

# Ecole Centrale de Nantes

## ANCOS Lab

2017/2018

**System definition.** Consider the following nonlinear system, which is based on the model of PVTOL [1]

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{x} &= -\sin(\theta)u_1 + \varepsilon \cos(\theta)u_2 \\ \ddot{z} &= \cos(\theta)u_1 + \varepsilon \sin(\theta)u_2 - 1 \\ \ddot{\theta} &= u_2\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

One assumes that the parameter is small,  $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$ .

1. Supposing that the outputs which must be stabilized at 0 are defined as  $x$  and  $z$ ,

$$y_1 = x, \quad y_2 = z$$

design a control law allowing to decouple and to linearize, by an input-output point-of-view, the nominal system (without perturbation neither uncertainties). What are the relative degrees ? Conclusion on the presence (or not) of internal dynamics ? Note that the control law  $u$  will read as

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = a(x, z) + b(x, z) \cdot w,\tag{2}$$

with the “new” control input  $w$  being designed as a linear state feedback<sup>1</sup>

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -k_{11}\dot{y}_1 - k_{12}y_1 \\ -k_{21}\dot{y}_2 - k_{22}y_2 \end{bmatrix}.\tag{3}$$

The linear controller is tuned in order to have, for the linear representation (second order system), a damping coefficient equal to 1 and a “sufficiently” fast response. Simulate the closed-loop system under Simulink (take care for the selection of integration algorithm and the step size). Plot Figures with

- coordinates  $x$  and  $z$  versus time,
- angle  $\theta$  versus time,
- control inputs  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that  $\dot{y}_1 = \dot{x}$  and  $\dot{y}_2 = \dot{z}$ .

Conclusions. Comment the behavior of the internal dynamics. Is it possible to prove its (un)stability ?

2. Consider now the previous system with  $\varepsilon = 0$ ; furthermore, suppose that some uncertainties can appear on  $\theta$ -dynamics through the time varying function  $\delta(t)$ . The dynamics of the system reads now as

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{x} &= -u_1 \sin(\theta) \\ \ddot{z} &= u_1 \cos(\theta) - 1 \\ \ddot{\theta} &= u_2 + \delta(t)\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

**Consider firstly**  $\delta(t) = 0$ . By stating  $y_1 = x$  and  $y_2 = z$ , prove that the previous system can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{y}_1 \\ \ddot{y}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha + \beta u\tag{5}$$

Analyze the structure of the matrix  $\beta$ . Conclusion.

Due to the previous analysis, it is necessary to use a dynamical state feedback controller, which implies that one need to consider that  $u_1$  and  $\dot{u}_1$  have to be viewed as new state variables. Then, from the system (4), derive a new state system and show that one gets the following input-output representation (with  $\bar{u}_* = [\ddot{u}_1 \ u_2]^T$ )

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1^{(4)} \\ y_2^{(4)} \end{bmatrix} = \alpha_* + \beta_* \cdot u_*\tag{6}$$

Simulate the closed-loop system under Simulink with NO uncertainties. Plot Figures with

- coordinates  $x$  and  $z$  versus time,
- angle  $\theta$  versus time,
- control inputs  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .

Conclusions. Add now the terms  $\delta(t) = 200$ , then  $\delta(t) = 200\sin(t)$  *only* in the model, the control law being the same. Conclusions. What would be the solution to improve the performance of the closed loop system ?

3. From the previous simulations, it is clear that the used controller is not robust. A solution is to increase the robustness by using specific methodology as **sliding mode control** [2, 3]. Detail the design methodology (sliding variable definition,

gain evaluation, ...). Simulate the closed-loop system under Simulink. Conclusions.

**4.** One consider now the control law based on adaptive sliding mode theory. The objective consists in using a dynamical gain which will be adapted, *online*, with respect to the establishment (or not) of a sliding motion. A very recent solution [4] reads as ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $\sigma_i$  being the sliding variable)

$$w_i = -K_i \cdot \text{sign}(\sigma_i) \quad (7)$$

with the gain  $K_i(t)$  defined such that

$$\dot{K}_i = \begin{cases} \bar{K} \cdot |\sigma_i| \cdot \text{sign}(|\sigma_i| - \mu_i) & \text{if } K_i > \eta_i \\ \eta_i & \text{if } K_i \leq \eta_i \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

with  $K_i(0) > 0$ ,  $\bar{K} > 0$ ,  $\eta_i > 0$  and  $\mu_i > 0$  very small. The parameter  $\eta_i$  is introduced in order to get only positive values for  $K_i$ . In the sequel, for discussion and proof, and without loss of generality but for a sake of clarity, one supposes that  $K_i(t) > \eta_i$  for all  $t > 0$ .

- 4.1** Analyze the control algorithm (how does it work ?). In particular, what is the role of the parameter  $\mu_i$  ?
- 4.2** Tune by simulation the different parameters, the objective being to obtain accuracy, robustness and stability. Plot the gain ; conclusion.
- 4.3** What would be the “best” tuning for  $\mu_i$  (please justify the answer) ? Does it work when applied on the simulator ? Show that there exists a minimal value for  $\mu_i$ , this minimal value depending on  $K_i$  and the sampling period ?

## References

- [1] Hauser, J.E., “Approximate tracking for nonlinear systems with applications to flight control”, Memorandum no. UCB/ERL/M89/99, Electronics Research Laboratory, University of Berkeley, p.79-107, 1989.
- [2] V.I. Utkin, Sliding mode in control and optimization, Springer, 1992.
- [3] V.I. Utkin, J. Guldner, and J. Shi, Sliding mode in control in electromechanical systems, Taylor & Francis, 1999.
- [4] F. Plestan, Y. Shtessel, V. Brégeault, and A. Poznyak, “New methodologies for adaptive sliding mode control”, *International Journal of Control*, Vol.83, No.9, pp.1907-1919, 2010.