

# Inference 2, 2023, lecture 13

Rolf Larsson

December 11, 2023

# Today

## Chap. 5. Testing hypotheses (continued): Conditional tests

# Conditional tests

Example 1:

- Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be an i.i.d. sample from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with *unknown*  $\sigma^2$ .
- Test  $H_0: \mu \leq \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu > \mu_0$ .
- Is there any test which in some sense is optimal in this situation?
- In particular, how should a test statistic for tests on  $\mu$  with distribution not depending on  $\sigma^2$  be found?

Example 2:

The same questions for the test of  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ .

# Conditional tests

More general:

- Suppose we have the model  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathcal{R}^k\}$ .
- Suppose  $P_\theta$  belongs to a  $k$ -parameter exponential family:

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = A(\theta) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \zeta_j(\theta) R_j(\mathbf{X}) \right\} h(\mathbf{x}).$$

- Define  $\beta_j = \zeta_j(\theta)$ .
- Suppose the **parameter of interest** is  $\lambda = \beta_1 = \zeta_1(\theta)$ .
- $\vartheta = (\beta_2, \dots, \beta_k)^\top$  is called the **nuisance parameter**.
- Write

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = A(\theta) \exp \{ \lambda U(\mathbf{x}) + \vartheta^\top T(\mathbf{x}) \} h(\mathbf{x}).$$

# Conditional tests

Example 1:

- Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a i.i.d. sample from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with unknown  $\sigma^2$ .
- We want to test hypotheses about  $\mu$ .
- The likelihood  $p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = L(\theta; \mathbf{x})$ , where  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ , may be written on a form as above (why?):

$$L(\theta) = A(\theta) \exp \{ \lambda U(\mathbf{x}) + \vartheta T(\mathbf{x}) \},$$

where  $\lambda = \mu/\sigma^2$ ,  $U(\mathbf{x}) = n\bar{x}$ ,  $\vartheta = -1/(2\sigma^2)$ ,  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ .

# Conditional tests

- Recall:  $p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = A(\theta) \exp \{ \lambda U(\mathbf{x}) + \vartheta^T T(\mathbf{x}) \} h(\mathbf{x})$ .
- Here,  $U(\mathbf{x})$  is the suff. stat. for  $\lambda$  and  $T(\mathbf{x})$  is the suff. stat. for  $\vartheta$ .
- Rewrite  $p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$  as the joint probability (density) function of  $(U, T)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p^{(U, T)}(u, t; \theta) &= A(\theta) \exp(\lambda u + \vartheta^T t) h(u, t) \\ &= c(\lambda, t) \exp(\lambda u) h(u, t) \cdot A(\theta) \exp(\vartheta^T t) c(\lambda, t)^{-1} \\ &= p^{U|T=t}(u|t; \lambda) \quad \cdot \quad p^T(t; \theta) \end{aligned}$$

- The trick is to concentrate on **the conditional model**  
 $\mathcal{P}_t = \left\{ P_{\lambda}^{U|T=t} : \lambda \in A \subseteq \mathcal{R} \right\}, \quad t \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}.$
- Test  $H_0: \lambda \geq \lambda_0$  vs  $H_1: \lambda < \lambda_0$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the parameter space after transformation into  $(\lambda, \vartheta)$ .
- Write  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_0 \cup \mathcal{Z}_1$  where  $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \{(\lambda, \vartheta) : \lambda \geq \lambda_0\}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \{(\lambda, \vartheta) : \lambda < \lambda_0\}$ .
- Define the boundary set  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{bound}} = \{(\lambda_0, \vartheta) : (\lambda_0, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{Z}\}.$

# Conditional tests

## Definition (5.13)

A test  $\varphi$  is said to be  **$\alpha$ -similar** on  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{bound}}$  if.f.  
 $E_{(\lambda_0, \vartheta)} \varphi(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha$ . (Independent on  $\vartheta$ .)

## Definition (5.14)

Consider the test problem  $H_0: \lambda \geq \lambda_0$  vs  $H_1: \lambda < \lambda_0$ . A test  $\varphi$  is **uniformly most powerful  $\alpha$ -similar** for this problem if.f.  $\varphi$  is  $\alpha$ -similar on  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{bound}}$  and

$$E_{(\lambda, \vartheta)} \{\varphi(\mathbf{X})\} \geq E_{(\lambda, \vartheta)} \{\psi(\mathbf{X})\}$$

for all  $(\lambda, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{Z}_1$  and for all  $\alpha$ -similar tests  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{bound}}$ .

# Conditional tests

We get the following generalization of the Blackwell “UMP theorem” for  $\alpha$ -similar tests:

## Theorem (5.7)

**(One-sided conditional test)** Consider the test problem  $H_0: \lambda \geq \lambda_0$  vs  $H_1: \lambda < \lambda_0$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is convex and includes a  $k$ -dimensional interval. The test

$$\varphi_I(u, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u < c_0(t), \\ \gamma_0(t) & \text{if } u = c_0(t), \\ 0 & \text{if } u > c_0(t), \end{cases}$$

with  $\gamma_0(t)$  and  $c_0(t)$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_0}\{\varphi_I(U, T) | T = t\} = \alpha$  for all  $t$ , is a UMP  $\alpha$ -similar test for this problem.

*Note:* For  $H_0: \lambda \leq \lambda_0$ ,  $H_1: \lambda > \lambda_0$  the same result holds by switching  $<$  and  $>$  in the  $\varphi_I$  formula.



# Conditional tests

Example 1:

- Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be an i.i.d. sample from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with unknown  $\sigma^2$ .
- Consider testing  $H_0: \mu \leq \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu > \mu_0$ .
- Show that the one-sided  $t$  test is UMP  $\alpha$ -similar.

# Conditional tests

## Definition

Consider the test problem  $H_0: \lambda = \lambda_0$  vs  $H_1: \lambda \neq \lambda_0$ . A test  $\varphi$  is **uniformly most powerful unbiased  $\alpha$ -similar** for this problem if.f.  $\varphi$  is  $\alpha$ -similar unbiased on  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{bound}}$  and

$$E_{(\lambda, \vartheta)}\{\varphi(\mathbf{X})\} \geq E_{(\lambda, \vartheta)}\{\psi(\mathbf{X})\}$$

for all  $(\lambda, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{Z}_1$  and for all  $\alpha$ -similar unbiased tests  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{bound}}$ .

# Conditional tests

## Theorem (5.8)

**(Two-sided conditional test)** Assume that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is convex and includes a  $k$ -dimensional interval. Consider the test problem

$H_0: \lambda = \lambda_0$  vs  $H_1: \lambda \neq \lambda_0$ . The test

$$\varphi_{II}(u, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u < c_1(t), \ u > c_2(t), \\ \gamma_1(t) & \text{if } u = c_1(t), \\ \gamma_2(t) & \text{if } u = c_2(t), \\ 0 & \text{if } c_1(t) < u < c_2(t), \end{cases}$$

with  $\gamma_i(t)$  and  $c_i(t)$  such that  $E_{\lambda_0}\{\varphi_{II}(U, T) | T = t\} = \alpha$  for all  $t$  and

$$E_{\lambda_0}\{U\varphi_{II}(U, T) | T = t\} = \alpha E_{\lambda_0}(U | T = t)$$

is a UMPU  $\alpha$ -similar test for this problem.

# Conditional tests

Example 2:

- Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a i.i.d. sample from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with unknown  $\sigma^2$ .
- Consider testing  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ .
- Show that the two-sided  $t$  test is UMPU  $\alpha$ -similar.

# News of today

Generalization to conditional tests:

- The test concerns a parameter of interest, in the presence of nuisance parameters.
- The exponential family.
- Trick: Condition on the nuisance parameters!
- $\alpha$ -similar test on the boundary set
- UMP  $\alpha$ -similar test
  - one-sided
  - two-sided (unbiased test)