

Box 1.

In the 1912 International Congress of Mathematicians in Cambridge, England, the renowned mathematician Edmund Landau cited the following four problems as ‘hopeless’ (in terms of finding a solution in the near future):

1. Every even number greater than two is the sum of two primes.
2. Between any consecutive squares there is a prime number.
3. There are infinitely many twin primes.
4. There are infinitely many primes which are one more than a perfect square.

Even today, the status of each of the problems except the third one cannot be considered completely different.

The third problem on twin primes is said to be from the ancient Greek times, but the first published reference is due to Alphonse de Polignac in 1849.

The problem asks whether there are infinitely many pairs $p, p+2$ which are both primes. The number of such pairs – even if shown to be infinite – is rather sparse, in the sense that the sum of their reciprocals is a finite number. No finite number N was known to exist having the property that there are infinitely many pairs $p, p + N$ both of which are primes.

On the 17th of April, 2013, a comparatively unknown mathematician named Yitang Zhang, submitted a proof that there are infinitely many pairs p, q of primes which differ by less than 7 crores. This is a major breakthrough vis-a-vis the twin prime problem!

B Sury, Editor

applicability of earlier theorems. (A number is said to be y -smooth if all its prime factors are less than y .) The rudimentary background in analytic number theory is readily obtained from [2] and [3]. This can be followed by a careful study of [4] and the three papers [5], [6], [7].

1. Introduction and History

Let p_1, p_2, \dots be the ascending sequence of prime numbers. The twin prime problem is the question of whether there are infinitely many pairs of primes (p, q) with $|p - q| = 2$. This problem is usually attributed to the ancient Greeks, but this is very much Greek mythology and there is no documentary evidence to support it. The first published reference to this question appeared in 1849 by Alphonse de Polignac who conjectured more generally that for any given even number $2a$, there are infinitely many pairs of primes such that $|p - q| = 2a$.

Zhang's proof depends on major milestones of 20th century number theory and algebraic geometry. Thus, it is definitely a 21st century theorem!

In a recent paper [1] in the *Annals of Mathematics*, Yitang Zhang proved that there are infinitely many pairs of distinct primes (p, q) with

$$|p - q| < 7 \times 10^7.$$

His proof depends on major milestones of 20th century number theory and algebraic geometry. Thus, it is definitely a 21st century theorem! Undoubtedly, his paper opens the door for further improvements and it is our goal to discuss some of these below.

After de Polignac's conjecture, the first serious paper on the subject was by Viggo Brun in 1915, who, after studying the Eratosthenes sieve, developed a new sieve, now called the Brun sieve, to study twin primes and related questions. He proved that

$$\sum_{p: p+2 \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p} < \infty.$$

By contrast, the sum of the reciprocals of the primes diverges and so, this result shows that (in some sense) if there are infinitely many twin primes, they are very sparse.

A few years later, in 1923, Hardy and Littlewood [8], made a more precise conjecture on the number of twin primes up to x . They predicted that this number is (see p.371 of [9])

$$2 \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right) \frac{x}{\log^2 x}.$$

Here, the symbol $A(x) \sim B(x)$ means that $A(x)/B(x)$ tends to 1 as x tends to infinity.

They used the circle method, originally discovered by Ramanujan and later developed by Hardy and Ramanujan in their research related to the partition function. After Ramanujan's untimely death, it was taken further

by Hardy and Littlewood in their series of papers on Waring's problem. (The circle method is also called the 'Hardy–Littlewood method' by some mathematicians.) In the third paper of this series, they realized the potential of the circle method to make precise conjectures regarding additive questions, such as the Goldbach conjecture and the twin prime problem.

Based on heuristic reasoning, it is not difficult to see why such a conjecture should be true. The prime number theorem tells us that the number of primes $\leq x$, up to x , is asymptotically $x/\log x$. Thus, the probability that a random number in $[1, x]$ is prime is $1/\log x$ and so the probability that both n and $n+2$ are prime is about $1/\log^2 x$. The constant is a bit more delicate to conjecture and is best derived using the theory of Ramanujan–Fourier series expansion of the von Mangoldt function as in a recent paper of Gadiyar and Padma [8] (see also [11] for a nice exposition). However, it is possible to proceed as follows. By the unique factorization theorem of the natural numbers, we can write

$$\log n = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d),$$

where $\Lambda(d) = \log p$ if d is a power of a prime p and zero otherwise. This is called the von Mangoldt function. By the Möbius inversion formula, we have for $n > 1$

$$\Lambda(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log \frac{n}{d} = - \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log d,$$

since $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 1$ if $n = 1$ and zero otherwise. Thus, to count twin primes, it is natural to study

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \Lambda(p+2),$$

where the sum is over primes p less than x . Using the formula for $\Lambda(n)$, the sum above becomes

$$- \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{d|p+2} \mu(d) \log d = - \sum_{d \leq x+h} \mu(d) \log d \sum_{p \leq x, p \equiv -2 \pmod{d}} 1.$$

The circle method has the potential to make precise conjectures regarding additive questions such as the Goldbach conjecture and the twin prime problem.

The innermost sum is the number of primes $p \leq x$ that are congruent to $-2 \pmod{d}$, which for d odd is asymptotic to $\pi(x)/\phi(d)$, where $\pi(x)$ is the number of primes upto x , and ϕ is Euler's function. Ignoring the error terms, our main term now is asymptotic to

$$= \sum_{d \leq x+2, d \text{ odd}} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{\phi(d)}.$$

This suggests that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p+2} = \sum_{d > 1, d \text{ odd}} \frac{\mu(d) \log d}{\phi(d)}.$$

The infinite series in the brackets is not absolutely convergent. However, it converges conditionally and can be evaluated as follows.

Consider the Dirichlet series

$$F(s) := \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d) d^s},$$

which converges absolutely for $\sigma > 0$. Now, $F(s)$ admits an Euler product

$$\prod_{p, (p,2)=1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s(p-1)} \right)$$

which resembles $1/(s+1)$ (with the 2-Euler factor removed) and so it is natural to write the product as

$$(s+1)^{-1} (1-2^{-s-1})^{-1} \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{p^{s+1}} \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s(p-1)} \right).$$

It is now easy to see that the Euler product converges absolutely for $\sigma > 0$ and this gives an analytic continuation of $F(s)$ for $\sigma > 0$. The twin prime constant is now $F(0)$ and because $1/(s+1)$ has a simple pole at

$s = 0$ with residue 1, the term $(s + 1)^{-1}$ has a zero at $s = 0$. Thus, our heuristic reasoning gives

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{(p+2)} \sim \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p > 2} \frac{1}{(p-1)^2},$$

which agrees with the Hardy–Littlewood conjecture (after applying partial summation). A similar argument provides the conjectured formula of Hardy and Littlewood for the number of prime pairs that differ by an even number $2h$.

The reader will note that one can make the above argument precise by introducing the error terms

$$E(x, d, a) := \sum_{d \leq x+2} \frac{1}{d^a} - \frac{(x)}{(d)}$$

and it is easy to see that the error term in our calculation is

$$\sum_{d \leq x+2} E(x, d, -2).$$

The Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem states that

$$\sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{1}{d^A} |E(x, d, -2)| \ll \frac{x}{\log^A x}$$

for any $A > 0$ and $Q \ll x^{1/2} \log^{-B} x$, where $B = B(A)$ is a function of A . In fact, one can take $B(A) = A + 5$ (see page 161 of [3]). Elliott and Halberstam [12] have conjectured that the result is valid for any $Q < x^{1-}$ for any > 0 . Even admitting this conjecture, we see that the interval $[x^{1-}, x]$ still needs to be treated. It is this obstacle that motivates the use of truncated von Mangoldt functions:

$$\Lambda_D(n) := \sum_{d|n, d < D} \mu(d) \log(D/d)$$

and more generally

$$\Lambda_D(n; a) := \frac{1}{a!} \sum_{d|n, d < D} \mu(d) \log^a(D/d)$$

as will be indicated below.

2. The Basic Strategy of Zhang's Proof

Let $\Lambda(n) = \log n$ if n is prime and zero otherwise. We will use the notation $n \sim x$ to mean that $x < n < 2x$. Now, suppose we can find a positive real-valued function f such that for

$$S_1 = \sum_{n \sim x} f(n),$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{n \sim x} (\Lambda(n) + \Lambda(n+2)) f(n),$$

we have

$$S_2 - (\log 3x) S_1 > 0,$$

for sufficiently large x . Then we can deduce that there exists an n such that n and $n+2$ are both prime with $x < n < 2x$. Such a technique and a method to choose optimal functions f goes back to the 1950's and is rooted in the Selberg sieve. See for example [2] for a short introduction to the Selberg sieve.

The problem as posed above is intractable. So we generalize the problem and consider sets

$$H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k\}.$$

It is reasonable to expect (under suitable conditions) that there are infinitely many n such that $n + h_1, n + h_2, \dots, n + h_k$ are all prime. This would be a form of the generalized twin prime problem and was first enunciated in the paper by Hardy and Littlewood alluded to above. Clearly, we need to put some conditions on H . Indeed, if for some prime p the image of $H \pmod{p}$ has size p , then all the residue classes are represented by p so that in the sequence,

$$n + h_1, n + h_2, \dots, n + h_k$$

there will always be some element divisible by p and it is unreasonable to expect that for infinitely many n all of

these numbers are prime numbers. So a necessary condition is that $\rho_p(H) = |H| \pmod{p} < p$ for every prime p . Under such a condition, the set is called *admissible* and we expect this to be the only local obstruction.

Zhang [1] proves:

Theorem 1. *Suppose that H is admissible with $k \leq 3.5 \times 10^6$. Then, there are infinitely many positive integers n such that the set*

$$\{n + h_1, \dots, n + h_k\}$$

contains at least two primes. Consequently,

$$\liminf_n (p_{n+1} - p_n) < 7 \times 10^7.$$

In other words, $p_{n+1} - p_n$ is bounded by 7×10^7 for infinitely many n .

Zhang shows that the second assertion follows from the first if we choose for H a set of $k_0 = 3.5 \times 10^6$ primes lying in the interval $[3.5 \times 10^6, 7 \times 10^7]$. This can be done since

$$(7 \times 10^7) - (3.5 \times 10^6) > 3.5 \times 10^6$$

from known explicit upper and lower bounds for $\pi(x)$ due to Dusart [13]. That such a set of primes is admissible is easily checked. Indeed, if $p > k_0$, $\rho_p(H) = k_0 < p$. If $p \leq k_0$ and $\rho_p(H) = p$, then one of the prime elements is divisible by p and hence equal to p , a contradiction since we chose elements of H to be primes $> k_0$.

The main strategy of the proof goes back to the paper by Goldston, Pintz and Yıldırım [4] where they consider

$$S_1 = \sum_{n \leq x}^A f(n),$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{n \leq x}^A \sum_{h \leq H}^A (n + h) \sum_{h \in H}^A f(n).$$

The main strategy of Zhang's proof goes back to the paper by Goldston, Pintz and Yıldırım.

The idea is to show that for some admissible H , we have

$$S_2 - (\log 3x) S_1 > 0.$$

This would imply that there are at least two primes among the sequence

$$n + h_1, \dots, n + h_k.$$

They choose, $f(n) = \frac{1}{(k+2)!} \sum_{d|P(n), d < D} \mu(d) g(d)$ with

$$g(d) = \log \frac{D}{d}^{k+2},$$

where μ denotes the familiar Möbius function and

$$P(n) = \prod_{h \in H} (n + h).$$

and

$$P(n) = \prod_{h \in H} (n + h).$$

What is now needed is a good *upper bound* for S_1 and a good *lower bound* for S_2 . This is the same strategy adopted in [4]. To elaborate, let $C_i(d)$ be the set of solutions (mod d) for $P(n - h_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ and define the *singular series* S by

$$S = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\rho_p(H)}{p} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-k-2}.$$

With

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{(k+2)!} \sum_{n \leq x} S(\log D)^{k+2} + o((\log x)^{k+2})$$

and

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{(k+2+1)!} \sum_{n \leq x} S(\log D)^{k+2+1} + o((\log x)^{k+2+1}),$$

the argument of [4] leads to

$$S_2 - (\log 3x) S_1 = (kT_2 - (\log x) T_1)x + O(x(\log x)^{k+1}) + O(E),$$

where

$$E = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{d < D^2} \mu(d) \sum_{c \in C_i(d)} \sum_{n \in x, n \equiv c \pmod{d}} \Lambda(n) \quad (\cdot; d, c)$$

and

$$(\cdot; d, c) = \sum_{n \in x, n \equiv c \pmod{d}} \Lambda(n) - \frac{1}{(d)} \sum_{n \in x} \Lambda(n).$$

We write $a(x) = o(b(x))$ if $a(x)/b(x)$ tends to zero as x tends to infinity. We also write for non-negative $b(x)$, $a(x) = O(b(x))$ (or $a(x) \ll b(x)$) if there is a constant K such that $|a(x)| \leq Kb(x)$ for all x .

Let us look at the main term. A quick calculation shows that it is

$$\frac{2k(2k+1)}{(k+2k+1)(k+1)} \log D - \log x \times \frac{(\log D)^{k+2}}{(k+2)!} \sum_{n \in x} \Lambda(n)^2 Sx.$$

We need to choose D so that the term in brackets is positive. Let $D = x^{\frac{1}{k+2}}$. The term in brackets is positive provided

$$\frac{2k(2k+1)}{(k+2k+1)(k+1)} - 1 > 0.$$

That is, we need

$$\begin{aligned} &> \frac{(k+2k+1)(k+1)}{2k(2k+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} + 1 + \frac{2k+1}{k} + 1 + \frac{1}{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The constant k in Zhang's theorem has been reduced to 1466 and the gap between consecutive primes is now at most 12006 infinitely often.

From this, we see that if k and δ are chosen to be sufficiently large and δ/k is sufficiently small, the quantity on the right side is asymptotic to $1/4$. Thus, if we can choose $\delta > 1/4$ then we can find choices of k and δ for which the main term is positive.

The error term is easily recognized to be related to the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem which shows that for any $\delta < 1/4$, the error is negligible. So we seem to be at an impasse. However, a well-known conjecture of Elliott and Halberstam [12] predicts that the error is negligible for *any* $\delta < 1/2$. This is where things stood in 2005 after the appearance of the paper [4].

The new contribution of Zhang is that in the sums T_1 and T_2 (which are actually defined as terms involving the Möbius function and $g(d)$), he notes that terms with divisors d having a large prime divisor are relatively small. So if we let P be the product of primes less than a small power of x and impose the condition that d/P in the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem then he is able to establish the following:

Theorem 2. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d < D^2, d/P \leq c \\ C_i(d)}} \frac{x}{\log^A x} / (\delta; d, c) / \frac{x}{\log^A x},$$

for any $A > 0$ and $D = x^\delta$ with $\delta = 1/4 + 1/1168$.

This theorem is the new innovation. Zhang admits that his choice of k may not be optimal and that the optimal value of k is “an open problem that will not be discussed in this paper.”

After the appearance of Zhang's paper, several blogs have discussed improvements, the most notable being Tao's blog [14] and another blog [15], where (as of July 10, 2013), k in Zhang's theorem has been reduced to 1466 and the gap between consecutive primes is now

at most 12,006 infinitely often. These are encouraging developments and perhaps we are well on our way to resolving the twin prime conjecture in the foreseeable future.

3. A Closer Look at S_1 and S_2

The analysis of S_1 and S_2 follows closely the treatment in [4] but with a small change. Zhang [1] observes that it is convenient to introduce the condition d/P with P being the product of primes less than x with $\frac{1}{P} = 1/1168$. With this understanding, the terms S_1 and S_2 are easily handled by direct expansion of the square. Indeed, the first term for S_1 is

$$\sum_{\substack{q \leq D \\ q|P}} \sum_{\substack{r \leq D \\ r|P}} \mu(q)\mu(r)g(q)g(r) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ [q,r]|P(n)}} 1.$$

Following Zhang, let $\chi_1(q)$ be the number of solutions of the congruence $P(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ for q squarefree and zero otherwise. By the Chinese remainder theorem, this is a multiplicative function and we have $\chi_1(p) = k$ if p is coprime to

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} |h_i - h_j|$$

and in general, $\chi_1(p) = k$. Thus, the innermost sum is

$$x \frac{\chi_1([q, r])}{[q, r]} + O(\chi_1([q, r])),$$

where the implied constant is bounded by unity. The main term of S_1 is

$$x \sum_{\substack{q \leq D \\ q|P}} \sum_{\substack{r \leq D \\ r|P}} \mu(q)\mu(r)g(q)g(r) \frac{([q, r])}{[q, r]} + O(D^{2+\epsilon}),$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ since $([q, r]) \leq k^{([q, r])}$, where $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of n . Elementary number theory shows that this function is $O(D)$ for $q, r \leq D$ and any $\epsilon > 0$.

We let $d_0 = (q, r)$ and write $q = d_0 d_1$, $r = d_0 d_2$ with $(d_1, d_2) = 1$. The sum S_1 now becomes

$$T_1 X + O(D^{2+}),$$

where

$$T_1 = \sum_{\substack{d_0 | P \\ d_1 | P \\ d_2 | P}} \frac{\mu(d_1) \mu(d_2) \chi(d_0 d_1 d_2)}{d_0 d_1 d_2} g(d_0 d_1) g(d_0 d_2).$$

The point is that the same sum without the restriction $d_i | P$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ has already been studied in [4] and the initial section of Zhang's paper is devoted to showing that (essentially) the same asymptotic formula of [4] (namely the formula for T_1 given above) still holds with the extra condition $d | P$. (More precisely, what is derived is an upper bound for T_1 which is within e^{-1200} of T_1 .)

To derive a lower bound for S_2 , we have (after a minor change of variables)

$$S_2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{n \leq x} (n - h_i)^2.$$

We expand the square, interchange summation and obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\substack{q | P \\ r | P}} \mu(q) \mu(r) g(q) g(r) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ [q, r] | P(n - h_i)}} 1 (n).$$

To handle the innermost sum, we observe that the condition

$$P(n - h_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \quad (n, d) = 1$$

is equivalent to $n \equiv c \pmod{d}$ for some $c \in C_i(d)$. For $d = p$, a prime, this number is the number of distinct residue classes $(\bmod p)$ occupied by the set $\{h_i - h_j : h_i \equiv h_j \pmod{p}\}$ which is $\phi(H) - 1$. Thus, defining a multiplicative function (supported only on squarefree

The error term is estimated using Theorem 2. Indeed, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$E_i = \frac{A}{A} \frac{A}{A} \frac{A}{A} \frac{2}{3}(d) \frac{2}{2}(d) / (; d, c) \frac{1}{2} A$$

$$d < D^2 c \quad C_i(d)$$

$$\times \frac{A}{A} \frac{A}{A} \frac{A}{A} \frac{1}{2} A / (; d, c) \frac{1}{2} A$$

$$d < D^2, d/P c \quad C_i(d)$$

RESONANCE © August 2013

On the first factor, we use the trivial estimate

$$|(\cdot; d, c)| \leq \frac{x}{d} + 1$$

and see (by elementary number theory) that its contribution is at most a power of a logarithm. For the second factor, we use Theorem 2 to save the few powers of logarithm. Thus,

$$S_2 = kT_2x + O(x(\log x)^{-A}).$$

As before, we can rewrite T_2 as

$$T_2 = \sum_{\substack{d_0, d_1, d_2 \leq x \\ d_0 d_1 d_2 \leq x}} \frac{\mu(d_1 d_2) \chi_2(d_0 d_1 d_2)}{(d_0 d_1 d_2)} g(d_0 d_1) g(d_0 d_2).$$

Again, this sum without the restriction $d_i \leq x$ $i = 0, 1, 2$ was treated in [4] and shown to have the asymptotic behaviour given by T_2 . Zhang shows that T_2 does not differ much from T_2 (more precisely, that it is within a factor of $e^{-1181.579}$ of T_2). Thus,

$$S_2 - (\log 3x) S_1 = O(x(\log x)^{k+2+A} + o(x(\log x)^{k+2+A})),$$

where

$$= \frac{1}{(k+2)!} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{2(2+A)k}{(d+1)(k+2+A)} - \frac{1}{d}$$

nearly. For $A = 1/4 + 1/1168$, it is easily verified that > 0 .

This calculation already shows that if we have the Elliott–Halberstam conjecture, then for some k_0 and any admissible k_0 -tuple H , the set $\{n+h : h \in H\}$ contains at least two primes for infinitely many values of n . (Tao has labelled this as DHL[$k_0, 2$].) Farkas, Pintz and Revesz [16] made this relationship a bit more precise as follows. Suppose we have for any $A > 0$,

$$\max_{\substack{d < Q \\ (a,d)=1}} |E(x, d, a)| \leq \frac{x}{\log^A x},$$

with $Q = x$. We call this the modified Elliott–Halberstam conjecture EH[]. Let j_n denote the first positive zero of the Bessel function (of the first kind) $J_n(x)$ given by the power series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2^{2j+n} j! (n+j)!} x^{2j+n}.$$

Then we may take any $k_0 \geq 2$ which satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{j_{k_0-2}^2}{k_0(k_0-1)} < 2$$

and for this k_0 we have DHL[$k_0, 2$]. The left-hand side is greater than 1 and tends to 1 as k_0 tends to infinity.

4. Variations of Bombieri–Vinogradov Theorem and Extensions

Since we are unable to prove EH[] for any $\theta > 1/2$, we look for some suitable modification. Based on the works of Motohashi, Pintz and Zhang, Tao [14] makes the following conjecture which he labels as MPZ[θ, δ]: let H be a fixed k_0 -tuple (not necessarily admissible) with $k_0 \geq 2$. Fix w and set W to be the product of the primes less than w . Let $b \pmod{W}$ be a coprime residue class and put $I = (w, x)$. Let S_I be the set of squarefree numbers all of whose prime factors lie in I . Put

$$b, W(\theta; q, a) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x, n \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ n \text{ squarefree}}} \left(P(n) - \frac{1}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x, n \equiv b \pmod{W}}} P(n) \right)$$

and $C(q)$ is the set of zeros (\pmod{q}) of the polynomial $P(n)$. Then the conjecture MPZ[θ, δ] is that

$$\sum_{\substack{q < x^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta} \\ q \text{ squarefree}}} \sum_{a \in C(q)} |b, W(\theta; q, a)| \ll \frac{x}{\log^A x}$$

An important idea in all proofs of the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem is to decompose the von Mangoldt function into sums of ‘short sums’.

for any fixed $A > 0$. Zhang proved that $\text{MPZ}[\delta, \delta]$ holds for any $0 < \delta < 1/1168$. Apparently, Zhang’s argument can be extended to show that $\text{MPZ}[\delta, \delta]$ is true provided

$$207\delta + 43\delta^2 < \frac{1}{4}.$$

The relationship of the MPZ conjecture to the DHL conjecture is given by (see [14]) the following result. Let $0 < \delta < 1/4$ and $0 < \delta < 1/4 + \delta$. Let $k_0 \geq 2$ be an integer which satisfies

$$1 + 4\delta > \frac{j_{k_0-2}^2}{k_0(k_0-1)}(1 + \delta),$$

where

$$j_n := \sum_{\substack{A \\ n < \frac{1+4}{2}}}^A \left(1 - \frac{2n}{1+4} \right)^{A_{k_0/2}} \sum_{j=1}^A (1 + 3k_0 \log(1 + \frac{1}{j})).$$

Then $\text{MPZ}[\delta, \delta]$ implies $\text{DHL}[k_0, 2]$. It is the fine tuning of this theorem along with other observations (regarding admissible sets) that have led to the numerical improvements in Zhang’s theorem.

Thus, to prove $\text{MPZ}[\delta, \delta]$, we may restrict our moduli to be in the range $(x^{1/2-\delta}, x^{1/2+2\delta})$ since the initial range $(1, x^{1/2-\delta})$ can be treated using the classical Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem. Also, it is not difficult to see that Λ can be replaced by the von Mangoldt function Λ since the contribution from prime powers (squares and higher) can be shown to be negligible.

An important idea in all proofs of Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem is to decompose the von Mangoldt function into sums of ‘short sums’. To be precise, let us define the Dirichlet convolution of two arithmetic functions f, g to be

$$(f * g)(n) := \sum_{d|n}^A f(d)g(n/d).$$

Let $L(n) = \log n$, $1(n) = 1$ for all n and set $\mu(n) = 1$ if $n = 1$ and zero otherwise. Then,

$$\mu = \mu \cdot L \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \mu \cdot 1.$$

If we write f^{*n} to denote the n -fold Dirichlet convolution, then

$$\mu^{*10} = 1^{*9} \cdot L$$

is a fact utilised by Zhang (in his Lemma 6) to decompose the von Mangoldt function into 'short sums'. Let $x > (2x)^{1/10}$ and write $\mu = \mu_{\leq x} + \mu_{>x}$, where in the first term, μ is restricted to $[1, x]$ and in the second, to the range $> x$. Clearly

$$\mu_{>x}^{*10} = 1^{*9} \cdot L = 0,$$

since $n > x$ cannot be factored as a product of 10 terms each larger than x . Thus, writing $\mu_{>x} = \mu - \mu_{\leq x}$ and using the binomial formula we see easily that

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{10} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{10}{j} \mu_{\leq x}^{*j} = 1^{*(j-1)} \cdot L$$

which is an identity of Heath-Brown (but the idea of decomposing arithmetic functions in this way goes back to Linnik).

One can also use the formal identity

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{(s)}{(s)} &= -\frac{(s)}{1 + ((s) - 1)} \\ &= (s)(-1 + ((s) - 1) - ((s) - 1)^2 + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

This allows one to write the von Mangoldt function as a sum of divisor functions and in this way one reduces the study of primes in arithmetic progressions to the study of divisor sums in arithmetic progressions.

In any case, one uses this decomposition of μ in the treatment of d in the range $[x^{1/2-\epsilon}, x^{1/2+\epsilon}]$. The decomposition leads to three kinds of sums (called Type I,

Writing the von Mangoldt function as a sum of divisor functions reduces the study of primes in arithmetic progressions to the study of divisor sums in arithmetic progressions.

Zhang's analysis leads to the question of estimating hyper-Kloosterman sums for which Bombieri and Birch have given estimates using Deligne's work on the Weil conjectures.

II and III in the literature, not to be confused with the types occurring in the Vaughan method). The first type involves convolutions $\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n)$, where χ is supported on the interval $[x^{3/8+8\epsilon}, x^{1/2-4\epsilon}]$ which forces the argument of χ to be in $[x^{1/2+4\epsilon}, x^{5/8-8\epsilon}]$. Type II sums again involve convolutions of the form $\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n)$, but with χ now supported on $[x^{1/2-4\epsilon}, x^{1/2}]$ so that χ is supported on $[x^{1/2}, x^{1/2+4\epsilon}]$, and Type III are the remaining types.

In 1976, Motohashi [17] derived a general induction principle to derive theorems of Bombieri–Vinogradov type for a wide class of arithmetical functions. Much of the treatment of these types of sums follows earlier work of Bombieri, Friedlander and Iwaniec [5] and one needs to verify that the estimates are still valid with the extra condition d/P . The point to note is that in the range under consideration, namely $d > x^{1/2-\epsilon}$, the condition that d/P means we can factor d as $d = rq$ with r lying in a suitable interval. This factorization turns out to be crucial in the estimates. Thus, ‘smoothness’ of d is essential in this part of the argument.

Another noteworthy point involves Zhang's estimation of type III sums. His analysis leads to the question of estimating hyper-Kloosterman sums for which Bombieri and Birch have given estimates using Deligne's work on the Weil conjectures. Therefore, this work on the twin prime problem is very much a 21st century theorem!

Suggested Reading

- [1] Y Zhang, Bounded gaps between primes, *Annals of Mathematics*, 2013.
- [2] M Ram Murty, *Problems in analytic number theory*, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2008.
- [3] H Davenport, *Multiplicative number theory*, 3rd Edition, Springer, 2000.
- [4] D Goldston, J Pintz and C Y Yildirim, Primes in Tuples, I, *Annals of Math.*, Vol.170, pp.819–862, 2009.
- [5] E Bombieri, J Friedlander and H Iwaniec, Primes in arithmetic progressions to large moduli, *Acta Math.*, Vol.156, pp.203–251, 1986.

- [6] E Bombieri, J Friedlander and H Iwaniec, Primes in arithmetic progressions to large moduli II, *Math. Annalen*, Vol.277, pp.361–393, 1987.
- [7] E Bombieri, J Friedlander and H Iwaniec, Primes in arithmetic progressions to large moduli III, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.2, pp.215–224, 1989.
- [8] G H Hardy and J E Littlewood, Some problems of Partitio Numerorum III: on the expression of a number as a sum of primes, *Acta Math.*, Vol.44, pp.1–70, 1923.
- [9] G H Hardy and E M Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 6th Edition, Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [10] H G Gadiyar and R Padma, Ramanujan-Fourier series, the Wiener-Khinchine formula and the distribution of prime pairs, *Phys. A.*, Vol.269, No.2–4, pp.503–510, 1999.
- [11] B Bagchi, A promising approach to the twin prime problem, *Resonance*, Vol.8, No.3, pp.26–31, 2003.
- [12] P D T A Elliott and H Halberstam, A conjecture in number theory, *Symp. Math.*, Vol.4, pp.59–72, 1968.
- [13] P Dusart, *Autour de la fonction qui compte le nombre de nombres premiers*, PhD Thesis, Université de Limoges, 1998.
- [14] T Tao's blog: terrytao.wordpress.com/tag/yoichi-motohashi/
- [15] michaelnielsen.org/polymath1/index.php?title=Bounded_gaps_between_primes
- [16] B Farkas, J Pintz and S Revesz, On the optimal weight function in the Goldston–Pintz–Yildirim method for finding small gaps between consecutive primes, to appear.
- [17] Y Motohashi, An induction principle for the generalization of Bombieri's prime number theorem, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, Vol.52, No.6, pp.273–275, 1976.

Address for Correspondence

M Ram Murty

Department of Mathematics

Queen's University

Kingston, Ontario

K7L 3NR, Canada

Email:

murty@mast.queensu.ca