Student No.

Name

CSCI 338 Computer Science Theory

Test 2 — 70 minutes (10 points)

Note: If you don't have a printer, you should write the answers on white papers. After you finish, scan a .pdf file to D2L (under Assignments/Test 2). Note also that this is an open book test, while all physical resources are allowed, resorting for external human help constitutes a plagiarism.

Question 1

Let R_1 be the set of all the positive real numbers less than 1, i.e.,

$$R_1 = \{x | 0 < x < 1\}.$$

Prove that R_1 is uncountable. proof: Suppose that Ri is countable, i.e., there is a correspondence between Ri and N Cas Ri is obviously infinite). Then we can list elements in R, as f(1), f(2), f(3)...,ie i f(i)

1 0.353535...
2 0.978654...
3 0.141596... we then construct x by flipping the i-th digit of f(i)

to some different value, e.g.,

$$\chi = 0.546 \cdots$$

clearly, XERI, but x + f (i) for any i.

.. f is not a correspondance between R, and N. :. Ris uncountable.

Determine whether the following grammar is ambiguous. Explain your reason.

 $S \to aSbS|bSaS|\epsilon$.

Ambiguous

The reason is that we can derive ab in 2 different ways

$$-S \Rightarrow asbs \Rightarrow asbs \Rightarrow asbs = ab$$

The solution might not be unique.

Is the language $L = \{a^i b^j c^k | i < j < k\}$ context-free? You must give enough details to justify your answer.

It is not context-free

we give a sketch of proof using the pumping lemma. - Suppose L is context free select S=app+1cp+2, where p is the pumping length, then, by the pumping lemma, s can be decomposed into S= uvxy = s.t.

- Durxy'zeL, for izo.
- 3 IVXYI & P.
- 3 luy1>0.

case 1. If vxy only contain one type of symbols then

- 1.1) vxy antain a's, v2xy2 would increase the # of a's
- 1.2) vxy contain b's, voxy would becrease the # of b's
- 1.3) vxy contain c's, voxyo would decrease the # of c's

In all cases, pumping up/down would result uvixy'z &L for

casez. If vxy outain 2 types of symbols, then uv2xy2 would contain a subsequence a.b.-a.bor P.. C .. P .. C hence can't be in L.

.. We have a contradiction to the pumping lemma. Therefore, L is not, context-free.

In class we mentioned that the general Post Correspondence Problem (PCP), i.e., when $|\Sigma| \geq 2$, is undecidable. Show that if $\Sigma = \{a\}$ then the restricted problem PCP-1 is in fact decidable.

Proof. As a domino card only uses letter a's we model each card Ci as an integer Ii, i.e.,

Ii = # of a's above the mid-line on Ci Ex. Gam-

- # of a's below the mid-line on Ci

 $\begin{array}{c}
C_1 \\
C_2 \\
C_3 \\
C_4
\end{array}$

Now we run the following algorithm:

1) If some Ij =0, return Cj and accept.

(3) If all I;'s >0, reject.

1) If all Ij's co, reject.

(P) If I; >0, IKCO, then the PCP solution is

IIKI copies of Cj followed with

I; copies of CK, accept.

Ex with only C1, C2, the solution is

Define $ALL_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle | M \text{ is a Turing machine with } L(M) = \Sigma^* \}$. Prove that ALL_{TM} is undecidable by a reduction from A_{TM} .

Proof. Assume that ALL TM is decided by a TMR, We'll construct a TMS for ATM!

- Construct a TMM':

M': on input X

- Run Mon w and accept x if Maccepts w. // M accepts w => M' accepts all x => LCM') = E* // M doesn't accept w=> M' accepts nothing => L(M') + Σ*

Now construct S for ATM:

5: on <M, w>

- 1 Constituce M'
- (3) Run R on (M')
- (3) If R accepts, accept, if R rejects, reject ... 5 is a decider for ATM, a contradiction.

: Allymis undecidable.