

■ Generate Random Point in a Circle - Documentation

1. Problem Statement

Design a class `Solution` that generates a random point uniformly inside a circle, including points on the boundary.

Class Signature:

```
class Solution:

    def __init__(self, radius: float, x_center: float, y_center: float):
        ...

    def randPoint(self) -> List[float]:
        ...
```

Input:

- A float `radius`, and two floats `x_center`, `y_center` representing the circle.
- Up to 30,000 calls to `randPoint()`.

Output:

- Each call to `randPoint()` returns a list `[x, y]` that lies within the circle.

2. Intuition

To generate points uniformly inside a circle:

- Using a square and checking if the point lies within the circle is inefficient.
- Instead, sample angle and radius in polar coordinates, then convert to Cartesian.

3. Key Observations

- Random angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$
- Radius must be scaled using $\sqrt{\text{random}}$ for uniform area distribution
- Convert polar to Cartesian:
 - $x = x_center + r * \cos(\theta)$
 - $y = y_center + r * \sin(\theta)$

4. Approach

1. Initialization:

- Store radius, x_center , and y_center .

2. Generating Random Point:

- Generate random angle $\in [0, 2\pi)$
- Generate random $r = \sqrt{U} * \text{radius}$, where $U \in [0, 1]$
- Convert to Cartesian:
- $x = x_center + r * \cos(\text{angle})$
- $y = y_center + r * \sin(\text{angle})$

5. Edge Cases

- Very small or very large radius values (handled by float precision)
- Center at negative coordinates
- Points exactly on the boundary (allowed)
- High volume of calls (30,000+) → ensure performance

6. Complexity Analysis

□ Time Complexity:

- $O(1)$ per call to $\text{randPoint}()$ — Constant time to compute coordinates.

□ Space Complexity:

- $O(1)$ — Only storing constants (radius, x_center , y_center)

7. Alternative Approaches

Method	Description	Pros	Cons
Rejection Sampling	Randomly pick in bounding square, reject if outside circle	Conceptually simple	Inefficient (~21% rejection rate)
Polar Coordinates ✓	Sample angle and radius using \sqrt{U}	Efficient and uniform	Slightly more math involved

8. Test Cases

✓ Basic Test

```
obj = Solution(1.0, 0.0, 0.0)
print(obj.randPoint()) # Example: [0.1123, -0.7421]
```

✓ Non-zero Center

```
obj = Solution(2.0, 3.0, 4.0)
print(obj.randPoint()) # Example: [4.234, 5.982]
```

✓ Edge Case - Small Radius

```
obj = Solution(0.0001, 1.0, 1.0)
print(obj.randPoint()) # Should be very close to (1.0, 1.0)
```

9. Final Thoughts

- Using polar coordinates with a scaled radius is the most optimal and mathematically correct way to uniformly sample points in a circle.
- Ensures performance even with high number of calls.
- Covers all edge cases, including boundary conditions.