

# Peer Review 2

## Preparedness

Was the team prepared for the demonstration?

The team was well prepared for the demonstration despite a slight technical difficulty.

Were they able to demonstrate their product? If not you will be required to discover the issues in a non-judgemental manner of why a demonstration was not possible.

The team was able to demonstrate their product with no errors (except for a browser crash).

## Expectations

As the clients, you set and agreed to the general expectations for the end of the current sprint.

Did the team deliver the user stories agreed to from the sprint plan?

The team delivered almost all the user stories agreed to from the sprint plan, however some weren't completed to the same high standard as the rest of the stories.

Do all acceptance criteria for all stories pass? How confident did the team appear that the criteria would pass? If some acceptance criteria didn't pass did the team claim that the story was done or not?

All acceptance criteria for almost all stories pass. The team appeared very confident that the criteria would pass. They didn't claim that incomplete stories were actually complete.

Were there at least some stories that passed all of their acceptance criteria?

Most stories passed all of their acceptance criteria

If there were deviations from the sprint plan, did the team provide clear explanation of their reasons for deviation? Did the team communicate the potential for these deviations prior to the end of the sprint?

There were no deviations with major impacts to the functionality of the product.

With respect to the product being developed, does the product developed so far deliver business value?

The product developed so far delivers business value.

## **Technical**

Was the presentation made at the right level for the stakeholders?

The presentation was made at the right level for the stakeholders.

Is the system architecture suitable for the product being delivered? Was the developer team able to clearly articulate the system architecture and their rationale for choosing it?

The system architecture is suitable for the product being delivered. The developer team briefly touched on the system architecture but not their rationale for choosing it

Were there any technical difficulties in the product demonstration? If so, were they able to continue to demonstrate other capabilities of the product?

The big screen they were going to use for the presentation was having issues but they were able to continue their presentation on the smaller laptop screen of one of the members. They had to open the website multiple times in order to demonstrate processes such as password resetting, which could have been more efficient. A browser crash also occurred during the demonstration, however the team was able to continue effectively.

Were any defects in the product detected during the presentation on which feedback could be provided?

The pop-up alerts were sub-par in comparison to the rest of the product and there was an issue with the donation function not allowing the user to confirm or cancel their donation.

## **Professionalism of the Presentation**

Was the product presentation clear and coherent?

The product presentation was clear and coherent. The speaker was confident, clear and knowledgeable.

Were explanations of the product clear?

The explanations of the product were clear and easy to understand.

Did the product presentation appear to have been prepared in advance or did it appear to be an ad hoc presentation?

The presentation appeared to be somewhat of an ad hoc presentation but as the presenter was very knowledgeable about the product, the presentation was able to flow.

Was the demonstration confidently performed? If problems were encountered during the demonstration how smoothly did the team recover?

The demonstration was confidently performed and if they encountered any problems, the team was able to smoothly recover.

The demonstration was performed confidently. The only error which occurred was a browser crash, the team was able to recover by simply reopening the browser. No problems arose regarding their product.

Were questions confidently answered?

The speaker answered all feedback and questions confidently.

Did it appear as if all members of the team were involved in the project?

No, it was clear that only one member was heavily involved in the project development. This was obvious as some members were unaware of some of the functionalities of their product.

