

# BSM PDE Derivation by Ito's Lemma

Ruben Traicevski

January 2024

## 1 The PDE

The Black Scholes PDE is defined as follows

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} = rV, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad 0 < S < \infty$$

With boundary conditions needed for whatever suitable derivative. For example, the European Call Option

$$\begin{cases} Call : C_{euro}(S, T) = \max(S - K, 0) & \text{for all } S \\ C_{euro}(0, t) = 0 & \text{for all } t \\ C_{euro}(S, t) \sim S & \text{as } S \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

We should also note for later, the assumptions made that underpin the model (This is from the original paper).

- 1) The short-term interest rate is known and is constant through time
- 2) The stock pays no dividends or other distributions.
- 3) The option is "European," that is, it can only be exercised at maturity (Very important for boundary conditions)
- 4) There are no transaction costs in buying or selling the stock or the option.
- 5) The stock price follows a random walk in continuous time with a variance rate proportional to the square of the stock price. Thus the distribution of possible stock prices at the end of any finite interval is log-normal. The variance rate of the return on the stock is constant .
- 6) It is possible to borrow any fraction of the price of a security to buy it or to hold it, at the short-term interest rate.
- 7) There are no penalties to short selling. A seller who does not own a security will simply accept the price of the security from a buyer, and will agree to settle with the buyer on some future date by paying him an amount equal to the price of the security on that date.

## 2 Ito's Lemma

Let  $X_t$  be a stochastic process that satisfies

$$dX_t = b(t, W_t)dt + \alpha(t, W_t)dW_t$$

Further suppose we have  $F_t = f(t, X_t)$  which is twice continuously differentiable. Then we shall use the following form of Ito's Lemma,

$$dF_t = [\partial_t f(t, X_t) + b(W_t, t)\partial_x f(t, X_t) + \frac{b(W_t, t)^2}{2}\partial_x^2 f(t, X_t)]dt + \alpha(W_t, t)\partial_x f(t, X_t)dW_t$$

## 3 Derivation

With the above in mind, we will show a very similar way of deriving the BSM PDE.

Similarly to the original paper, we shall suppose that the Stock price follows an Ito Process, thus we have an SDE,

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t$$

Where  $W_t$  is a Wiener process or Brownian Motion, with  $\sigma$ , the percentage volatility, and  $\mu$ , percentage drift, are constants.

Now we further suppose that  $f(t, S)$  is an at least twice differentiable function for the price of a derivative contingent on  $S$ . Then using Ito's lemma with the following,  $b(t, W_t) = \mu S_t$  and  $\alpha(t, W_t) = \sigma S_t$  gives,

$$dF_t = [\partial_t f(t, S) + \mu S_t \partial_x f(t, S) + \frac{(\sigma S_t)^2}{2} \partial_x^2 f(t, S)] dt + \sigma S_t \partial_x f(t, S) dW_t$$

Now without proving how, we'll claim that Ito Process describing  $S$  and our  $DF_t$  can be discretized over a time period  $\Delta t$ ,

$$\Delta S_t = \mu S_t \Delta t + \sigma S_t \Delta W_t$$

and

$$\Delta F_t = \Delta f = [\partial_t f(t, S) + \mu S_t \partial_x f(t, S) + \frac{(\sigma S_t)^2}{2} \partial_x^2 f(t, S)] \Delta t + \sigma S_t \partial_x f(t, S) \Delta W_t$$

Now we shall consider a delta hedge portfolio, which will consist of one short option  $-f$  and long  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial S}$  shares at time  $t$ . The value of the Portfolio is,

$$P = -f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} S$$

or in discrete form,

$$\Delta P = -\Delta f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \Delta S$$

which is the profit or loss over the time period  $[t, t + \Delta t]$

Now we will substitute in our two discretized equations into the discretized portfolio,

$$P = -([\partial_t f(t, S) + \mu S_t \partial_x f(t, S) + \frac{(\sigma S_t)^2}{2} \partial_x^2 f(t, S)] \Delta t + \sigma S_t \partial_x f(t, S) \Delta W_t) + \partial_S f(t, S) [\mu S_t \Delta t + \sigma S_t \Delta W_t] S$$

After distributing all the terms and canceling terms, we obtain,

$$\Delta P = (-\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2) \Delta t$$

This is important because the  $\Delta W_t$  term is now gone, meaning the portfolio is risk less during the time period  $[t, t + \Delta t]$ .

Then considering the assumptions we defined for the model, the risk less portfolio we have created will earn instantaneous rates of return over the time period  $[t, t + \Delta t]$  given by,

$$\Delta P = r P \Delta t$$

Substituting in the two following relations found above,

$$\Delta P = (-\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2) \Delta t \quad P = -f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} S \quad (1)$$

will give

$$(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2) \Delta t = r(-f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} S) \Delta t$$

and when rearranged,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} + r S \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} = r f$$

Which is the BSM PDE we gave at the start of the paper