#### ■ Documentation For Binary Tree Tilt

#### 1. Problem Statement

Given the root of a binary tree, return the sum of every tree node's tilt.

#### Definition:

- The tilt of a node is the absolute difference between the sum of values in its left subtree and the sum of values in its right subtree.
- If a node is null or has no children, its tilt is considered 0.

#### 2. Intuition

We need to compute the subtree sums for every node to calculate their tilts. A post-order traversal (left  $\rightarrow$  right  $\rightarrow$  root) naturally allows us to:

- Traverse to the leaf nodes first,
- Calculate their sums bottom-up,
- And compute tilt on the way back up.

This recursive traversal ensures no repeated subtree summation, making it efficient.

#### 3. Key Observations

- Leaf nodes always have a tilt of 0.
- We must sum values recursively in each subtree.
- The total tilt is the sum of all nodes' tilts.
- A global accumulator can be used to keep track of the total tilt.

## 4. Approach

- Use a helper recursive function (dfs) that:
  - o Computes the sum of the left subtree.
  - o Computes the sum of the right subtree.
  - o Calculates the tilt of the current node.
  - o Accumulates the tilt into a global variable.
  - o Returns the total sum of the subtree rooted at this node.

### 5. Edge Cases

- Empty tree (root = None)  $\rightarrow$  Return 0.
- Single-node tree  $\rightarrow$  Tilt is 0.
- Unbalanced tree  $\rightarrow$  Still works since tilt is local per node.

# 6. Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity

- O(n), where n is the number of nodes in the tree.
- Each node is visited once, and computation per node is O(1).

## Space Complexity

- O(h), where h is the height of the tree (due to recursion stack).
- Worst case: skewed tree  $\rightarrow$  O(n).
- Best case: balanced tree  $\rightarrow$  O(log n).

# 7. Alternative Approaches

Approach	Time	Space	Notes
Recursive (post-order)	O(n)	O(h)	Efficient and simple
Iterative with stack	O(n)	O(n)	More complex to track subtree sums
Level-order BFS + Map	O(n)	O(n)	Inefficient as it requires extra bookkeeping

## 8. Test Cases

∜ Example 1

Input: root = [1,2,3]

Output: 1

Explanation:

 $\mathrm{Tilt}(2){=}0,\,\mathrm{Tilt}(3){=}0,\,\mathrm{Tilt}(1){=}\,|\,2{-}3\,|\,{=}\,1\longrightarrow\mathrm{Total}\,\,\mathrm{Tilt}=1$ 

 $\checkmark$  Example 2

Input: root = [4,2,9,3,5,null,7]

Output: 15

Explanation: Total Tilt = 0+0+0+2+7+6 = 15

✓ Example 3

Input: root = [21,7,14,1,1,2,2,3,3]

Output: 9

Input: root = None

Output: 0

# 9. Final Thoughts

- This is a great example of divide-and-conquer using recursion.
- The problem teaches how to use global state in recursion, and why post-order is preferred when processing bottom-up.
- Easily extendable to problems like:
  - o Calculating subtree sums
  - o Checking balance
  - o Counting nodes or heights