

# Next Greater Element I Documentation

## 1. Problem Statement

Given two distinct 0-indexed integer arrays `nums1` and `nums2` where `nums1` is a subset of `nums2`, the task is to find the next greater element of each element in `nums1` based on `nums2`.

For each `nums1[i]`, find the index `j` such that `nums1[i] == nums2[j]`, then determine the next element greater than `nums2[j]` in `nums2` (to its right). If no such element exists, return `-1`.

## 2. Intuition

- Since all elements in `nums2` are unique, we can efficiently track the next greater element for each using a stack.
- We first preprocess `nums2` to store the next greater element of each value.
- Then, we simply look up each element in `nums1` using this precomputed mapping.

## 3. Key Observations

- Each element in `nums2` appears exactly once.
- We only need to look to the right side of each number in `nums2` to find its next greater element.
- Stack can be used to efficiently track and resolve these relationships in linear time.

## 4. Approach

- Initialize:
  - An empty stack to keep elements for which we haven't found the next greater.
  - A dictionary `next_greater` to store the result for each element in `nums2`.

- Traverse nums2:
  - For each number:
    - While the stack is not empty and the current number is greater than the top of the stack:
      - Pop the element from the stack.
      - Map it in the next\_greater dictionary as having the current number as its next greater.
    - Push the current number to the stack.
- Finalize:
  - For any remaining elements in the stack, assign -1 as they have no greater element.
- Build the result:
  - For each element in nums1, use the dictionary to look up its next greater value.

## 5. Edge Cases

- All elements in nums1 are at the end of nums2 → Output will be all -1.
- Elements in nums2 are in strictly decreasing order → All values in nums1 will have -1.
- nums1 equals nums2 → Full next greater mapping is needed.

## 6. Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity:

- $O(n + m)$ , where  $n = \text{len}(\text{nums1})$  and  $m = \text{len}(\text{nums2})$ :
  - Each element is pushed and popped once from the stack.
  - Lookup in dictionary is  $O(1)$ .

Space Complexity:

- $O(m)$  for:
  - The stack (at most  $m$  elements).
  - The next\_greater dictionary storing results for up to  $m$  elements.

## 7. Alternative Approaches

Brute-force (Nested Loops)

- For each `nums1[i]`, search its index in `nums2`, then scan to the right to find the next greater.
- Time Complexity:  $O(n \times m)$
- Not scalable for large inputs.

## 8. Test Cases

✓ Test Case 1:

```
nums1 = [4, 1, 2]
nums2 = [1, 3, 4, 2]
# Output: [-1, 3, -1]
```

✓ Test Case 2:

```
nums1 = [2, 4]
nums2 = [1, 2, 3, 4]
# Output: [3, -1]
```

✓ Test Case 3 (All decreasing):

```
nums1 = [3, 2, 1]
nums2 = [3, 2, 1]
# Output: [-1, -1, -1]
```

✓ Test Case 4 (All increasing):

```
nums1 = [1, 2]
nums2 = [1, 2, 3, 4]
# Output: [2, 3]
```

## 9. Final Thoughts

- This problem is a classic use case for monotonic stacks, frequently seen in "next greater/smaller element" problems.
- Understanding this pattern helps in solving a variety of stack-based problems efficiently.
- Always prefer precomputing and hashing (dictionary) when lookups are needed repeatedly.