# 0

## **NOLCO Exam**

### **Duration 1h30 - Open book**

#### **EXERCICE 1.**

Consider the following nonlinear system  $([x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3]^T$  being the state vector and u the control input)

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 \cdot \cos(x_1) + x_3^2 
\dot{x}_2 = x_1 \cdot u + \sin(x_3) 
\dot{x}_3 = -x_1 \cdot x_3$$
(1)

with the output (for control)  $y = x_1$ . The control objective is to force y to 0. The single measurement is  $x_3$ .

### Structural analysis.

- 1. Analyze the accessibility of the system.
- 2. Analyze the observability of the system. Precise, if necessary, the singularities.

#### Control design.

- 3. Evaluate the relative degree of the system (1) versus the output y.
- 4. Does it exist internal dynamics (not controlled)? Justify your response. Do not analyze its stability.
- 5. Calculate a control input *u* which allows to linearize, by an input-output point-of-view, the system. Specify, if it is the case, control singularities.
- 6. Propose a controller such that the input-output behavior of the system is equivalent to a system with a damping coefficient  $\zeta$  and a proper pulsation  $\omega$ .

#### **EXERCICE 2.**

Consider the following uncertain system  $([x_1 \ x_2]^T)$  being the state vector and u being the control input)

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 
\dot{x}_2 = \gamma(t) + \beta(t) \cdot u$$
(2)

Functions  $\gamma(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$  are unknown such that

$$|\gamma(t)| \leq \gamma_M$$
 and  $0 < \beta_m \leq \beta(t) \leq \beta_M$ .

- 1. Recall the main advantages/drawbacks of the sliding mode control strategy.
- 2. Design the sliding variable  $\sigma$  allowing to force  $x_1$  towards a reference strategy  $x_1^r(t)$ , thanks to a first order sliding mode controller, in spite of the uncertainties. Justify the choice.

- 3. Give the condition on K ensuring that the previous objective can be reached thanks to the control  $u = -K \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)$ .
- 4. Suppose now that the control law strategy is based on *twisting* algorithm. In this case, how to define the sliding variable if the objective is to ensure that  $x_1$  reaches  $x_1^r(t)$  in a finite time?
- 5. What would be the interest of the *super-twisting* algorithm? In this case, is it possible to get a finite time convergence of  $x_1$  towards  $x_1^r(t)$ ? Justify.

Consider now the system  $([x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3]^T$  being the state vector and  $[u_1 \ u_2]^T$  being the control input vector)

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 \cdot \cos(x_1) 
\dot{x}_2 = x_3^2 \cdot u_1 + u_2 
\dot{x}_3 = x_1 \cdot u_2 - u_1 + x_3^2$$
(3)

The objectives are to force  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  to 0. Propose a controller allowing to decouple and to give, in closed-loop, at  $x_1$  the behavior of a second order system with a damping coefficient  $\zeta$  and a proper pulsation  $\omega$ , and at  $x_3$  the behavior of a first order system with a response time equal to  $t_r$ . Is there an internal dynamics? Justify.