## $\mathbf{Rubric}$

Points	0- very poor	1- poor	2- okay	3- good	4- very good
Problem Statement	completely missing	The majority of the prob- lem statement is missing. The topic and why it is important are not clear.	A few significant points are missing, making it hard to follow the rest.	A few details are missing, which are not absolutely necessary for understanding.	Dataset is well described. Relevance of the topic is clear. Mathematically clean.
Approach	not explained	Model assumptions can be comprehended with a lot of work and prior knowledge. The model was only sketched and a reimplementation would be impossible without prior knowledge.	Model assumptions are clear. Some details are missing to re-implement the model.	Model assumption, parameter learning, and inference are mathematically cleanly explained. Model could be reimplemented only from the givend description.	Model assumption, parameter learning, and inference are mathematically cleanly explained. Data preprocessing and possible optimizations are explained. Limits of the model are explored. Pseudocode for parameter learning.
Experiments	No meaningful performance criteria. Model is only evaluated on training data. Big holes in the description of experiments, such that understanding them is almost impossible.	Few meaningful performance criteria. Sizeable holes in the description of experiments, such that understanding is much harder.	Train-Testsplit for performance. No baselines. Few details unclear. Little discussion about results.	Comparison to very weak baselines. No deep analysis of the learned model.	Meaningful performance criteria. Crossvalidation for the best choice of hyperparameters. Comparison to meaningful baselines. Analysis of the learned model. All details clear, such that the resuls can easily be reproduced. Detailed discussion of results.
Formalities	No reading flow.	Reading flow suffers. Upload way past deadline.	Too much detail in the wrong places and too little detail in the important places. A few unclear things in the figures/tables.	A few times unclear why something was done. Upload a little past deadline.	Complied with page limit. Figures/tables properly described and meaningful, all used in the main text. Separation of appendix and main matter makes sense. Proper referencing of sources. Fluent writing style which is easy to follow. Upload on time.

## Grading Table

Points	16-15	14 - 13	12-11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4
Grade	1.0	1.3	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.7	3.0	3.3	3.7	4.0