



WARGAMING.NET

LET'S BATTLE

Orca – MySQL connector for Erlang/OTP ...the proper one ;)

Roman Gafiyatullin

I need to use MySQL in my Erlang project. Options?

- ▶ ODBC
- ▶ EmySQL (Eonblast, processone... forks, forks, forks)

How do I manage connections?

- ▶ Use a single connection interface if you use ODBC.
- ▶ Use a built-in connection pool if you use EmySQL.

One of the components of my quite a complex system requires the connection to have the same lifetime as that component.

- ▶ Create an ODBC connection, it will get closed right after the owner process dies. (I presume, that would be natural... will it?)
- ▶ If you want to use EmySQL - spawn a watchdog right before you create a named [me: globally named :&] connection pool, and right after the latter is created - pass the reference to your pool to the watchdog. Let the watchdog to observe your lifetime with a standard monitor facilities and make it destroy the pool upon your termination.

Hey ODBC leads to native calls!

- ▶ so what? It has been implemented by the magic elves! It does not crash. Ever. ...it has never crashed in any of my projects at least...



I insist, my project should not have ODBC. I don't like it.

▶ Option #2?

It's a crappy solution. It's hell complex!

- ▶ Oh no, it's not!



It's a crappy solution. It's hell complex!

- ▶ Oh no, it's not!
- ▶ Emysql provides some MySQL protocol primitives

It's a crappy solution. It's hell complex!

- ▶ Oh no, it's not!
- ▶ Emysql provides some MySQL protocol primitives
- ▶ Use `emysql_conn:open_connection/1` to initiate a connection



It's a crappy solution. It's hell complex!

- ▶ Oh no, it's not!
- ▶ Emysql provides some MySQL protocol primitives
- ▶ Use `emysql_conn:open_connection/1` to initiate a connection
- ▶ Use a set of neat macros (e.g. `?COM_QUERY`) to compose a binary packet



It's a crappy solution. It's hell complex!

- ▶ Oh no, it's not!
- ▶ Emysql provides some MySQL protocol primitives
- ▶ Use `emysql_conn:open_connection/1` to initiate a connection
- ▶ Use a set of neat macros (e.g. `?COM_QUERY`) to compose a binary packet
- ▶ Use `emysql_tcp:send_packet/3` to transmit a packet (do not forget to specify 0 as `seq_num` in most cases, 1 in some, and 2 in few)

It's a crappy solution. It's hell complex!

- ▶ Oh no, it's not!
- ▶ Emysql provides some MySQL protocol primitives
- ▶ Use `emysql_conn:open_connection/1` to initiate a connection
- ▶ Use a set of neat macros (e.g. `?COM_QUERY`) to compose a binary packet
- ▶ Use `emysql_tcp:send_packet/3` to transmit a packet (do not forget to specify 0 as `seq_num` in most cases, 1 in some, and 2 in few)
- ▶ Use `emysql_tcp:recv_packet/1` to receive data from the socket

It's a crappy solution. It's hell complex!

- ▶ Oh no, it's not!
- ▶ Emysql provides some MySQL protocol primitives
- ▶ Use `emysql_conn:open_connection/1` to initiate a connection
- ▶ Use a set of neat macros (e.g. `?COM_QUERY`) to compose a binary packet
- ▶ Use `emysql_tcp:send_packet/3` to transmit a packet (do not forget to specify 0 as `seq_num` in most cases, 1 in some, and 2 in few)
- ▶ Use `emysql_tcp:recv_packet/1` to receive data from the socket
- ▶ Use `emysql_tcp:response/2` to find out whether you need to read anything more (with `emysql_tcp:recv_packet/1`) or not and maybe get a resultset.



It's a crappy solution. It's hell complex!

- ▶ Oh no, it's not!
- ▶ Emysql provides some MySQL protocol primitives
- ▶ Use `emysql_conn:open_connection/1` to initiate a connection
- ▶ Use a set of neat macros (e.g. `?COM_QUERY`) to compose a binary packet
- ▶ Use `emysql_tcp:send_packet/3` to transmit a packet (do not forget to specify 0 as `seq_num` in most cases, 1 in some, and 2 in few)
- ▶ Use `emysql_tcp:recv_packet/1` to receive data from the socket
- ▶ Use `emysql_tcp:response/2` to find out whether you need to read anything more (with `emysql_tcp:recv_packet/1`) or not and maybe get a resultset.
- ▶ Profit!



Okay, I would close my eyes on the complexity of this solution... But this seems to be a sync-way of working with the connection, isn't it?
I do need pipeline my queries to save some time on latencies 8)

- ▶ Hey, aren't you a programmer? Why don't you do some programming?



Okay, I would close my eyes on the complexity of this solution... But this seems to be a sync-way of working with the connection, isn't it?
I do need pipeline my queries to save some time on latencies 8)

- ▶ Hey, aren't you a programmer? Why don't you do some programming?
- ▶ Spawn a connection owner process



Okay, I would close my eyes on the complexity of this solution... But this seems to be a sync-way of working with the connection, isn't it?
I do need pipeline my queries to save some time on latencies 8)

- ▶ Hey, aren't you a programmer? Why don't you do some programming?
- ▶ Spawn a connection owner process
- ▶ Spawn under connection owner an RX process

Okay, I would close my eyes on the complexity of this solution... But this seems to be a sync-way of working with the connection, isn't it?
I do need pipeline my queries to save some time on latencies 8)

- ▶ Hey, aren't you a programmer? Why don't you do some programming?
- ▶ Spawn a connection owner process
- ▶ Spawn under connection owner an RX process
- ▶ Spawn under connection owner an TX process (pass the pid of RX to TX as a start argument)



Okay, I would close my eyes on the complexity of this solution... But this seems to be a sync-way of working with the connection, isn't it?
I do need pipeline my queries to save some time on latencies 8)

- ▶ Hey, aren't you a programmer? Why don't you do some programming?
- ▶ Spawn a connection owner process
- ▶ Spawn under connection owner an RX process
- ▶ Spawn under connection owner an TX process (pass the pid of RX to TX as a start argument)
- ▶ Once the query is transmitted by TX notify RX that there is something to read from the socket



Okay, I would close my eyes on the complexity of this solution... But this seems to be a sync-way of working with the connection, isn't it?
I do need pipeline my queries to save some time on latencies 8)

- ▶ Hey, aren't you a programmer? Why don't you do some programming?
- ▶ Spawn a connection owner process
- ▶ Spawn under connection owner an RX process
- ▶ Spawn under connection owner an TX process (pass the pid of RX to TX as a start argument)
- ▶ Once the query is transmitted by TX notify RX that there is something to read from the socket
- ▶ Upon receiving a result pass it back to the query originator.



Okay, I would close my eyes on the complexity of this solution... But this seems to be a sync-way of working with the connection, isn't it?
I do need pipeline my queries to save some time on latencies 8)

- ▶ Hey, aren't you a programmer? Why don't you do some programming?
- ▶ Spawn a connection owner process
- ▶ Spawn under connection owner an RX process
- ▶ Spawn under connection owner an TX process (pass the pid of RX to TX as a start argument)
- ▶ Once the query is transmitted by TX notify RX that there is something to read from the socket
- ▶ Upon receiving a result pass it back to the query originator.
- ▶ That's it!



Argh! <https://github.com/RGafiyatullin/emysql/tree/emysql-query-queue-pipeline-etreap>

- ▶ Gosh! it's ugly as hell! My eyes are bleeding!



I have to admit, it is... :(

- ▶ Hey bud! Have you ever dreamed of rewriting that piece of c...ode completely?
- ▶ Imagine! Your very own MySQL connector with... chess and poetesses?
- ▶ Based on {active,once} sockets...



Nnnnnnice! <https://github.com/RGafiyatullin/orca>

▶ Mate I was kidding actually...



Nevertheless I've implemented it already. It didn't take long.
<https://github.com/RGafiyatullin/orca/blob/master/README.md>



Thank You. Questions?

Roman Gafiyatullin

mailto:r_hafiyatulin@wargaming.net

<https://github.com/RGafiyatullin>



WARGAMING.NET
LET'S BATTLE