

**Functional Dependencies:**

- A **functional dependency (FD)** is a relationship between two attributes, X and Y, if for every valid instance of X, that value of X uniquely determines the value of Y. This relationship is denoted as  $X \rightarrow Y$ .  
I.e. If column X of a table uniquely identifies column Y of the same table then it can be represented as  $X \rightarrow Y$ .  
A functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$  in a relation holds if two or more tuples having the same value for X also have the same value for Y.
- The left side of the above FD notation is called the **determinant**, and the right side is the **dependent**.
- E.g.
  - a.  $SIN \rightarrow Name, Birth\ date, Address$  means that SIN determines Name, Address and Birthdate. Given a SIN, we can determine any of the other attributes within the table.
  - b.  $ISBN \rightarrow Title$  means that ISBN determines Title.
- **Types of functional dependencies:**
  1. **Multivalued dependency:**
    - **Multivalued dependency** occurs when there are more than one independent multivalued attributes in a table.
    - E.g.

Car_model	Maf_year	Color
H001	2017	Metallic
H001	2017	Green
H005	2018	Metallic
H005	2018	Blue
H010	2015	Metallic
H033	2012	Gray

In this example, maf\_year and color are independent of each other but dependent on car\_model. In this example, these two columns are said to be multivalued dependent on car\_model.

This dependence can be represented like this:

$car\_model \rightarrow maf\_year$

$car\_model \rightarrow colour$

2. **Trivial Functional dependency:**
  - The dependency of an attribute on a set of attributes is known as **trivial functional dependency** if the set of attributes includes that attribute.
  - **Note:**  $A \rightarrow A$  is always a trivial functional dependency.
  - E.g.  
Consider a table with two columns Student\_id and Student\_Name.

$\{Student\_Id, Student\_Name\} \rightarrow Student\_Id$  is a trivial functional dependency as Student\_Id is a subset of {Student\_Id, Student\_Name}.

Furthermore,  $Student\_Id \rightarrow Student\_Id$  &  $Student\_Name \rightarrow Student\_Name$  are trivial dependencies too.

### 3. Non-trivial Functional Dependency:

- A **non-trivial functional dependency** occurs when  $A \rightarrow B$  where B is not a subset of A.

I.e. If a functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$  holds true where Y is not a subset of X then this dependency is called a non-trivial functional dependency.

- E.g.

Consider an employee table with three attributes: emp\_id, emp\_name, and emp\_address.

The following functional dependencies are non-trivial:

$\text{emp\_id} \rightarrow \text{emp\_name}$  (emp\_name is not a subset of emp\_id)

$\text{emp\_id} \rightarrow \text{emp\_address}$  (emp\_address is not a subset of emp\_id)

However,  $\{\text{emp\_id}, \text{emp\_name}\} \rightarrow \text{emp\_name}$  is trivial because emp\_name is a subset of {emp\_id, emp\_name}.

### 4. Transitive Dependency:

- A functional dependency is said to be **transitive** if it is indirectly formed by two functional dependencies.

- $X \rightarrow Z$  is a transitive dependency if the following three functional dependencies hold true:

- $X \rightarrow Y$
- Y does not  $\rightarrow X$
- $Y \rightarrow Z$

- E.g.

Book	Author	Author_age
Game of Thrones	George R. R. Martin	66
Harry Potter	J. K. Rowling	49
Dying of the Light	George R. R. Martin	66

$\{\text{Book}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Author}\}$

{Author} does not  $\rightarrow$  {Book}

$\{\text{Author}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Author\_age}\}$

Therefore as per the rule of transitive dependency:

$\{\text{Book}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Author\_age}\}$  should hold. That makes sense because if we know the book name we can know the author's age.

- **Note:** A transitive dependency can only occur in a relation of three or more attributes.

### - Axioms of functional dependency:

1. If we have  $X \rightarrow Y$  and all the values in X are unique, then we know for sure that there is a valid functional dependency between X and Y.
2. Similarly, if we have  $X \rightarrow Y$  and all the values in Y are the same, then we know for sure that there is a valid functional dependency between X and Y.
3. **Reflexive Axiom:** If X is a set of attributes and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $X \rightarrow Y$ .
4. **Augmentation Axiom:** If  $X \rightarrow Y$  and Z is a set of attributes, then  $XZ \rightarrow YZ$ .
5. **Transitivity Axiom:** If  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Y \rightarrow Z$ , then  $X \rightarrow Z$ .
6. **Union Axiom:** If  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X \rightarrow Z$ , then  $X \rightarrow YZ$ .
7. **Decomposition Axiom:** If  $X \rightarrow YZ$ , then  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X \rightarrow Z$ .
8. **Pseudo Transitivity Axiom:** If  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $WY \rightarrow Z$ , then  $WX \rightarrow Z$ .

9. **Composition Axiom:** If  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Z \rightarrow W$ , then  $XZ \rightarrow YW$ .

**Note:** The reflexive, augmentation and transitivity axioms are called the **Armstrong Axioms**.

- **Closure/Attribute Closure:**
- Defined as "Given a set of attributes, what are the other attributes that can be fetched from it."
- The closure of an attribute, A, is denoted as  $A^+$ .
- **Equivalence of functional dependencies:**
- Let FD1 and FD2 are two FD sets for a relation R.
  1. If all FDs of FD1 can be derived from FDs present in FD2, we can say that  $FD1 \subseteq FD2$ .
  2. If all FDs of FD2 can be derived from FDs present in FD1, we can say that  $FD2 \subseteq FD1$ .
  3. If 1 and 2 both are true,  $FD1 = FD2$ .
- **Irreducible set of functional dependencies/Canonical Form:**
- Whenever a user updates the database, the system must check whether any of the functional dependencies are getting violated in this process. If there is a violation of dependencies in the new database state, the system must roll back. Working with a huge set of functional dependencies can cause unnecessary added computational time. This is where the canonical cover comes into play.
- A canonical cover of a set of functional dependencies F is a simplified set of functional dependencies that has the same closure as the original set F.
- **Examples:**
  1. Given the table and the functional dependencies below, show and explain which functional dependencies are valid and which are invalid.

A	B	C	D	E
a	2	3	4	5
a	2	3	6	5
2	a	3	4	5

$A \rightarrow BC$

$DE \rightarrow C$

$C \rightarrow DE$

$BC \rightarrow A$

**Soln:**

1.  $A \rightarrow BC$   
This one is valid because if you look at the table, under column A, there are 2 a's, and they both correspond to 2 in column B and 3 in column C.
2.  $DE \rightarrow C$   
This one is valid because there are 2 instances of {D: 4, E:5} and they both correspond to 3 in C.

3.  $C \rightarrow DE$ 

This one is invalid because there are 3 instances of  $\{C:3\}$  but they correspond to different values in DE.

In the second row,  $C = 3$  corresponds to  $D = 6$  and  $E = 5$  while in rows 1 and 3,  $C = 3$  corresponds to  $D = 4$  and  $E = 5$ .

4.  $BC \rightarrow A$ 

This one is valid because there are 2 instances of  $B = 2$  and  $C = 3$  and both times, they correspond to  $A = a$ .

2. Given a relational R with attributes A, B and C,  $R(A,B,C)$ , and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of A.

$A \rightarrow B$

$B \rightarrow C$

**Soln:**

$A^+ = \{A, B, C\}$  because A can determine A, and B. Furthermore, B can determine C.

3. Given a relational R with attributes A, B, C, D, E, and F,  $R(A,B,C,D,E,F)$ , and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of D and DE.

$A \rightarrow B$

$C \rightarrow DE$

$AC \rightarrow F$

$D \rightarrow AF$

$E \rightarrow CF$

**Soln:**

$D^+ = \{A, B, D, F\}$  because D can determine A, D and F. Furthermore, A can determine B.

$(DE)^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$  because D can determine A, D and F. Furthermore, A can determine B. E can determine E, C and F.

4. Given  $R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G)$  and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of AC.

$A \rightarrow B$

$BC \rightarrow DE$

$AEG \rightarrow G$

**Soln:**

$(AC)^+ = \{A, C, B, D, E\}$  because AC can determine A and C. Then, A can determine B. Then, BC can determine D and E.

5. Given  $R(A, B, C, D, E)$  and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of B.

$A \rightarrow BC$

$B \rightarrow D$

$CD \rightarrow E$

$E \rightarrow A$

**Soln:**

$B^+ = \{B, D\}$  because B can determine B and D.

6. Given  $R(A, B, C, D, E, F)$  and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of AB.

$AB \rightarrow C$

$BC \rightarrow DE$

$D \rightarrow E$

$CA \rightarrow B$

**Soln:**

$(AB)^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$

7. Given  $R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H)$  and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of BCD.

$A \rightarrow BC$

$CD \rightarrow E$

$E \rightarrow C$

$D \rightarrow AEH$

$ABH \rightarrow BD$

$DH \rightarrow BC$

$BCD \rightarrow H$

**Soln:**

$(BCD)^+ = \{B, C, D, H, E, A\}$

8. Given  $R(A, C, D, E, H)$  and the following 2 sets of functional dependencies

Set 1:

$A \rightarrow C$

$AC \rightarrow D$

$E \rightarrow ADH$

Set 2:

$A \rightarrow CD$

$E \rightarrow AH$

We want to know if the 2 sets of functional dependencies are equivalent.

**Soln:**

Step 1: Check if all of the FDs of Set 1 are in Set 2.

To do so, I will compute the closures of A, AC and E using the functional dependencies of Set 2.

$A^+ = \{A, C, D\}$  (Knowing A, I can get A, C and D.)

$(AC)^+ = \{A, C, D\}$  (Knowing A, I can get A, C and D. Knowing C, I can get C.)

$E^+ = \{E, A, H, C, D\}$  (Knowing E, I can get E, A and H. Knowing A, I can get C and D.)

Since the FDs of Set 1 are in the closure of each LHS item computed using the FDs of set 2, we know that  $\text{Set 1} \subseteq \text{Set 2}$ .

I.e.

$A^+$  in Set 1 =  $\{A, C\}$  but  $A^+$  computed using the FDs of Set 2 =  $\{A, C, D\}$ .

$(AC)^+$  in Set 1 =  $\{A, C, D\}$  but  $(AC)^+$  computed using the FDs of Set 2 =  $\{A, C, D\}$ .

$E^+$  in Set 1 =  $\{E, A, D, H\}$  but  $E^+$  computed using the FDs of Set 2 =  $\{E, A, H, C, D\}$ .

Hence,  $\text{Set 1} \subseteq \text{Set 2}$ .

Step 2: Check if all of the FDs of Set 2 are in Set 1.

To do so, I will compute the closures of A and E using the functional dependencies of Set 1.

$A^+ = \{A, C, D\}$  (Knowing A, I can get A and C. Knowing AC, I can get D.)

$E^+ = \{E, A, D, H, C\}$  (Knowing E, I can get E, A, D and H. Knowing A, I can get C.)

$A^+$  in Set 2 =  $\{A, C, D\}$  but  $A^+$  computed using the FDs of Set 1 =  $\{A, C, D\}$ .

$E^+$  in Set 2 =  $\{E, A, H\}$  but  $E^+$  computed using the FDs of Set 1 =  $\{E, A, D, H, C\}$ .

Hence,  $\text{Set 2} \subseteq \text{Set 1}$ .

Since  $\text{Set 1} \subseteq \text{Set 2}$  and  $\text{Set 2} \subseteq \text{Set 1}$ ,  $\text{Set 1} = \text{Set 2}$ .

9. Given  $R(P, Q, R, S)$  and the following 2 sets of functional dependencies

Set 1:

$P \rightarrow Q$

$Q \rightarrow R$

$R \rightarrow S$

Set 2:

$P \rightarrow QR$

$R \rightarrow S$

We want to know if the 2 sets of functional dependencies are equivalent.

**Soln:**

Step 1:

$P^+ = \{P, Q, R, S\}$  (Knowing P, I can get P, Q and R. Knowing R, I can get S.)

$Q^+ = \{Q\}$  (Knowing Q, I can get Q.)

$R^+ = \{R, S\}$  (Knowing R, I can get R and S.)

Here, Set 1  $\not\subseteq$  Set 2 because in Set 1,  $Q^+ = \{Q, R\}$  while in Set 2,  $Q^+ = \{Q\}$ .

Step 2:

$P^+ = \{P, Q, R, S\}$  (Knowing P, I can get P and Q. Knowing Q, I can get R.

Knowing R, I can get S.)

$R^+ = \{R, S\}$  (Knowing R, I can get R and S.)

Here, Set 2  $\subseteq$  Set 1.

Therefore, Set 2  $\subseteq$  Set 1.

10. Given  $R(A, B, C)$  and the following 2 sets of functional dependencies

Set 1:

$A \rightarrow B$

$B \rightarrow C$

$C \rightarrow A$

Set 2:

$A \rightarrow BC$

$B \rightarrow A$

$C \rightarrow A$

We want to know if the 2 sets of functional dependencies are equivalent.

**Soln:**

Step 1:

$A^+ = \{A, B, C\}$

$B^+ = \{B, A, C\}$

$C^+ = \{C, A, B\}$

Here, Set 1  $\subseteq$  Set 2.

Step 2:

$A^+ = \{A, B, C\}$

$B^+ = \{B, C, A\}$

$C^+ = \{C, A, B\}$

Here, Set 2  $\subseteq$  Set 1.

Therefore, Set 1 = Set 2.

11. Given  $R(V, W, X, Y, Z)$  and the following 2 sets of functional dependencies

Set 1:

$W \rightarrow X$

$WX \rightarrow Y$

$Z \rightarrow WY$

$Z \rightarrow V$

Set 2:

$W \rightarrow XY$

$Z \rightarrow WX$

We want to know if the 2 sets of functional dependencies are equivalent.

**Soln:**

Step 1:

$W^+ = \{W, X, Y\}$

$(WX)^+ = \{W, X, Y\}$

$Z^+ = \{Z, W, X, Y\}$

Here, Set 1  $\not\subseteq$  Set 2. (V is not in  $Z^+$ .)

Step 2:

$W^+ = \{W, X, Y\}$

$Z^+ = \{Z, W, Y, V, X\}$

Here, Set 2  $\subseteq$  Set 1.

Therefore, Set 2  $\subseteq$  Set 1.

12. Given  $R(W, X, Y, Z)$  and the following set of functional dependencies

$X \rightarrow W$

$WZ \rightarrow XY$

$Y \rightarrow WXZ$

We want to check for redundancy.

**Soln:**

The redundancy can occur at  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  or  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ .

Step 1: We will remove redundancies at the  $\beta$  level.

To do this, we will apply the decomposition rule.

$X \rightarrow W$

$WZ \rightarrow X$

$WZ \rightarrow Y$

$Y \rightarrow W$

$Y \rightarrow X$

$Y \rightarrow Z$



Now, we will find the closure of each item on the LHS, first with the FD and second without the FD.

Variable	With FD	Without FD
$X^+$	$\{X, W\}$	$\{X\}$ (Without $X \rightarrow W$ )
$(WZ)^+$	$\{W, Z, X, Y\}$	$\{W, Z, X, Y\}$ (Without $WZ \rightarrow X$ ) <b>Redundant</b>
$(WZ)^+$	$\{W, Z, X, Y\}$	$\{W, Z, X\}$ (Without $WZ \rightarrow Y$ )
$Y^+$	$\{Y, W, X, Z\}$	$\{Y, X, Z, W\}$ (Without $Y \rightarrow W$ ) <b>Redundant</b>
$Y^+$	$\{Y, W, X, Z\}$	$\{Y, Z, W, X\}$ (Without $Y \rightarrow X$ ) <b>Redundant</b>
$Y^+$	$\{Y, W, X, Z\}$	$\{Y, X, W\}$ (Without $Y \rightarrow Z$ )

A FD is redundant if it can be recreated some other way.

Hence, the following FDs are redundant:

$WZ \rightarrow X$

$Y \rightarrow W$

$Y \rightarrow X$

The canonical form are the following FDs:

$X \rightarrow W$

$WZ \rightarrow Y$

$Y \rightarrow Z$

Step 2: We will remove redundancies at the  $\infty$  level.

**Note:** We can't decompose  $WZ$  because if we do, we will get different closures.

$(WZ)^+ = \{W, Z, X, Y\}$

$W^+ = \{W\}$

$Z^+ = \{Z\}$

Since we can't decompose  $WZ$ , nothing changes.

13. Given  $R(A, B, C, D)$  and the following set of functional dependencies

$A \rightarrow B$   
 $C \rightarrow B$   
 $D \rightarrow ABC$   
 $AC \rightarrow D$

We want to check for redundancy.

**Soln:**

Step 1: We will remove redundancies at the  $\beta$  level.

$A \rightarrow B$   
 $C \rightarrow B$   
 $D \rightarrow A$   
 $D \rightarrow B$   
 $D \rightarrow C$   
 $AC \rightarrow D$

Variable	With FD	Without FD
$A^+$	$\{A, B\}$	$\{A\}$ (Without $A \rightarrow B$ )
$C^+$	$\{C, B\}$	$\{C\}$ (Without $C \rightarrow B$ )
$D^+$	$\{D, A, B, C\}$	$\{D, B, C\}$ (Without $D \rightarrow A$ )
$D^+$	$\{D, A, B, C\}$	$\{D, A, C, B\}$ (Without $D \rightarrow B$ ) <b>Redundant</b>
$D^+$	$\{D, A, B, C\}$	$\{D, A, B\}$ (Without $D \rightarrow C$ )
$(AC)^+$	$\{A, C, D, B\}$	$\{A, C, B\}$ (Without $AC \rightarrow D$ )

The following FD is redundant:

$D \rightarrow B$

The canonical form are the following FDs:

$A \rightarrow B$   
 $C \rightarrow B$   
 $D \rightarrow A$   
 $D \rightarrow C$   
 $AC \rightarrow D$

Step 2: We will remove redundancies at the  $\alpha$  level.

**Note:** We can't decompose AC because if we do, we will get different closures.

$(AC)^+ = \{A, C, D, B\}$

$A^+ = \{A, B\}$

$C^+ = \{C, B\}$

Since we can't decompose AC, nothing changes.

14. Given  $R(V, W, X, Y, Z)$  and the following set of functional dependencies

$V \rightarrow W$   
 $VW \rightarrow X$   
 $Y \rightarrow VXZ$

We want to check for redundancy.

**Soln:**

Step 1: We will remove redundancies at the  $\beta$  level.

$V \rightarrow W$   
 $VW \rightarrow X$   
 $Y \rightarrow V$   
 $Y \rightarrow X$   
 $Y \rightarrow Z$

Variable	With FD	Without FD
$V^+$	$\{V, W, X\}$	$\{V\}$ (Without $V \rightarrow W$ )
$(VW)^+$	$\{V, W, X\}$	$\{V, W\}$ (Without $VW \rightarrow X$ )
$Y^+$	$\{Y, V, X, Z, W\}$	$\{Y, X, Z\}$ (Without $Y \rightarrow V$ )
$Y^+$	$\{Y, V, X, Z, W\}$	$\{Y, V, Z, W, X\}$ (Without $Y \rightarrow X$ ) <b>Redundant</b>
$Y^+$	$\{Y, V, X, Z, W\}$	$\{Y, V, X, W\}$ (Without $Y \rightarrow Z$ )

The following FD is redundant:

$Y \rightarrow X$

The canonical form are the following FDs:

$V \rightarrow W$   
 $VW \rightarrow X$   
 $Y \rightarrow V$   
 $Y \rightarrow Z$

Step 2: We will remove redundancies at the  $\alpha$  level.

$(VW)^+ = \{V, W, X\}$   
 $V^+ = \{V, W, X\}$   
 $W^+ = \{W\}$

Hence, we can rewrite  $VW \rightarrow X$  into  $V \rightarrow X$ .

The canonical form are the following FDs:

$V \rightarrow W$   
 $V \rightarrow X$   
 $Y \rightarrow V$   
 $Y \rightarrow Z$