

Why Space Exploration Research is not an effective use of money.

From the startup phases, 1960's to now, a surge of a dilemma debate discusses whereas the space exploration research leads to a public waste of financial resources and time. Those concerns favor a growth increase in a lack of interest from the public to learn information about space exploration. Also, groups and societies that commonly defend this thought were preoccupied with the budget could be directly to the social affairs program to benefit global health, social injustice, climate change, and others. According to some statistics, this expense represents 24% of the total federal budget. Most of this funding money comes from military resources, such as aeronautics, and Nasa, and the use of it solely to directly to programs that require its utilization. there is some controversial information about this budget program, Rarest.org informs that NASA has extremely expensive and risky endeavor mission, in 2023 NASA expended 2.5 billion on mission that would last more than a decade.

Another relevant question is what benefits this exploration brought to humanity or the earth. Thus, contributions to technological and scientific advancement have benefitted many sectors, such as medicine, agriculture, engineering, providing innovations, and the multitude of things.

However, while the future is sometimes unpredictable, the scientific community and head researchers are bringing polemic plans and promises, a revolutionary colonization model on billions of planets in our galaxy. Also, at this current time, multi-billion business projects, or extra-planetary tours are great tourist attractions, funded by billionaires, such as Jeff Bezu Amazon, and Ellon Musk, among others.

Many societies and communities embrace those futuristic changes, for the reluctance aspect and thought of overall aiding people and the need for technological progress.

Though is very uncertain or unliked people want to relocate from

Earth to another planet, and worldwide people those do not find it the best alternative. Such changes are extremely costly and ineffective in many ways of thinking. For instance, cultural, religious, social values, and others. Other facts are that education and professionals should be valued, and scientific research could be extended to earth, provides better perspectives, and improve the quality of life.

Conclude there are pros and cons to the space exploration,

and many of the projects overseen by people because of these luxury tours, travels, and projects that intend to study lives on another planet seem unrealistic in relation to the commune world. Also, the scientific program could be extended to earth, using the different scientific principles to promote budget cuts and professional acquaintance.

Resources.:

<https://www.forbes.com>

<https://rarest.org>

<https://www.planetary.org>

SFIA.com