Documentation on Longest Repeating Character Replacement

Table of Contents

- 1. Problem Statement
- 2. Intuition
- 3. Key Observations
- 4. Approach
- 5. Edge Cases
- 6. Complexity Analysis
 - o <u>Time Complexity</u>
 - o Space Complexity
- 7. Alternative Approaches
- 8. Test Cases
- 9. Final Thoughts

1. Problem Statement

Given a string s consisting of uppercase English letters and an integer k, you can replace at most k characters in s to make the longest possible substring with the same character.

Return the length of the longest substring that can be formed.

Example 1:

- Input: s = "ABAB", k = 2
- Output: 4
- Explanation: Replace the two 'A's with 'B's or vice versa.

Example 2:

- Input: s = "AABABBA", k = 1
- Output: 4
- Explanation: Replace one 'A' to form "AABBBBA", longest substring = "BBBB" (length 4).

Constraints:

- 1≤s.length≤10⁵
- s consists of only uppercase English letters.
- 0≤k≤s.length

2. Intuition

The problem requires us to determine the longest contiguous substring with repeating characters, allowing up to k changes. Instead of brute-forcing all possible substrings, we can use the **Sliding Window** technique to efficiently track the most frequent character and adjust the window size dynamically.

3. Key Observations

- 1. The length of a valid window should be such that at most k characters are different from the most frequent character.
- 2. If max_freq is the count of the most frequent character in the current window, then the number of characters that need to be changed is:
- 3. Window_Size max_freq

This value should be at most k.

- 4. If the condition is violated, shrink the window from the left.
- 5. The maximum valid window encountered will be our answer.

4. Approach

We use a **Sliding Window** approach:

- 1. **Expand the window** by moving right and update the frequency count of characters.
- 2. Calculate the most frequent character count in the window (max_freq).
- 3. If (right left + 1) $\max_{\text{freq}} > k$, shrink the window from the left.
- 4. Keep track of the maximum window size found.

Algorithm Steps:

- 1. Initialize:
 - count = {} to track character frequencies.
 - \circ left = 0 to mark the start of the window.
 - o max_freq = 0 to track the most frequent character in the window.
 - o max length = 0 to store the maximum length of a valid substring.
- 2. Iterate over right:
 - o Update the frequency of s[right].
 - Update max_freq.
 - o Check if the window is valid (window_size max_freq \leq k).
 - \circ If invalid, shrink the window (left += 1).
 - Update max_length.
- 3. Return max_length.

5. Edge Cases

- 1. Single character string:
 - \circ Example: s = "A", k = 0
 - o Expected output: 1
- 2. String with all same characters:
 - o Example: s = "AAAA", k = 2
 - o Expected output: 4
- 3. String with distinct characters and k = 0:
 - \circ Example: s = "ABCDEF", k = 0
 - o Expected output: 1
- 4. k is large enough to replace the entire string:
 - \circ Example: s = "ABABAB", k = 6
 - o Expected output: 6
- 5. Empty string (s = ""):
 - o Expected output: 0

6. Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity

- The right pointer moves through the string **O(N)** times.
- The left pointer also moves through the string O(N) times in the worst case.
- Total: O(N) + O(N) = O(N).

Space Complexity

- We store character frequencies in a dictionary of at most 26 entries (O(1)).
- Other variables (max_freq, left, right) use O(1) space.
- Overall: O(1).

7. Alternative Approaches

Brute Force (O(N2))

- Try all substrings and check if they can be converted with at most k replacements.
- Not feasible for large constraints (10⁵).

Binary Search + Sliding Window (O(N log N))

• Use binary search to find the maximum valid window size.

- Check validity using a sliding window for each length.
- More complex but still efficient.

8. Test Cases

```
solution = Solution()
print(solution.characterReplacement("ABAB", 2)) # Output: 4
print(solution.characterReplacement("AABABBA", 1)) # Output: 4
print(solution.characterReplacement("AAAA", 2)) # Output: 4
print(solution.characterReplacement("ABCDEF", 0)) # Output: 1
print(solution.characterReplacement("ABABAB", 6)) # Output: 6
print(solution.characterReplacement("", 2)) # Output: 0
print(solution.characterReplacement("A", 0)) # Output: 1
```

9. Final Thoughts

- The **Sliding Window** approach provides an optimal **O(N)** solution.
- This problem is a **classic example of the sliding window technique**, widely used in substring problems.
- Understanding how to maintain a valid window and adjust it dynamically is key.
- Alternative approaches exist but are less efficient.