

Find Content Children - Assign Cookies Problem Documentation

Table of Contents

1. [Problem Statement](#)
2. [Intuition](#)
3. [Key Observations](#)
4. [Approach](#)
5. [Edge Cases](#)
6. [Complexity Analysis](#)
 - [Time Complexity](#)
 - [Space Complexity](#)
7. [Alternative Approaches](#)
8. [Test Cases](#)
9. [Final Thoughts](#)

1. Problem Statement

We have a group of children, each with a greed factor $g[i]$, which represents the minimum size of a cookie they require to be content. We also have a list of cookies, each with a size $s[j]$.

The goal is to maximize the number of content children by giving each child at most one cookie. A child is content if they receive a cookie of size greater than or equal to their greed factor.

Constraints:

- $1 \leq g.length \leq 30,000$
- $0 \leq s.length \leq 30,000$
- $1 \leq g[i], s[j] \leq 2^{31}-1$

2. Intuition

The problem can be solved optimally using a greedy approach:

- Sort both lists (g and s) in ascending order.
- Try to assign the smallest cookie that can satisfy a child.
- If a cookie is too small for the current child, move to a larger cookie.
- Continue until all cookies are assigned or all children are satisfied.

This ensures that we use smaller cookies efficiently and maximize the number of content children.

3. Key Observations

- A child with a smaller greed factor should be assigned the smallest possible cookie.
- Sorting both arrays helps in efficiently matching children with cookies.
- We can use two pointers to traverse both lists and determine the maximum number of content children.
- If a cookie is too small for a child, move to the next larger cookie.
- Once all cookies are used or all children are satisfied, stop the process.

4. Approach

- Sort both lists:
 - g (children's greed factors) in ascending order.
 - s (cookie sizes) in ascending order.
- Use two pointers:
 - i for g (children).
 - j for s (cookies).
- Match greed factor with cookie size:
 - If $s[j] \geq g[i]$, assign the cookie to the child ($\text{content_children} += 1$) and move both pointers.
 - Otherwise, move only the cookie pointer (j), searching for a larger cookie.
- Continue until:
 - All children are satisfied, **or** All cookies are used.

5. Edge Cases

No cookies available ($s = []$) \rightarrow Output 0

All children have a higher greed factor than any cookie size \rightarrow Output 0

More cookies than children \rightarrow Maximum children get satisfied

Children with the same greed factor \rightarrow Handled via sorting

All children can be satisfied with given cookies \rightarrow Output $\text{len}(g)$

Very large input sizes ($g.\text{length} = 30,000, s.\text{length} = 30,000$) \rightarrow Efficiently handled in $O(n \log n)$ time

6. Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity

- Sorting g and $s \rightarrow O(n \log n)$
- Greedy traversal using two pointers $\rightarrow O(n)$
- Overall Complexity: $O(n \log n)$

Space Complexity

- Sorting is in-place, using $O(1)$ extra space.
- No extra data structures used, so space complexity is $O(1)$

7. Alternative Approaches

Brute Force (Inefficient)

- Try every cookie for every child ($O(n^2)$)
- Nested loops to check every combination
- Not feasible for large inputs (TLE - Time Limit Exceeded).

Binary Search Approach

- Sort g and s
- Use Binary Search ($O(\log n)$) to find the smallest valid cookie for each child
- Overall Complexity: $O(n \log n)$, same as greedy but more complex implementation.

8. Test Cases

Test Case 1: Basic Example

```
g = [1, 2, 3]
s = [1, 1]
sol = Solution()
print(sol.findContentChildren(g, s)) # Output: 1
```

Explanation: The only assignable cookie (size 1) satisfies one child (greed 1).

Test Case 2: More Cookies Than Children

```
g = [1, 2]
s = [1, 2, 3]
print(sol.findContentChildren(g, s)) # Output: 2
```

Explanation: Both children get satisfied with cookies of size 1 and 2.

Test Case 3: No Cookies

```
g = [1, 2, 3]
s = []
print(sol.findContentChildren(g, s)) # Output: 0
```

Explanation: No cookies available.

Test Case 4: No Child Can Be Satisfied

```
g = [5, 10, 15]
s = [1, 2, 3]
print(sol.findContentChildren(g, s)) # Output: 0
```

Explanation: No cookie is large enough for any child.

Test Case 5: Large Input

```
g = [i for i in range(1, 30001)]  
s = [i for i in range(1, 30001)]  
print(sol.findContentChildren(g, s)) # Output: 30000
```

Explanation: Each child gets a cookie exactly matching their greed factor.

9. Final Thoughts

- This problem is a classic greedy algorithm example.
- Sorting both lists helps maximize the number of satisfied children efficiently.
- The two-pointer approach ensures optimal performance.
- Alternative approaches, like binary search, exist but add unnecessary complexity.
- Time Complexity: $O(n \log n)$ due to sorting, which is optimal.
- This solution performs well even for large constraints. 🚀