

Laboratorio 2.

Integrantes:

- Darla Albornoz
- Manfred Fernandez
- Rebeca Servellón Orellana

1. Run `process-run.py` with the following flags: `-l 5:100,5:100`. What should the CPU utilization be (e.g., the percent of time the CPU is in use?) Why do you know this? Use the `-c` and `-p` flags to see if you were right.

```
rjso@ubuntu:~/Escritorio/Lab2$ ./process-run.py -l 5:100,5:100 -c
Time    PID: 0      PID: 1      CPU      I/Os
1       RUN:cpu    READY      1
2       RUN:cpu    READY      1
3       RUN:cpu    READY      1
4       RUN:cpu    READY      1
5       RUN:cpu    READY      1
6       DONE    RUN:cpu    1
7       DONE    RUN:cpu    1
8       DONE    RUN:cpu    1
9       DONE    RUN:cpu    1
10      DONE    RUN:cpu    1
```

R/ En todas se usa el CPU el 100% de las veces.

2. Now run with these flags: `./process-run.py -l 4:100,1:0`. These flags specify one process with 4 instructions (all to use the CPU), and one that simply issues an I/O and waits for it to be done. How long does it take to complete both processes? Use `-c` and `-p` to find out if you were right.

```
rjso@ubuntu:~/Escritorio/Lab2$ ./process-run.py -l 4:100,1:0 -c
Time    PID: 0      PID: 1      CPU      I/Os
1       RUN:cpu    READY      1
2       RUN:cpu    READY      1
3       RUN:cpu    READY      1
4       RUN:cpu    READY      1
5       DONE    RUN:io      1
6       DONE    WAITING     1
7       DONE    WAITING     1
8       DONE    WAITING     1
9       DONE    WAITING     1
10*     DONE    DONE
```

R/ Le toma 10 ciclos para completar ambos procesos pues espera que termine el I/O.

3. Switch the order of the processes: `-l 1:0,4:100`. What happens now? Does switching the order matter? Why? (As always, use `-c` and `-p` to see if you were right)

```

rjso@ubuntu:~/Escritorio/Lab2$ ./process-run.py -l 1:0,4:100 -c
Time    PID: 0    PID: 1    CPU    I/Os
1       RUN:io  READY    1
2       WAITING RUN:cpu   1      1
3       WAITING RUN:cpu   1      1
4       WAITING RUN:cpu   1      1
5       WAITING RUN:cpu   1      1
6*      DONE   DONE

```

R/ Especifica un proceso con una instrucción en CPU, y el otro emite 4 instrucciones de entrada y salida. El orden sí importa, ahora los procesos terminan en menos ciclos pues se realiza de manera paralela ambos procesos al tener primero la petición de I/O.

4. We'll now explore some of the other flags. One important flag is -S, which determines how the system reacts when a process issues an I/O. With the flag set to SWITCH ON END, **the system will NOT switch to another process while one is doing I/O, instead waiting until the process is completely finished**. What happens when you run the following two processes (-l 1:0,4:100 -c -S SWITCH_ON_END), one doing I/O and the other doing CPU work?

```

rjso@ubuntu:~/Escritorio/Lab2$ ./process-run.py -l 1:0,4:100 -c -S SWITCH_ON_END
Time    PID: 0    PID: 1    CPU    I/Os
1       RUN:io  READY    1
2       WAITING READY
3       WAITING READY    1
4       WAITING READY    1
5       WAITING READY    1
6*      DONE   RUN:cpu   1
7       DONE   RUN:cpu   1
8       DONE   RUN:cpu   1
9       DONE   RUN:cpu   1

```

R/ El sistema espera a que los procesos de entrada y salida terminen completamente para seguir con el resto referentes al CPU, por lo que se gasta más tiempo durante la espera, como pasaba al tener primero la instrucción de CPU y luego la de I/O.

5. Now, run the same processes, but with the switching behavior set to switch to another process whenever one is WAITING for I/O (-l 1:0,4:100 -c -S SWITCH_ON_IO). What happens now? Use -c and -p to confirm that you are right.

```

rjso@ubuntu:~/Escritorio/Lab2$ ./process-run.py -l 1:0,4:100 -c -S SWITCH_ON_IO
Time    PID: 0    PID: 1    CPU    I/Os
1       RUN:io  READY    1
2       WAITING RUN:cpu   1      1
3       WAITING RUN:cpu   1      1
4       WAITING RUN:cpu   1      1
5       WAITING RUN:cpu   1      1
6*      DONE   DONE

```

R/ En caso de que el sistema esté esperando a que un proceso de I/O finalice, le indica que debe seguir con los otros procesos de CPU, entonces para cuando los procesos del CPU terminen, los de I/O también lo habrán hecho, como se realiza normalmente por default.

6. One other important behavior is what to do when an I/O completes. With -I IO RUN LATER, when an I/O completes, the process that issued it is not necessarily run right away; rather, whatever was running at the time keeps running. What happens when you run this combination of processes? (Run `./process-run.py -l 3:0,5:100,5:100,5:100 -S SWITCH_ON_IO -I IO_RUN_LATER -c -p`) Are system resources being effectively utilized?

```
rjso@ubuntu:~/Escritorio/Lab2$ ./process-run.py -l 3:0,5:100,5:100,5:100,
5:100 -S SWITCH_ON_IO -I IO_RUN_LATER -c -p
Time PID: 0 PID: 1 PID: 2 PID: 3 CPU
I/Os
1 RUN:io READY READY READY 1
2 WAITING RUN:cpu READY READY 1
1
3 WAITING RUN:cpu READY READY 1
1
4 WAITING RUN:cpu READY READY 1
1
5 WAITING RUN:cpu READY READY 1
1
6* READY RUN:cpu READY READY 1
7 READY DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
8 READY DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
9 READY DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
10 READY DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
11 READY DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
12 READY DONE DONE RUN:cpu 1
16 READY DONE DONE RUN:cpu 1
17 RUN:io DONE DONE DONE 1
18 WAITING DONE DONE DONE
1
19 WAITING DONE DONE DONE
1
20 WAITING DONE DONE DONE
1
21 WAITING DONE DONE DONE
1
22* RUN:io DONE DONE DONE 1
23 WAITING DONE DONE DONE
1
24 WAITING DONE DONE DONE
1
25 WAITING DONE DONE DONE
1
26 WAITING DONE DONE DONE
1
27* DONE DONE DONE DONE

Stats: Total Time 27
Stats: CPU Busy 18 (66.67%)
Stats: IO Busy 12 (44.44%)
```

R/ Los procesos que solicitan I/O se quedan esperando que los procesos que utilizan el CPU termine para ejecutarse incluso luego de estar listos para ejecutarse (por la instrucción run later), esto toma más ciclos para terminar la ejecución y gasta más recursos del CPU por la espera que se realiza.

7. Now run the same processes, but with -I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE set, which immediately runs the process that issued the I/O. How does this behavior differ? Why might running a process that just completed an I/O again be a good idea?

```

rjso@ubuntu:~/Escritorio/Lab2$ ./process-run.py -l 3:0,5:100,5:100,
5:100 -S SWITCH_ON_IO -I IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE -c -p
Time PID: 0 PID: 1 PID: 2 PID: 3 CPU
IOs
1 RUN:io READY READY READY 1
2 WAITING RUN:cpu READY READY 1
1
3 WAITING RUN:cpu READY READY 1
1
4 WAITING RUN:cpu READY READY 1
1
5 WAITING RUN:cpu READY READY 1
1
6* RUN:io READY READY READY 1
7 WAITING RUN:cpu READY READY 1
1
8 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
9 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
10 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
11* RUN:io DONE READY READY 1
12 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
13 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
8 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
9 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
10 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
11* RUN:io DONE READY READY 1
12 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
13 WAITING DONE RUN:cpu READY 1
1
14 WAITING DONE DONE RUN:cpu 1
1
15 WAITING DONE DONE RUN:cpu 1
1
16* DONE DONE DONE RUN:cpu 1
17 DONE DONE DONE RUN:cpu 1
18 DONE DONE DONE RUN:cpu 1

Stats: Total Time 18
Stats: CPU Busy 18 (100.00%)
Stats: IO Busy 12 (66.67%)

```

R/ De esta forma los procesos de I/O se realizan en paralelo a los que utilizan el CPU por lo que es buena idea para ahorrar ciclos.

8. Now run with some randomly generated processes: `-s 1 -l 3:50,3:50` or `-s 2 -l 3:50,3:50` or `-s 3 -l 3:50,3:50`. See if you can predict how the trace will turn out. What happens when you use the flag `-l IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE` vs. `-l IO_RUN_LATER`? What happens when you use `-S SWITCH_ON_IO` vs. `-S SWITCH_ON_END`?

**`-s 1 -l 3:50,3:50` or `-s 2 -l 3:50,3:50` or `-s 3 -l 3:50,3:50`
`-S SWITCH_ON_IO` and `-l IO_RUN_IMMEDIATE`**

```
darla@DESKTOP-TGH1R6R ~/OS-2022 ./process-run.py -s 1 -l 3:50,3:50 -c -p
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  IOs
1     RUN:cpu  READY  1
2     RUN:io   READY  1
3     WAITING  RUN:cpu  1  1
4     WAITING  RUN:cpu  1  1
5     WAITING  RUN:cpu  1  1
6     WAITING  DONE    1
7*    RUN:io   DONE    1
8     WAITING  DONE    1  1
9     WAITING  DONE    1  1
10    WAITING  DONE    1  1
11    WAITING  DONE    1
12*   DONE    DONE
Stats: Total Time 12
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (50.00%)
Stats: IO Busy 8 (66.67%)
```

```
darla@DESKTOP-TGH1R6R ~/OS-2022 ./process-run.py -s 2 -l 3:50,3:50 -c -p
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  IOs
1     RUN:io  READY  1
2     WAITING  RUN:cpu  1  1
3     WAITING  RUN:io   1  1
4     WAITING  WAITING  2
5     WAITING  WAITING  2
6*    RUN:io   WAITING  1  1
7     WAITING  WAITING  2
8*    WAITING  RUN:io   1  1
9     WAITING  WAITING  2
10    WAITING  WAITING  2
11*   RUN:cpu  WAITING  1  1
12    DONE    WAITING
13*   DONE    DONE
Stats: Total Time 13
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (46.15%)
Stats: IO Busy 11 (84.62%)
```

```
darla@DESKTOP-TGH1R6R ~/OS-2022 ./process-run.py -s 3 -l 3:50,3:50 -c -p
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  IOs
1     RUN:cpu  READY  1
2     RUN:io   READY  1
3     WAITING  RUN:io   1  1
4     WAITING  WAITING  2
5     WAITING  WAITING  2
6     WAITING  WAITING  2
7*    RUN:cpu  WAITING  1  1
8*    DONE    RUN:io   1
9     DONE    WAITING  1
10    DONE    WAITING  1
11    DONE    WAITING  1
12    DONE    WAITING  1
13*   DONE    RUN:cpu   1
Stats: Total Time 13
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (46.15%)
Stats: IO Busy 9 (69.23%)
```

`-l IO_RUN_LATER` and `-S SWITCH_ON_END`

```
darla@DESKTOP-TGH1R6R ~/OS-2022 ./process-run.py -s 1 -l 3:50,3:50 -c -p -l IO_RUN_LATER -S SWITCH_ON_END
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  IOs
1     RUN:cpu  READY  1
2     RUN:io   READY  1
3     WAITING  READY  1  1
4     WAITING  READY  1  1
5     WAITING  READY  1  1
6     WAITING  READY  1  1
7*    RUN:io   READY  1  1
8     WAITING  READY  1  1
9     WAITING  READY  1  1
10    WAITING  READY  1  1
11    WAITING  READY  1
12*   DONE    RUN:cpu   1
13    DONE    RUN:cpu   1
14    DONE    RUN:cpu   1
Stats: Total Time 14
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (42.86%)
Stats: IO Busy 8 (57.14%)
```

```
darla@DESKTOP-TGH1R6R ~/OS-2022 ./process-run.py -s 2 -l 3:50,3:50 -c -p -l IO_RUN_LATER -S SWITCH_ON_END
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  IOs
1     RUN:io  READY  1
2     WAITING  READY  1  1
3     WAITING  READY  1  1
4     WAITING  READY  1  1
5     WAITING  READY  1  1
6*    RUN:io  READY  1  1
7     WAITING  READY  1  1
8     WAITING  READY  1  1
9     WAITING  READY  1  1
10    WAITING  READY  1  1
11*   RUN:cpu  READY  1
12    DONE    RUN:cpu   1
13    DONE    RUN:io   1
14    DONE    WAITING  1
15    DONE    WAITING  1
16    DONE    WAITING  1
17    DONE    WAITING  1
18*   DONE    RUN:io   1
19    DONE    WAITING  1
20    DONE    WAITING  1
21    DONE    WAITING  1
22    DONE    WAITING  1
23*   DONE    DONE
Stats: Total Time 23
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (26.09%)
Stats: IO Busy 16 (69.57%)
```

```

darla@DESKTOP-TGH1R6R ~/OS-2022 ./process-run.py -s 3 -l 3:50,3:50 -c -p -I IO_RUN_LATER -S SWITCH_ON_END
Time  PID: 0  PID: 1  CPU  I/Os
1     RUN:cpu  READY    1
2     RUN:io   READY    1
3     WAITING  READY    1
4     WAITING  READY    1
5     WAITING  READY    1
6     WAITING  READY    1
7*    RUN:cpu  READY    1
8     DONE    RUN:io   1
9     DONE    WAITING   1
10    DONE    WAITING   1
11    DONE    WAITING   1
12    DONE    WAITING   1
13*   DONE    RUN:io   1
14    DONE    WAITING   1
15    DONE    WAITING   1
16    DONE    WAITING   1
17    DONE    WAITING   1
18*   DONE    RUN:cpu   1

Stats: Total Time 18
Stats: CPU Busy 6 (33.33%)
Stats: IO Busy 12 (66.67%)

```

R/ En los 3 casos se observa una disminución en el porcentaje de uso del CPU (CPU Busy) pues el I/O luego de estar listo para ejecutarse espera que el CPU termine para poder realizar la operación.