

(X_n) (\mathcal{F}_n) -martingale. If $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex
 s.t. $\mathbb{E}|g(X_n)| < \infty \quad \forall n$, then

$g(X_n)$ is (\mathcal{F}_n) -submartingale

Proof
$$g(X_{n+1}) = \mathbb{E}(g(X_n) | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}) g(\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}))$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}(g(X_n) | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}) \quad \square$$

$|X_n|, X_n^2, \dots$ are submartingales

Examples b) X_0, X_1, \dots independent
 $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0, \mathbb{E}(X_n^2) = \infty, n \geq 0 \quad \mathcal{F}_n = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$

$S_n = \sum_1^n X_k$ is \mathcal{F}_n -martingale

2) $M_n = S_n^2 - n$, $\mathbb{E}(X_n^2) = 1$

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n^2) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_1^n \sum_1^n X_n X_k\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_1^n X_k^2\right) + 0 = n$$

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}) = \mathbb{E}(S_{n+1}^2 + 2S_{n+1} \cdot X_n + X_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n+1})$$

$$= S_{n+1}^2 + 2S_{n+1} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n+1})}_{\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(X_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n+1})}_{\mathbb{E}(X_n^2) = 1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(S_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}) = S_{n+1}^2 - (n+1)$$

3) Assume $\mathbb{E}|\bar{X}| < \infty$

Then $M_n = \mathbb{E}(\bar{X} | \mathcal{F}_n)$ is (\mathcal{F}_n) -martingale

$$\mathbb{E}(M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\bar{X} | \mathcal{F}_n) | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}) = \mathbb{E}(\bar{X} | \mathcal{F}_{n+2}) \text{ a.s.}$$

Stopping times

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_n), P)$ filtered prob. space

Def An integer-valued random variable $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ (possibly $P(\tau < \infty) < 1$) is called a stopping time on a $((\mathcal{F}_n), P)$ -stopping time, if

$$\{\tau \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ for all } n.$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{equiv: } \{\tau \geq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ for all } n \\ \text{or } \{\tau = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n \end{array} \right]$$

- Fixed times n are stopping times
- Lemma - τ_1, τ_2 s.t. $\Rightarrow \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2$ and $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ are s.t.
- $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \dots$ seq. of s.t. $\Rightarrow \sup_n \tau_n$ is a s.t.
- $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \geq \dots$ - " - $\inf_n \tau_n$ - " -

Lemma (M_n) is (\mathcal{F}_n) -martingale
 τ is (\mathcal{F}_{τ}) -stopping time

Then $(M_{n \wedge \tau}, n \geq 0)$ is also an (\mathcal{F}_n) -martingale

Proof Take $C_n = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq n+1\}}$. Then $C_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \sum_{k=1}^n C_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \geq k+1\}} (M_k - M_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (M_{k \wedge \tau} - M_{(k-1) \wedge \tau}) \\ &= M_{n \wedge \tau} \end{aligned}$$

By the previous lemma

$$E(M_{n+2}) = E(M_0)$$

Question $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(M_{n+2}) = E(M_2) = E(M_0)$?

Answer No, in general

Example $M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k$, $\{z_k\}$ i.i.d

$$z_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{not } \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

The (M_n) is a martingale, $M_0 = 0$,

and $E(M_n) = \cancel{0}$ (symmetric random walk)

Now, take $\tau = \min\{n : M_n = 1\}$

Then $\{\tau > n\} = \{M_n \leq 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, so z is s.t.

Also $M_\tau = 1$. But then

$$1 = E(M_\tau) \neq E(M_0) = 0$$

The problem is $E(z) = +\infty$.

Def A sequence $\{H_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is (\mathcal{F}_n) -predictable, if

$$H_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}, \text{ all } n \geq 1.$$

Ex 4 If (M_n) is a martingale and bounded
 (H_n) is predictable, then

$$J_n = \sum_{k=1}^n H_k (M_k - M_{k-1}), \text{ is a } (\mathcal{F}_n)\text{-martingale}$$

Proof $J_n - J_{n-1} = H_n (M_n - M_{n-1})$

$$\mathbb{E}(J_n - J_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = \mathbb{E}[H_n (M_n - M_{n-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$$

$$= H_n \mathbb{E}(M_n - M_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1})$$

$$\mathbb{E}|J_n| \leq \sum_k \mathbb{E} |H_k| |M_k| \xrightarrow{\text{as } k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \square$$

(J_n) is called the martingale transform of H_n w.r.t. M_n .

or the discrete stochastic integral

of H_n w.r.t M_n ?

$$J_n = \sum_1^n H_k dM_k \sim \int_0^n H_t dM_t$$

HTH

Interpretation

$M_n - \eta_{n+1}$ = winnings game n
per unit bet, $n \geq 1$

H_n = stake on game n
 $\in \mathcal{F}_n$, i.e. decided based
on outcome of games $1 \rightarrow n-1$

$M_n (M_n - \eta_{n+1})$ = your winnings, game n

~~$$J_n = \sum_{k=1}^n H_k \Delta M_k$$~~

your winnings
game $1 \rightarrow n$

$\{J_n\}$ martingale $E(J_n) = E(J_0)$

'you cannot beat the system'

Poisson case

$$\text{Left with } N = \sum_{s \in T} N_s \quad \text{if } N_s = N - N_{s-}$$

F: 6-6

$$\text{and also we have } N = \int_0^t dN_s = \sum_{s \in T} AN_s$$

If φ is deterministic or stochastic process func., what is $\int_0^t \varphi_s dN_s$?

Example: $\int_0^t N_s dN_s = \sum_{s \in T} N_s \Delta N_s$

$$= \sum_{s \in T} N_s (N_s - N_{s-})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N_T} \underbrace{N_{s_k}}_k \underbrace{(N_{s_k} - N_{s_{k-1}})}_{= 1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N_T} k = \frac{N_T(N_T + 1)}{2}$$

Also,

$$\int_0^t N_{s-} dN_s = \dots = \sum_{k=1}^{N_T} (k-1)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N_T-1} k = \frac{(N_T-1)N_T}{2}$$

Also observe

$$\int_0^t (N_s - N_{s-}) dN_s = 0$$

$$= \int_0^t (dN_s)^2 = \int_0^t dN_s^2 = N_T$$

~~Is it?~~ $\int_0^t f(x) (dx)^2 = 0$?

We have, for Poisson compound F6:7

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot E(Y_t) &= E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_t} Z_k\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_t} Z_k | N_t = n\right) P(N_t = n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P(N_t = n) E(Z_1) \quad E\left(\sum_{k=1}^n Z_k\right) = n E(Z_1) \\ &= \lambda t E(Z_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot E(e^{\theta Y_t}) = E(e^{\theta \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} Z_k}) = E(e^{\theta Z_1 + \theta Z_2 + \dots + \theta Z_N})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cancel{\text{Proof}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(e^{\theta Z_1})^n P(N_t = n) \\ &= e^{\sum_0^n (\lambda t E(e^{\theta Z_1}))^n / n!} \\ &= e^{\lambda t E(e^{\theta Z_1}) - \lambda t} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \end{aligned}$$

$$(-\ln E(e^{\theta Y_t})) = \cancel{\lambda t} \ln(1 - \lambda t)$$

- exercise: find $\text{Var}(Y_t)$

$$\text{Find } E(Y_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s)$$

Show that $\tilde{Y}_t = Y_t - \lambda t E(Z_1), t \geq 0$

is a martingale