

## CSCCII Week 8 Notes

Review of Class Conditionals:

- Want to model  $P(x) = P(x|c_1)P(c_1) + P(x|c_2)P(c_2)$
- If  $P(c_1|x) > P(c_2|x)$ , then we classify the input as belonging to class 1.  
If  $P(c_1|x) < P(c_2|x)$ , then we classify the input as belonging to class 2.  
 $P(c_1|x) = P(c_2|x)$  is the decision boundary.
- Can also do  $\frac{P(c_1|x)}{P(c_2|x)} > 1$

or equivalently  $\ln\left(\frac{P(c_1|x)}{P(c_2|x)}\right) > 0$

- Recall that  $P(c_i|x) = \frac{P(x|c_i)P(c_i)}{P(x)}$

$$\text{Hence, } \ln\left(\frac{P(c_1|x)}{P(c_2|x)}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{P(x|c_1) \cdot P(c_1)}{P(x|c_2) \cdot P(c_2)}\right)$$

**Note:** The  $P(x)$  term cancels out.

- We can model  $P(x|c_1)$ ,  $P(x|c_2)$  as Gaussians.  
Assuming  $d$  features for each input  $x$  (I.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ), we have  $O(d^2)$  parameters. This is from the Covariance Matrix.



## Naive Bayes (NB)

- Naive Bayes aims to simplify the estimation problem by assuming that the diff input features (the diff elements of the input vector) are conditionally independent.

$$\text{I.e. } P(x|c) = \prod_{i=1}^d P(x_i|c)$$

- With this assumption, rather than estimating 1 d-dimension density, we estimate d 1-dimension densities. This is important bc each 1D Gaussian only has 2 parameters (mean and variance) both of which are scalars. Hence, the model has 2d unknowns. In the Gaussian case, the NB model replaces the dxd covariance matrix by a diagonal matrix. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  entry is the variance of  $x_i|c$ .

## Discrete Input Features

- In discrete NB, the inputs are a discrete set of features.
- Right now, we'll assume that each input either has or does not have each feature.
- Each data vector is described by a list of discrete features (I.e.  $F_{1:d} = [F_1, \dots, F_d]$ ) and for simplicity, we'll assume that each feature is binary (I.e.  $f_i = \{0, 1\}$ ).



- Consider this: We want to solve  $P(F_1, F_2, F_3 | C=1)$ .  
Without using naive bayes, we would get

Note:  $\rightarrow P(F_1, F_2, F_3 | C=1) = P(F_1 | F_2, F_3, C=1) \cdot P(F_2 | F_3, C=1) \cdot P(F_3 | C=1)$

This formula  
comes from  
the chain  
rule.

For  $P(F_3 | C=1)$ , Since we know  $F_3 = \{0, 1\}$ ,  
we can model it with 1 number.

For  $P(F_2 | F_3, C=1)$ ,  $F_2$  depends on  $F_3$  and we  
know that  $F_3$  has 2 possible values, we need to  
model 2 diff distributions.

For  $P(F_1 | F_2, F_3, C=1)$ , we need to model 4  
diff distributions.

For  $d$ -dimensional binary inputs, there are  
 $d(2^d - 1)$  parameters one needs to learn.

With Naive Bayes, only  $d$  parameters have  
to be learned.

This is because  $P(F_{1:d} | C=j) = \prod_i P(F_i | C=j)$

- Continuing with NB's way:

- Let  $a_{ij} \equiv P(F_i=1 | C=j)$

- Let  $b_j \equiv P(C=j) \leftarrow \text{Prior}$

- $P(C=j | F_{1:d}) = \frac{P(F_{1:d} | C=j) P(C=j)}{P(F_{1:d})}$

$$= \frac{(\prod_i P(F_i | C=j)) (P(C=j))}{\sum_{l=1}^K P(F_{1:d}, C=l)}$$

$$= \frac{(\prod_{i: F_i=1} a_{ij} \prod_{i: F_i=0} (1-a_{ij})) b_j}{\sum_{l=1}^K (\prod_{i: F_i=1} a_{il} \prod_{i: F_i=0} (1-a_{il})) b_l}$$



- If we wish to find the class with max posterior prob, we only need to compute the numerator.
- The computation shown on the prev page can lead to underflow. To avoid these issues, it's safer to perform the computations in the log-domain:

$$\phi_j = \left( \sum_{i: F_i=1} \ln a_{ij} + \sum_{i: F_i=0} \ln(1-a_{ij}) \right) + \ln b_j$$

$$\gamma = \min_j \phi_j$$

$$P(C=j | F_{1:d}) = \frac{\exp(\phi_j - \gamma)}{\sum_l \exp(\phi_l - \gamma)}$$

- Now, consider we have  $N$  training vectors  $F_k$ , each associated class label  $c_k$ .  
with an

Suppose there are  $N_j$  training examples of class  $j$  and  $N$  examples total. Then

$$b_j = \frac{N_j}{N} \rightarrow b_j = \frac{N_j + \beta}{N + k\beta}, \text{ where } \beta \text{ is some constant and } k \text{ is the num of classes}$$

regularization



Suppose that class  $j$  has  $N_{ij}$  examples for which the  $i^{\text{th}}$  feature is 1. Then

$$a_{ij} = \frac{N_{ij}}{N_j} \rightarrow a_{ij} = \frac{N_{ij} + \alpha}{N_j + 2\alpha}, \text{ for some small value } \alpha$$

$N_j + 2\alpha$ 
  
 Regularization

- E.g. Suppose we observe  $N$  examples of class 0 and  $M$  examples of class 1, what is the probability of observing class 0?

Soln:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_i P(C_i = j) &= \left( \prod_{i: C_i=0} P(C_i=0) \right) \left( \prod_{i: C_i=1} P(C_i=1) \right) \\ &= b_0^N \cdot b_1^M \\ &= b_0^N (1-b_0)^M \end{aligned}$$

$$L(b_0) = N \ln(b_0) + M \ln(1-b_0)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b_0} = \frac{N}{b_0} - \frac{M}{1-b_0} = 0$$

$$0 = N(1-b_0) - Mb_0$$

$$= N - Nb_0 - Mb_0$$

$$-N = -Nb_0 - Mb_0$$

$$N = Nb_0 + Mb_0$$

$$b_0^* = \frac{N}{N+M}$$



## Pros and Cons:

### 1. Pros

- Works fast due to the conditional independence assumptions.
- Works well with high-dimensional data

### 2. Cons

- The assumptions may not be easy to satisfy.