

# Documentation for Implement rand10() Using rand7()

## Table of Contents

1. [Problem Statement](#)
2. [Intuition](#)
3. [Key Observations](#)
4. [Approach](#)
5. [Edge Cases](#)
6. [Complexity Analysis](#)
  - [Time Complexity](#)
  - [Space Complexity](#)
7. [Alternative Approaches](#)
8. [Test Cases](#)
9. [Final Thoughts](#)

### 1. Problem Statement

Given an API rand7() that returns a uniform random integer from 1 to 7, implement a function rand10() that returns a uniform random integer from 1 to 10 using only rand7().

Constraints:

- Only the rand7() API can be used.
- You should not use any built-in random functions.
- The implementation must ensure uniform distribution for numbers 1 through 10.

### 2. Intuition

Since rand7() gives 7 outcomes uniformly, and 10 is not a multiple of 7, we must find a way to map the output of rand7() to 1–10 uniformly.

To do that, we can combine multiple calls to rand7() to generate a larger uniform space and selectively map a subset of it to 1–10.

### 3. Key Observations

- $\text{rand7}() \times \text{rand7}()$  can create 49 unique pairs (i.e., a uniform distribution over 1–49).
- The numbers 1 to 40 from this set can be evenly divided into 10 groups (i.e., 40 is divisible by 10).
- Discard the remaining 9 values (41–49) and retry to preserve uniformity.

### 4. Approach

- Call  $\text{rand7}()$  twice to simulate a uniform number from 1 to 49.
- If the result is  $\leq 40$ , use  $(\text{number} - 1) \% 10 + 1$  to map it to 1–10.
- If the number is  $> 40$ , retry (loop) to ensure fairness.
- This method guarantees uniformity in the 1–10 range.

### 5. Edge Cases

- Infinite loop? No, since we retry only when the number is  $> 40$ , and the retry rate is low.
- Bias? No, numbers 1–40 are evenly mapped to 1–10.

### 6. Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity

- Average Case:  
Probability of valid number  $\leq 40 = 40/49$   
Expected number of tries  $= 49 / 40 \approx 1.225$   
Each try uses 2 calls  $\rightarrow$  Expected  $\text{rand7}()$  calls:  $\sim 2.45$
- Worst Case: Infinite loop theoretically possible (very low probability), but practically rare.

Space Complexity

- $O(1)$  — No extra space is used beyond constants.

## 7. Alternative Approaches

Approach	Pros	Cons
Generate 1–49 and map 1–40	Uniform, efficient	Retry needed for 41–49
Generate 1–63 using $3 \times \text{rand7}()$	Slightly less retry rate	More calls to $\text{rand7}()$ per try
Precomputed table (hardcoding)	Fast lookup	High space complexity, not scalable

## 8. Test Cases

Input (n calls)	Expected Output Example	Notes
n = 1	[5]	Any integer 1–10
n = 5	[1, 10, 3, 6, 8]	All numbers should be 1–10
n = 10000	Uniform distribution	Run frequency test if needed

## 9. Final Thoughts

- This method leverages probability and uniform mapping efficiently.
- With only  $\sim 2.45$  calls to  $\text{rand7}()$  per  $\text{rand10}()$ , it balances simplicity and performance.
- In interviews, this shows a good grasp of probability, randomness, and optimization.