

# The Supplementary Materials

## I. PROOF OF THE CALCULATION OF $\Theta$

*Proof.* We first list the following axioms that are assumed to be true.

- $\pi \models \neg\mu \implies \pi \not\models \mu$
- if  $\Phi = x \wedge y, \pi \models \neg x \implies \pi \not\models \Phi, \pi \models \neg y \implies \pi \not\models \Phi$
- if  $\Phi = x \vee y, \pi \models (\neg x \wedge \neg y) \implies \pi \not\models \Phi$
- $N(\neg\theta_1) = \Theta(\theta_1), \Theta(\neg\theta_1) = N(\theta_1)$

If  $\Phi$  is  $\mu$ , we have  $\pi \models \neg\mu \implies \pi \not\models \mu$ , so  $\Theta(\mu) = \{\neg\mu\}$  is reasonable.

If  $\Phi$  is  $x \wedge y$ , we have  $\pi \models \neg x \implies \pi \not\models \Phi, \pi \models \neg y \implies \pi \not\models \Phi$ , so  $\Theta(x \wedge y) = \Theta(x) \cup \Theta(y)$  is reasonable.

If  $\Phi$  is  $x \vee y$ , we have  $\pi \models (\neg x \wedge \neg y) \implies \pi \not\models \Phi$ , so  $\Theta(x \vee y) = \{x \wedge y \mid x \in \Theta(a) \wedge y \in \Theta(b)\}$  is reasonable.

If  $\Phi$  is  $\bigcirc x$ , we have  $(\pi, t) \models \bigcirc\Phi \iff (\pi, t+1) \models \Phi$ . Given  $\pi \models \neg\mu \implies \pi \not\models \mu$ , we can get  $(\pi, t) \models \bigcirc\neg\mu \iff (\pi, t+1) \not\models \mu$ . Hence,  $\Theta(\bigcirc x) = \{\bigcirc x' \mid x' \in \Theta(x)\}$  is reasonable.

If  $\Phi$  is  $x \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} y$ , in our definition, there are two parts of  $\Theta(x \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} y)$ . The first part is set  $\Theta_1: \{x' \wedge y' \mid x' \in \Theta(x) \wedge y' \in \Theta(y)\}$  which implies these equations are satisfied:  $\pi \models x' \implies \pi \not\models x, \pi \models y' \implies \pi \not\models y$ . Given the definition of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}}$ :  $(\pi, t) \models x \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} y \iff \exists t' \in t+\mathcal{I}$  such that  $(\pi, t') \models y \wedge \forall t'' \in [t, t'], (x, t'') \models x$ , we can easily get:

$$\forall \xi \in \Theta_1. \pi \models \xi \implies \pi \not\models x \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} y$$

The second part is set  $\Theta_2: \{x' \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} y' \mid x' \in \Theta(\neg x \vee y) \wedge y' \in \Theta(x \vee y)\}$ . In order to obtain a contradiction, assume that there is an element  $\xi$  of set  $\Theta_2$  that satisfies  $\pi \models \xi \implies \pi \models \Phi$ . Then, for  $\pi \models \Phi$ , we get  $\exists t' \in t+\mathcal{I}$  such that  $(\pi, t') \models y \wedge \forall t'' \in [t, t'], (x, t'') \models x$ , which means  $(x \wedge \neg y)$  is satisfied until  $y$  is satisfied. For  $\pi \models \xi$ , we get  $\exists t' \in t+\mathcal{I}$  such that  $(\pi, t') \models (\neg x \wedge \neg y) \wedge \forall t'' \in [t, t'], (x, t'') \models (x \wedge \neg y)$ , which means  $(x \wedge \neg y)$  is satisfied until  $(\neg x \wedge \neg y)$  is satisfied. Hence, at time step  $t$ , if  $x$  is satisfied in  $[t, t']$  and violated at time step  $t''$  after  $t'$ , we should get that  $y$  is satisfied before  $t''$  and  $y$  is violated before  $t''$  at the same time. This is a contradiction, and so the assumption that there is an element  $\xi$  of set  $\Theta_2$  satisfies  $\pi \models \xi \implies \pi \models \Phi$  must be false. We can get:

$$\forall \xi \in \Theta_2. \pi \models \xi \implies \pi \not\models x \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} y$$

Hence,  $\Theta(x \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} y) = \{x' \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} y' \mid x' \in \Theta(\neg x \vee y) \wedge y' \in \Theta(x \vee y)\} \cup \{x' \wedge y' \mid x' \in \Theta(x) \wedge y' \in \Theta(y)\}$  is reasonable.

Since the temporal operators  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $\bigcirc$  are functionally complete, we omit the proof of the remaining temporal operators.  $\square$