Poisson's Equation

Computer Lab 2

Poisson's Equation

Consider Poisson's equation: Solve u(x) from

$$-\nabla \cdot (\kappa(\boldsymbol{x})\nabla u(\boldsymbol{x})) = f(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega, \tag{1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \kappa(\boldsymbol{x}) \nabla u(\boldsymbol{x}) = \gamma(g(\boldsymbol{x}) - u(\boldsymbol{x})), \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in \partial\Omega,$$
 (2)

where $f(\mathbf{x})$ and $g(\mathbf{x})$ are given functions from $L_2(\Omega)$, $\kappa(\mathbf{x}) \in L_2(\Omega)$ is a positive function and γ is a positive parameter, and Ω is a polygonal domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$ and outward pointing unit normal \mathbf{n} . The boundary condition (2) is a so called Robin boundary condition, which is a combination of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions.

Finite Element Approximation

The weak form of (1) reads: Find $u \in H^1$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma u v \, ds = \int_{\Omega} f v \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma g v \, ds, \tag{3}$$

for all $v \in H^1$, where H^1 is the Hilbert space $H^1 = \{v : ||v|| + ||\nabla v|| < \infty\}$.

Let $V_h \subset H^1$ be the space of all continuous piecewise linear functions on a partition $\mathcal{K} = \{K\}$ of Ω into triangles with diameter (longest edge) h_K .

The finite element approximation of (3) takes the form: Find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla v \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma u_h v \, ds = \int_{\Omega} f v \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma g v \, ds, \qquad (4)$$

for all $v \in V_h$.

Problem 1. Derive the weak form (3).

How to obtain the finite element solution. Recall that the set of hat functions $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$, where N is the number of nodes within the triangulation \mathcal{K} , is a basis for V_h .

The finite element approximation (4) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla \varphi_i \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma u_h \varphi_i \, ds = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi_i \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma g \varphi_i \, ds, \quad (5)$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., N. This is due to the fact that if (5) holds for each basis function φ_i independently, then it also holds for any linear combination of them, and thus for any $v \in V_h$.

Since $u_h \in V_h$ it can be written as the sum

$$u_h = \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j \varphi_j, \tag{6}$$

for come coefficients x_j to be determined.

Inserting (6) into (5) we end up with a $(N \times N)$ system of linear equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j} \left(\int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla \varphi_{j} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i} \, d\boldsymbol{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma \varphi_{j} \varphi_{i} \, ds \right)$$
 (7)

$$= \int_{\Omega} f \varphi_i \, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma g \varphi_i \, ds, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$
 (8)

In matrix form we write this as

$$(A+R)x = b+r (9)$$

where the entries of the left and right hand side matrices and vectors are given by

$$A_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} \kappa \nabla \varphi_i \cdot \nabla \varphi_j \, \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}, \tag{10}$$

$$R_{ij} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma \varphi_i \varphi_j \, \mathrm{d}s, \tag{11}$$

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)^T,$$
 (12)

$$b_j = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi_j \, \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x},\tag{13}$$

$$r_j = \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma g \varphi_j \, \mathrm{d}s. \tag{14}$$

All index runs over $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Computer Implementation

Consider a single triangle K with nodes at its three corners $N_1 = (x_1, y_1)$, $N_2 = (x_2, y_2)$, and $N_3 = (x_3, y_3)$. To each node N_i , i = 1, 2, 3, there is a hat function φ_i associated, which takes on the value one at node N_i and zero at the other nodes. Each hat function is a linear function (i.e., a plane) on K. Hence

$$\varphi_i = a_i + b_i x + c_i y, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$
 (15)

where the coefficients a_i , b_i , and c_i , are determined from the requirement

$$\varphi_i(N_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$
 (16)

Thus, for example, a_1 , b_1 and c_1 are given by the equations $\varphi_1(x_1, y_1) = 1$, $\varphi_1(x_2, y_2) = 0$, and $\varphi_1(x_3, y_3) = 0$. In matrix form need to solve the following system to compute the coefficients of φ_1

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & y_1 \\ 1 & x_2 & y_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & y_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \\ c_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

Note that the gradient of φ_i is just the constant vector $\nabla \varphi_i = (b_i, c_i)$.

As usual the global stiffness matrix A is formed by summing local stiffness matrices A^K , which are found by performing the integration (10) successively over each triangle K.

We get the (3×3) local stiffness matrix

$$A_{ij}^{K} = \int_{K} \kappa \nabla \varphi_{i} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{j} \, d\boldsymbol{x} = (b_{i}b_{j} + c_{i}c_{j}) \int_{K} \kappa \, d\boldsymbol{x}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$
 (18)

The $3 \cdot 3 = 9$ entries of A^K are to be assembled (at the right locations) into A. In Matlab this can be done very elegantly using vectorized operations as shown below.

Problem 2. Compute A^K on the reference triangle with corners at origo, (1,0), and (0,1). Verify that one of the eigenvalues of A^K is zero. Can you explain why?

Similar to the stiffness matrix A, the load vector b is formed by summing local load vectors b^K , which are found by restricting the integration (13) to one triangle K at a time. Using one point quadrature we get the approximation

$$b_i^K = \int_K f\varphi_i \approx f(x_c, y_c) \operatorname{area}(K)/3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$
(19)

where (x_c, y_c) is the centroid of K.

Finally, if two nodes of triangle K lies along the domain boundary $\partial\Omega$, then the edge between them will contribute to the line integrals (11) and (14) associated with the boundary conditions. The boundary mass matrix R is assembled by looping over all the triangle edges lying along the domain

boundary $\partial\Omega$. These are the columns of the mesh data matrix **e**, c.f. the matlab command **initmesh**.

If the edge E lies between the boundary nodes N_1 and N_2 , then we have the local boundary mass matrix

$$R_{ij}^{E} = \int_{E} \gamma \varphi_{i} \varphi_{j} \, ds = \frac{\gamma}{6} (1 + \delta_{ij}) \operatorname{length}(E), \quad i, j = 1, 2,$$
 (20)

and the local boundary vector

$$r_i^E = \int_E \gamma g \varphi_i \, ds \approx \frac{\gamma}{2} g(N_i) \operatorname{length}(E), \quad i = 1, 2.$$
 (21)

Note that these are the same as the one-dimensional local mass matrix and load vector.

assemble.m The following code is a template routine for performing the assembly of the system of equations (9). Besides completing the code the user must supply the routines, f, gamma, and g, defining the source term f, the boundary penalty parameter γ , and the boundary data g. For example if $f = \sin x \sin y$, then f would look like

```
function z=f(x,y)
z=sin(x).*sin(y)

function [A,R,b,r] = assemble(p,e,t)
N = size(p,2);
A = sparse(N,N);
R = sparse(N,N);
b = zeros(N,1);
r = zeros(N,1);
% assemble stiffness matrix A, and load vector b.
for K = 1:size(t,2);
  nodes = t(1:3,K);
  x = p(1,nodes);
  y = p(2,nodes);
```

```
area_K = polyarea(x,y);
AK = ???
bK = ???
A(nodes,nodes) = A(nodes,nodes) + AK;
b(nodes) = b(nodes) + bK;
end
% assemble boundary mass matrix R, and the vector r.
for E = 1:size(e,2)
  nodes = e(1:2,E);
  x = p(1,nodes);
  y = p(2,nodes);
  length_E = sqrt((x(1)-x(2))^2+(y(1)-y(2))^2);
  R(nodes,nodes) = R(nodes,nodes) + gamma*length_E*[2 1;1 2]/6;
  r(nodes) = r(nodes) + gamma*length_E*g(x,y)'/2;
end
```

Problem 3.

- a) Implement the finite element solver MyPoissonSolver described above. Test your code by solving a problem with known solution (e.g., $-\Delta u = 2\pi^2 \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)$ in the unit square and with u = 0 on the boundary. The exact solution is $u = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)$.
- b) Compute the energy norm $\|\nabla u_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = x^T A x$ for the problem in a), and compare with theory. Make a convergence plot of the energy norm error (i.e., $\|\nabla(u-u_h)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$) versus the mesh size h. Plot the result using a log-log diagram. Use polyfit to compute the slope of the graph. It should be roughly 2. Why?

Problem 4. Solve $-\Delta u = 1$ on the unit square $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ with the boundary conditions $u = \cos(2\pi y)$ on the line x = 0, and $n \cdot \nabla u = 0$ on the rest of the boundary.