

Lecture 11:

Recall: A martingale is a stochastic process X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots with respect to a filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2, \dots$ if X_n is adapted to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$ and $\mathbb{E}(X_n | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}) = X_{n-1}$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{lll} \text{Supermartingale} & \leftrightarrow & \leq \\ \text{Submartingale} & \leftrightarrow & \geq \end{array} \right]$$

Usually we take $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n = \sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ but not always!

Remark: Let $m < n$. For every martingale, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_n | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_m) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\dots \mathbb{E}\left(\underbrace{\mathbb{E}(X_n | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1})}_{= X_{n-1}}\right) | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-2}\right) \dots | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_m\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\dots \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(X_{n-1} | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-2})}_{X_{n-2}} \dots | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_m\right)\right) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \mathbb{E}(X_{m+1} | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_m) = X_m. \end{aligned}$$

Definition A pre-visible process is a sequence C_1, C_2, \dots of random variables, such that C_n is \mathcal{F}_{n-1} -measurable for all n .

Let C_n be a pre-visible process. The martingale transform of X by C is

$$(C \cdot X)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k (X_k - X_{k-1}).$$

In particular, if $C_k = 1$ for all k , then $(C \cdot X)_n = X_n - X_0$.

Proposition: If C is a bounded pre-visible process with $|C_n(\omega)| \leq K$ for all n and $\omega \in \Omega$, then

$(C \cdot X)_n$ is a martingale if X_n is.

If C is also non-negative, then $(C \cdot X)_n$ is a sub-/super martingale whenever X_n is.

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned} & E((C \cdot X)_n - (C \cdot X)_{n-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \\ &= E(C_n(X_n - X_{n-1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \\ &= C_n(E(X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) - E(X_{n-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1})) \\ &= C_n(E(X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) - X_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } X_n \text{ is a martingale} \\ \geq 0 & \text{if } C_n \geq 0 \text{ and } X_n \text{ is a submartingale} \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } C_n \geq 0 \text{ and } X_n \text{ is a supermartingale} \end{cases}$$

□

Stopping times

A stopping time is a random variable T with values in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ and the property that $\{T \leq n\} = \{\omega \in \Omega: T(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for all n . Equivalently, $\{T = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ $\forall n$. This follows from $\{T \leq n\} = \{T \leq n-1\} \cup \{T = n\}$

Examples: • All constants are stopping times

• "First occurrence": for example:

$T = \min \{n : X_n = 0\}$ for an adapted process X_n

• If S, T are stopping times, then so are
 $\min \{S, T\} = S \wedge T$ "either stopped"

and $\max \{S, T\} = S \vee T$ "both stopped"

• "Counting": for example, set

$N_n = \text{number of indices } k \leq n \text{ with } X_k = 0$

$T = \min \{n : N_n = 10\}$

Example: The following are (generally)
not stopping times

$T = \max \{n : N_n = 0\}$ (we cannot determine whether $N_k = 0$ for $k > n$)

Also

$T = \min \{n : X_n = \sup_k X_k\}$ ($\sup_k X_k$ not measurable wrt. \mathcal{F}_n . Could be larger later)

Stopped processes:

Let X_n be an adapted process and T a stopping time with respect to a given filtration. The stopped process X^T is

$$X_n^T(\omega) = X_{n \wedge T(\omega)}(\omega) = \begin{cases} X_{T(\omega)}(\omega) & \text{if } n \geq T(\omega) \\ X_n(\omega) & \text{if } n < T(\omega) \end{cases}$$

Theorem: If X_n is a martingale / supermartingale / submartingale, then so is X_n^T . In particular, for every n , $\mathbb{E}(X_{T \wedge n}) \stackrel{\leq}{\geq} \mathbb{E}(X_0)$ ^{super-}sub-martingale.

Proof: Note that $C_n^T = \underbrace{\mathbb{I}_{\{n \leq T\}}}_{\text{"not yet stopped at time } n-1"} = 1 - \mathbb{I}_{\{T \leq n-1\}}$ is pre-visible.

$$\text{We have } (C^T \cdot X)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^T (X_k - X_{k-1})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{\{k \leq T\}} (X_k - X_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{T \wedge n} (X_k - X_{k-1})$$

$$= X_{T \wedge n} - X_0. \quad \text{So } X_{T \wedge n} \text{ is a martingale.}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{F})) = \mathbb{E}(X)$, the second conclusion follows \square

So for every fixed n , $E(X_{T \wedge n}) = E(X_0)$.

Is it true that $E(X_T) = E(X_0)$?

In general, NO!

Example: Consider the martingale

$$X_0 = 1, \quad X_n = \begin{cases} 2X_{n-1} & \text{prob. } \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{prob. } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Let $T = \min\{n : X_n = 0\}$. Clearly, $E(X_T) = 0 \neq E(X_0)$.

Example: Consider the simple random walk

$$X_0 = 0, \quad X_n = \begin{cases} X_{n-1} + 1 & \text{prob } \frac{1}{2} \\ X_{n-1} - 1 & \text{prob } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Define $T = \min\{n : X_n = 1\}$. This is a stopping time and one can show that $T < \infty$ a.s.

Hence $E(X_T) = 1 \neq E(X_0)$.

However, under simple conditions $E(X_T) = E(X_0)$

Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem

Let T be a stopping time, and let X be a ^{super-}_{sub-}martingale. Suppose one of the following hold:

- i) T is bounded (almost surely)
- ii) X_n is bounded and $T < \infty$ for a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$.
- iii) $E(T) < \infty$ and $|X_n(\omega) - X_{n-1}(\omega)|$ for all n and almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.

Then, $E(X_T) \begin{cases} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{cases} E(X_0)$ ^{super-}_{sub-}martingale.

Proof: (i) If T is bounded by N (a.s.)

we have $T \wedge N = T$ (a.s.) and so

$$E(X_T) = E(X_{T \wedge N}) \begin{cases} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{cases} E(X_0).$$

(ii) We have $E(X_{T \wedge n}) \begin{cases} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{cases} E(X_0)$ for fixed n .

Since X is bounded we can use dominated convergence:

$$\mathbb{E}(X_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_{T_{1n}}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{T_{1n}}\right) - \mathbb{E}(X_T).$$

iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{T_{1n}} - X_0| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{T_{1n}} (X_k - X_{k-1}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{T_{1n}} |X_k - X_{k-1}| \leq K \cdot (T_{1n}) \leq KT. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathbb{E}(KT) = K \mathbb{E}(T) < \infty$ and we can apply DCT as above. \square

Corollary: If X_n is a nonnegative supermartingale and T an (almost surely) finite stopping time, then

$$\mathbb{E}(X_T) \leq \mathbb{E}(X_0)$$

Proof: By Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_T) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{T_{1n}}\right) \quad (\text{why does it exist?}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{T_{1n}}\right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_{T_{1n}}) \leq \mathbb{E}(X_0) \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is useful to show that $E(T) < \infty$ for specific stopping times.

Lemma Suppose there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ & a positive integer N such that $P(T \leq n + N \mid \mathcal{F}_n) \geq \varepsilon$ for all n .

Then $E(T) < \infty$. "The probability of stopping at any point within the next N steps is at least $\varepsilon > 0$ ".

Proof: We have

$$P(T > N) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \quad (\text{first } N \text{ steps})$$

$$P(T > 2N \mid T > N) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \quad (\text{steps } N+1, \dots, 2N)$$

$$P(T > 3N \mid T > 2N) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \dots$$

$$\text{So, } E(T) \leq N \cdot \varepsilon + 2N \varepsilon (1 - \varepsilon) + 3N \varepsilon (1 - \varepsilon)^2 + \dots$$

$$= N \varepsilon (1 + 2(1 - \varepsilon) + 3(1 - \varepsilon)^2 + \dots)$$

$$= N \varepsilon \frac{1}{(1 - (1 - \varepsilon))^2} = \frac{N}{\varepsilon} < \infty \quad \square$$

Example: Consider the simple random walk

$$X_n = \begin{cases} X_{n-1} + 1 & \text{with prob } \frac{1}{2} \\ X_{n-1} - 1 & \text{--- " --- } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}, \quad X_0 = 0.$$

Take $T = \min \{n : |X_n| = a\}$, then $E(T) < \infty$.

It follows by taking $N = a$, $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}a$.

More generally, we can consider

$$T = \min \{n : X_n \geq a \text{ or } X_n \leq -b\}.$$

Since $|X_k - X_{k-1}| = 1$, the third (or second) item of Doob's optional stopping theorem applies.

This allows us to answer questions such as:

- What is the probability that we reach a before $-b$?
- What is the expected time for one of the two to happen.

We get $E(X_T) = E(X_0) = 0$ (from DOST)

$$\Leftrightarrow \left. \begin{aligned} &a \cdot P(X_T = a) + (-b) P(X_T = -b) = 0 \\ &P(X_T = a) + P(X_T = -b) = 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} P(X_T = a) &= \frac{b}{a+b} \\ P(X_T = -b) &= \frac{a}{a+b} \end{aligned}$$

Further

Now look at X_n^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_n^2 | \tilde{F}_{n-1}) &= \frac{1}{2} (X_{n-1} + 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (X_{n-1} - 1)^2 \\ &= X_{n-1}^2 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$E(X_n^2 - n | \tilde{F}_{n-1}) = X_{n-1}^2 + 1 - n = X_{n-1}^2 - (n-1).$$

Hence $Y_n = X_n^2 - n$ is a martingale!!

The 2nd & 3rd item of DOST apply and

$$E(Y_T) = E(Y_0) = 0$$

$$Y_T = X_T^2 - T = \text{either } a^2 - T \text{ or } b^2 - T.$$

$$\Rightarrow E(X_T^2) = E(T) \quad \text{and}$$

$$a^2 \frac{b}{a+b} + b^2 \frac{a}{a+b} = E(T), \quad \text{so we find that}$$

$$E(T) = \frac{a^2 b + b^2 a}{a+b} = \frac{ab(a+b)}{a+b} = ab$$