

Simulator Architecture

Started July 28, 2024
last update August 11, 2024

Contents

1	World Evolution	2
1.1	Evolution cycles	2
1.2	Calculation of Δt_i	3
2	Objects	4
2.1	Parent and Child Object Management Architecture	4
2.2	Object Types	5
3	Constraints	6
4	State management	7
4.1	proposal 1	7
4.2	proposal 2	8
5	Intersection	9
6	Force Management	10
6.1	proposal 1	10
6.2	Architectural Point Of View	10

Chapter 1

World Evolution

The simulation is done by calculating and storing the state of the world at consecutive instances of time denoted by the sequence t :

$$t = (t_k)_{k=0}^{n_t-1} \quad (1.1)$$

where n_t denotes the number of time instances such that

$$T = t_{n_t} + \Delta t_{n_t} - t_0 \quad (1.2)$$

is the total duration of the simulated world. The sequence t is most likely not uniform in time. At each instance of time t_i , the time increment Δt_i is calculated according to the state of the world at t_i . Clearly,

$$T = \sum_{i=0}^{n_t-1} \Delta t_i. \quad (1.3)$$

The state of the world at t_i is denoted as s_i . The initial state of the world s_0 is a given. Although the nature of the entity s_i is irrelevant to the current discussion and is not mathematically defined here, it must satisfy the following rule.

Rule 1: *Reproducibility* Consider the sequence of states s_0, s_1, \dots, s_q corresponding to time instances t_0, t_1, \dots, t_q . For any $m < q, m \in \mathbb{N}$, if the initial state is set to s_m , the exact sequence of states must be produced to reach s_q . That is, for a new simulation with states denoted as s'_i , we have $s'_0 = s_m$ and

$$(s'_k)_{k=0}^{q-m} = (s_k)_{k=m}^q. \quad (1.4)$$

1.1 Evolution cycles

Note that offspring objects and dead objects get handled in the same cycle. So the object gets the intersection information for the new ones in the same cycle.

The `World` does the following loop

1. Collect `Object.get_delta_t()` of all objects and take the minimum.
2. Call `Object.evolve(delta_t)` of all objects and collect the offspring objects and add them to the list. **How an object knows it's time for the offspring? (if it needs any data from the world, That should be calculated first.)**

3. delete the dead objects from the list. **How dead objects know that it's time to be dead?**
4. Calculate intersections of every pair. Relevant instances of `Intersection` is given to every `Object` at this step `TODO`¹. From the `World`'s point of view, there are two possible outcomes:
 - (a) A Non-Infinitesimal Intersection (NII) has happened. The `World` handles this as follows.
 - i. discard this cycle by calling `Object.StateManager.deleteState(0)` for every `Object`. **Does this provide enough flexibility for optimizations?**
 - ii. rewind the simulation timer.
 - (b) No NII has happened. That is, there might be occurrences of infinitesimal intersections, i.e. collision, or no intersection among objects. The `Object` handles these cases. See Section 5. **Even in the case of no intersection, the objects could still use a measure of distance to update their delta-t.**
In this step, There is a chance that we discard the whole cycle, Deleting dead objects before this step is not very logical, Because the fact that they are dead depends on some conditions, e.g. intersection.

1.2 Calculation of Δt_i

This is about `Object.getDeltaT()`

- what inputs does it need from the world?

¹in all cases you can pass the intersection object. But that object should not be kept in memory. Object must be careful in handling that. how to enforce?

Chapter 2

Objects

Objects are building blocks of our simulation world. everything which exists in the simulation is treated as an object. we have an `Object` class defined in our structure.

Each object has a `State(t)` which is a function of time, and determines the `Behavior(t)` and `Properties(t)` of the object.

2.1 Parent and Child Object Management Architecture

Objects are either independent or dependent on each other A number of objects (of any type) which do not evolve independently of each other. The dependence might be established via

- Non-active system of objects
Is a system which obeys physics laws in order to evolve. this system is treated as one-unit
Because components of it are connected by mathematical constraints
each component of the system interacts with the world, each interaction is important and effects the way system evolves. 2 Questions arises:
1. Should this framework be applied here ?
2. If yes, How to determine the parent object?
Rope : is made by multiple-rods constrained to each other.
- Active system of objects
Is a system which has the ability to control its own behavior regardless of the laws of physics governing the world.
Robot : has actuators
 - Offspring Objects:
Are child objects that are born in order to help their parent perceive the state of the world and react to it.
They are temporary objects and will die once they finished their duty.

An object which consists of a number of inter-connected objects is called “Parent Object” and the constituting objects are called “child(ren) object(s)”. Here are the architectural considerations:

- How to choose the parent object?

- The children objects evolve only when called by the parent object.
- An `Object` can be a parent, a child or both. This implies that a child object can itself be the parent of a group of inter-connected objects and so on. There is no limitation on the depth of this hierarchy.
- An object can have only one parent.
- An `Object` interacts only with its immediate parent and children.
- Being a child or parent object is captured in `Object`. That is, upon construction of any `Object`, it can be given a parent object.
- The `World` handles all objects equally in its evolution cycle.
 A parent object of a group of natural inter-connected objects is an instance of `NaturalParentObject` which handles the interconnections based on the laws of physics by merely calling their `Object.evolve()`. Constraints (connections, hinges, etc) are handled elsewhere.
 this is not compatible with our definition of Non-active objects

2.2 Object Types

In this categorization, we should avoid the physics viewpoint but rather the architectural viewpoint. `Intersection` mechanism heavily depends on this to work.

- Tangible objects: which cannot have NII. These objects are likely to be the ones responding to collision, having mass, etc.
- Intangible objects: which can have NII. Examples are a beam created to measure distance and fields such as gravity.

Recall that detection of an NII in the evolution cycle of the `World` triggers a series of action if the object is tangible.

Chapter 3

Constraints

Constraints can be defined and used in 2 ways:

- Mathematical constraints:
From an architectural point of view `StateManager.constraints()` works fine when we are to update the dynamical behavior of objects.
 - This type is used to confine the degrees of freedom (DOF) of an object to limit the way it interacts with the world.
 - This type can be used to model some complex objects such as ropes or maybe strings.

Ex) Relative distance must be constant between 2 objects : is simply setting a mathematical equation between space coordinates of them.

Ex) We have an obstacle which is only allowed to move in y-direction no matter what.
- Mechanical constraints :

This type may have visual representation and is used to simulate the behavior of mechanical connections, e.g. hinge, To make inter-connected bodies.

Mechanical Constraints are instances of `Constraint` inherited from `Object` .

Chapter 4

State management

State(t) is a function of time which gives us information about the world and objects inside it, We need to be able to have the notion of state at two levels:

- World state:
The state of the world at time t is simply the collection of the states of all objects.
- Object state

Since we desire to leave room for optimization, it seems better not to mandate that all objects evolve at the same pace and have states recorded at the same set of time instances.

Optimizations might not be compatible with the reproducibility rule (Rule 1). Recall that the world evolves on a sequence of time instances with adaptively adjusted time step. Once a particular sequence is exercised, the particular time instances become the basis for Rule 1. One evident example of such incompatibility is when the initial state s_n of the world at time t_n does not exist in states sequence of a particular object o_i employing optimizations. The mentioned object has to assume a state obtained from linear interpolation. For the sake of simplicity assume that s_{n+1} at t_{n+1} does exist in the states sequence of o_i . Then it is not clear that the evolution of o_i from s_n to s_{n+1} is the same as evolution from s_{n-1} to s_{n+1} .

4.1 proposal 1

Each Object has its `StateManager`. When calling its `evolve()`, a new state is added. If required, the last state can be deleted.

- `State& StateManager.newState()` The owning object should call this in its `evolve()`. Whether it is later discarded or multiple of states are discarded is not a concern in `StateManager`.
- `void StateManager.deleteState(int stateCountFromEnd)` where the parameter is a non-positive value with 0 referring to the last state, -1 referring to the one before last and so on.
- `State& StateManager.getState(double t)` returns the state at time instance t . If not available in the simulated states, it is linearly interpolated between the immediately preceding and proceeding states.

4.2 proposal 2

There is a central `StateManager`? Saeed: just crossed my mind but I don't see much value in it. I'm keeping it, just in case.

Chapter 5

Intersection

Each pair of `Objects` get an `Intersection` object which handles their intersection. This implies is $\binom{N}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ instances which has the quadratic (polynomial) $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ order. That is, no problem with the order in the non-optimized way.

These objects can be constructed for every new pair and kept in memory not to add a significant runtime overhead of constructing and destructing objects. Either by such optimizations or not, the vector of `Intersection` instances is managed in `World.handleIntersectionPairs()` and stored in `intersectionPairs`. In the evolution cycle, all elements of the vector are looped over.

The idea is that the intersection instance provides all the necessary information to the objects pair. Here is the interface:

- `Intersection.process(int &status)` which does the calculations and update the internal variables. The immediate return value is the status which could be
 - `NON_INFinitesimal_INTERSECTION`,
 - `INFinitesimal_INTERSECTION`,
 - `COLLISION_POINTS`,
 - `COLLISION_SURFACES`,
 - `NO_INTERSECTION`.

This function is meant to be called by `World`.

- `Intersection.getContactPoints(std::vector<Point> contactPoints)`: A collision might cause several contact points depending on the geometries. **For regular convex shapes, this will not happen so no need to worry now.** This function is meant to be called by the objects. The `World` handles the situation only when an NII happens.
- `Intersection.getContactSurfaces(std::vector<Surface> contactSurfaces)` A collision might cause a surface contact. Although for ideally rigid objects this is a possible but zero-probably event, in our simulation we might have to have the option. **not now anyway.** Similar to `getContactPoints`, this function is meant to be called by the objects. The `World` handles the situation only when an NII happens.
- `Intersection.getDistance(double& distance)`: when no intersection has happened, provides a measure. **what measure?!**

The threshold and the metric for determining an NII is the job of `Intersection`

Chapter 6

Force Management

6.1 proposal 1

Force can be defined as a piece of information obtained from the following functions:

- `Intersection.II.Intangible`
- `Intersection.NII.Tangible`
If force fields are modeled as Tangible objects

Basically the number indicating the amount of intersection can be a measure to calculate the force that should be exerted on each object. By using `Intersection.getContactPoints(std::vector<Point> contactPo` we can define the effect that the force has on each object.

6.1.1 Architectural Point Of View

Since the `Force` may depend on different `Properties(t)` of the object at a given `State(t)`, Such as $I(t)$ inertia tensor or M mass. it's better to be `Double Object.ForceAndMoment(Intersection needed data)` with a returning value of ΣF and ΣM .