

# Security Scan Report

Target: <https://example.com>

Scan Type: FULL

Generated: Wed Dec 17 17:50:54 IST 2025

## Executive Summary

High: 0

Medium: 2

Low: 3

Informational: 2

## Detailed Findings

### Content Security Policy (CSP) Header Not Set

#### Affected URLs:

<https://example.com/robots.txt>

<https://example.com/sitemap.xml>

<https://example.com>

#### Description:

Content Security Policy (CSP) is an added layer of security that helps to detect and mitigate certain types of attacks, including Cross Site Scripting (XSS) and data injection attacks. These attacks are used for everything from data theft to site defacement or distribution of malware. CSP provides a set of standard HTTP headers that allow website owners to declare approved sources of content that browsers should be allowed to load on that page — covered types are JavaScript, CSS, HTML frames, fonts, images and embeddable objects such as Java applets, ActiveX, audio and video files.

#### Recommended Fix:

Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to set the Content-Security-Policy header.

---

#### Affected URLs:

https://example.com/robots.txt  
https://example.com/sitemap.xml  
https://example.com

**Description:**

Content Security Policy (CSP) is an added layer of security that helps to detect and mitigate certain types of attacks, including Cross Site Scripting (XSS) and data injection attacks. These attacks are used for everything from data theft to site defacement or distribution of malware. CSP provides a set of standard HTTP headers that allow website owners to declare approved sources of content that browsers should be allowed to load on that page — covered types are JavaScript, CSS, HTML frames, fonts, images and embeddable objects such as Java applets, ActiveX, audio and video files.

**Recommended Fix:**

Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to set the Content-Security-Policy header.

---

## HTTPS Content Available via HTTP

**Affected URLs:**

https://example.com

**Description:**

Content which was initially accessed via HTTPS (i.e.: using SSL/TLS encryption) is also accessible via HTTP (without encryption).

**Recommended Fix:**

Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to only serve such content via HTTPS. Consider implementing HTTP Strict Transport Security.

---

**Affected URLs:**

https://example.com

**Description:**

Content which was initially accessed via HTTPS (i.e.: using SSL/TLS encryption) is also accessible via HTTP (without encryption).

**Recommended Fix:**

Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to only serve such content via HTTPS. Consider implementing HTTP Strict Transport Security.

---

## Missing Anti-clickjacking Header

### Affected URLs:

<https://example.com>

### Description:

The response does not protect against 'ClickJacking' attacks. It should include either Content-Security-Policy with 'frame-ancestors' directive or X-Frame-Options.

### Recommended Fix:

Modern Web browsers support the Content-Security-Policy and X-Frame-Options HTTP headers. Ensure one of them is set on all web pages returned by your site/app.

If you expect the page to be framed only by pages on your server (e.g. it's part of a FRAMESET) then you'll want to use SAMEORIGIN, otherwise if you never expect the page to be framed, you should use DENY. Alternatively consider implementing Content Security Policy's "frame-ancestors" directive.

---

### Affected URLs:

<https://example.com>

### Description:

The response does not protect against 'ClickJacking' attacks. It should include either Content-Security-Policy with 'frame-ancestors' directive or X-Frame-Options.

### Recommended Fix:

Modern Web browsers support the Content-Security-Policy and X-Frame-Options HTTP headers. Ensure one of them is set on all web pages returned by your site/app.

If you expect the page to be framed only by pages on your server (e.g. it's part of a FRAMESET) then you'll want to use SAMEORIGIN, otherwise if you never expect the page to be framed, you should use DENY. Alternatively consider implementing Content Security Policy's "frame-ancestors" directive.

---

## Strict-Transport-Security Header Not Set

### Affected URLs:

<https://example.com/sitemap.xml>  
<https://example.com>  
<https://example.com/robots.txt>

### Description:

HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) is a web security policy mechanism whereby a web server declares that complying user agents (such as a web browser) are to interact with it using only secure HTTPS connections (i.e. HTTP layered over TLS/SSL). HSTS is an IETF standards track protocol and is specified in RFC 6797.

### Recommended Fix:

Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to enforce Strict-Transport-Security.

---

### Affected URLs:

<https://example.com/sitemap.xml>  
<https://example.com>  
<https://example.com/robots.txt>

### Description:

HTTP Strict Transport Security (HSTS) is a web security policy mechanism whereby a web server declares that complying user agents (such as a web browser) are to interact with it using only secure HTTPS connections (i.e. HTTP layered over TLS/SSL). HSTS is an IETF standards track protocol and is specified in RFC 6797.

### Recommended Fix:

Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to enforce Strict-Transport-Security.

---

## Re-examine Cache-control Directives

### Affected URLs:

<https://example.com>

### Description:

The cache-control header has not been set properly or is missing, allowing the browser and proxies to cache content. For static assets like css, js, or image files this might be intended, however, the resources should be reviewed to ensure that no sensitive content will be cached.

**Recommended Fix:**

For secure content, ensure the cache-control HTTP header is set with "no-cache, no-store, must-revalidate". If an asset should be cached consider setting the directives "public, max-age, immutable".

---

**Affected URLs:**

<https://example.com>

**Description:**

The cache-control header has not been set properly or is missing, allowing the browser and proxies to cache content. For static assets like css, js, or image files this might be intended, however, the resources should be reviewed to ensure that no sensitive content will be cached.

**Recommended Fix:**

For secure content, ensure the cache-control HTTP header is set with "no-cache, no-store, must-revalidate". If an asset should be cached consider setting the directives "public, max-age, immutable".

---

## Retrieved from Cache

**Affected URLs:**

<https://example.com/sitemap.xml>

<https://example.com>

<https://example.com/robots.txt>

**Description:**

The content was retrieved from a shared cache. If the response data is sensitive, personal or user-specific, this may result in sensitive information being leaked. In some cases, this may even result in a user gaining complete control of the session of another user, depending on the configuration of the caching components in use in their environment. This is primarily an issue where caching servers such as "proxy" caches are configured on the local network. This configuration is typically found in corporate or educational environments, for instance.

**Recommended Fix:**

Validate that the response does not contain sensitive, personal or user-specific information. If it does, consider the use of the following HTTP response headers, to limit, or prevent the content being stored and retrieved from the cache by another user:

Cache-Control: no-cache, no-store, must-revalidate, private

Pragma: no-cache

Expires: 0

This configuration directs both HTTP 1.0 and HTTP 1.1 compliant caching servers to not store the response, and to not retrieve the response (without validation) from the cache, in response to a similar request.

---

**Affected URLs:**

<https://example.com/sitemap.xml>

<https://example.com>

<https://example.com/robots.txt>

**Description:**

The content was retrieved from a shared cache. If the response data is sensitive, personal or user-specific, this may result in sensitive information being leaked. In some cases, this may even result in a user gaining complete control of the session of another user, depending on the configuration of the caching components in use in their environment. This is primarily an issue where caching servers such as "proxy" caches are configured on the local network.

This configuration is typically found in corporate or educational environments, for instance.

**Recommended Fix:**

Validate that the response does not contain sensitive, personal or user-specific information. If it does, consider the use of the following HTTP response headers, to limit, or prevent the content being stored and retrieved from the cache by another user:

Cache-Control: no-cache, no-store, must-revalidate, private

Pragma: no-cache

Expires: 0

This configuration directs both HTTP 1.0 and HTTP 1.1 compliant caching servers to not store the response, and to not retrieve the response (without validation) from the cache, in response to a similar request.

---

## X-Content-Type-Options Header Missing

### Affected URLs:

<https://example.com>

### Description:

The Anti-MIME-Sniffing header X-Content-Type-Options was not set to 'nosniff'. This allows older versions of Internet Explorer and Chrome to perform MIME-sniffing on the response body, potentially causing the response body to be interpreted and displayed as a content type other than the declared content type. Current (early 2014) and legacy versions of Firefox will use the declared content type (if one is set), rather than performing MIME-sniffing.

### Recommended Fix:

Ensure that the application/web server sets the Content-Type header appropriately, and that it sets the X-Content-Type-Options header to 'nosniff' for all web pages.

If possible, ensure that the end user uses a standards-compliant and modern web browser that does not perform MIME-sniffing at all, or that can be directed by the web application/web server to not perform MIME-sniffing.

---

### Affected URLs:

<https://example.com>

### Description:

The Anti-MIME-Sniffing header X-Content-Type-Options was not set to 'nosniff'. This allows older versions of Internet Explorer and Chrome to perform MIME-sniffing on the response body, potentially causing the response body to be interpreted and displayed as a content type other than the declared content type. Current (early 2014) and legacy versions of Firefox will use the declared content type (if one is set), rather than performing MIME-sniffing.

### Recommended Fix:

Ensure that the application/web server sets the Content-Type header appropriately, and that it sets the X-Content-Type-Options header to 'nosniff' for all web pages.

If possible, ensure that the end user uses a standards-compliant and modern web browser that does not perform MIME-sniffing at all, or that can be directed by the web application/web server to not perform MIME-sniffing.

---