

# The Whittle-Levinson-Durbin Recursion

R. J. Kinnear

June 12, 2019

## Abstract

A brief overview of the Levinson-Durbin recursion for estimating autoregressive time series models is given. Whittle's generalized (multivariate) version is also expounded upon. We are essentially summarizing content from [1], [2], [3].

It will be seen that the algorithm itself provides deep insights into the structure of autoregressive time series models, and provides an indispensable algorithm in practice.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Yule-Walker Equations . . . . .	2
1.2	Estimating Covariances . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>The Recursions</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	The Levinson-Durbin Recursion . . . . .	3
2.1.1	Properties . . . . .	3
2.2	Whittle's Generalization . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Efficient Model Order Selectio</b>	<b>6</b>

## Todo list

Double check results for $\sigma_k^2$ . . . . .	3
Double check results for $\Sigma_k$ . . . . .	4
Improve step for $\Sigma_{k+1}$ . . . . .	4
BIC Criteria . . . . .	6
Implementation via WLD . . . . .	6

# 1 introduction

Suppose we have a process  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  generated by the all-pole model

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^p A(\tau)x(t-\tau) = v_t, \quad (1)$$

where  $B(0) = I_n$  and  $v_t$  is a temporally uncorrelated driving sequence with  $\mathbb{E}[v_t] = 0$ . This is closely connected to fitting  $VAR(p)$  time series models

$$\hat{x}(t) = \sum_{\tau=1}^p B(\tau)x(t-\tau), \quad (2)$$

where one can estimate  $A$  and then simply drop  $A(0) = I$  and take  $B = -A$ .

If we observe only  $x(t)$ , how do we determine  $A(\tau)$ ? An answer is provided by the Levinson-Durbin recursion. This algorithm is extremely efficient as it allows one to fit a sequence of  $VAR(p)$  models for every  $p = 1, \dots, p_{\max}$  all for the cost of inverting a single toeplitz (or block-toeplitz) matrix. This implies that there is effectively no additional cost for performing model selection (i.e. choosing  $p$ ) over and above what it costs to fit a single  $VAR(p_{\max})$  model.

## 1.1 Yule-Walker Equations

The Levinson-Durbin recursion is essentially an efficient procedure for solving the Yule-Walker equations in one dimension, and Whittle's generalization extends to the multivariate case. The Yule-Walker equations are simply

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^p A(\tau)R(s-\tau) = \delta_s \Sigma_v; s = 0, 1, \dots, p, \quad (3)$$

which describes the relationship between the coefficients  $A$  of Equation (2) and the covariance sequence  $R(\tau) = \mathbb{E}[x(t)x(t-\tau)^\top]$ . They can be derived easily by taking the model (2) and multiplying it on the right by  $x(t-\tau)^\top$  and computing the expectation.

There is a close relationship between the Yule-Walker equations and Toeplitz matrices: If one is to write out equation 3 in a large matrix format, the resulting system is a toeplitz (or block-toeplitz in the multivariate case) system<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A} = e_1 \otimes \Sigma_v, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{A} = [I \ A(1)^\top \ \dots \ A(p)^\top]^\top$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  is a (symmetric) block-toeplitz matrix consisting of blocks<sup>2</sup>  $[\mathbf{R}]_{s\tau} = R(s-\tau)^\top$ , and  $e_1 \otimes \Sigma_v = [\Sigma_v \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]$ . It is critical to notice that the variables in this equation are  $A(1), \dots, A(p)$  and  $\Sigma_v$ , so it is not written

---

<sup>1</sup>The symbol  $\otimes$  indicates the Kronecker product

<sup>2</sup>One must keep careful track of transposes.

in the usual “ $Ax = b$ ” format, but is still a linear equation. In the unidimensional case we can write

$$Ra = \sigma_v e_1, \quad (5)$$

where  $R$  is a bona-fide toeplitz matrix.

## 1.2 Estimating Covariances

Given a finite sample of data  $\{x(t)\}_{t=1}^T$ , we can treat this as an infinitely extended sequence  $\tilde{x}(t)$  where  $\tilde{x}(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$  or  $t > T$  (i.e. a rectangularly windowed sequence) and then estimate the covariance via

$$\hat{R}(\tau) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=\tau+1}^p x(t)x(t-\tau)^\top. \quad (6)$$

It is critical to use this particular covariance estimator in order to ensure that  $R(\tau)$  is a positive (semi-)definite sequence, that is, the Toeplitz matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{R} \succeq 0$ .

## 2 The Recursions

### 2.1 The Levinson-Durbin Recursion

We will first write down the Levinson-Durbin recursion, which is the unidimensional method for solving equation 3. We will consider the “API” for this Algorithm as taking as input a sequence of  $p+1$  covariance estimates  $(r(0), r(1), \dots, r(p))$  for a unidimensional ( $n = 1$ ) time series  $x(t)$ , and returning  $p+1$  variance estimates  $\sigma_0^2, \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_p^2$ , as well as a sequence  $\mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_p$  where  $\mathbf{b}_k \in \mathbb{R}^k$  provides coefficients for an  $AR(k)$  model of order  $k$ , where the estimated mean squared error of this model is given by  $\sigma_k^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(t) &= \sum_{\tau=0}^k b_k(\tau)x(t-\tau), \\ \sigma_k^2 &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (x(t) - \hat{x}(t))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(x(t) - \hat{x}(t))^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Double  
check  
results  
for  $\sigma_k^2$

The algorithm is also applicable when  $x(t) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , therefore in Algorithm 1 \* indicates complex conjugate. It is important to keep in mind that  $r(-\tau) = r(\tau)^*$

#### 2.1.1 Properties

The algorithm runs in  $O(p^2)$  time (whereas standard matrix inversion requires  $O(p^3)$  time). As well there are a number of remarkable properties associated to Algorithm 1:

1.  $|b_k(k)| \leq 1$  if and only if  $r(0), \dots, r(k)$  is positive semi-definite for  $k = 1, \dots, p$

---

**Algorithm 1:** Levinson-Durbin Recursion

---

**input** : Covariance Sequence  $r(0), \dots, r(p)$   
**output** :  $AR$  coefficients  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_p$  and error estimates  $\sigma_0^2, \dots, \sigma_p^2$   
**initialize:**  $a_0(0) = 1$   
 $\sigma_0^2 = r(0)$

```
1 for  $k = 0, \dots, p - 1$  do
2    $\gamma = \sum_{\tau=0}^k a_k(\tau)r(k - \tau + 1)$ 
3    $a_{k+1}(k + 1) = -\gamma/\sigma_k^2$  # Reflection Coefficient
4    $a_{k+1}(0) = 1$ 
5   for  $\tau = 1, \dots, k$  do
6      $a_{k+1}(\tau) = a_k(\tau) + a_{k+1}(k + 1)a_k^*(k - \tau + 1)$  # Copy to next array
7    $\sigma_{k+1}^2 = \sigma_k^2(1 - |a_{k+1}(k + 1)|^2)$ 
8    $\mathbf{b}_{k+1} = (-a_{k+1}(1), \dots, -a_{k+1}(k + 1))$  # Convert to VAR Coefficients
9   assert  $\sum_{\tau=0}^{k+1} a_{k+1}(\tau)r(s - \tau) = 0$ ; for  $s = 1, \dots, k + 1$  # Verify
10 return  $(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_p), (\sigma_0^2, \dots, \sigma_p^2)$ 
```

---

2.  $\sigma_k^2 \geq 0$  if and only if  $r(0), \dots, r(k)$  is positive semi-definite for  $k = 1, \dots, p$
3. The  $AR(k)$  model obtained from  $\mathbf{b}_k$  is stable

## 2.2 Whittle's Generalization

Whittle [3] generalized Algorithm 1 to the multivariate case. This generalization is non-trivial and requires both a *forwards* set of coefficients  $A(\tau)$ , but also a *backwards* set of coefficients  $\bar{A}(\tau)$  corresponding to the anti-causal system

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^p \bar{A}(\tau)x(t + \tau) = \bar{v}_t. \quad (8)$$

The most direct reason that the Levinson-Durbin recursion does not immediately generalize is simply because matrix multiplication is not commutative.

The algorithm will consume a sequence of covariance matrices  $R(0), \dots, R(p)$ , and return a sequence  $\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_p$  of  $VAR(k)$  model coefficients, where  $\mathbf{B}_k = (B_k(1), \dots, B_k(k))$  as well as a sequence  $\Sigma_0, \dots, \Sigma_p$  of error variance matrices where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(t) &= \sum_{\tau=1}^p B(\tau)x(t - \tau), \\ \Sigma_v &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (x(t) - \hat{x}(t))(x(t) - \hat{x}(t))^\top \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(x(t) - \hat{x}(t))(x(t) - \hat{x}(t))^\top] + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Double  
check  
results  
for  $\Sigma_k$

Keeping in mind that  $R(-\tau) = R(\tau)^H$ , we have

---

**Algorithm 2:** Whittle-Levinson-Durbin Recursion

---

```

input   : Covariance Sequence  $R(0), \dots, R(p)$ 
output  : AR coefficients  $\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_p$  and error estimates  $\Sigma_0, \dots, \Sigma_p$ 
initialize:  $A_0(0) = I, \bar{A}_0(0) = I$ 
               $\Sigma_0 = R(0), \bar{\Sigma}_0 = R(0)$ 

1 for  $k = 0, \dots, p - 1$  do
2    $\Gamma = \sum_{\tau=0}^k A_k(\tau)R(k - \tau + 1)$ 
3    $\bar{\Gamma} = \sum_{\tau=0}^k \bar{A}_k(\tau)R(\tau - k - 1)$ 
4    $A_{k+1}(k+1) = -\Gamma\bar{\Sigma}_k^{-1}$  # Use 'cholesky' and 'cho_solve' to invert
5    $\bar{A}_{k+1}(k+1) = -\bar{\Gamma}\Sigma_k^{-1}$ 
6    $A_{k+1}(0) = I, \bar{A}_{k+1}(0) = I$ 
7   for  $\tau = 1, \dots, k$  do
8      $A_{k+1}(\tau) = A_k(\tau) + A_{k+1}(k+1)\bar{A}_k(k - \tau + 1)$  # Copy to next array
9      $\bar{A}_{k+1}(\tau) = \bar{A}_k(\tau) + \bar{A}_{k+1}(k+1)A_k(k - \tau + 1)$ 
10     $\Sigma_{k+1} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{k+1} A_{k+1}(\tau)R(-\tau)$ 
11     $\bar{\Sigma}_{k+1} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{k+1} \bar{A}_{k+1}(\tau)R(\tau)$ 
12     $\mathbf{B}_{k+1} = (-A_{k+1}(1), \dots, -A_{k+1}(k+1))$  # Convert to VAR coefficients
13    assert  $\sum_{\tau=0}^{k+1} A_{k+1}(\tau)R(s - \tau) = 0$ ; for  $s = 1, \dots, k+1$  # Verify
14    assert  $\sum_{\tau=0}^{k+1} \bar{A}_{k+1}(\tau)R(\tau - s) = 0$ ; for  $s = 1, \dots, k+1$ 
15 return  $(\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_p), (\Sigma_0, \dots, \Sigma_p)$ 

```

---

Improve  
step  
for  
 $\Sigma_{k+1}$

### 3 Efficient Model Order Selectio

BIC  
Crite-  
ria

Implementa  
via  
WLD