Practical assignment 3
Group 9

Nathan Buskulic/4947916 Tomas Thorbjarnarson/4917480
Ruth Guimarey Docampo/4935462 Thorunn Arna Omarsdottir/4917499
Rukai Yin/4837371

Question 2.e

By analyzing the HardHeaded Opponent Model trying to find a way to improve it we noticed that the weight of a value is increased only if the same value is repeated two times in a row. But, what does happen if the same value is repeated more often than the other values but not consecutively? The weight of this value should be increased accordingly to its apparition. We should take into account also that, supposing that the opponent follows a conceding strategy over time, the most repeated values in the first bids should have a greater value than the most repeated ones after the opponent starts conceding harder due to the negotiation deadline being closer in time. This happens because those repeated values on the conceding part of the opponent's strategy, correspond with the ones of the reservation values, values with weights below which the opponent does not unacceptable to bid.

Taking all this into account, we decided to change the weights of the values accordingly its apparition on the opponent's bids, comparing the changes occurred to the last 5 bids, being able to identify the most important value, and also maintaining the most weighted value for an issue in the beginning part of the negotiation so that the analysis done is not wasted in the ending part of the negotiation.

Question 2.f

Since our opponent model will be by definition imperfect, trying to find the best bid using only the opponent utility seems like a bad idea. In order to solve this problem, we want to take into account the Hamming distance of our bids to the last opponent bid (in other words, it is the number of issue values that differs between the two bids). Indeed, the Hamming distance will give us information about how close we are to the opponent bid. The problem is that the Hamming distance alone doesn't take into account the weight of the issues, thus we want to use a combination of the Hamming distance and the utility estimation of our opponent model.

The new problem that arises is knowing how important the Hamming distance should be compared to the utility estimation. We will use a simple weighted average that can

be parametrised by a parameter w. The formula that we are using is the following :

$$bidValue = \frac{w * (1 - Hamming(bid, oldOpponentBid)) + opponentUtility(bid)}{w + 1}$$

In order to find the best bid, we just have to take the bid with the highest $bidValue$.

Our new OpponentModel is time dependent which mean that we will at each time step update the model.