### 502. IPO — Complete Documentation

#### 1. Problem Statement

You are given n projects. Each project requires a certain minimum capital to start (capital [i]) and offers a pure profit (profits [i]) upon completion.

You start with an initial capital w. You can complete at most k distinct projects. After completing a project, the profit is added to your current capital.

Your goal is to choose at most k projects such that your final capital is maximized.

- Input:
  - o k (int): Max number of projects you can do.
  - w (int): Initial capital.
  - o profits (List[int]): Profit of each project.
  - o capital (List[int]): Capital needed for each project.
- Output:
  - o Return the maximum capital you can obtain after completing up to k projects.

#### 2. Intuition

We always want to pick the most profitable project we can afford at the current time. Therefore, we:

- Use a min-heap to track available projects by their capital requirement.
- Use a max-heap to always pick the most profitable among affordable projects.

#### 3. Key Observations

- We can't do all projects only those we can afford with current capital.
- Profits earned from earlier projects can unlock new projects.
- Greedily selecting the highest-profit project from the affordable set gives optimal results.

#### 4. Approach

Step-by-Step:

- Heapify all projects into a min-heap by capital.
- Initialize a max-heap for profits (simulate max-heap using negatives).
- For up to k iterations:
  - o Move all projects that can be started with current capital into the max-heap.
  - o If none are affordable, break.
  - o Else, pick the most profitable one and update current capital.

#### 5. Edge Cases

- If all projects need more capital than we have return initial capital.
- If k == 0 no projects can be done.
- If we run out of affordable projects before reaching k, stop early.

### 6. Complexity Analysis

- **♦** Time Complexity:
  - $O(n \log n + k \log n)$ 
    - o O(n log n) to heapify and insert projects.
    - o O(k log n) for picking max profit k times.
- **♦** Space Complexity:
  - O(n) for the heaps.

#### 7. Alternative Approaches

- Naive Approach: Iterate through all projects k times, checking which are affordable and most profitable results in O(k\*n) time, which is too slow for large inputs.
- Sorting + Two Pointers: Not flexible for dynamic capital changes using heaps is more optimal.

#### 8. Test Cases

# **≪** Example 1:

```
k = 2, w = 0

profits = [1,2,3]

capital = [0,1,1]

Output: 4

Explanation: Do project 0 (profit 1), then project 2 (profit 3)
```

### **≪** Example 2:

$$k = 3$$
,  $w = 0$   
profits =  $\begin{bmatrix} 1,2,3 \end{bmatrix}$   
capital =  $\begin{bmatrix} 0,1,2 \end{bmatrix}$   
Output: 6

## **✓** Edge Case:

$$k = 1, w = 0$$
  
profits =  $[1]$   
capital =  $[1]$   
Output: 0

# 9. Final Thoughts

- This problem combines greedy strategy and efficient data structures (heaps).
- Picking the best among the affordable projects at every step is key.
- It demonstrates a practical optimization problem seen in investment planning, resource allocation, and startup growth scenarios.