

高级算法 (Fall 2019)/Problem Set 2

- 作业电子版于2019/11/5 23:59 之前提交到邮箱 njuadvalg@163.com
- 每道题目的解答都要有完整的解题过程。中英文不限。

Contents

- 1 Problem 1
- 2 Problem 2
- 3 Problem 3
- 4 Problem 4

Problem 1

Let X be a real-valued random variable with finite $\mathbb{E}[X]$ and finite $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$. We define the **log-moment-generating function** as

$$\Psi_X(\lambda) := \ln \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq 0,$$

and its *dual function*:

$$\Psi_X^*(t) := \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} (\lambda t - \Psi_X(\lambda)).$$

Assume that X is NOT almost surely constant. Then due to the convexity of $e^{\lambda X}$ with respect to λ , the function $\Psi_X(\lambda)$ is *strictly* convex over $\lambda \geq 0$.

- Prove the following Chernoff bound:

$$\Pr[X \geq t] \leq \exp(-\Psi_X^*(t)).$$

In particular if $\Psi_X(\lambda)$ is continuously differentiable, prove that the supreme in $\Psi_X^*(t)$ is achieved at the unique $\lambda \geq 0$ satisfying

$$\Psi_X'(\lambda) = t$$

where $\Psi_X'(\lambda)$ denotes the derivative of $\Psi_X(\lambda)$ with respect to λ .

- **Normal random variables.** Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ be a Gaussian random variable with mean μ and standard deviation σ . What are the $\Psi_X(\lambda)$ and $\Psi_X^*(t)$? And give a tail inequality to upper bound the probability $\Pr[X \geq t]$.
- **Poisson random variables.** Let $X \sim \text{Pois}(\nu)$ be a Poisson random variable with parameter ν , that is, $\Pr[X = k] = e^{-\nu} \nu^k / k!$ for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. What are the $\Psi_X(\lambda)$ and $\Psi_X^*(t)$? And give a tail inequality to upper bound the probability $\Pr[X \geq t]$.
- **Bernoulli random variables.** Let $X \in \{0, 1\}$ be a single Bernoulli trial with probability of success p , that is, $\Pr[X = 1] = 1 - \Pr[X = 0] = p$. Show that for any $t \in (p, 1)$, we have $\Psi_X^*(t) = D(Y \| X)$ where $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ is a Bernoulli random variable with parameter t and

$D(Y\|X) = (1-t) \ln \frac{1-t}{1-p} + t \ln \frac{t}{p}$ is the **Kullback-Leibler divergence** (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kullback-Leibler_divergence) between Y and X .

- **Sum of independent random variables.** Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ be the sum of n independently and identically

distributed random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Show that $\Psi_X(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi_{X_i}(\lambda)$ and

$\Psi_X^*(t) = n\Psi_{X_i}^*\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)$. Also for binomial random variable $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$, give an upper bound to the tail inequality $\Pr[X \geq t]$ in terms of KL-divergence.

Give an upper bound to $\Pr[X \geq t]$ when every X_i follows the geometric distribution with a probability p of success.

Problem 2

An n -dimensional hypercube Q_n is a graph with 2^n vertices, where each vertex is represented by an n -bit vector, and there is an edge between two vertices if and only if their bit-vectors differ in exactly one bit.

Given an n -dimensional hypercube with some non-empty subset of vertices S , which is called marked black. Let $f(u)$ denote the shortest distance from vertex u to any black vertex. Formally,

$$f(u) = \min_{v \in S} \text{dist}_{Q_n}(u, v),$$

where $\text{dist}_{Q_n}(u, v)$ denotes the length of the shortest path between u and v in graph Q_n .

Prove that if we choose u from all 2^n vertices uniformly at random, then, with high probability, $f(u)$ can not deviate from its expectation too much:

$$\Pr[|f(u) - \mathbb{E}[f(u)]| \geq t\sqrt{n \log n}] \leq n^{-c}.$$

Give the relation between c and t .

Problem 3

Let $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n$ be a set of n random variables where each $Y_i \in \{0, 1\}$. All variables Y_i follow some joint distribution over $\{0, 1\}^n$ and they may NOT be mutually independent. Assume the following property holds for $(Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and it holds that

$$\forall c_1 \in \{0, 1\}, c_2 \in \{0, 1\}, \dots, c_{i-1} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \Pr[Y_i = 1 \mid \forall 1 \leq j < i, Y_j = c_j] \leq p.$$

Let $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$ be a set of n mutually independent random variables where each $X_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Assume

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq n: \quad \Pr[X_i = 1] = p.$$

Prove that for any $a \geq 0$, it holds that

$$\Pr \left[\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \geq a \right] \leq \Pr \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq a \right].$$

Prove that for any $t \geq 0$, it holds that

$$\Pr \left[\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \geq np + t \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{2t^2}{n} \right).$$

Hint: Although random variables $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n$ may not be mutually independent, we can still bound the tail probability for $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$. This tool is called the stochastic dominance.

To prove the first inequality, you only need to construct a coupling \mathcal{C} between $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $(Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ such that

$$\Pr_{\mathcal{C}}[\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, Y_i \leq X_i] = 1.$$

This implies the random sequence $(Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is stochastically dominated by the random sequence $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$.

Problem 4

Let U be a universal set. We use 2^U to denote the collection of all subsets of U . Let \mathcal{F} be a family of hash functions, in which each hash function $h : 2^U \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ maps subsets of U to 0-1 vectors of length m . A locality sensitive hashing scheme is a distribution on a family \mathcal{F} of hash functions operating on 2^U , such that for two subsets $A, B \in 2^U$,

$$(1) \quad \Pr_{h \in \mathcal{F}}[h(A) = h(B)] = \text{sim}(A, B).$$

Here $\text{sim} : 2^U \times 2^U \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called the similarity function. Given a hash function family \mathcal{F} that satisfies Equation (1), we will say that \mathcal{F} is a locality sensitive hash function family corresponding to similarity function $\text{sim}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

- For any similarity function $\text{sim}(A, B)$ that admits a locality sensitive hash function family as defined in Equation (1), prove that the distance function $d(A, B) \triangleq 1 - \text{sim}(A, B)$ satisfies triangle inequality, formally,

$$\forall A, B, C \in 2^U : \quad d(A, B) + d(B, C) \geq d(A, C).$$

- Show that there is no locality sensitive hash function family corresponding to Dice's and the Overlap coefficient. Dice's coefficient is defined as:

$$\text{sim}_{\text{Dice}}(A, B) = \frac{2|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B|}.$$

Overlap coefficient is defined as:

$$\text{sim}_{\text{Ovl}}(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{\min(|A|, |B|)}.$$

Hint: use the triangle inequality result.

- Construct a collection of hash function \mathcal{B} where $f : \{0, 1\}^m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ for each $f \in \mathcal{B}$, together with a probability distribution on \mathcal{B} such that

$$\forall x, y \in \{0, 1\}^m : \Pr_{f \in \mathcal{B}}[f(x) = f(y)] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y; \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Then use the hash function family \mathcal{B} to prove the following result. Given a locality sensitive hash function family \mathcal{F} ($h : 2^U \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ for each $h \in \mathcal{F}$) corresponding to a similarity function $\text{sim}(A, B)$, we can obtain a locality sensitive hash function \mathcal{F}' ($h' : 2^U \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ for each $h' \in \mathcal{F}'$) corresponding to the similarity function $\frac{1 + \text{sim}(A, B)}{2}$.

Retrieved from "[http://tcs.nju.edu.cn/wiki/index.php?title=高级算法_\(Fall_2019\)/Problem_Set_2&oldid=8777](http://tcs.nju.edu.cn/wiki/index.php?title=高级算法_(Fall_2019)/Problem_Set_2&oldid=8777)"

-
- This page was last edited on 22 October 2019, at 13:40.