1 EQUATIONS

- get rid of all 10s except for ρ . Is it consistent with matlab code?
- (Eq)s are my new notation for equations and (A)s are Nodhaus'. Newly added equations do not have (A)s.

Objective function

(Eq1) (A.1)
$$W = \sum_{t=1}^{T_{max}} U[c(t), L(t)]R(t)$$

(Eq2) (A.2)
$$R(t) = 1/[(1+\rho)^{(10\times(t-1))}]$$

(Eq3) (A.3)
$$U[c(t), L(t)] = L(t)[c(t)^{1-\alpha}/(1-\alpha)]$$

(Eq4) (A.8)
$$c(t) = C(t)/L(t)$$

Population function

$$\text{(Eq5) } L(t) = L(0) \times \left(1 - \frac{e^{L_g \times (t-1)} - 1}{e^{L_g (t-1)}}\right) + L(Tmax) \times \left(\frac{e^{L_g \times (t-1)} - 1}{e^{L_g (t-1)}}\right)$$

Production function

(Eq6) (A.4)
$$Q(t) = \Omega(t)[1 - \Lambda(t)]A(t)K(t)^{\gamma}L(t)^{1-\gamma}$$

(Eq7) (A.7)
$$Q(t) = C(t) + I(t)$$

(Eq8)
$$I(t) = s \times Q(t)$$

(Eq9) (A.9)
$$K(t) = I(t) + (1 - \delta_K)K(t - 1)$$

Total Factor Productivity

(Eq10)
$$A_g(t) = A_g(0) \times exp(-\Delta_a \times (t-1))$$

(Eq11)
$$A(t) = \frac{0.95 \times A(t-1)}{1-A_g(t-1)}$$
 for $t \ge 1$ given $A(0)$

Climate damage function

(Eq12) (A.5)
$$\Omega(t) = 1/[1 + \pi_2 T_{AT}(t)^{\epsilon}]$$

Abatement cost function

(Eq13) (A.6)
$$\Lambda(t) = \theta_1(t)\mu(t)^{\theta_2}$$

(Eq14)
$$\theta_1(t) = \left[\frac{BC(0) \times \sigma(t)}{\theta_2}\right] \times \left[\frac{BC(0)}{BC(Tmax)} - 1 + \frac{exp(-BC_g(0) \times (t-1))}{BC(0)/BC(Tmax)}\right]$$

Emission function

(Eq15) (A.12)
$$E(t) = E_{Ind}(t) + E_{Land}(t)$$

(Eq16) (A.11)
$$E_{Ind}(t) = \sigma(t)[1 - \mu(t)]A(t)K(t)^{\gamma}L(t)^{1-\gamma}$$

(Eq17) $\sigma_g(t) = \sigma_g(0) \times exp(-\sigma_{d1} \times (t-1))$ *** why not add minus sign in front of $\sigma_g(0)$ and let $\sigma_g(0) > 0$ so that $\sigma_g(t) = -\sigma_g(0) \times exp(-\sigma_{d1} \times (t-1))$?

(Eq18)
$$\sigma(t) = \frac{\sigma(t-1)}{1-\sigma_g(t)}$$
 for $t \ge 1$ given $\sigma(0)$

(Eq19) (A.12)
$$CCum \ge \sum_{t=0}^{Tmax} E_{Ind}(t)$$

(Eq20)
$$E_{Land}(t) = E_{Land}(0) \times (1 - 0.1)^{t-1}$$

Social Cost of Carbon / Carbon tax / Carbon price

(Eq21)
$$P(t) = \theta_1(t)\mu(t)^{\theta_2-1}$$

CARBON CYCLE AND CLIMATE MODEL EQUATIONS ... TO BE ADDED LATER...

2 VARIABLES

t: time in decades from 2001-2010, 2011-2020, ..., 2590-2600. The last time period is 60 and this period 60 is denoted Tmax.

- C(t) total consumption
- c(t) per capita consumption
- L(t) population in millions
- I(t) investment
- K(t) capital
- R(t) social time preference discount factor
- $\rho(t)$ social time preference rate per year
- A(t) total factor productivity
- $A_q(t)$ growth rate of total factor productivity per decade
- E(t) total carbon emissions (billions of metric tons of carbon per period)

 $E_{Land}(t)$ carbon emissions from land use/ deforestation (billions of metric tons of carbon per period)

 $E_{Ind}(t)$ industrial carbon emissions (billions of metric tons of carbon per period

 $T_{AT}(t)$ global mean surface temperature (°C increase from 1900)

 $\sigma(t)$ ratio of uncontrolled industrial emissions to output (metric tons of carbon per output in 2005 prices)

 $\sigma_a(t)$ rate of decline of carbon intensity per decade

- $\Omega(t)$ damage function; $1 \Omega(t)$ is the percentage of output that vanish due to higher mean surface temperature
- $\Lambda(t)$ abatement cost function; the percentage of output that vanish in order to keep the emission level under policy

2.1 PARAMETERS THAT USERS CHOOSE

```
determine the appropriate range for each parameter
\alpha: elasticity of marginal utility of consumption
\rho: social time preference rate "per year". We will average it over 10 years according to (Eq2) \in [0, 1]
L(Tmax): asymptotic population in millions in the last period
\Delta_a: decline rate of technological change per decade > 0
*** change the matlab code: it assumes \Delta_a is annual decline rate and multiplies 10. Maybe that's
why we had decreasing TFP for large \Delta_a?
\delta_K: depreciation rate of technological change per decade
\sigma_{d1}: decline rate of decarbonization per decade
\epsilon: damage exponent in climate damage function
\pi_2: coefficient on the damage exponent term, T_{AT}(t)^{\epsilon} in climate damage function
BC_q(0): inital cost decline in backstop technology % per decade =0.05
\theta_2: exponent of emission reduction rate in abatement cost function >1
CCum: maximum cumulative extraction fossil fuels?????
Or maximum consumption of fossil fuels (billions of metric tons of cabon) in Nordhaus' dice-2007
appendix. should be equivalent?
s: savings rate \in [0, 1]
carbon policy variables (ecaps)—> How does it affect \mu(t)?
param.e2005cap is the emissions cap as a percentage of year 2005 emissions
emissions cap by 2050 \in [0, 1]
emissions cap by 2100 \in [0,1]
emissions cap by 2150 \in [0,1]
```

2.2 PARAMETERS FROM DATA ARE..

```
delete?: number of scenarios to run?=1  \frac{1}{1000} = \frac{1}{1000}  delete?: \mu(t): emission reduction rate, It represents the fractional reduction of emissions relative to uncontrolled emissions. fraction of controlled emission in 2005 set to =0 for now.  L(0): 2005  world populations in millions = 6514
```

 L_q : growth rate of population per decade =0.35 ??? TOO HIGH?

A(0): inital level of total factor productivity =0.02722

 $A_q(0)$: initial growth rate of TFP per decade =0.092

 γ : capital elasticity of output in production function =0.300

Q(0): 2005 world gross output in 2005 US dollars in trillions=61.1

K(0): 2005 capital value in 2005 US dollars in trillions=137

 $\sigma(0)$: 2005 effective carbon intensity / CO_2 -equivalent emissions and GNP ratio in 2005 =0.13418

 $\sigma_q(0)$: initial growth of carbon intensity per decade =-0.0730 <0

initial rate of decline of carbon intensity per decade = 0.0730 > 0

 $E_{Land}(0)$: carbon emissions from deforestation 2005 (GtC per decade) =11

E(0): total emissions in year 2005 =84.1910

 π_1 : damage intercept =0.0000

BC(0): Backstop tech Cost /cost of backstop technology in 2005 = 1.17

BC(0)/BC(Tmax): ratio of initial to final backstop cost =2

What about letting users choose BC(Tmax) (or equivalently the ratio BC(0)/BC(Tmax)) depending on their extent of optimism on future tech growth?