# **Documentation on Solving "Perfect Squares" Problem**

#### **Problem Overview**

The problem requires finding the minimum number of perfect square numbers that sum to a given integer (n). A perfect square is defined as the square of an integer, such as ( $1^2 = 1$ ), ( $2^2 = 4$ ), ( $3^2 = 9$ ), and so on. The challenge lies in efficiently determining the least count of such perfect squares that can form the sum (n). This problem has practical applications in optimization and number theory, and it can be tackled using dynamic programming or breadth-first search (BFS).

#### **Approach to Solve the Problem**

The solution relies on a dynamic programming (DP) approach, which systematically builds a solution for ( n ) using smaller subproblems. The core idea is to define a DP array where  $\hat{p}[i]$  stores the minimum number of perfect squares required to sum to ( i ). For example, if ( p[12] = 3 ), it means that the number 12 can be represented as the sum of three perfect squares. The approach precomputes all perfect squares less than or equal to (n) and uses them to iteratively update the DP array for every value from 1 to (n).

# **Precomputing Perfect Squares**

A crucial optimization in the solution is precomputing all perfect squares that are less than or equal to (n). These values form a small subset of numbers to work with during the computation. For instance, if (n = 12), the perfect squares considered are (1, 4, ) and (9). By limiting operations to these squares, the solution avoids unnecessary checks for non-square numbers, reducing computational overhead and ensuring efficiency.

# **Transition Formula and Dynamic Programming Logic**

The heart of the solution lies in its transition formula: ( $dp[i] = min(dp[i], dp[i - text{square}] + 1)$ ). This formula considers all possible perfect squares ( $text{square}$ ) less than or equal to (i) and updates the value of (dp[i]) based on the optimal subproblem solution. The algorithm iterates over all values of (i) from 1 to (n) and, for each (i), evaluates the contribution of every perfect square smaller than (i). The base case is (dp[0] = 0), as no numbers are needed to represent zero.

#### **Complexity and Efficiency**

The dynamic programming approach ensures that the solution is time- and space-efficient. The time complexity is (  $O(n \sqrt{n})$  ), as the algorithm iterates through ( n ) values and, for each value, evaluates (  $\sqrt{n}$  ) perfect squares. The space complexity is ( O(n) ), due to the DP array that stores intermediate results. This makes the approach suitable for the constraint (  $1 \le n \le 10^4$  ). Additionally, the precomputation of perfect squares and systematic DP updates ensure optimal solutions for large inputs.

### **Example Applications and Insights**

The problem has broader implications in mathematical optimization and algorithms that involve partitioning or decomposition of numbers. For example, similar techniques can be applied to find minimal representations in terms of other basis sets, like cubes or Fibonacci numbers. Furthermore, analyzing the structure of (dp[i]) reveals patterns in number theory, such as how certain numbers require more terms due to their lack of divisibility by smaller perfect squares. This problem serves as a foundation for understanding dynamic programming principles and their application to combinatorial optimization problems.