Formal written examination is an effective way to assess shokuts. Do you agree with the statement? Or

In the education system, assessment through formal worther examination is still valid?

Admittedly, evaluating learner's performance through formal written inspection has become a most question. Some people believe it is a Conventional and an effective way to test what students have imbibed in the class while others opine that this system, has a plethora of flams, so esudite educators should rather promote Continous assessment. However, I am inclined to advocate that for Comprehensive evaluation, education system oright to implement sight balance between both aforementioned methods.

Apparently, an imperative perspective in favor of formal written Judgement is that it is an official Sanction, and indispensable procedure to force the pupils to put in more efforts, to stay motivated and strive for more energetic attempt to achieve the goal. This technique is organized at fixed intervals to garge the level of knowledge in students and in case of failure, they are made to hepeat the class. To examplify, in my knowledge, a pedagogical expert sets the syllabus for exams and eventually, It is proven to improve the novice's academic achievements.

Nevertheless, opponents contend that formal written System encourage yote learning because most of the lessons in Schools are still teacher-led and contains quided learning. Thus, to fuster autonomous learning, instructors should think of other qualitative analysis tools and performance evaluation methods like oral presentation, group discussion and projects to determine both learning outcome and quality of learning and projects to determine both learning outcome and quality of learning

process. Canadian Universities sets an example worldwide and ranked top among elite Colleges because of implementing perfect blend of both formal and Continous assessment.

In Conclusion, I would like to stress that even though formal worthern examination techniques help in carly diagnosis of deviation in student's attributes and address the weaknesses before tray become irremediable, but pedagogues should also stimulate practical learning through Continuous assessment as well.