

Documentation for Minimum Number of Arrows to Burst Balloons

Table of Contents

1. [Problem Statement](#)
2. [Intuition](#)
3. [Key Observations](#)
4. [Approach](#)
5. [Edge Cases](#)
6. [Complexity Analysis](#)
 - o [Time Complexity](#)
 - o [Space Complexity](#)
7. [Alternative Approaches](#)
8. [Test Cases](#)
9. [Final Thoughts](#)

1. Problem Statement

You are given a set of spherical balloons, each represented as a 2D integer array points, where:

- $\text{points}[i] = [\text{x}_{\text{start}}, \text{x}_{\text{end}}]$ denotes a balloon with a horizontal diameter between x_{start} and x_{end} .

You can shoot arrows vertically upwards from any x position.

- A balloon $[\text{x}_{\text{start}}, \text{x}_{\text{end}}]$ is burst if $\text{x}_{\text{start}} \leq x \leq \text{x}_{\text{end}}$.
- Arrows travel infinitely upward, bursting all balloons in their path.

Goal: Find the minimum number of arrows required to burst all balloons.

Example 1

Input: points = $[[10,16],[2,8],[1,6],[7,12]]$

Output:2

Explanation:

- Arrow 1 at $x=6$ bursts $[1,6]$ and $[2,8]$.
- Arrow 2 at $x=11$ bursts $[7,12]$ and $[10,16]$.

Example 2

Input: points = $[[1,2],[3,4],[5,6],[7,8]]$

Output: 4

Explanation:

- Each balloon needs a separate arrow.

Example 3

Input: points = $[[1,2],[2,3],[3,4],[4,5]]$

Output: 2

Explanation:

- Arrow 1 at $x=2$ bursts $[1,2]$ and $[2,3]$.
- Arrow 2 at $x=4$ bursts $[3,4]$ and $[4,5]$.

2. Intuition

To minimize arrows, we should aim to burst as many balloons as possible with each shot.

- If two balloons overlap, one arrow can burst both.
- If they do not overlap, we need separate arrows.

Greedy Choice

- Always aim to shoot arrows at the earliest possible x_{end} of an overlapping group.
- This ensures maximum coverage with minimal arrows.

3. Key Observations

- Sorting the balloons by x_{end} helps us make optimal greedy choices.
- We only need a new arrow when a balloon's x_{start} is beyond the current x_{end} .

Example:

Sorted intervals: $[1,6]$, $[2,8]$, $[7,12]$, $[10,16]$

Arrow at $x=6 \rightarrow$ covers $[1,6]$ & $[2,8]$

Arrow at $x=12 \rightarrow$ covers $[7,12]$ & $[10,16]$

4. Approach

Step 1: Sort the Balloons

- Sort points by their x_{end} (smallest first).
- Sorting ensures we always deal with the smallest x_{end} first, optimizing for minimal arrows.

Step 2: Traverse and Count Arrows

- Initialize arrows = 1 (at least one arrow is needed).
- Start with $\text{prev_end} = \text{points}[0][1]$ (end of first balloon).
- For each balloon (start, end):
 - If $\text{start} > \text{prev_end}$, it means we must shoot a new arrow.
 - Otherwise, the balloon is already covered by the previous arrow.

Step 3: Return the Arrow Count

- The count of arrows used is the answer.

5. Edge Cases

Edge Case	Explanation
Single Balloon	Needs only 1 arrow.
No Overlapping Balloons	Each balloon requires a separate arrow.
All Overlapping Balloons	Can be burst with a single arrow.
Large Input Size (10^5 balloons)	Sorting takes $O(n \log n)$, which is efficient.
Negative x-coordinates	The algorithm should handle negative values correctly.

6. Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity

- Sorting $O(n \log n)$
- One-pass traversal $O(n)$
- Total: $O(n \log n)$

Space Complexity

- $O(1)$ (in-place sorting and constant extra variables)

7. Alternative Approaches

- Dynamic Programming (DP)
 - We could use DP to find optimal arrow positions.
 - However, DP has $O(n^2)$ complexity, making it slower than the greedy approach.
- Interval Merging Approach
 - Merge overlapping intervals and count the groups.
 - Works similarly but sorting by x_{end} is more intuitive.

8. Test Cases

```
solution = Solution()
```

```
# Test Case 1
```

```
assert solution.findMinArrowShots([[10,16],[2,8],[1,6],[7,12]]) == 2
```

```
# Test Case 2
```

```
assert solution.findMinArrowShots([[1,2],[3,4],[5,6],[7,8]]) == 4
```

```
# Test Case 3
```

```
assert solution.findMinArrowShots([[1,2],[2,3],[3,4],[4,5]]) == 2
```

```
# Test Case 4 - Large input
```

```
assert solution.findMinArrowShots([[1,10**9],[2,10**9],[3,10**9],[4,10**9]]) == 1
```

```
print("All test cases passed!")
```

9. Final Thoughts

- The Greedy Algorithm is the optimal solution.
- Sorting by x_{end} ensures minimum arrows are used.
- Time Complexity is efficient at $O(n \log n)$, making it suitable for large inputs.