Problem Set 2 Solutions

姓名: 黄睿

学号: MP1933008

2019-10-23

1 Problem 1

1.1 Q1

 $\Pr[X \ge t] \Leftrightarrow \Pr\left[e^{\lambda X} \ge e^{\lambda t}\right]$, for $\lambda \ge 0$. Thus, the question tranformed to the equation on the right hand side.

$$\Pr[X \ge t] = \Pr\left[e^{\lambda X} \ge e^{\lambda t}\right] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]}{e^{\lambda t}} \quad \text{(Markov Inequality)}$$

$$= \exp\left(-\left(\lambda t - \ln \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]\right)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\left(\lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda)\right)\right)$$
(1)

We have $\exp(-(\lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda))) \ge \exp(-\Psi_X^*(\lambda))$, thus:

$$\Pr\left[X \ge t\right] = \exp\left(-\Psi_X^*(\lambda)\right)$$

For $F(\lambda) = \lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda)$, $\lambda \ge 0$. If $\Psi_X(\lambda)$ is continuously differentiable, we can perform standard analysis of this function, taking gradient of both sides:

$$\nabla_{\lambda} F(\lambda) = t - \nabla_{\lambda} \Psi_X(\lambda)$$

Let the gradient equal to 0, we can find that the unique $\lambda \geq 0$ satisfying $\Psi'_X(\lambda) = t$, according to the convexity of $\Psi_X(\lambda)$, we obtain that:

$$\Psi_X^*(t) = F(\lambda)|_{\Psi_X(\lambda) = t} = \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} (\lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda))$$

1.2 Q2

Gaussian random variable **X**, it's probability density function is given by $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$, so we have:

$$\Psi_{X}(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X} \right] \\
= \ln \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} dx \\
= \ln \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda x - \frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} dx \right] \\
= \ln \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-(\lambda\sigma^{2}+\mu))^{2} - (\lambda^{2}\sigma^{4} + 2\lambda\mu\sigma^{2})}{2\sigma^{2}}} dx \right] \\
= \ln \left[e^{\lambda\mu + \frac{\lambda^{2}\sigma^{2}}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{x-(\lambda\sigma^{2}+\mu)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right)^{2}} dx \right] \\
= \ln \left[e^{\lambda\mu + \frac{\lambda^{2}\sigma^{2}}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} d\left(\sqrt{2}\sigma t\right) \right] \left(\text{let } t = \frac{x - (\lambda\sigma^{2} + \mu)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \\
= \ln \left[e^{\lambda\mu + \frac{\lambda^{2}\sigma^{2}}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} dt \right] \\
= \ln \left[e^{\lambda\mu + \frac{\lambda^{2}\sigma^{2}}{2}} \right] \\
= \lambda\mu + \frac{\lambda^{2}\sigma^{2}}{2} \tag{2}$$

Thus, we can calculate $\Psi_X^*(t)$

$$\Psi_X^*(t) = \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} (\lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda))$$

$$= \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} \left(\lambda t - \lambda \mu - \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}$$
(3)

Now, the upper tail can be bounded:

$$\Pr\left[X \ge t\right] \le \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) \tag{4}$$

1.3 Q3

Poisson random variable X , it's probability distribution is given by $\Pr[X=k]=e^{-\nu \frac{\nu^k}{k!}}$ We have:

$$\Psi_{X}(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X} \right]
= \ln \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Pr \left[X = k \right] e^{\lambda k}
= \ln \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda k - \nu} \frac{\nu^{k}}{k!}
= \ln \left[e^{-\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda k} \frac{\nu^{k}}{k!} \right]
= \ln \left[e^{-\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(e^{\lambda} \nu \right)^{k}}{k!} \right]
= \ln \left[e^{-\nu} e^{e^{\lambda} \nu} \right]
= \left(e^{\lambda} - 1 \right) \nu$$
(5)

Then, we get $\Psi_X * (t)$:

$$\Psi_X * (t) = \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} (\lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda))$$

$$= \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} (\lambda t - (e^{\lambda} - 1)\nu))$$

$$= \lambda e^{\lambda} \nu - (e^{\lambda} - 1)\nu$$

$$= ((\lambda - 1)e^{\lambda} + 1) \nu$$
(6)

According to Q_1 , we have:

$$\Pr\left[X \ge t\right] \le \exp\left(-\left((\lambda - 1)e^{\lambda} + 1\right)\nu\right) \tag{7}$$

1.4 Q4

Bernoulli ranndom variable **X**, it's probability distribution is given by $\Pr[X=1] = 1 - \Pr[X=0] = p$, thus we have:

$$\Psi_X(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X} \right]$$

$$= \ln \left[p e^{\lambda} + (1 - p) \right]$$
(8)

Then, we get:

$$\Psi_X^*(t) = \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} (\lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda))$$

$$= \sup_{\lambda > 0} (\lambda t - \ln [pe^{\lambda} + (1 - p)])$$
(9)

For the equation above, taking derivative w.r.t λ , we have:

$$t = \frac{e^{\lambda}p}{e^{\lambda} + 1 - p}$$

We may solve λ :

$$\lambda = \ln \left[\frac{(1-p)t}{(1-t)p} \right]$$

Thus, we may combing with equation 9:

$$\Psi_X^*(t) = \ln\left[\frac{(1-p)t}{(1-t)p}\right] t - \ln\left[p\frac{(1-p)t}{(1-t)p} + (1-p)\right]
= (1-t)\ln\frac{1-t}{1-p} + t\ln\frac{t}{p}$$
(10)

1.5 Q5

As X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d random variables, we have:

$$\Psi_{X}(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X} \right]$$

$$= \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}} \right]$$

$$= \ln \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_{i}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X_{i}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi_{X_{i}}(\lambda)$$
(11)

Similarly, for $\Psi_X^*(t)$, we have:

$$\Psi_X^*(t) = \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} (\lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda))$$

$$= \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} \left(\lambda t - \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi_{X_i}(\lambda) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} \left(\lambda \frac{t}{n} - \Psi_{X_i}(\lambda) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi_{X_i}^*(\frac{t}{n})$$

$$= n\Psi_{X_i}^*(\frac{t}{n}) \quad (i.i.d)$$
(12)

For Binomial random variable $X \sim Bin(n, p)$, it can be decomposed as sum of n i.i.d random Bernoulli random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . According to what we have above, the upper bound can be measured:

$$\Pr[X \ge t] \le \exp(-\Psi_X^*(t))$$

$$= \exp\left(-n\Psi_{X_i}^*(\frac{t}{n})\right)$$

$$= \exp(-nD(Y||X_i))$$
(13)

Where $Y \in \{0,1\}$ is a Bernoulli random variable with parameter $\frac{t}{n}$.

Given geometric random variable **X** with distribution $\Pr[X = k] = (1 - p)^{k-1}p$.

$$\Psi_X(\lambda) = \ln \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X} \right]$$

$$= \ln \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Pr \left[X = k \right] e^{\lambda k}$$

$$= \ln \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{\lambda k} (1 - p)^{k-1} p$$

$$= \ln \frac{e^{\lambda} p}{e^{\lambda} (p - 1) + 1}$$
(14)

$$\Psi_X^*(t) = \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} (\lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda))$$

$$= \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} \left(\lambda t - \ln \frac{e^{\lambda} p}{e^{\lambda} (p-1) + 1} \right)$$

$$= t \ln \left(\frac{1-t}{(p-1)t} \right) - \ln \left(-\frac{p(t-1)}{p-1} \right)$$
(15)

Combining all of them together:

$$\Pr[X \ge t] \le \exp\left(-\Psi_X^*(t)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-n\Psi_{X_i}^*(\frac{t}{n})\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-t\ln\left(\frac{n-t}{(p-1)t}\right) + n\ln\left(-\frac{p(t-n)}{n(p-1)}\right)\right)$$
(16)

2 Problem 2

We define any vertex $u \in V(Q_n)$ as n independent random variables (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) where $X_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Next, we can prove the function $f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ satisfying the Lipschitz condition. For any X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and any $Y_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We denote vertex \mathbf{u} as $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_i, \dots, X_n)$, and vertex \mathbf{w} as $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-1}, Y_i, \dots, X_n)$. According to the definition of shortest distance, we know that there's an edge between vertex \mathbf{u} and vertex \mathbf{w} .

Thus, we have:

$$1 + f(\mathbf{u}) \le f(\mathbf{w})$$

Symmetrically, we have:

$$1 + f(\mathbf{w}) < f(\mathbf{u})$$

Combining them, we have:

$$|f(\mathbf{u}) - f(\mathbf{w})| < 1 \tag{17}$$

Now that f satisfying Lipschitz condition with constants 1, we can apply

Method of bounded differences:

$$\Pr\left[|f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\left[f(\mathbf{X})\right]| \ge t\sqrt{n\log n}\right] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{t^2n\log n}{2\sum_{i=1}^n 1^2}\right)$$

$$= 2\exp\left(-\frac{t^2\log n}{2}\right)$$

$$= 2n^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$$

$$= n^{\log_n 2 - \frac{t^2}{2}}$$
(18)

Let $\log_n 2 - \frac{t^2}{2} = -c$, we have: $c = \frac{t^2}{2} - \log_n 2$.

3 Problem 3

It's obvious that $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, \Pr[Y_i = 1] \leq p$, we can let $\Pr[Y_i = 1] = t_i$, where $t_i \leq p, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$, we generate A as a uniform random variable between [0, 1], we can construct the coupling \mathcal{C} as below:

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \leq t_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } A \leq p \end{cases}$$

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, if \ A \le p \\ 0, otherwise \end{cases}$$

We can easily verify that $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, Y_i \leq X_i$, formally:

$$\Pr_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\forall 1 \le i \le n, Y_i \le X_i \right] = 1$$

According to stochastic dominance, we have:

$$\forall a > 0, \Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \ge a\right] \le \Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \le a\right]$$

Now that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are mutually independent, by linearity of ex-

pectation, it holds that $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right] = np$.

$$\Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} \geq np + t\right] \leq \Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \geq np + t\right]$$

$$= \Pr\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right] \geq t\right]$$

$$\leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^{2}}{n}\right) \quad \text{(Chernoff Bound)}$$
(19)

4 Problem 4