



FAIR Principles

Reusable

*Analysis of existing approaches
v0.02*

Existing approaches



Findable

Accessible

Interoperable

Reusable

Non-FAIR
Principles

R1

R1.1

R1.2

R1.3

Develop common metrics per facet

FAIR Principles

To be reusable :

R1. meta(data) is richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes

R1.1. (meta)data is released with a clear and accessible data usage licence

R1.2. (meta)data is associated with detailed provenance

R1.3. (meta)data meets domain-relevant community standards

LEGEND

1	ANDS-NECTAR-RDS-FAIR data assessment tool	ARDC	[Link]
2	DANS-Fairdat	DANS	[Link]
3	DANS-Fair enough?	DANS	[Link]
4	The CSIRO 5-star Data Rating tool	CSIRO	[Link]
5	FAIR Metrics Questionnaire	The FAIR Metrics Group	[Link]
6	Stewardship Maturity Mix	NOAA's CICS-NC, NOAA's NCDC	[Link]
7	FAIR Evaluator	GO FAIR, LUMC CBGP, IDS, OeRC, IQSS	[Link]
8	Data Stewardship Wizard	ELIXIR NL/CZ	[Link]
9	Checklist for Evaluation of Dataset Fitness for Use	Assessment of Data Fitness for Use WG (WDS/RDA)	[Link]
10	RDA-SHARC Evaluation	SHARC IG (RDA)	[Link]
11	WMO-Wide Stewardship Maturity Matrix for Climate Data	The SMM-CD WG	[Link]
12	Data Use and Services Maturity Matrix	The MM-Serv WG	[Link]

Principle

Facet

1 Question

- Option #1
- Option #2
- Option #3

Potential Overlap

R1 meta(data) is richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes

2 Is there sufficient metadata available?

No
Yes

2 How is the data described with metadata?

The data is not described
Brief title and description
Comprehensively, but in a text-based, non standard format
Comprehensively, using a recognized formal machine readable metadata schema

F2

2 Is there extensive metadata and rich additional documentation available?

No
Yes

R1.2, I3

3 Did you provide enough information (metadata) about your data for others to understand and reuse your data?

No
Yes

9 Granularity of data entities in dataset is appropriate in Respect of Meta-Data Granularity

No
Somewhat
Yes

9 Structure, size and MIME type of the dataset agrees with description of the dataset content

No
Somewhat
Yes

...

9 Content of the dataset agrees with description of the dataset content

No
Somewhat
Yes

9 Coverage (spatial, temporal, or other dimensions) adequate

No
Somewhat
Yes

10 Which relevant actions have been undertaken by the researcher to enhance the data reuse potential

Never /NA
If mandatory
Sometimes
Always

10 Does the researcher provide information on methods and tools that permit the understanding, integrity, value and readability of data intended to be kept on the

Never /NA
Sometimes
Always

11 Documentation

Product information not publicly available online.
Limited online documentation (e.g., User Guide).
Document on how the data product was created and how to use it, is available online.
Full documentation based on a standard template and available online.
Previous + Online tutorial on using and analyzing the dataset; Complete production system information available online.

...

12 Data Use

No use or usability metadata/documentation is available to help users understand and use the data

Use or usability metadata/documentation is available from local systems (e.g., product website)

Standard-based use/usability metadata/documentation is available from enterprise systems

Enterprise systems include online use/usability support services (online help, hints, etc.)

Enterprise systems include advanced use/usability support service such as interactive visualizations of relationships (e.g., to papers, other products, researchers, etc.)

11 Metadata

R1.2, R1.3

Metadata not publicly available and/or not usable.

Limited Metadata publicly available; Conforming to community-standard; Basic characteristics of dataset.

Previous + Conforming to international standards in most aspects; limited quality and provenance metadata.

Fully compliant with international standards; Rich metadata content; Basic granular-level metadata; Support dataset provenance.

Previous + complete granular-level metadata; Metadata QC-ed and Regularly updated

R1.1 (meta)data is released with a clear and accessible data usage licence

1 Which of the following best describes the license/usage rights attached to the data?

- No licence
- Non standard text based licence
- Non standard machine readable licence (e.g. clearly indicating under what conditions the data may be used)
- Standard text based licence
- Standard machine-readable licence (e.g. Creative Commons)

2 Does the user license have any user restrictions for accessing the data?

- No
- Yes

2 Does the dataset have a user license?

- No
- Yes

3 Does the dataset have a usage licence?

- No
- Yes

4 Licensed - conditions for re-use are available and clearly expressed

- No
- License described in text
- Link to a standard license (e.g. Creative Commons)

5 Please provide the IRI for your usage license regarding the content returned from RESOURCE ID

7 The existence of a license document, for BOTH (independently) the data and its associated metadata, and the ability to retrieve those documents

9 Terms of usage (licenses, other conditions of reuse, data protection, ethical issues)

- No
- Somewhat
- Yes

R1.2 (meta)data is associated with detailed provenance

1 How much provenance information has been captured to facilitate data reuse

- No provenance information is recorded
- Partially recorded
- Fully recorded in a text format
- Fully recorded in a machine readable format

2 Is there extensive metadata and rich additional documentation available?

- No
- Yes

R1, I3

3 Did you give detailed provenance information for the data?

- No
- Yes

4 Trusted - accompanied by, or linked to, information about how the data has been used, by whom, and how many times

- No information about usage
- Usage statistics available
- Clearly endorsed by reputable organization or framework

4 Assessable - accompanied by, or linked to, a data-quality assessment and description of the origin and workflow that produced the data

- No quality or lineage information
- Text lineage statement
- Formal provenance trace (e.g. PROV-O)

5 Please provide the IRIs (maximum 3) for the vocabularies being used to describe the provenance of the content resolved from RESOURCE ID

5 Please provide the IRIs (maximum 3) for the vocabularies being used to describe the domain information of the content resolved from RESOURCE ID

...

7 That there is provenance information associated with the data,

9 Citation exists, including authorship, year, comprehensive title, persistent identifier (e.g. DOI)

F1

No

Somewhat

Yes

10 Are the provenance and type of all data properly specified (origin of raw, primary, transformed, secondary..)

Never /NA

If mandatory

Sometimes

Always

8 How will you be making sure there is good provenance of the data analysis?

We use lab notebooks

We use an electronic lab notebook

We use other arrangements

6 Transparency / traceability

Limited product information available / Person-to-person

Product information available in literature

Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) & source code online / Dataset configuration managed (CM) / Unique Object Identifier (OID) assigned (dataset, documentation)

Operational Algorithm Description (OAD) online, OID assigned, and under CM

System information online / Complete data provenance online

11 Metadata

R1, R1.3

Metadata not publicly available and/or not usable.

Limited Metadata publicly available; Conforming to community-standard; Basic characteristics of dataset.

Previous + Conforming to international standards in most aspects; limited quality and provenance metadata.

Fully compliant with international standards; Rich metadata content; Basic granular-level metadata; Support dataset provenance.

Previous + complete granular-level metadata; Metadata QC-ed and Regularly updated

R1.3 (meta)data meets domain-relevant community standards

3 Are the data stored and archived in preferred archival formats?

I1

No
Yes

3 Do you make use of relevant community standards?

No
Yes

4 Loadable - represented using a common or community-endorsed (i.e. standard) format

Bespoke format (text, binary)
One standard format, denoted by a MIME-type
Multiple standard formats

4 Usable - structured using a discoverable, community-endorsed (standard?) schema or data model

No formal schema
Explicit schema or data model, formalized in DDL, XSD, DDI, RDFS, JSON-Schema, data-package or similar
Community-shared schema or data model, available from a standard location

5 Please provide the IRI that represents the certification from a recognized authority in your community or domain, indicating that the content of RESOURCE ID

7 Certification, from a recognized body, of the resource meeting community standards.

10 Do the data reuse control and data sharing arrangements meet the data protection and "local/national ethics requirements?

Never /NA
If mandatory
Sometimes
Always

...

...

10 If relevant, has the researcher used valid and updated standards for data describing ? If so, are the data standards and particularly versioning data standards re

Never /NA
If mandatory
Sometimes
Always

9 Additional metadata adequate to respective research domain (if applicable)

No
Somewhat
Yes

6 Usability

Extensive product-specific knowledge required / No documentation online
Non-standard data format / Limited documentation (e.g., user's guide) online
Community standard-based interoperable format & metadata / Documentation (e.g., source code, product algorithm document, processing or/and data flow diagram) online
Basic capability (e.g., subsetting, aggregating) & data characterization (overall/global, e.g., climatology, error estimates) available online
Enhanced online capability (e.g., visualization, multiple data formats) / Community metrics of data characterization (regional/cell) online / External ranking

11 Metadata

R1, R1.2

Metadata not publicly available and/or not usable.
Limited Metadata publicly available; Conforming to community-standard; Basic characteristics of dataset.
Previous + Conforming to international standards in most aspects; limited quality and provenance metadata.
Fully compliant with international standards; Rich metadata content; Basic granular-level metadata; Support dataset provenance.
Previous + complete granular-level metadata; Metadata QC-ed and Regularly updated