

Anna Jasiak

Constitutional Constraints on Ad Hoc Legislation

A Comparative Study of the United States, Germany and
the Netherlands

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	vii
Abbreviations	xvii
Table of Cases	xxi
 PART I. INTRODUCTION.	 1
1. The motivation for this study	3
2. Defining ad hoc legislation	5
3. Research question	5
4. Scientific relevance	6
5. Approach	7
5.1. Selection of countries	8
5.2. Method	9
5.3. Sources	10
6. The structure of the book	11
 PART II. THE UNITED STATES	 13
 Chapter 1. Ad hoc legislation: Cases	 15
Introduction	15
1.1. The Elizabeth Morgan case	15
1.1.1. Background	15
1.1.2. Congress' twofold intervention: The Elizabeth Morgan Acts I and II ...	16
1.1.2.1. Objections, constitutional, and otherwise to the Elizabeth Morgan legislation	17
1.1.3. Concluding remarks	20
1.2. The Terri Schiavo case	21
1.2.1. Background	21
1.2.2. The Florida legislature's intervention in the Schiavo case: Terri's Law ...	21
1.2.2.1. Constitutional challenges to Terri's Law	22
1.2.2.2. The Florida Supreme Court's judgment	24

1.2.3. Congress' intervention in the Schiavo case: An Act for the relief of the parents of Theresa Marie Schiavo.....	24
1.2.3.1. Constitutional objections to the Act.....	25
1.2.4. Concluding remarks	28
1.3. The Northwest Timber Compromise	28
1.3.1. Background.....	28
1.3.2. Congress' intervention: The Northwest Timber Compromise.....	29
1.3.2.1. Objections, constitutional, and otherwise to the Northwest Timber Compromise	30
1.3.3. Concluding remarks	33
1.4. Conclusions	33
Chapter 2. The requirement of generality	37
Introduction	37
2.1. <i>Hurtado v. People of State of California</i>	37
2.2. State level: Special and local laws and public purpose	39
2.2.1. Special and local laws	39
2.2.2. Public purpose	40
2.3. Federal level: Special laws and public purpose	42
2.3.1. Special laws.....	42
2.3.1.1. Private bills	42
2.3.1.2. Project laws	49
2.3.2. Public purpose	50
2.3.2.1. Pork barrel legislation and earmarks	52
2.3.2.2. Special interest legislation.....	54
2.4. Conclusion.....	57
Chapter 3. Ad hoc legislation and the U.S. constitutional principle of the separation of powers	59
Introduction	59
3.1. The Bill of Attainder Clause	59
3.1.1. Singling-out effect	60
3.1.2. Punishment.....	62
3.2. The Ex Post Facto Clause.....	64
3.2.1. Retroactivity and punishment.....	65
3.2.2. Bill of Attainder Clause or the Ex Post Facto Clause?.....	66
3.3. Congress' intervention in pending cases vs. the judicial branch	66
3.4. Finality of final judicial decisions	69
3.4.1. Reopening final judgments	69
3.4.2. Overruling specific final judgments.....	73
3.5. Congress' intervention in pending cases v. the executive branch.....	75
3.6. Conclusion.....	76

Chapter 4. Equal protection	77
Introduction	77
4.1. Classification	77
4.2. Strict scrutiny and intermediate tests	78
4.3. Rational basis test	78
4.4. Conclusion	82
Chapter 5. The Due Process Clause	83
Introduction	83
5.1. Arbitrariness	83
5.2. Procedural and substantive due process: Threshold question.	84
5.3. Procedural due process	84
5.4. Substantive due process	85
5.5. Equal protection and due process	85
5.6. Retroactive legislation and due process	86
5.7. Conclusion	87
Chapter 6. Ad hoc legislation and deliberative democracy	89
Introduction	89
6.1. Appropriations bills and legislative riders	89
6.2. Objections	90
6.2.1. Procedural aspects	91
6.2.2. Substantive result	91
6.3. Appropriations riders and the Supreme Court	92
6.4. Conclusion	93
In Sum	95
PART III. GERMANY	97
Chapter 1. Ad hoc legislation: Cases	99
Introduction	99
1.1. The Schörner case	99
1.1.1. Background	99
1.1.2. The Lex Schörner	100
1.1.2.1. Objections, constitutional, and otherwise to the Lex Schörner.	101
1.1.2.2. The <i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i> 's judgment	104
1.1.3. Concluding remarks	105
1.2. Südumfahrung Stendal	106
1.2.1. Background	106
1.2.2. Accelerating legislation	106
1.2.3. The Südumfahrung Stendal Act	107
1.2.3.1. Constitutional challenges	108

1.2.3.2. The <i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i> 's judgment	110
1.2.4. Concluding remarks	112
1.3. Conclusion	112
Chapter 2. The requirement of generality	115
Introduction	115
2.1. Two concepts of laws: Laws in the formal and laws in the material sense.	115
2.2. Special laws: Individual laws and project laws.	119
2.2.1. Art. 19 (1) GG	119
2.2.1.1. Ratio	119
2.2.1.2. A catalog of fundamental rights in Art. 19 (1) GG	121
2.2.1.3. Individual laws (<i>Einzelfallgesetze</i>)	122
2.2.1.4. Individual legislation (<i>Einzelfallgesetzgebung</i>) vs. case-generated legislation (<i>Anlassgesetzgebung</i>)	125
2.2.2. Project laws or special measure laws (<i>Maßnahmegesetze</i>)	126
2.3. The general interest requirement	127
2.4. Conclusion	132
Chapter 3. Equal treatment	135
Introduction	135
3.1. The arbitrariness test (<i>Willkürverbot</i>)	136
3.2. The justification test (<i>die neue Formel</i>)	136
3.3. The relationship between the two tests	137
3.4. The consistency of the legal system and the equality principle.	138
3.5. Legal protection	140
3.6. Conclusion	141
Chapter 4. Legal certainty (<i>Rechtssicherheit</i>) and the protection of legitimate expectations (<i>Vertrauensschutz</i>)	143
Introduction	143
4.1. Retroactive legislation	143
4.1.1. Real retroactivity (<i>echte Rückwirkung</i>)	144
4.1.1.1. Grounds for justification.	144
4.1.2. Pseudo-retroactivity (<i>unechte Rückwirkung</i>)	150
4.1.3. Retroactive legislation and final judicial decisions.	151
4.2. Impulsive legislative changes and legal certainty	153
4.3. Conclusion	154
Chapter 5. Proportionality	155
Introduction	155
5.1. Suitability (<i>Geeignetheit</i>)	156
5.2. Necessity (<i>Erforderlichkeit</i>)	156
5.3. Proportionality <i>sensu stricto</i> (<i>Angemessenheit</i>)	157
5.4. Conclusion	158

Chapter 6. Ad hoc legislation and the German constitutional principle of the separation of powers	159
Introduction	159
6.1 Ad hoc laws and the separation of powers between the legislature and the executive	159
6.2 Legislative intervention in pending cases and the separation of powers between the legislature and the judiciary	160
6.3. Conclusion	163
In Sum	165
 PART IV. THE NETHERLANDS	167
 Chapter 1. Ad hoc legislation: Cases	169
Introduction	169
1.1. The Western Scheldt (River) case	169
1.1.1. Background	169
1.1.2. The decision of the Dutch Council of State	170
1.1.3. The legislative response: The Licensing of Dredging Act	170
1.1.3.1. Objections, constitutional, and otherwise to the Act	171
1.1.4. Concluding remarks	175
1.2. Modification of the Media Act – the BNN Act	176
1.2.1. Background	176
1.2.2. The BNN Act	177
1.2.2.1. Objections to the Act	178
1.2.3. Concluding remarks	180
1.3. Volkert van der G. and permanent camera surveillance	180
1.3.1. Background	180
1.3.2. Objections, constitutional, and otherwise to the ministerial regulation	182
1.3.3. Concluding remarks	185
1.4. Conclusion	185
 Chapter 2. The requirement of generality	189
Introduction	189
2.1. Two concepts of laws: Laws in the formal and laws in the material sense.	189
2.2. Special laws: Individual laws and project laws	193
2.2.1. Individual laws and the Dutch Constitution	193
2.2.2. Project laws	193
2.3. General interest requirement	197
2.4. Conclusion	200

Chapter 3. Equal treatment	201
Introduction	201
3.1. The principle of equal treatment in Dutch law	201
3.2. Unequal treatment vs. discrimination	203
3.3. Equal treatment and beneficial legislation	205
3.4. Conclusion	206
Chapter 4. Legal certainty	207
Introduction	207
4.1. Prospective amendments	207
4.2. Retroactive legislation	210
4.2.1. Retroactive legislation and the ECHR	211
4.3. Conclusion	212
Chapter 5. Ad hoc legislation, the Dutch constitutional principle of the separation of powers, and the right to a fair trial	215
Introduction	215
5.1. Ad hoc laws and the separation of powers between the legislature and the executive	215
5.2. Legislative intervention in pending court proceedings: The ECHR	216
5.2.1. The <i>Stran Greek Refineries</i> case	217
5.2.2. The <i>Building Societies</i> case	218
5.2.3. The <i>Zielinski</i> case	219
5.2.4. The ECtHR's guidelines	220
5.3. Overruling of judicial decisions by the legislature	225
5.4. The right to a court	228
5.5. Conclusion	229
In Sum	231
PART V. COMPARISON AND ANALYSIS	233
Introduction	235
Chapter 1. Constitutional limitations in general	237
Introduction	237
1.1. Generality of laws	237
1.1.1. ... in the philosophy of law	238
1.1.2. ... in constitutional law	241
1.1.2.1. An absolute requirement of the generality of laws	241
1.1.2.2. Generality of laws: General scope of application	243
1.1.2.3. Generality of laws: Legislative motives	244
1.1.2.4. Generality of laws: The general, public interest	247
1.1.2.5. Concluding remarks	249

1.2. Equality	249
1.2.1. Low scrutiny vs. strict scrutiny	251
1.2.2. Consistency and stability of legal rules	251
1.2.3. Concluding remarks	253
1.3. Legal certainty	253
1.3.1. Individuals' expectations	253
1.3.2. Legal certainty in the United States, Germany, and the Netherlands... ..	255
1.4. Proportionality	256
1.4.1. Suitability of means	258
1.4.2. Fair balance	259
1.5. Transparency in lawmaking	260
1.5.1. Transparency of legislative choices	260
1.5.2. Transparency as a limitation on legislative riders	262
Chapter 2. Constitutional limitations and typology of ad hoc legislation	265
Introduction	265
2.1. Curative legislation: Legal certainty and the presumption against retroactivity	265
2.2. Interfering legislation: Separation of powers and fair trial	267
2.2.1. Rule of law: Separation of powers vs. fundamental right to a fair trial.. ..	268
2.2.2. The purpose of the separation of powers principle	269
2.2.3. Factual vs. fictitious approach	269
2.2.4. The importance of the generality of laws	270
2.2.5. Concluding remarks	271
2.3. Overruling legislation: Separation of powers, fair trial, and retroactivity	271
2.3.1. Reopening a case	272
2.3.2. Retroactive review	274
2.3.3. Rendering the judgment impracticable	275
2.3.4. Concluding remarks	276
2.4. Accelerating legislation: Access to a court, separation of powers	277
2.4.1. Separation of powers	278
2.4.2. Legal protection: Access to a court	280
2.4.3. Concluding remarks	282
CONCLUSIONS	285
Bibliography	293
Index	311
About the author	321