

Documentation for 507. Perfect Number

📖 Table of Contents

1. [Problem Statement](#)
2. [Intuition](#)
3. [Key Observations](#)
4. [Approach](#)
5. [Edge Cases](#)
6. [Complexity Analysis](#)
 - Time Complexity
 - Space Complexity
7. [Alternative Approaches](#)
8. [Test Cases](#)
9. [Final Thoughts](#)

1. 🚩 Problem Statement

A perfect number is a positive integer equal to the sum of its positive divisors, excluding itself. Given an integer num, return true if it is a perfect number, otherwise return false.

2. 💡 Intuition

Instead of checking all numbers from 1 to num - 1, we can optimize by checking only up to $\sqrt{\text{num}}$, because divisors come in pairs: if i divides num, then num / i is also a divisor.

3. 🔍 Key Observations

- Every number is divisible by 1.
- Divisors always occur in pairs. If i divides num, then num / i also divides num.
- We must exclude num itself when calculating the sum.
- We should avoid adding the square root twice when $i == \text{num} // i$.

4. ⚙️ Approach

- **Early return** for $\text{num} \leq 1$, as these can't be perfect numbers.
- Initialize $\text{total} = 1$ since 1 is a universal divisor.
- Loop i from 2 to $\sqrt{\text{num}}$:
 - If $\text{num} \% i == 0$, then both i and $\text{num} // i$ are divisors.
 - Add both to the sum, making sure not to double-count when $i == \text{num} // i$.
- Compare the final sum to the original number and return the result.

5. 🪄 Edge Cases

- $\text{num} = 1 \rightarrow$ Should return False (no positive divisors other than itself)
- $\text{num} = 0$ or negative \rightarrow Should return False
- num is a known perfect number like 6, 28, 496, etc. \rightarrow Should return True
- num is a large non-perfect number \rightarrow Should not time out due to the efficient algorithm

6. □ Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity:

- $O(\sqrt{n})$: We only iterate up to the square root of num .

Space Complexity:

- $O(1)$: Constant space used for variables.

7. 🔁 Alternative Approaches

- Brute Force:
 - Check all integers from 1 to $\text{num} - 1$.
 - Time Complexity: $O(n)$ — too slow for large num .
- Lookup Table:

- Store known perfect numbers (e.g., 6, 28, 496, 8128, 33550336) in a set.
- Time Complexity: $O(1)$
- Limitation: Not scalable or general.

8. ✓ Test Cases

Input	Output	Explanation
28	True	Divisors: $1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 14 = 28$
6	True	Divisors: $1 + 2 + 3 = 6$
496	True	Known perfect number
1	False	No proper divisors
10	False	Divisors: $1 + 2 + 5 = 8 \neq 10$
8128	True	Known perfect number

9. 🎯 Final Thoughts

- The optimized solution is efficient enough for large inputs ($\text{num} \leq 10^8$).
- The perfect number problem connects with number theory and prime-related patterns.
- For deeper study, look into Euclid–Euler Theorem, which links perfect numbers with Mersenne primes.