## Probability and Statistics End Sem Solutions

Q1.

Using Law of Iterated Expectation

$$E[T] = E[E[TINT]]$$

$$= NE[X] (: X; s \text{ are } iids)$$

$$= NE[X] (: X; s \text{ are } iids)$$

$$= NE[TINT] = NE[X]$$

$$= RE[TINT] = RE[X] = RE[X] = RE[X]$$

$$= RE[T] = RE[TINT] = RE[X] = RE[X]$$

$$= RE[TINT] = RE[X]$$

$$= RE[TINT] = RE[X] = RE[X] = RE[X]$$

$$= RE[TINT] = RE[X] = RE[X] = RE[X]$$

$$= RE[TINT] = RE[X] = RE[X]$$

$$= RE[X] RE[X]$$

$$= RE[X] = RE[X]$$

$$= RE[X]$$

$$=$$

2) 
$$f_{X}(m) = d_{1}e^{-d_{1}X}$$
,  $f_{Y}(y) = d_{2}e^{-d_{2}Y}$ .

 $Z = min(X,Y)$ 
 $F_{Z}(Z) = P(Z \le Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(min(X,Y) > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 
 $= 1 - P(X > Z + PY > Z)$ 

Q3.

3) 
$$F_{Y}(y) = P(X^{2} \leq Y) - P(X \leq Jy) - P(X \leq Jy)$$
 $F_{Y}(y) = F_{X}(Jy) - F_{X}(Jy)$ 
 $f_{Y}(y) = f_{X}(Jy) - F_{X}(Jy)$ 
 $f_{Y}(y) = \frac{1}{2Jy} + \frac{1}{2Jy} +$ 

## Q4.

## **Uniform Distribution**

From the definition of the continuous uniform distribution,  $\boldsymbol{X}$  has probability density function:

$$f_{X}\left(x
ight)=\left\{egin{array}{ll} rac{1}{b-a} & a\leq x\leq b \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

From the definition of a moment generating function:

$$M_{X}\left(t
ight)=\mathsf{E}\left(e^{tX}
ight)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{tx}f_{X}\left(x
ight)\,\mathrm{d}x$$

where E(.) denotes expectation

First, consider the case t 
eq 0.

Then:

$$egin{align} M_X\left(t
ight) &=& \int_{-\infty}^a 0e^{tx}\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_a^b rac{e^{tx}}{b-a}\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_b^\infty 0e^{tx}\,\mathrm{d}x \ &=& \left[rac{e^{tx}}{t\left(b-a
ight)}
ight]_a^b \ &e^{tb} - e^{ta} \ \end{aligned}$$

Primitive of  $e^{ax}$ , Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

In the case t=0, we have  $\mathsf{E}\left(X^{0}
ight)=\mathsf{E}\left(1
ight)=1$ .

## **Exponential Distribution**

From the definition of the Exponential distribution, X has probability density function:

$$f_{X}\left( x
ight) =rac{1}{eta }e^{-rac{x}{eta }}$$

From the definition of a moment generating function:

$$M_{X}\left(t
ight)=\mathsf{E}\left(e^{tX}
ight)=\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{tx}f_{X}\left(x
ight)\,\mathrm{d}x$$

Then

$$egin{aligned} M_X\left(t
ight) &=& rac{1}{eta} \int_0^\infty e^{x\left(-rac{1}{eta}+t
ight)} \, \mathrm{d}x \end{aligned} \qquad ext{Exponential of Sum} \ &=& rac{1}{eta\left(-rac{1}{eta}+t
ight)} iggl[ e^{x\left(-rac{1}{eta}+t
ight)} iggr]_0^\infty \qquad ext{Primitive of Exponential Function} \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $t>rac{1}{eta}$ , then  $e^{x\left(-rac{1}{eta}+t
ight)} o\infty$  as  $x o\infty$  by Exponential Tends to Zero and Infinity, so the integral diverges in this case.

If  $t=rac{1}{eta}$  then the integrand is identically 1, so the integral similarly diverges in this case.

If  $t<rac{1}{eta}$ , then  $e^{x\left(-rac{1}{eta}+t
ight)} o 0$  as  $x o\infty$  from Exponential Tends to Zero and Infinity, so the integral converges in this case.

Therefore, the function is only well defined for  $t<rac{1}{eta}$ 

Proceeding:

$$rac{1}{eta\left(-rac{1}{eta}+t
ight)}igg[e^{x\left(-rac{1}{eta}+t
ight)}igg]_{0}^{\infty} \ = \ rac{1}{eta\left(-rac{1}{eta}+t
ight)}(0-1)$$
 Exponential Tends to Zero and Infinity, Exponential of Zero  $= rac{1}{eta\left(rac{1}{eta}-t
ight)}$   $= rac{1}{1-eta t}$ 

Here,  $\lambda = 1/\beta$ .

There is an alternative way to get the result by applying the the Law of Total Probability:

$$P[W] = \int_Z P[W \mid Z = z] f_Z(z) dz$$

As others have done, let  $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$  and  $Y \sim \exp(\mu)$ . What follows is the only slightly unintuitive step: instead of directly calculating the PDF of Y - X, first calculate the CDF:  $P[X - Y \leq t]$  (we can then differentiate at the end).

$$P[Y - X < t] = P[Y < t + X]$$

This is where we'll apply total probability to get

$$=\int_0^\infty P[Y \le t+X \mid X=x] f_X(x) dx \ = \int_0^\infty P[Y \le t+x] f_X(x) dx = \int_0^\infty F_Y(t+x) f_X(x) dx$$

Note substituting the CDF here is only valid if  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$egin{aligned} &=\int_0^\infty (1-e^{-\mu(t+x)})\lambda e^{-\lambda x}dx =\lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x}dx -\lambda e^{-\mu t}\int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda+\mu)x}dx \ &=\lambda iggl[rac{e^{-\lambda x}}{-\lambda}iggr]_0^\infty -\lambda e^{-\mu t}iggl[rac{e^{-(\lambda+\mu)x}}{-(\lambda+\mu)}iggr]_0^\infty =1-rac{\lambda e^{-\mu t}}{\lambda+\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating this last expression gives us the PDF:

$$f_{Y-X}(t) = rac{\lambda \mu e^{-\mu t}}{\lambda + \mu} \quad ext{for } t \geq 0$$

Here,  $\lambda = \mu$ , Y = V, X = W, Y - X = V - W = Z.

If  $X_i \sim Exponential(\theta)$ , then

$$f_{X_i}(x; heta) = heta e^{- heta x} u(x),$$

where u(x) is the unit step function, i.e., u(x)=1 for  $x\geq 0$  and u(x)=0 for x<0. Thus, for  $x_i\geq 0$ , we can write

$$\begin{split} L(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4; \theta) &= f_{X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4; \theta) \\ &= f_{X_1}(x_1; \theta) f_{X_2}(x_2; \theta) f_{X_3}(x_3; \theta) f_{X_4}(x_4; \theta) \\ &= \theta^4 e^{-(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)\theta}. \end{split}$$

Since we have observed  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (1.23, 3.32, 1.98, 2.12)$ , we have

$$L(1.23, 3.32, 1.98, 2.12; \theta) = \theta^4 e^{-8.65\theta}.$$

$$L(1.23, 3.32, 1.98, 2.12; \theta) = \theta^4 e^{-8.65\theta}.$$

Here, it is easier to work with the log likelihood function,  $\ln L(1.23,3.32,1.98,2.12;\theta)$ . Specifically,

$$\ln L(1.23, 3.32, 1.98, 2.12; \theta) = 4 \ln \theta - 8.65\theta.$$

By differentiating, we obtain

$$\frac{4}{\theta}-8.65=0,$$

which results in

$$\hat{\theta}_{ML} = 0.46$$

(a) Here we define the test statistic as

$$W = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$
$$= \frac{5.96 - 5}{1/\sqrt{5}}$$
$$\approx 2.15.$$

Here,  $\alpha=.05$ , so  $z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}=z_{0.025}=1.96$ . Since  $|W|>z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ , we reject  $H_0$  and accept  $H_1$ .

(b) The 95% CI is given by

$$\left(5.96 - 1.96 * \frac{1}{\sqrt{(5)}}, 5.96 + 1.96 * \frac{1}{\sqrt{(5)}}\right) = (5.09, 6.84).$$

Since  $\mu_0$  is not included in the interval, we are able to reject the null hypothesis and conclude that  $\mu$  is not 5.

Q8' let X be a random variable showing the no. of observed heads. X = 700 (given)

let n be the total us. of times the coin is tossed. M = 1000

Assume to is true, Wie a standard normal random variable N(0,1)

$$W = \frac{x - nQ_0}{\sqrt{nQ_0(1-Q_0)}} = \frac{x - (000x_{\frac{1}{2}}x_{\frac{1}{2}})}{\sqrt{1000x_{\frac{1}{2}}x_{\frac{1}{2}}}}$$

If Ho is true, then expect x to be close to 500, while if H1 is true we expect x to be larger. Thus we can suggest the following test:

We choose a threshold c. If  $W \leqslant c$ , we accept  $H \circ ;$  otherwise we accept  $H_1$ .

1. If we require significance level  $\propto = 0.05$ , then  $C = Z_{0.05} = 1.645$ 

 $W = \frac{700 - 500}{5\sqrt{10}} = \frac{12.65}{}$ 

W>C - we reject Ho. and accept H1.

2. If significance level  $\alpha = 0.01$ , then

 $C = Z_{0.01} = 2.33$ 

W= 12.65

W>c, we reject Ho and accept H1.

3. P- value

Since here W = 12.65, we will reject to iff C < 12.65. Let  $Z_{\infty} = C$ , then

from above eg,", we will get  $\propto$ .

-. We reject Ho for < > (1- \$\phi(12-65))

 $P - value = 1 - \phi(12.65)$ 

Solution: For  $0 \le x \le 1$ , we have

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x, y) dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left( x + \frac{3}{2} y^2 \right) dy$$
$$= \left[ xy + \frac{1}{2} y^3 \right]_{0}^{1}$$
$$= x + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} x + \frac{1}{2} & 0 \le x \le 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for  $0 \le y \le 1$ , we have

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x, y) dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left( x + \frac{3}{2} y^2 \right) dx$$
$$= \left[ \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \frac{3}{2} y^2 x \right]_{0}^{1}$$
$$= \frac{3}{2} y^2 + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{2} & 0 \le y \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The MAP estimate of X, given Y = y, is the value of x that maximizes

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{x + \frac{3}{2}y^2}{\frac{3}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{2}},$$
 for  $0 \le x, y \le 1$ .

For any  $y \in [0, 1]$ , the above function is maximized at x = 1. Thus, we obtain the MAP estimate of x as

$$\hat{x}_{MAP} = 1.$$

The ML estimate of X, given Y = y, is the value of x that maximizes

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{x + \frac{3}{2}y^2}{x + \frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{\frac{3}{2}y^2 - \frac{1}{2}}{x + \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \le x, y \le 1.$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$\hat{x}_{ML} = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le y \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Solution: Since X and W are independent and normal, Y is also normal. The variance is

$$Cov(X, Y) = Cov(X, X + W)$$
  
=  $Cov(X) + Cov(X, W)$   
=  $Var(X) = \sigma_X^2$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \rho(X,Y) &= \frac{\mathrm{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_X}{\sqrt{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_W^2}}. \end{split}$$

(a) The MMSE estimator of X given Y is

$$\begin{split} \hat{X}_M &= E[X|Y] \\ &= \mu_X + \rho \sigma_X \frac{Y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_W^2} Y. \end{split}$$

(b) The MSE of this estimator is given by

$$\begin{split} E[(X - \hat{X_M})^2] &= E\left[\tilde{X}^2\right] \\ &= E[X^2] - E[\hat{X_M}^2] \\ &= \sigma_X^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_W^2}\right)^2 (\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_W^2) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_X^2 \sigma_W^2}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_W^2}. \end{split}$$