## Hand-in assignment 1, solutions

1. Consider the discrete random variable X with probability function

$$P(X = k) = \begin{cases} 9\theta_1^2, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 6\theta_1\theta_2, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \theta_2^2, & \text{if } k = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $3\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 1$ .

Consider an independent sample  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  where all  $X_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, are distributed as X.

(a) Does the distribution belong to a strictly k-parametric exponential family? (3p)

Solution: For m = 0, 1, 2, let  $I_m(x_i) = 1$  if  $x_i = m$  and 0 otherwise. Moreover, let  $n_m$  be the number of observations that equal m. The probability function is

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (9\theta_1^2)^{I_0(x_i)} (6\theta_1\theta_2)^{I_1(x_i)} (\theta_2^2)^{I_2(x_i)}$$
$$= 9^{n_0} 6^{n_1} \theta_1^{2n_0 + n_1} \theta_2^{n_1 + 2n_2}.$$

Moreover, observe that given the total number of observations, n, we have  $n = n_0 + n_1 + n_2$ , i.e.  $n_2 = n - n_0 - n_1$ , which yields

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \theta_1, \theta_2) = 9^{n_0} 6^{n_1} \theta_1^{2n_0 + n_1} \theta_2^{2n - (2n_0 + n_1)}.$$

At first sight, this appears to be a 2-parametric family, but introducing the restriction  $\theta_2 = 1 - 3\theta_1$ , we get

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \theta_1) = 9^{n_0} 6^{n_1} \theta_1^{2n_0 + n_1} (1 - 3\theta_1)^{2n - (2n_0 + n_1)}$$

$$= 9^{n_0} 6^{n_1} (1 - 3\theta_1)^{2n} \left( \frac{\theta_1}{1 - 3\theta_1} \right)^{2n_0 + n_1}$$

$$= 9^{n_0} 6^{n_1} (1 - 3\theta_1)^{2n} \exp\left\{ (2n_0 + n_1) \log\left( \frac{\theta_1}{1 - 3\theta_1} \right) \right\}$$

$$= A(\theta_1) \exp\{\zeta_1(\theta_1) T_1(\mathbf{x})\} h(\mathbf{x}),$$

where  $A(\theta) = (1 - 3\theta_1)^{2n}$ ,  $h(\mathbf{x}) = 9^{n_0}6^{n_1}$ ,  $\zeta_1(\theta) = \log\left(\frac{\theta_1}{1 - 3\theta_1}\right)$  and  $T_1(\mathbf{x}) = 2n_0 + n_1$ . (Observe that the  $n_j$  are functions of  $\mathbf{x}$ .) Hence, the probability function is on exponential form. We only have one natural parameter, so the distribution belongs to a strictly k-parametric exponential family with k = 1.

(b) Derive k and the corresponding sufficient statistic(s). (2p)

Solution: From (a), we have k = 1 and the sufficient statistic  $T_1(\mathbf{x}) = 2n_0 + n_1$ , where  $n_0$  is the number of zeros and  $n_1$  is the number of ones in the sample.

2. Consider a random sample  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  where the  $X_i$  are independent continuous random variables with density function

$$f(x) = \frac{4}{\theta}x^3 \exp\left(-\frac{x^4}{\theta}\right),$$

for  $x \geq 0$  and 0 otherwise.

(a) Calculate the score function. (2p)

Solution: Say that we have a sample  $x_1, ..., x_n$ . We may write down the likelihood function

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{4}{\theta} x_i^3 \exp\left(-\frac{x_i^4}{\theta}\right) = C\theta^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4\right),$$

where C is a constant in the sense that it does not depend on  $\theta$ . This gives us the log likelihood and its first two derivatives w.r.t  $\theta$  as

$$l(\theta) = \log C - n \log \theta - \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4,$$
  
$$l'(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4,$$
  
$$l''(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2} - \frac{2}{\theta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^4,$$

from which we see in particular that the score function is

$$V(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^4.$$

(b) Calculate the Fisher information.

(3p)

Hint: Without proof, you may use that  $E(X^k) = \theta^{k/4} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{k}{4}\right)$ , for k = 1, 2, ..., where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function.

Solution: The hint gives us

$$Var(X_i^4) = E(X_i^8) - \{E(X_i^4)\}^2 = \theta^2 \Gamma(3) - \{\theta \Gamma(2)\}^2 = 2\theta^2 - \theta^2 = \theta^2,$$

and we find the Fisher information as

$$I_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) = \operatorname{Var}\{V(\theta; \mathbf{X})\} = \frac{1}{\theta^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(X_i^4) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

Alternatively, we get from the results of (a) and the hint (giving  $E(X_i^4) = \theta$ ) that

$$I_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}\left\{l''(\theta; \mathbf{X})\right\} = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} + \frac{2}{\theta^3} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^4) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

3. Consider a random sample  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  where the  $X_i$  are i.i.d. and discrete with probability function

$$p(x;\theta) = \theta(1-\theta)^{x-1}, \ x = 1, 2, ...,$$

where  $0 \le \theta \le 1$ .

(a) Show that the statistic  $T = (X_1, ..., X_{n-1})$  is not sufficient for  $\theta$ . (3p)

Solution: Sufficiency means that the probability of the sample conditional on the suggested T is no function of the parameter. We will check that it is not so. To this end, if the sample is  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ , the probability in question is

$$\begin{split} &P\{(X_1,...,X_n)=(x_1,...,x_n)|(X_1,...,X_{n-1})=(x_1,...,x_{n-1})\}\\ &=\frac{P\{(X_1,...,X_n)=(x_1,...,x_n),(X_1,...,X_{n-1})=(x_1,...,x_{n-1})\}}{P\{(X_1,...,X_{n-1})=(x_1,...,x_{n-1})\}}\\ &=\frac{P\{(X_1,...,X_n)=(x_1,...,x_n)\}}{P\{(X_1,...,X_{n-1})=(x_1,...,x_{n-1})\}}\\ &=\frac{P(X_1=x_1,...,X_n=x_n)\}}{P(X_1=x_1,...,X_{n-1}=x_{n-1})\}}, \end{split}$$

and by independence, this is

$$\frac{P(X_1 = x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot P(X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}) P(X_n = x_n)}{P(X_1 = x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot P(X_{n-1} = x_{n-1})}$$
$$= P(X_n = x_n) = \theta (1 - \theta)^{x_{n-1}},$$

which is a function of  $\theta$ , as was to be proved.

Thus, T is not sufficient for  $\theta$ .

(b) Find a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

(2p)

Solution: If we have the sample  $(x_1,...,x_n)$ , the likelihood is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta (1 - \theta)^{x_i - 1} = \theta^n (1 - \theta)^{-n} (1 - \theta)^t,$$

where  $t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ .

Hence, by the factorization theorem,  $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  is sufficient. (This is not the only possible sufficient statistic. For example, also  $T = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  is sufficient.)

4. Consider a random sample  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  where the  $X_i$  are i.i.d. and continuous random variables with density function

$$f(x) = \theta x^{-2} \exp(-\theta x^{-1}),$$

for  $x \ge 0$ ,  $\theta > 0$ , and f(x) = 0 for x < 0.

Find a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Solution: Say that we have a sample  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ . This gives the likelihood as

(5p)

$$L(\theta; \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta x_i^{-2} \exp(-\theta x_i^{-1})$$
$$= \theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right)^{-2} \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-1}\right).$$

If  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, ..., y_n)$  is another sample, we have

$$\frac{L(\theta; \mathbf{x})}{L(\theta; \mathbf{y})} = \frac{\theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{-2} \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{-1}\right)}{\theta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)^{-2} \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{-1}\right)} \\
= \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i\right)^2}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^2} \exp\left\{\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{-1}\right)\right\}.$$

This is no function of  $\theta$  if  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-1}$ . Hence, by theorem 3.8,  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^{-1}$  is minimal sufficient.

Alternatively, we can see directly from the likelihood that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^{-1}$  is sufficient, and since the distribution is seen to belong to the exponential family, by corollary 3.4  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is also minimal sufficient.