Documentation for Minimum Moves to Equal Array Elements

Table of Contents

- 1. Problem Statement
- 2. Intuition
- 3. Key Observations
- 4. Approach
- 5. Edge Cases
- 6. Complexity Analysis
 - o <u>Time Complexity</u>
 - o Space Complexity
- 7. <u>Alternative Approaches</u>
- 8. Test Cases
- 9. Final Thoughts

1. Problem Statement

Given an integer array number of size n, return the minimum number of moves required to make all array elements equal.

Constraints

- 1≤nums.length≤10⁵
- -10⁹≤nums[i]≤10⁹
- The answer is guaranteed to fit in a 32-bit integer.

Operations Allowed

• In one move, you can increment n-1 elements by 1.

Example 1

Input:nums = [1,2,3]

Output:3

Explanation:

Only three moves are needed:

- $\bullet \quad [1,2,3] \rightarrow [2,3,3]$
- $\bullet \quad [2,3,3] \rightarrow [3,4,3]$
- $\bullet \quad [3,4,3] \rightarrow [4,4,4]$

Example 2

Input:nums = [1,1,1]

Output:0

Explanation: All elements are already equal, so no moves are needed.

2. Intuition

Instead of trying to increase n-1 elements, we can think of the problem in reverse:

- Instead of incrementing n-1 elements, we can decrement one element at a time.
- The optimal way to make all elements equal is to bring all elements down to the minimum value in the array.

3. Key Observations

- The number of moves required to equalize all elements is the sum of differences between each element and the minimum element in the array.
- Mathematically, this can be represented as:

 $Moves = \sum (num - min(nums))$

4. Approach

- Find the minimum element in nums (min_num).
- Compute the sum of differences between each element and min_num.
- Return the total sum as the answer.

5. Edge Cases

- i. All elements are already equal
 - a. Example: [5,5,5]
 - b. Moves required: 0
- ii. Contains negative numbers
 - a. Example: [-1,2,3]
 - b. Moves required: 3 + 0 + 1 = 4
- iii. Single-element array
 - a. Example: [7]
 - b. Moves required: 0
- iv. Large input size (10⁵ elements)
 - a. The algorithm should run in O(n) time to be efficient.

6. Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity

- Finding the minimum element: O(n)
- Calculating the sum of differences: O(n)
- Total Complexity: O(n)

Space Complexity

- We use only a few extra variables: min_num and a sum accumulator.
- Space Complexity: O(1) (constant space)

7. Alternative Approaches

Brute Force Approach O(n²)

- Increment n-1 elements iteratively until all elements become equal.
- Inefficient for large n, as it requires too many operations.

Sorting Approach O(nlogn)

- i. Sort the array.
- ii. Choose a target value (e.g., the median).
- iii. Calculate the number of moves to make all elements equal to this value.
- Sorting takes O(nlogn), making it less optimal than the O(n) approach.

8. Test Cases

Test Case 1: Basic Input

Input:nums =
$$[1,2,3]$$

Output: 3

Test Case 2: Already Equal Elements

Input: nums =
$$\lceil 1, 1, 1 \rceil$$

Output: 0

Test Case 3: Negative Numbers

Input: nums =
$$\begin{bmatrix} -1,2,3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Output: 4

Test Case 4: Large Input

Output: 0

9. Final Thoughts

- This problem can be efficiently solved in O(n) using a mathematical approach.
- The key insight is that increasing n-1 elements is equivalent to decrementing 1 element.
- The optimal way to solve it is to bring all elements down to the minimum value rather than increasing everything to a maximum.
- The implementation is simple, efficient, and works for large inputs.