Open science efforts for PET imaging - From standards to processing

Melanie Ganz-Benjaminsen, Assoc. Prof.

Neurobiology Research Unit, Rigshospitalet & Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen









Quick outline of my data showcase

• PET BIDS format

- how to convert a positron emission tomography dataset into PET BIDS format using the <u>PET2BIDS</u> converter
 - A much more extended version of the conversion part of this this tutorial can be found in the <u>BIDS Starter Kit</u>.

- how to run a single PET analysis with a processing pipeline developed based on PETSurfer
 - A more extensive description of the tutorial is given in the <u>PET pipelines</u> repository.

Standard nomenclature

Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism (2007) 27, 1533-1539 © 2007 ISCBFM All rights reserved 0271-678X/07 \$30.00

www.jcbfm.com

 PET is much more than clinical scans with [¹⁸F]FDG

 PET can visualize and quantitatively measure the function of biological and cellular processes in vivo

Review Article

Consensus nomenclature for in vivo imaging of reversibly binding radioligands

Robert B Innis¹, Vincent J Cunningham², Jacques Delforge³, Masahiro Fujita¹, Albert Gjedde⁴, Roger N Gunn⁵, James Holden⁶, Sylvain Houle⁷, Sung-Cheng Huang⁸, Masanori Ichise⁹, Hidehiro Iida¹⁰, Hiroshi Ito¹¹, Yuichi Kimura¹², Robert A Koeppe¹³, Gitte M Knudsen¹⁴, Juhani Knuuti¹⁵, Adriaan A Lammertsma¹⁶, Marc Laruelle², Jean Logan¹⁷, Ralph Paul Maguire¹⁸, Mark A Mintun¹⁹, Evan D Morris²⁰, Ramin Parsey⁹, Julie C Price²¹, Mark Slifstein⁹, Vesna Sossi²², Tetsuya Suhara¹¹, John R Votaw²³, Dean F Wong²⁴ and Richard E Carson²⁵

¹National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA; ²GlaxoSmithKline and Imperial College, London, UK; ³CEA/DSV/SHF, Orsay, France; ⁴University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark; ⁵GlaxoSmithKline and University of Oxford, London, UK; ⁵University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA; ⁷Centre for Addiction and Mental Health & University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ³UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California, USA; ⁹Columbia University, New York, New York, USA; ¹⁰National Cardiovascular Center Research Institute, Suita City, Osaka, Japan; ¹¹National Institute of Radiological Sciences, Chiba, Japan; ¹²Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology, Tokyo, Japan; ¹³University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA; ¹⁴Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark; ¹⁵Turku PET Centre, Turku, Finland; ¹⁶VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ¹⁷Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York, USA; ¹⁸Pfizer Global R&D, Groton, Connecticut, USA; ¹⁹Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri, USA; ²⁰Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA; ²¹University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA; ²²University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; ²³Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; ²⁴Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; ²⁵Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA



Consensus on publishing PET experiments

 Replication in science can be improved with standards for reporting and sharing of primary research data Opinion

Guidelines for the content and format of PET brain data in publications and archives: A consensus paper

Gitte M Knudsen¹, Melanie Ganz¹, Stefan Appelhoff², Ronald Boellaard³, Guy Bormans⁴, Richard E Carson⁵, Ciprian Catana⁶, Doris Doudet⁷, Antony D Gee⁸, Douglas N Greve⁶, Roger N Gunn⁹, Christer Halldin¹⁰, Peter Herscovitch¹¹, Henry Huang⁵, Sune H Keller¹², Adriaan A Lammertsma³, Rupert Lanzenberger¹³, Jeih-San Liow¹⁴, Talakad G Lohith¹⁵, Mark Lubberink¹⁶, Chul H Lyoo¹⁷, J John Mann¹⁸, Granville J Matheson¹⁰, Thomas E Nichols¹⁹, Martin Nørgaard¹, Todd Ogden²⁰, Ramin Parsey²¹, Victor W Pike¹⁴, Julie Price⁶, Gaia Rizzo⁹, Pedro Rosa-Neto^{22,23}, Martin Schain²⁰, Peter JH Scott²⁴, Graham Searle⁹, Mark Slifstein²¹, Tetsuya Suhara²⁵, Peter S Talbot²⁶, Adam Thomas²⁷, Mattia Veronese²⁸, Dean F Wong²⁹, Maqsood Yaqub³, Francesca Zanderigo³⁰, Sami Zoghbi¹⁴ and Robert B Innis¹⁴

JCBFM

Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism 2020, Vol. 40(8) 1576–1585 © The Author(s) 2020



Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0271678X20905433 journals.sagepub.com/home/jcbfm







Brain Imaging Data Structure (BIDS)

• It's a data structure; nothing to do with format per se


- It's about:
 - how you organize data
 - how you name files
 - how you document metadata
 - uses community standards



bids.neuroimaging.io







PET-BIDS standard

scientific data

Explore content Y About the journal Y Publish with us Y

nature > scientific data > comment > article

Comment | Open Access | Published: 02 March 2022

PET-BIDS, an extension to the brain imaging data structure for positron emission tomography

Martin Norgaard, Granville J. Matheson, ... Melanie Ganz → Show authors

Scientific Data 9, Article number: 65 (2022) | Cite this article

1281 Accesses | 2 Citations | 18 Altmetric | Metrics



Data conversion

PET2BIDS


• Different imaging formats on PET scanners (e.g. DICOM, ECAT)

Phantom data set collection


Let's do this!


PET data processing

Motivation: Building a High Resolution Serotonin (5-HT) PET atlas




PetSurfer







Greve et al., Neuroimage 2014

PetSurfer Preprocessing I



Kinetic Modeling (MRTM1 & 2)







Thank you!





























Health Sciences & Technology







Rigshospitalet

