# Documentation on Constructing a Quad-Tree from a Binary Grid

#### **Table of Contents**

- 1. Problem Statement
- 2. Intuition
- 3. Key Observations
- 4. Approach
- 5. Edge Cases
- 6. Complexity Analysis
  - Time Complexity
  - o Space Complexity
- 7. Alternative Approaches
- 8. Test Cases
- 9. Final Thoughts

#### 1. Problem Statement

Given an n x n binary grid consisting of only 0s and 1s, construct a **Quad-Tree** representation of the grid. Each node in the Quad-Tree has:

- val: Boolean value (True for 1, False for 0), only relevant for leaf nodes.
- isLeaf: Boolean indicating if the node is a leaf.
- topLeft, topRight, bottomLeft, bottomRight: Pointers to four child nodes.

# **Constraints:**

- n == grid.length == grid[i].length (Square matrix)
- $n = 2^x$  where  $0 \le x \le 6$

#### 2. Intuition

A **Quad-Tree** is a recursive data structure where each node can be divided into four quadrants. If all values in a given sub-grid are the same (0s or 1s), we create a **leaf node**. Otherwise, we divide the grid into four equal parts and recursively process each.

### 3. Key Observations

- i. If all values in a sub-grid are identical (all 0s or all 1s), it can be represented as a **single leaf node**.
- ii. If values differ, the sub-grid must be divided into **four equal quadrants**.
- iii. The process continues recursively until we reach uniform grids (leaf nodes) or base case grids of size 1x1.

### 4. Approach

- i. Check Uniformity: If all values in a sub-grid are the same, return a leaf node.
- ii. Divide Grid: If not uniform, divide into four quadrants:
  - a. topLeft
  - b. topRight
  - c. bottomLeft
  - d. bottomRight
- iii. Recursive Construction: Recursively construct each quadrant.
- iv. Combine Nodes: If all four quadrants are identical, merge them into a single node.

#### 5. Edge Cases

- Smallest Grid (1x1): Should directly return a leaf node.
- All Elements Same: Should return a single leaf node without unnecessary recursion.
- Alternating Values: Requires full recursion down to 1x1 grid cells.

# 6. Complexity Analysis

# **Time Complexity**

- Worst Case (Completely Non-Uniform Grid): Each level of recursion divides the grid into four parts. The recursion depth is log n, leading to an O(n²) complexity.
- **Best Case (Uniform Grid): O(1)** (Single node returned).

# **Space Complexity**

- Recursive Call Stack: Worst-case depth is log n, requiring O(log n) additional space.
- Quad-Tree Storage: In the worst case, each cell has its own node, leading to O(n<sup>2</sup>).

# 7. Alternative Approaches

- i. **Iterative Approach:** Instead of recursion, we could use a queue-based level order traversal, but this would require more memory for bookkeeping.
- ii. **Precompute Uniform Regions:** Instead of checking uniformity in  $O(n^2)$ , use prefix sums to speed up checking in O(1). However, this would increase space complexity.

#### 8. Test Cases

# Example 1

```
Input:grid = [[0,1], [1,0]]
```

$$\mathbf{Output:} \llbracket \llbracket 0,1 \rrbracket, \llbracket 1,0 \rrbracket, \llbracket 1,1 \rrbracket, \llbracket 1,1 \rrbracket, \llbracket 1,0 \rrbracket \rrbracket$$

#### Example 2

Input:grid = [[1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0]],

[1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0],

[1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1]

[1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1]

[1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0],

[1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0]

[1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0],

[1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0]]

 $\textbf{Output:} \ [ [0,1],[1,1],[0,1],[1,1],[1,0], \text{null,null,null,null,} [1,0],[1,0],[1,1],[1,1] ]$ 

# 9. Final Thoughts

- Pros: Efficient and easy-to-understand recursive solution.
- Cons: Can be memory-intensive for non-uniform grids.
- Potential Optimizations: Precompute uniformity using prefix sums to speed up checking.