

Documentation

1. Problem Statement

The problem involves calculating the volume of water that can be trapped after raining, given a 2D elevation map represented as a matrix. Each cell in the matrix indicates the height of the terrain at that particular point. Water can be trapped between higher terrains, and the goal is to compute the total volume of water that can be held in the depressions of the terrain after the rain.

2. Intuition

The key intuition behind solving this problem is to consider the terrain as a 3D surface and determine how water can accumulate in the depressions of this surface. Water will naturally settle in valleys formed by taller blocks of land, and the amount of water trapped at any cell is determined by the minimum height of the surrounding terrain. To solve this problem, we need to start with the boundary cells, as water can flow off from the edges, and progressively fill in the cells inside, ensuring that the terrain heights are maintained as the trapped water accumulates.

3. Key Observations

- Water can only be trapped between two taller blocks. This means that the boundary of the terrain forms a crucial part of determining how much water can be trapped.
- The height of water at any cell depends on the lowest surrounding block, as the water level cannot rise above the surrounding blocks.
- The problem requires a traversal of the matrix, considering both the height of the terrain and the water that can be trapped. The boundary cells should be processed first, as they form the "walls" for water containment.

4. Approach

The approach to solving this problem uses a priority queue (min-heap) to simulate how water can flow and be trapped. Initially, all boundary cells are added to the heap because they form the outermost boundary where water can escape. As the algorithm processes the boundary, it progressively explores inner cells, calculating the trapped water by comparing the height of the current terrain with the height of the neighboring cell. If the neighboring cell is lower, water is trapped. The priority queue ensures that the algorithm processes the cells with the smallest height first, allowing it to correctly calculate the trapped water level by considering the lowest points first.

5. Edge Cases

Several edge cases should be considered when solving this problem:

- If the heightMap is empty or contains only one row or column, no water can be trapped.
- If the terrain forms a completely flat surface or a monotonic rise or fall, no water can be trapped as there are no valleys to hold the water.
- The terrain could have varying heights, leading to complex water trapping behavior, which needs to be handled by efficiently simulating water flow with the priority queue.

6. Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:** The time complexity of the algorithm is $O(m \times n \log(m \times n))$, where m is the number of rows and n is the number of columns. The heap operations take logarithmic time, and each cell is processed once, contributing to the complexity.
- **Space Complexity:** The space complexity is $O(m \times n)$, which accounts for the storage of the visited matrix and the heap, both of which require storage proportional to the size of the input matrix.

7. Alternative Approaches

An alternative approach to solving this problem is to use dynamic programming to calculate the maximum height on all four sides (left, right, up, down) for each cell. This approach involves precomputing the maximum heights for each direction and using them to calculate the trapped water. However, this approach may not be as efficient as the priority queue method due to the increased space and time complexity.

8. Code Implementation

The code implementation uses a priority queue (min-heap) to simulate water flow, starting from the boundary and processing cells from lowest to highest height. It ensures that the terrain is explored efficiently, and water trapped at each cell is calculated.

9. Test Cases

- **Test Case 1:** A small matrix where water can be trapped in two small ponds, testing the basic functionality of the algorithm.
- **Test Case 2:** A larger matrix with complex terrain that tests the efficiency of the solution and its ability to handle larger inputs.
- **Test Case 3:** A flat matrix where no water can be trapped, testing edge cases where the terrain is uniform.
- **Test Case 4:** A matrix where the terrain gradually slopes, ensuring that the algorithm handles gradual changes in height correctly.

10. Final Thoughts

This problem is a classic example of how to approach terrain-based water trapping problems using priority queues. By efficiently processing boundary cells and expanding inwards, we can calculate the trapped water while maintaining optimal time complexity. The approach balances both correctness and efficiency, making it a suitable solution for the given problem constraints.