\log x \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ; hence there is some  $X > 1$  such that  $\log x > -a$  for all  $x \geq X$ ; and then it follows from the above formula that  $f'(x) < 0$  for all  $x \geq X$ , and so  $f$  is strictly decreasing on the interval  $[X, \infty)$ .<sup>2</sup> Hence, afortiori, (1) holds for  $N = \lceil X \rceil$ .

**Answer:** (a) For  $a > 1$ . (b) For all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . (c) For *no*  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

<sup>1</sup>If  $a \geq 0$  then  $\frac{1}{n(\log n)^a} \leq \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \geq 3$  so that the statement is trivial. For  $a < 0$  the task is to prove  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log n)^b}{n} = 0$  where  $b = |a| > 0$ . Raising to  $1/b$  this is equivalent to the statement that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{n^{1/b}} = 0$ , and this holds by equation (45) on p. 181 in Rudin’s book.

<sup>2</sup>Once you have studied Rudin’s Chapter 5 you will know that this follows from the Mean Value Theorem (Theorem 5.10) by an analogous argument as in Theorem 5.11. Indeed, for any given  $x_2 > x_1 \geq X$ , Theorem 5.10 implies that there exists some  $x \in (x_1, x_2)$  such that  $f(x_2) - f(x_1) = (x_2 - x_1)f'(x)$ . Here  $f'(x) < 0$  by what we have proved, and so  $f(x_2) - f(x_1) < 0$ , i.e.  $f(x_2) < f(x_1)$ .

**3.** We compute  $x_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x_3 = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $x_4 = \frac{3}{4}$ ,  $x_5 = \frac{4}{5}$ . This leads us to conjecture

$$x_n = \frac{n-1}{n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Let us prove this claim by induction! The claim holds for  $n = 1$  since  $x_1 = 0$  according to the problem formulation. Assume that the claim holds for a certain  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then it follows that

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2 - x_n} = \frac{1}{2 - \frac{n-1}{n}} = \frac{1}{\frac{2n - (n-1)}{n}} = \frac{n}{n+1};$$

hence the claim holds for  $n+1$  as well. Hence by the induction principle, the claim holds for all  $n \geq 1$ .

It now follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n-1}{n} = 1$ . Hence also  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 1$ .

**Answer:**  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 1$ .

4. Assume that  $\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ . Take  $N$  so large that  $\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx < \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $n \geq N$ . Now fix (temporarily) some  $n \geq N$ . We must have  $\inf\{f_n(x) : x \in [0, 1]\} < \frac{1}{2}$ , for otherwise  $f_n(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  which implies  $\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , contradicting the choice of  $n$  and  $N$ . But a continuous function on a compact interval attains its supremum and its infimum; hence there exist  $a, b \in [0, 1]$  (which depend on  $n$ ) such that  $f_n(a) = 1$  and  $f_n(b) < \frac{1}{2}$ . We now define a sequence  $(x_n)$  of points in  $[0, 1]$  as follows: For every  $n < N$  we set  $x_n = 0$ . For every *even*  $n \geq N$  we take  $x_n \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f_n(x_n) = 1$  (i.e. “ $x_n = a$ ” above), and for every *odd*  $n \geq N$  we take  $x_n \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f_n(x_n) < \frac{1}{2}$  (e.g. “ $x_n = b$ ” above). Then the sequence  $(f_n(x_n))_{n=1,2,\dots}$  does not converge (for example since it is not Cauchy; we have  $|f_n(x_n) - f_{n+1}(x_{n+1})| > \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $n \geq N$ ).  $\square$

5. Consider the map  $\phi : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$  given by

$$\phi(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_x^1 (x - y)f(y) dy + x^2 e^{x^2}.$$

We first have to prove that this is really a map from  $C([0, 1])$  to  $C([0, 1])$  as claimed. Thus let  $f \in C([0, 1])$  be given. We then have to prove that  $\phi(f)(x)$  is a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $x^2 e^{x^2}$  is a continuous function of  $x$ , it suffices to prove that  $\int_x^1 (x - y)f(y) dy$  is a continuous function of  $x \in [0, 1]$ . But we have

$$\int_x^1 (x - y)f(y) dy = x \int_x^1 f(y) dy - \int_x^1 yf(y) dy,$$

and by Theorem 6.20<sup>3</sup>, both “ $\int_x^1 f(y) dy$ ” and “ $\int_x^1 yf(y) dy$ ” are continuous functions of  $x$ . Hence the above expression is a continuous function of  $x$ , completing the proof that  $\phi$  maps  $C([0, 1])$  to  $C([0, 1])$ .

Next, for any  $f, g \in C([0, 1])$  and any  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(f)(x) - \phi(g)(x)| &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_x^1 (x - y)(f(y) - g(y)) dy \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} d(f, g) \int_x^1 (y - x) dy \leq \frac{1}{4} d(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\phi$  is a contraction on  $C([0, 1])$ . Recall also that  $C([0, 1])$  is complete. Hence by the contraction principle,  $\phi$  has a unique fixed point in  $C([0, 1])$ . This is equivalent to saying that the integral equation in the problem formulation has a unique solution in  $C([0, 1])$ .  $\square$

---

<sup>3</sup>Pedantically, Thm. 6.20 is stated in the case where the *upper* integration limit is varying. To apply it to our situation, one may rewrite  $\int_x^1 f(y) dy = \int_0^1 f(y) dy - \int_0^x f(y) dy$ ; here Thm. 6.20 implies that  $\int_0^x f(y) dy$  is a continuous function of  $x$ ; hence also  $\int_x^1 f(y) dy$  is a continuous function of  $x$ . Similarly for  $\int_x^1 yf(y) dy$ .

6. We have  $\left| \frac{\cos nx}{n(\log n)^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n(\log n)^2}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , and the series  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^2}$  is known to be convergent (Thm. 3.29). Hence, by Weierstrass' M-test (Theorem 7.10), the series  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n(\log n)^2}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since each term of the series is a continuous function of  $x$ , it follows (by Theorem 7.12) that  $f(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n(\log n)^2}$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note also that

$$|f(x)| = \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n(\log n)^2} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\cos nx}{n(\log n)^2} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^2}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This proves that the function  $f$  is bounded, namely  $|f(x)| \leq B$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $B := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^2}$ . Finally we determine the  $C((-\infty, \infty))$ -norm of  $f$ , i.e.

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|.$$

The above shows that  $\|f\| \leq B$ . On the other hand we have  $f(0) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^2} = B$ . Hence  $\|f\| = B$ .

**Answer:** The  $C((-\infty, \infty))$ -norm equals  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^2}$ .

7.

Define  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $f(u, v) = (u^{17} + v^{17}, u^{18} + v^{18})$ ; this is a  $C^1$  function and the differential  $f'(u, v) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$  is represented by the matrix

$$[f'(u, v)] = \begin{pmatrix} (D_1 f_1)(u, v) & (D_2 f_1)(u, v) \\ (D_1 f_2)(u, v) & (D_2 f_2)(u, v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 17u^{16} & 17v^{16} \\ 18u^{17} & 18v^{17} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular

$$[f'(1, -1)] = \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 17 \\ 18 & -18 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is non-singular. Hence by the Inverse Function Theorem there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(1, -1) \in U$ ,  $f|_U$  is injective, and  $f(U) = V$ , and the inverse function  $g := f|_U^{-1}$  (which by definition is a bijection from  $V$  onto  $U$ ) is also  $C^1$ . Writing  $g = (g_1, g_2)$ , this means that for every  $(x, y) \in V$ ,  $(g_1(x, y), g_2(x, y))$  is the unique solution  $(u, v)$  in  $U$  to the equation  $f(u, v) = (x, y)$ , i.e. exactly the system of equations given in the problem. Note also that  $(0, 2) = f(1, -1) \in f(U) = V$ , and so since  $V$  is open,  $V$  contains a neighborhood of  $(0, 2)$ . In this neighborhood, the given system of equations has a unique solution  $u(x, y) = g_1(x, y)$ ,  $v(x, y) = g_2(x, y)$ , exactly as required. Also  $g(0, 2) = g(f(1, -1)) = (1, -1)$ , i.e.  $u(0, 2) = 1$  and  $v(0, 2) = -1$ .  $\square$

8. Note that for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  we have

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - (xy + yz + xz) = \frac{1}{2}((x - y)^2 + (x - z)^2 + (y - z)^2) \geq 0;$$

therefore  $xy + yz + xz \leq x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  and

$$\frac{xy + yz + xz}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \leq 1;$$

and also

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 2(xy + yz + xz) = (x + y + z)^2 \geq 0;$$

and therefore  $xy + yz + xz \geq -\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$  and so

$$\frac{xy + yz + xz}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence the range of  $f$  is contained in  $[-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , and since this is a closed set, it follows that also *all limit points of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0}$  are contained in  $[-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$* . On the other hand, note that  $f(1, 1, 1) = 1$  and  $f(1, -1, 0) = -\frac{1}{2}$ , and hence the range of  $f$  equals the interval  $[-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . (Proof: For example we can consider the function  $F(t) := f(1, -1 + 2t, t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ; this is a continuous function<sup>4</sup> with  $F(0) = f(1, -1, 0) = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $F(1) = f(1, 1, 1) = 1$ ; hence by Theorem 4.23, for every  $c \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  there exists some  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $F(t) = c$ , and hence there exists a point  $(x, y, z) = (1, -1 + 2t, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that  $f(x, y, z) = c$ .)

Now for any  $c \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , let us choose some  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) = c$ . Set  $\mathbf{x}_k := k^{-1}\mathbf{x}$ . Then  $(\mathbf{x}_k)$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  with  $\mathbf{x}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ , and for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we have

(2)

$$f(\mathbf{x}_k) = f(k^{-1}x, k^{-1}y, k^{-1}z) = \frac{k^{-2}(xy + yz + xz)}{k^{-2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)} = f(x, y, z) = c.$$

Hence  $f(\mathbf{x}_k) \rightarrow c$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves that  $c$  is a limit point of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0}$ . We have thus proved that every  $c \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  is a limit point of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0}$ .

Combining this with our earlier finding we conclude that the set of limit points of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0}$  equals  $[-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . Hence also  $\limsup_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sup[-\frac{1}{2}, 1] = 1$  and  $\liminf_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \inf[-\frac{1}{2}, 1] = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Answer:** The set of limit points of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0}$  equals  $[-\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . Also,  $\limsup_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} f(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  and  $\liminf_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} f(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

*Comments:* The above very short solution may appear to be “pulled out of a hat”. However it can be found by some easy observations and

---

<sup>4</sup>Indeed note that  $(1, -1 + 2t, t) \neq \mathbf{0}$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .



methods. The first key observation is that  $f$  is *homogeneous of degree zero*, i.e.  $f(r\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . (This is “obvious by inspection”; the detailed proof is as in (2) above, but with  $r$  in place of  $k^{-1}$ .) Therefore the range of  $f$  restricted to any punctured neighborhood of the origin equals the range of (“all of”)  $f$  (and this is also equal to the range of  $f$  on any *sphere* with center at the origin). Hence the set of limit points of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0}$  is simply equal to *the closure of the range of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$* , and this is also equal to *the range of  $f$  restricted to any sphere with center at the origin*. This last set is automatically known to be compact, by Theorem 4.14, and connected, by Theorem 4.22 – if we take it as known that a sphere is connected. Hence the set of limit points of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{0}$  (and also the range of all of  $f$ ) equals a bounded closed interval!

It remains to find the end-points of that interval! Let us focus on the task of finding the left endpoint (the discussion for the right endpoint is completely similar). This endpoint equals  $-\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is the smallest real number which has the property that  $f(x, y, z) \geq -\alpha$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , i.e.

$$(3) \quad \alpha x^2 + \alpha y^2 + \alpha z^2 + xy + yz + xz \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Let us start by asking exactly *which* real numbers  $\alpha > 0$  satisfy (3). Completing the square, the left hand side of (3) is seen to be equal to

$$\alpha(x + (2\alpha)^{-1}y + (2\alpha)^{-1}z)^2 + (\alpha - (4\alpha)^{-1})y^2 + (\alpha - (4\alpha)^{-1})z^2 + (1 - (2\alpha)^{-1})yz,$$

and by an easy inspection (using the fact that  $x$  only appears inside the first square in the last expression) it follows that (3) holds iff

$$(4) \quad Ay^2 + Az^2 + Byz \geq 0, \quad \forall (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

where  $A = \alpha - (4\alpha)^{-1}$  and  $B = 1 - (2\alpha)^{-1}$ . Now for (4) to hold we must clearly have  $A \geq 0$  (indeed take  $(y, z) = (1, 0)$ ). This is equivalent with  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Now one may simply note that if  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  then  $A = B = 0$ ; hence (4) holds and thus also (3) holds! This of course implies that (3) also holds for all  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ ; and on the other hand the above argument shows that (3) *fails* for all  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Hence: The smallest real number  $\alpha$  for which (4) holds is  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ; and thus the left endpoint of the interval which we are seeking to determine equals  $-\frac{1}{2}$ !