

NGUYEN HOANG TUNG LAM

nguyenhoangtunglam2001ia@gmail.com

Phone: (+84) 934542626

Application: **Doctor of Philosophy Program in Education**

WRITING SAMPLE

1. Introduction

The twenty-first century has been characterized by rapid technological advancement that has transformed how knowledge is created, accessed, and disseminated. Universities, as both sites of innovation and custodians of tradition, face the dual challenge of preserving academic integrity while adapting to the disruptive forces of digitalization. In this context, digital transformation in education represents not merely the introduction of new technologies but the comprehensive modernization of institutional processes, instructional methods, and learning cultures. It is both a technological and pedagogical revolution—redefining how universities deliver knowledge, assess learning, and prepare graduates for increasingly digital economies (UNESCO, 2023; OECD, 2023).

Globally, the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated digital adoption across all educational sectors, forcing higher education institutions to shift rapidly from conventional classroom instruction to online and hybrid models. While this sudden shift exposed infrastructural gaps and pedagogical limitations, it also catalyzed a new wave of experimentation and reflection. Institutions began to question not only *how* to teach online, but *what it means* to teach in the digital age. This re-evaluation has evolved into a broader conversation about the modernization of instruction—how digital transformation can make teaching more flexible, inclusive, data-informed, and aligned with twenty-first century skills (Hodges et al., 2020; Bates, 2019).

Vietnam provides a particularly relevant case study for this inquiry. Over the past two decades, the country's higher education system has undergone rapid expansion in both scale and diversity, reflecting its economic growth and integration into

global markets. As part of its National Digital Transformation Program to 2025, with a Vision to 2030, the Vietnamese government has prioritized education as a key sector for technological modernization (MOET, 2021). Universities have been encouraged to invest in digital infrastructure, adopt learning management systems, and develop blended learning models. However, implementation remains uneven: while top-tier institutions such as Vietnam National University (VNU), Ton Duc Thang University (TDTU), and Hanoi University of Science and Technology (HUST) have made significant progress, many regional institutions continue to face challenges in infrastructure, faculty preparedness, and student engagement (World Bank, 2021).

Culturally, Vietnam's higher education system is still influenced by Confucian traditions that emphasize respect for authority, rote learning, and hierarchical relationships between teachers and students. While these values promote discipline and academic rigor, they can conflict with the autonomy, collaboration, and critical inquiry that underpin digital pedagogy (Nguyen & Tran, 2020). Thus, the modernization of instruction in Vietnam is not only a matter of technological adaptation but also of cultural negotiation. Digital transformation must reconcile global models of innovation with local educational values.

This paper argues that modernizing university instruction through digital transformation in Vietnam requires an integrated approach that goes beyond technology adoption. It involves rethinking curriculum design, teaching strategies, assessment models, and institutional culture to ensure that technological innovation leads to genuine pedagogical advancement. The paper synthesizes international and Vietnam-specific literature, introduces a conceptual and methodological framework, and outlines a five-year research roadmap that aims to develop a sustainable model for instructional modernization in Vietnamese higher education.

2. Research Questions

To explore the modernization of university instruction through digital transformation in Vietnamese higher education, this study is guided by four central research questions:

1. How is digital transformation redefining instructional design, teaching practices, and learning experiences in Vietnamese universities? This question seeks to examine the specific ways in which technology integration reshapes classroom dynamics, assessment methods, and student engagement within various institutional contexts.
2. What institutional, cultural, and infrastructural factors facilitate or constrain the modernization of instruction through digital transformation? This explores systemic challenges and enabling conditions across universities with different resource capacities and regional profiles.
3. How can Vietnamese universities effectively localize global models of digital pedagogy to align with their own cultural, pedagogical, and policy contexts?
Here, the study addresses the need for context-sensitive adaptation rather than wholesale importation of foreign educational models.
4. What policy and capacity-building frameworks are required to sustain equitable, high-quality digital transformation in university instruction? This final question connects the institutional micro-level with macro-level policy reform, aiming to provide recommendations for national educational governance.

Together, these questions aim to construct a holistic understanding of how digital transformation can move beyond technological novelty to become a strategic driver of instructional modernization, quality improvement, and equity in Vietnam's higher education system.

3. Research Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods research design to integrate the breadth of quantitative data with the depth of qualitative insights. Such an approach acknowledges that modernization through digital transformation is a complex socio-technical process, requiring both numerical evidence and contextual understanding (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

3.1 Research Design Overview

The research design for this study follows a sequential mixed-methods approach, consisting of three interrelated phases that together provide a comprehensive understanding of how digital transformation contributes to the modernization of university instruction in Vietnam.

The first phase, the *quantitative stage*, involves a national survey of approximately twenty-five universities representing public, private, and regional institutions across the country. The survey will be administered to faculty members and academic administrators to gather data on digital readiness, infrastructure quality, faculty digital competence, student engagement, and institutional policy alignment. The resulting dataset will be analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, including correlation analysis and structural equation modeling (SEM), to identify patterns and predictors of successful instructional modernization. This phase aims to establish a broad empirical foundation for assessing the current landscape of digital transformation in Vietnamese higher education.

The second phase, the *qualitative component*, complements the quantitative findings by offering in-depth contextual insights. Detailed case studies will be conducted at four selected universities representing diverse institutional and regional contexts—Vietnam National University–Hanoi, Ton Duc Thang University, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, and a representative regional university. Within these institutions, semi-structured interviews will be conducted with administrators, lecturers, and students to capture individual and collective experiences of digital teaching, learning, and governance. Additional classroom observations and analyses of online or blended courses will further illuminate the pedagogical dynamics and interaction patterns emerging within digitally mediated learning environments.

The third phase integrates the findings from the quantitative and qualitative components through a process of thematic triangulation. Quantitative data will reveal overarching trends and correlations, while qualitative insights will clarify the cultural and institutional mechanisms that underlie these relationships. The synthesis of both datasets will inform the development of the Vietnamese Framework for Modernized Digital Instruction (VFMDI)—a conceptual model that

articulates the interconnections among pedagogy, technology, and institutional management in the context of Vietnam's higher education modernization.

3.2 Data Collection Instruments

Data will be collected using three principal instruments: a survey questionnaire, an interview guide, and document analysis protocols.

The survey questionnaire, adapted from UNESCO's *Digital Education Readiness Index* and the OECD's *Teaching and Learning International Survey (TALIS)* frameworks, will examine five major dimensions of institutional and instructional modernization: (1) digital infrastructure and access, (2) pedagogical innovation and curriculum design, (3) assessment and feedback practices, (4) faculty digital competence and training, and (5) institutional leadership and policy support.

The interview guide will consist of open-ended questions designed to explore participants' experiences and perceptions of digital transformation, including barriers to implementation and strategies for instructional modernization. This flexible, semi-structured format will allow for reflective and contextually rich responses while preserving comparability across cases.

Finally, document analysis will be employed to review institutional policy statements, Ministry of Education and Training (MOET) directives, and university strategic plans. This procedure will contextualize empirical findings within the broader policy and governance frameworks shaping digital transformation in Vietnamese higher education.

3.3 Sampling and Participants

The study employs stratified random sampling for the quantitative phase to ensure representation across university types (public and private), geographic regions (North, Central, South), and varying levels of digital maturity. The target sample size is approximately 1,000 to 1,200 participants, including both faculty members and administrators.

For the qualitative phase, a purposive sampling strategy will be used to select around 40 to 50 participants from the four case-study institutions, ensuring diversity in disciplines, gender, and professional rank.

All participants will be informed of the study's objectives and procedures, and participation will be strictly voluntary. Ethical considerations—including informed consent, confidentiality, and data protection—will be observed in compliance with the guidelines of the Vietnam National University Research Ethics Committee.

3.4 Data Analysis Techniques

Data analysis will proceed in parallel streams before being integrated at the interpretation stage. Quantitative data will be analyzed using SPSS and AMOS to perform descriptive, correlation, regression, and structural equation modeling analyses. These procedures will uncover relationships between institutional readiness, faculty engagement with digital pedagogy, and instructional outcomes.

Qualitative data, comprising interview transcripts and field notes, will be coded and analyzed thematically using NVivo software. Both deductive codes (derived from the research questions) and inductive codes (emerging from participants' narratives) will be applied to ensure analytical depth and flexibility.

Integration will be achieved through methodological triangulation, allowing findings from each strand to corroborate and refine one another. This approach enhances the validity, reliability, and interpretive richness of the overall study.

3.5 Expected Outcomes

The mixed-methods design is expected to yield a multifaceted understanding of digital transformation in Vietnamese higher education. Specifically, it will:

1. Generate robust empirical evidence on the current state of instructional digitalization across diverse institutional contexts;
2. Identify the structural, cultural, and pedagogical conditions that facilitate or hinder modernization;
3. Develop a theoretically grounded and empirically informed framework to guide institutional and national policy implementation; and

4. Provide comparative insights relevant to other developing countries pursuing similar pathways toward educational modernization.

4. Literature Review

The modernization of university instruction through digital transformation intersects multiple fields of research—educational technology, organizational change, and cultural studies. This section synthesizes key strands of literature relevant to Vietnam’s context, highlighting global trends, regional adaptations, and theoretical debates that underpin this study.

4.1 Global Perspectives on Digital Transformation in Higher Education

The global discourse on digital transformation in education has evolved beyond the mere integration of information and communication technologies (ICTs) toward systemic innovation that reshapes pedagogy, governance, and quality assurance (UNESCO, 2023; OECD, 2023). According to Bates (2019), effective digital transformation requires universities to rethink “teaching in a digital age” by aligning learning objectives with technological affordances. Similarly, Laurillard (2013) emphasizes the concept of the “conversational framework,” where learning is conceived as an interactive dialogue between teacher, learner, and technology.

Recent studies highlight that digital transformation involves both *technological* and *cultural* shifts. Ertmer (1999) distinguishes between first-order barriers (infrastructure, access) and second-order barriers (beliefs, attitudes, pedagogy). While the former can be addressed through investment, the latter requires deep professional and cultural change. Kotter (1996) also notes that sustainable digital transformation in organizations—including universities—depends on a structured change management process involving shared vision, stakeholder engagement, and continuous evaluation.

4.2 Regional and Developing-Country Experiences

In developing contexts, digital transformation presents both opportunities and challenges. The World Bank (2020) and UNESCO (2020) report that universities in Asia and Africa often view digitalization as a mechanism to expand access and

equity. However, disparities in broadband connectivity, digital literacy, and institutional leadership often constrain outcomes. Studies from Malaysia, Indonesia, and Thailand (Chan, 2021; Rahman & Ismail, 2020) show that successful adoption depends heavily on faculty training and cultural adaptation.

Vietnam's regional peers have advanced frameworks that Vietnam can learn from. For example, Malaysia's *Digital Education Blueprint (2015–2025)* integrates teacher professional development with digital infrastructure policy, while Singapore's *Smart Nation* strategy embeds educational innovation within a broader socio-technical agenda (OECD, 2023). These cases demonstrate that modernization of instruction requires synchronized development of infrastructure, policy, and pedagogy.

4.3 Vietnamese Context and Empirical Studies

Vietnam's higher education modernization is deeply intertwined with national development goals. The Ministry of Education and Training (MOET, 2021) identifies education as a key pillar in the *National Digital Transformation Program to 2025, with a Vision to 2030*. Several studies (Nguyen, 2016; Pham & Ho, 2021; Duc-Long et al., 2021) provide empirical evidence on how universities responded to the COVID-19 pandemic through online learning. Findings reveal that while digital adoption surged rapidly, pedagogical innovation lagged behind, with many lecturers replicating traditional teaching methods via virtual platforms—a phenomenon Hodges et al. (2020) termed *emergency remote teaching*.

Nguyen and Le (2021) observed that institutions such as VNU–Hanoi successfully expanded online curricula to reach students in remote provinces, thus democratizing access. However, disparities in bandwidth, device affordability, and digital literacy persisted. Other studies (Le & Hoang, 2021; VNU Journal, 2021) found that faculty readiness remains the most critical determinant of quality in digital instruction, echoing global findings that technology alone cannot modernize instruction without corresponding pedagogical shifts.

4.4 Research Gaps

Despite increasing attention, several gaps remain in current Vietnamese scholarship:

1. Lack of integrative frameworks: Most studies examine technological or pedagogical aspects separately rather than holistically linking policy, culture, and instructional design.
2. Limited longitudinal evidence: Few studies adopt long-term perspectives to assess the sustainability of modernization efforts.
3. Insufficient cross-institutional comparison: Research often focuses on top-tier universities, neglecting regional and disadvantaged institutions.
4. Weak theoretical integration: Many studies lack grounding in educational or organizational change theory, making it difficult to generalize findings.

This study addresses these gaps by developing a multi-level, theory-driven framework that captures both micro-level teaching practices and macro-level institutional transformation.

5. Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundation of this study combines pedagogical, technological, and organizational perspectives to explain how digital transformation contributes to the modernization of university instruction. The framework integrates five complementary theories, forming a layered analytical model.

5.1 Constructivist Learning Theory

Rooted in the works of Piaget and Vygotsky, constructivism posits that learners actively construct knowledge through interaction and reflection. In digital learning environments, constructivism supports the design of collaborative, problem-based, and student-centred learning activities (Jonassen, 1999). By applying constructivist principles, Vietnamese universities can shift from content transmission to knowledge co-construction, aligning instruction with twenty-first-century learning outcomes such as critical thinking and creativity.

5.2 Activity Theory

Engeström's (1987) Activity Theory provides a socio-cultural framework for understanding how technology mediates human learning. It conceptualizes learning as an interaction between subjects (teachers and students), tools (digital technologies), and the community (institutional and cultural context). This framework helps explain how systemic contradictions—such as hierarchical traditions versus collaborative digital environments—affect the modernization of instruction in Vietnam.

5.3 Technology Acceptance Models (TAM and UTAUT)

The *Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)* (Davis, 1989) and its extensions (Venkatesh et al., 2003) explain users' willingness to adopt technology based on perceived usefulness and ease of use. These models have been widely applied to study e-learning adoption (Nguyen, 2016). Incorporating TAM and UTAUT allows this study to analyze the behavioral and psychological factors influencing both faculty and student adoption of digital teaching tools.

5.4 Kotter's Organizational Change Model

Kotter (1996) identifies eight steps for successful organizational transformation, from establishing urgency to anchoring new approaches in culture. Applying this model to higher education allows for a structured understanding of how universities can institutionalize digital transformation. It highlights leadership, communication, and vision as essential components of modernization—a particularly relevant insight for Vietnamese universities navigating hierarchical governance systems.

5.5 Cultural-Historical and Confucian Heritage Framework

Finally, the study adopts a cultural-historical perspective (Cole, 1996) to account for Vietnam's Confucian educational heritage. The Confucian tradition values teacher authority, respect, and diligence, but may limit inquiry-based or participatory learning (Pham, 2020). Integrating this framework ensures that modernization strategies are culturally sensitive, promoting balance between traditional values and modern pedagogical ideals.

5.6 Integrated Conceptual Model

Synthesizing the theories above, this study proposes a four-layer conceptual framework for modernizing university instruction through digital transformation:

1. Pedagogical Layer – Constructivism and Activity Theory guide the design of active, learner-centred instruction.
2. Technological Layer – TAM and UTAUT explain technology acceptance and digital adoption behaviors.
3. Organizational Layer – Kotter’s model frames institutional change management and leadership engagement.
4. Cultural Layer – Confucian heritage theory contextualizes transformation within Vietnamese socio-cultural norms.

These layers interact dynamically. Pedagogical innovation depends on technological and organizational readiness, while cultural factors moderate how these innovations are implemented. The integrated model thus provides a comprehensive lens to analyze how digital transformation modernizes instruction in Vietnam.

6. Content

(a) Opportunities of Digital Transformation in Higher Education

Digital transformation has opened unprecedented possibilities for the modernization of higher education in Vietnam. It not only enhances access and flexibility but also provides a foundation for pedagogical innovation, inclusivity, and systemic reform.

One of the most significant opportunities lies in expanding educational access. Historically, Vietnam’s higher education system has been geographically concentrated in major urban centres such as Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City, making it difficult for students from remote areas to participate (UNESCO, 2020). Digital learning platforms, online degree programs, and hybrid classrooms now allow universities to reach students in mountainous and rural provinces without requiring physical relocation. For instance, Vietnam National University (VNU–Hanoi)

extended its online learning management system (LMS) during the pandemic, enabling students from Ha Giang and Dien Bien provinces to access lectures and submit assignments remotely (Nguyen & Le, 2021). This initiative significantly reduced costs for students and promoted educational equity.

In addition to improving access, digital transformation enhances pedagogical flexibility and personalization. Digital tools enable instructors to design learning experiences that adapt to individual needs and learning paces. Asynchronous learning materials, such as recorded lectures and online discussion forums, empower students to revisit complex topics at their own speed. Meanwhile, adaptive learning systems powered by artificial intelligence (AI) can track student progress and provide personalized feedback in real time (Research on AI in Assessment, 2025). This approach helps address the diversity of learners' abilities, particularly in large university classes.

Digital transformation also encourages interdisciplinary collaboration and innovation. Through online collaboration platforms, students and faculty can engage in joint research projects across institutions and even countries. This opens Vietnam's academic community to global networks of knowledge exchange. As Ton Duc Thang University's AI and Learning Analytics Lab demonstrates, integrating digital tools into curriculum design fosters not only innovation but also data-driven decision-making about teaching effectiveness (Ton Duc Thang University Conference, 2025).

Finally, the rise of digital education supports Vietnam's broader national development goals. The modernization of instruction contributes to the digital economy by cultivating graduates who are technologically competent, adaptable, and globally competitive. As Pham (2022) notes, the integration of digital pedagogy aligns with Vietnam's ambitions to become a "smart nation" and to develop a knowledge-based workforce. Thus, digital transformation serves both educational and socio-economic functions, reinforcing national strategies for sustainable growth.

Nevertheless, these opportunities do not automatically translate into equitable or effective practices. The modernization of instruction requires thoughtful implementation that considers infrastructural disparities, faculty readiness, and

students' digital competencies. Without such systemic coordination, digital transformation risks amplifying inequality rather than mitigating it.

(b) Impacts on Teaching and Learning Practices

The modernization of university instruction through digital transformation represents not merely a technological shift but a profound pedagogical and epistemological transformation. Traditional Vietnamese classrooms have long been dominated by a teacher-centred approach grounded in Confucian respect for authority and knowledge transmission (Pham, 2020). Digital transformation challenges this paradigm by introducing learner-centred, participatory, and inquiry-driven methods.

A notable example of this shift is the flipped classroom model implemented at Hanoi University of Science and Technology (HUST). In this approach, students engage with recorded lectures and reading materials before attending class, freeing classroom time for discussion, problem-solving, and collaboration. According to Tran (2022), this method increases engagement, critical thinking, and application of theoretical knowledge—skills that traditional lectures often neglect.

Digital transformation also enables continuous and formative assessment. Learning management systems now allow instructors to track participation, automate quizzes, and provide timely feedback. Such mechanisms encourage students to engage in reflective learning and self-regulation—competencies central to lifelong learning (Bates, 2019). Moreover, analytics generated by these platforms help teachers identify struggling students early, offering personalized interventions.

Importantly, modernization through digital transformation is redefining the teacher's role. Instead of being the sole source of knowledge, the instructor becomes a facilitator, mentor, and designer of learning experiences. This transformation requires new pedagogical competencies, including digital literacy, instructional design, and the ability to integrate multimedia and collaborative tools effectively (Laurillard, 2013). In this sense, faculty development becomes a critical dimension of modernization.

However, challenges persist. Many faculty members continue to equate digital teaching with online lecturing, often transferring traditional slides into Zoom or Teams sessions without pedagogical redesign (Le & Hoang, 2021). This “digital substitution” reflects limited exposure to constructivist and design-based principles. Consequently, while digital tools are present, the epistemological shift toward active, student-centred learning remains incomplete.

From a broader perspective, digital transformation has the potential to bridge academic learning with professional competencies. Modern instructional models emphasize project-based learning, real-world problem-solving, and interdisciplinary collaboration—elements that better prepare graduates for the evolving labour market. Thus, if implemented thoughtfully, digital transformation can align university instruction with the dynamic needs of the digital economy while preserving academic depth and cultural coherence.

(c) Infrastructure and Equity Challenges

While digital transformation offers immense promise, the infrastructural and equity challenges it poses remain significant, particularly in developing contexts like Vietnam.

The first major challenge concerns technological infrastructure. Reliable broadband connectivity, stable learning platforms, and access to modern devices are prerequisites for digital learning, yet these remain unevenly distributed across regions (World Bank, 2021). Students in rural areas often face slow internet speeds, unstable connections, and shared device usage, which impede consistent participation. In contrast, students in urban universities benefit from better resources, creating a digital divide that mirrors existing socio-economic disparities.

The second challenge involves economic inequality. Many students from low-income families cannot afford laptops, tablets, or continuous internet access. Although initiatives such as MOET’s “Internet for All” program have attempted to provide subsidized access, coverage remains partial. These inequities undermine the democratizing potential of digital transformation and highlight the risk that modernization could exacerbate rather than alleviate inequality.

A third dimension of inequality relates to digital literacy. Even when infrastructure is available, not all learners—and indeed, not all faculty—possess the skills required to navigate digital platforms effectively. Without systematic digital literacy training, some students experience “technological exclusion” despite having access. As noted by UNESCO (2023), true inclusion in digital education requires both *access* and *ability*.

The institutional disparities between universities also present a challenge. While top-tier institutions like VNU or TDTU can invest in advanced LMS and AI-based analytics, smaller regional universities often rely on outdated or free platforms with limited interactivity. This structural imbalance risks creating a two-tier education system within the same country.

Addressing these challenges demands coordinated policy and investment strategies. At the macro level, the government must prioritize rural broadband expansion and financial support for disadvantaged students. At the institutional level, universities must establish support mechanisms such as loaned devices, peer digital mentorship programs, and embedded digital literacy courses. Without addressing these foundations, digital transformation will remain technologically impressive but socially incomplete.

(d) Cultural Dimensions and Faculty Readiness

Modernizing instruction in Vietnam requires confronting not only technical or infrastructural issues but also deep-seated cultural and professional factors. The Confucian tradition—rooted in hierarchical teacher–student relationships—continues to shape classroom dynamics and pedagogical expectations (Nguyen & Tran, 2020). Teachers are traditionally regarded as moral authorities, and students show respect through obedience rather than dialogue.

When digital transformation introduces student-centred methods that emphasize autonomy and collaboration, these cultural norms can create resistance. Faculty may perceive student independence as a loss of classroom control, while students may hesitate to voice opinions for fear of appearing disrespectful. As a result, even with advanced technology, the classroom may remain teacher-dominated in practice.

The issue of faculty readiness extends beyond cultural adaptation to include professional development. Many lecturers were trained under traditional systems and have had limited exposure to online or blended learning methodologies. During the pandemic, emergency transitions to online teaching often replicated lecture-based instruction rather than transforming pedagogy (Le & Hoang, 2021).

To achieve genuine modernization, universities must invest in sustained capacity-building programs that go beyond short workshops on software usage. Faculty need support in course redesign, digital pedagogy, and assessment strategies for hybrid environments. Institutional policies should also recognize and reward pedagogical innovation, providing incentives for experimentation.

Some positive developments have emerged. Ton Duc Thang University, for example, established a “Digital Learning Design Initiative” where instructors collaborate with instructional designers and educational technologists to create interactive, multimedia-rich modules. Similarly, VNU–Hanoi’s Faculty of Education introduced peer mentoring systems that pair digitally skilled faculty with those still developing their competencies (VNU Journal, 2021). These collaborative and community-based approaches are promising models for institutionalizing change.

Ultimately, the modernization of instruction depends as much on mindset transformation as on technological integration. Faculty must reconceptualize their professional identities—from lecturers delivering content to facilitators guiding inquiry and co-creation. This cultural and pedagogical recalibration is gradual, but it represents the true essence of digital transformation: not technology changing teaching, but teaching evolving through technology.

7. Five-Year Research Roadmap (2025–2030)

The modernization of university instruction through digital transformation is inherently a long-term and iterative process that demands continuous evaluation, policy learning, and institutional collaboration. To operationalize this vision, the study proposes a structured five-year research roadmap covering the period from 2025 to 2030. This roadmap outlines the key objectives, methodological milestones, and expected outputs for each stage of implementation, thereby

translating the theoretical framework into a coherent plan for empirical inquiry and policy action.

In 2025, the research will commence with the establishment of a national baseline on the state of digital transformation across Vietnamese universities. A comprehensive survey will be administered to a representative sample of institutions, focusing on indicators of digital readiness, faculty competence, infrastructural capacity, and student engagement. The resulting dataset will provide an empirical foundation for assessing disparities between institutions and for identifying priority areas of modernization. The findings will be consolidated into a national diagnostic report jointly produced by the Ministry of Education and Training (MOET) and participating universities.

The second phase, scheduled for 2026, will involve in-depth institutional case studies to capture contextual nuances and best practices. Case sites—including Vietnam National University (VNU), Hanoi University of Science and Technology (HUST), Ton Duc Thang University (TDTU), the Posts and Telecommunications Institute of Technology (PTIT), and a selected regional institution—will serve as focal points for examining how digital transformation is embedded within organizational culture, curriculum design, and faculty development. Comparative analysis across these institutions will illuminate both successful strategies and structural constraints, producing a compendium of best practices and policy briefs for dissemination.

By 2027, the research will progress to the development of the Vietnamese Framework for Modernized Digital Instruction (VFMDI). This framework will be co-created through consultations with policymakers, academic leaders, and international experts, integrating empirical findings from earlier phases with theoretical insights. The VFMDI will provide a conceptual and operational model for guiding universities in implementing digital modernization aligned with Vietnam's socio-cultural and economic context. A comprehensive white paper and a faculty training toolkit will be produced to support institutional adoption.

In 2028, attention will shift to evaluation and refinement. Pilot implementations of the VFMDI will be launched at selected universities, accompanied by mixed-methods evaluations assessing pedagogical effectiveness, student outcomes, and

institutional change management. Feedback from these pilot projects will inform revisions to the framework, ensuring both adaptability and scalability. The outcomes will be disseminated through academic publications in peer-reviewed journals and through national conferences on higher education modernization.

The final stage, spanning 2029 to 2030, will focus on scaling and institutionalization. The refined VFMDI will be integrated into national higher education policy and professional development programs through collaboration between MOET, universities, and international partners such as UNESCO. A National Roadmap for Modernized Instruction 2030 will be published, outlining long-term strategies for sustaining digital transformation across the higher education system. This phase will also include efforts to establish enduring research and training networks dedicated to continuous innovation in digital pedagogy.

Collectively, the five-year roadmap envisions a cyclical process of inquiry, reflection, and reform—anchored in evidence, guided by theory, and sensitive to Vietnam’s educational culture. By systematically linking research, policy, and practice, it aims to ensure that modernization through digital transformation evolves from an experimental initiative into a sustainable national agenda for the advancement of higher education.

8. Conclusion

Digital transformation is not simply a technological upgrade—it represents a paradigm shift in how universities conceive, design, and deliver education. For Vietnam, a country balancing deep cultural traditions with ambitious modernization goals, this shift carries transformative potential.

This study has argued that modernizing university instruction through digital transformation requires a multi-layered approach encompassing pedagogy, technology, organization, and culture. It is not enough to digitize lectures or adopt new platforms; modernization demands rethinking how teachers teach, how students learn, and how institutions support both processes.

The research has highlighted four key findings:

1. Opportunities — Digital transformation can democratize access, personalize learning, and align higher education with national economic goals.
2. Pedagogical Impacts — It redefines the teacher's role, promotes active learning, and enables continuous assessment and feedback.
3. Challenges — Infrastructure disparities, digital literacy gaps, and economic inequalities threaten to widen divides unless addressed systematically.
4. Cultural and Institutional Readiness — Sustained faculty development and cultural adaptation are prerequisites for meaningful transformation.

To sustain progress, universities must embed digital pedagogy within broader institutional modernization strategies. Policymakers should prioritize equitable access, invest in infrastructure, and create incentives for innovation. Faculty must be supported not just with technology but with time, training, and recognition for pedagogical creativity.

From a global perspective, Vietnam's journey reflects the dilemmas and hopes shared by many developing nations: how to harness digital transformation without losing educational identity. By integrating human-centred design, cultural sensitivity, and evidence-based policymaking, Vietnam can transform its universities into agile, inclusive, and future-ready learning ecosystems.

Ultimately, modernization through digital transformation is not about machines replacing teachers, but about empowering teachers and learners to co-create knowledge in more dynamic, equitable, and meaningful ways.

9. References

Bates, A. W. (2019). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning* (2nd ed.). BCcampus.

Chan, W. Y. (2021). Digital learning transformation in Malaysian universities: Lessons for ASEAN. *Asian Education Review*, 15(3), 45–62.

Cole, M. (1996). *Cultural psychology: A once and future discipline*. Harvard University Press.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340.

Duc-Long, L., Thien-Vu, G., & Dieu-Khuon, H. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on online learning in higher education: A Vietnamese case. *European Journal of Educational Research*.

Engeström, Y. (1987). *Learning by expanding: An activity-theoretical approach to developmental research*. Cambridge University Press.

Ertmer, P. (1999). Addressing first- and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(4), 47–61.

Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *EDUCAUSE Review*.

Jonassen, D. (1999). *Designing constructivist learning environments*. Routledge.

Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading change*. Harvard Business School Press.

Laurillard, D. (2013). *Rethinking university teaching: A conversational framework for the effective use of learning technologies* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Le, Q. T., & Hoang, M. A. (2021). Teaching practices in the digital shift: A post-pandemic reflection from Vietnam. *VNU Journal of Education Studies*, 37(2), 88–103.

Ministry of Education and Training (MOET). (2021). *National policy documents on digital transformation in education*. Hanoi: MOET.

Nguyen, T. L. (2016). E-learning adoption in Vietnamese higher education institutions: Opportunities and barriers. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(27), 80–89.

Nguyen, T. H., & Le, V. T. (2021). Expanding access through online learning: Case study of VNU Hanoi. *Vietnam Education Review*, 12(4), 55–70.

Nguyen, T. H., & Tran, Q. A. (2020). Cultural influences on pedagogy in Vietnamese higher education. *Asian Journal of Education and Development*, 5(2), 101–116.

OECD. (2023). *Digital education outlook 2023: Reshaping teaching and learning*. OECD Publishing.

Pham, H. (2020). Teacher authority and student autonomy in Vietnam's Confucian classrooms. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 21(3), 451–465.

Pham, H., & Ho, T. (2021). Students' experiences and perceptions of online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic in Vietnam. *VNU Journal of Science: Education Research*, 37(4), 95–110.

Pham, T. (2022). Digital equity and access in Vietnamese higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology*, 12(2), 121–136.

Rahman, A., & Ismail, S. (2020). Digital pedagogy in Southeast Asian universities: A comparative study. *International Education Journal*, 19(1), 15–32.

Research on AI in grading and assessment in Vietnamese contexts. (2025). *International Journal of Educational Sciences*, 14(1), 44–59.

Ton Duc Thang University conference proceedings and AI pilots. (2025). *ICLD Conference Proceedings*.

UNESCO. (2020). *Technology in education: A case study on Viet Nam*. UNESCO Office.

UNESCO. (2023). *Digital transformation of education: A human-centred approach*. Paris: UNESCO.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M., Davis, G., & Davis, F. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478.

VNU Journal. (2021). Proposed solutions to enhance lecturers' adaptation to e-learning: Case study at VNU. *VNU Journal of Science: Policy and Management Studies*, 37(5), 120–134.

World Bank. (2021). *Education and COVID-19: Resources and responses*. World Bank Education.