31251 – Data Structures and Algorithms Week 10

Luke Mathieson

This week, in the exciting world of algorithms:

- Searching Strings
- A use of hashing outside just storing data
- A Probabilistic Algorithm
- Another Dynamic Programming Algorithm



String Matching

Let Σ be a finite set of symbols called an alphabet.

Let
$$A = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$$
 and $B = (b_1, ..., b_m)$ be two strings over Σ (i.e. $A \in \Sigma^n$ and $B \in \Sigma^m$) with $m \le n$.

The *String Matching Problem* is the problem of determining whether B is a substring of A (i.e. whether there is some k such that $b_1 = a_k, b_2 = a_{k+1}, \ldots, b_m = a_{k+m-1}$). Example

A Naïve Deterministic Solution

We can take a sliding window approach:

- Start with B lined up with the beginning of A.
- Match the characters one by one.
- If it matches, we've found it.
- If there's a mismatch, move *B* along one character and start again.
- Stop when we find it, or run out of A to check against.

There's n - m + 1 positions that B could start at, and we do m comparisons each time, so this takes about O((n - m + 1)m) time.

A Slightly Better Approach

Replace strings of length m with a function $f: \Sigma^m \to \mathbb{N}$ of m variables - then we only need to do one comparison at each step. (It helps to treat Σ as a subset of \mathbb{N}).

We compute $\beta = f(b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ and then

$$\alpha_1 = f(a_1, \dots, a_m)
\alpha_2 = f(a_2, \dots, a_{m+1})
\vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots
\alpha_{n-m+1} = f(a_{n-m+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

and compare only the substrings with $\alpha_j = \beta$.

A Slightly Better Approach

The question is what function f to use:

- We could just take $f(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$.
 - This is pretty easy to compute ► Example.
 - However too many strings have the same value under f too many "collisions".
- We can pick better f and get a better result.

Rabin-Karp Algorithm I

We can use an algorithm that employs the same basic idea as hashing

Hashing produces a "fingerprint" for each string. We can pick a hash function such that any two different strings will probably produce a different hash.

Rabin-Karp Algorithm II

Pick a large prime p and randomly select an integer $r \in [1, p-1]$.

Set

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_m)=\sum_{i\in[m]}x_ir^{m-i}(\bmod\ p)$$

Looks complicated, but we can actually compute this efficiently.

How Many Collisions Do We Have Now?

How often do we have collisions, that is, how often

$$f(c_1,\ldots,c_m)=f(d_1,\ldots,d_m)?$$

Answer: Not too often because the above collision implies that

$$e_1 r^{m-1} + e_2 r^{m-2} + \ldots + e_{m-1} r + e_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

where $e_i = c_i - d_i$.

Some Analysis

Lagrange Theorem: A polynomial of degree k has at most k roots.

As we have a polynomial of degree m-1, for each pair of m-tuples $(c_1,\ldots,c_m)\neq (d_1,\ldots,d_m)$ there are at most m-1 "bad" values of r for which a collision is possible.

So if B is not a substring of A, there are at most (m-1)(n-m+1) values of r which might give the same value for f.

So if p is much bigger than (m-1)(n-m+1) and $r \in [1, p-1]$ is selected "at random", the probability of collision is very small.

Back to the Algorithm

- So we can just compute f for all length m substrings (having chosen p and r).
- If f(B) is the same as any of these, we check if the corresponding substring is equal.
- Otherwise B is not a substring of A.

▶ Example

How do we compute *f* efficiently?

We can adapt the sliding window approach: for overlapping substrings, we can reuse previous results - *Dynamic Programming*!

$$f(a_{j+1},...,a_{j+m+1}) = a_{j+1}r^{m-1} + ... + a_{j+m+1}$$

$$= r(a_{j+1}r^{m-2} + ... + a_{j+m}) + a_{j+m+1}$$

$$= r(f(a_j,...,a_{j+m}) - a_jr^{m-1}) + a_{j+m+1}$$

What We Get

So we can compute all the f values for A in *linear* time!

With high probability we only have to do m actual comparisons.

This is also a probabilistic algorithm! It is still possible to get a lot of collisions, but if we start with too many, we can just guess a different r and start again.

What does that all mean pratically?

- With high probability the algorithm searches the string in O(n+m) time as $m \le n$, this is just O(n).
 - Better than the naïve O(nm) algorithm.
 - Central to this is computing the hash fingerprint quickly reusing previous results as partial, overlapping solutions is key.
- If everything goes wrong though, we just end up with the naïve algorithm -O(nm) time.

String Matching Example

Example

Using a Function to Match Strings

Example

$$\Sigma = \{*, \&, \%\} \longrightarrow \Sigma = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$$A = \& * \& \% * \% * * \& * \& * \% \% * \% * * & \% * & * * \% \& *$$

$$A = 101202001010220200120100210$$

$$B = \& * * \% \longrightarrow B = 1002$$

$$\beta = 3$$

$$\alpha_j = 4, 3, 5, 4, 2, 3, 1, 2, 2, 3, 5, 4,$$

$$6, 4, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 3, 1, 3, 3, 3$$



Example

$$A = 101202001010220200120100210$$
 $B = 1002$

$$m = 4$$
, $n = 27$
Choose $p = 9973$, $r = 5347$, Then

$$\beta = 1258$$

$$\alpha_j = 6605, 8512, 6867, 3233, 5609, 2513, 5347, 7792, 6603, 7793, 1979, 6330, 8123, 3233, 5609, 2513, 5349, 8512, 6866, 7859, 7791, 1258, 722, 983$$

▶ Back